SHOUT
SHARE
IT

570.6
(773)
I
v. I

THE UNIVERSITY
OF ILLINOIS
LIBRARY

NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY

570.5
ILL
v. I cop. 4

BURGMEIER BOOK BINDERY CHICAGO

ILLINOIS BIOLOGICAL MONOGRAPHS

PUBLISHED QUARTERLY
UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL
BY THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

VOLUME I

Urbana, Illinois
1914-15

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

STEPHEN ALFRED FORBES WILLIAM TRELEASE
HENRY BALDWIN WARD

570.5
ILL
v.1
cop.4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume I

NUMBERS PAGES

1 and 2 A Revision of the Cestode Family Proteocephalidae. By George Roger La Rue. With 16 plates 1-350

(Distributed November 30, 1914)

3 Studies on the Cestode Family Anoplocephalidae. By Herman Douthitt. With 6 plates 351-446

(Distributed March 8, 1915)

4 Some North American Larval Trematodes. By William Walter Cort. With 8 plates 447-532

(Distributed June 28, 1915)

732170

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS BULLETIN
Issued Weekly

VOL. XII. NOVEMBER 30, 1914 NO. 13

[Entered as second-class matter December 11, 1912, at the post office at Urbana, Illinois, under the Act of August 24, 1912.]

ILLINOIS BIOLOGICAL MONOGRAPHS

Vol. I July-October, 1914 Nos. 1 and 2

A REVISION OF THE CESTODE FAMILY PROTEOCEPHALIDÆ

BY

GEORGE ROGER LA RUE

PRICE $2.00

PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
URBANA

UNIVERSITY STUDIES PREVIOUSLY ISSUED

GENERAL SERIES. VOL. I

No. 1. Abraham Lincoln: The Evolution of his Literary Style. By D. K. Dodge, Ph.D. 25 cts.

No. 2. The Decline of the Commerce of the Port of New York. By Richard Price Morgan, Dr. Eng's. *Out of Print.*

No. 3. A Statistical Study of Illinois High Schools. By F. G. Bonser, M.S. 25 cts.

No. 4. The Genesis of the Grand Remonstrance from Parliament to King Charles I. By Henry Lawrence Schoolcraft, Ph.D. 25 cts.

No. 5. The Artificial Method for Determining the Ease and the Rapidity of the Digestion of Meats. By Harry Sands Grindley, Sc.D., and Timothy Mojonnier, M.S. 25 cts.

No. 6. Illinois Railway Legislation and Commission Control since 1870. By Joseph Hinckley Gordon, A.M. Introduction by M. B. Hammond, Ph.D. 25 cts.

No. 7. The Coals of Illinois: Their Composition and Analysis. By S. W. Parr, M.S. *Out of Print.*

No. 8. The Granger Movement in Illinois. By A. E. Paine, A.M. 35 cts.

No. 9. The Illinois Constitutional Convention of 1862. By O. M. Dickerson, Ph.D. 35 cts.

No. 10. Labor Organizations Among Women. By Belva M. Herron, B.L. One Dollar.

VOL. II

No. 1. Illinois Libraries. Part 1. By Katharine L. Sharp, M.L.S. One Dollar. *Out of Print.*

No. 2. Epithelial Degeneration, Regeneration, and Secretion in the Mid-Intestine of Collembola. By J. W. Folsom, Sc.D., and Miriam U. Welles, A.M. One Dollar.

No. 3. Illinois Libraries. Part 2. By Katharine L. Sharp, M.L.S. One Dollar. *Out of print.*

No. 4. A Precise Method of Roasting Beef. By Elizabeth C. Sprague and H. S. Grindley, Sc.D. One Dollar.

No. 5. Photometric Observations of Double Stars. By Joel Stebbins, Ph.D. 75 cts.

No. 6. Illinois Libraries. Part 3. By Katharine L. Sharp, M.L.S. One Dollar.

No. 7. Illinois Libraries. Part 4. By Katharine L. Sharp, M.L.S. One Dollar.

No. 8. Illinois Libraries. Part 5. By Katharine L. Sharp, M.L.S. One Dollar.

VOL. III

No. 1. Correlation of Efficiency in Mathematics and Efficiency in other Subjects. A Statistical Study. By H. L. Rietz, Ph.D., and Imogene Shade, A.B. 35 cts.

No. 2. Studies from the Geological Department. By W. S. Bayley, Ph.D., Carroll H. Wegemann, Rufus M. Bagg, Jr., Ph.D. 75 cts.

(Continued on Page Three of Cover)

No. 3. The History of Cumulative Voting and Minority Representation in Illinois, 1870-1908. By Blaine F. Moore and J. W. Garner, Ph.D. 50 cts.

No. 4. The Nibelungen of Wagner. By K. A. W. Layton. 75 cents.

No. 5. Jean d'Abundance—A study of his life and three of his works. By D. H. Carnahan. 1909. $1.

No. 6. A Systematic and Biological Study of the Acarina of Illinois. By H. F. Ewing. $1.

VOLUME IV

No. 1. The origin of the Land Grant act of 1862 (The so-called Morrill act) and some account of its author, Jonathan B. Turner. By E. J. James. Cloth $1.35; paper, 75 cents.

No. 2. Fragments RA.58C of Konungs Skuggsja, from an old Norwegian parchment codex of the XIIIth century, with heliotype copy and a study of the paleography and the language. By G. T. Flom. $1.25.

No. 3. Michel-Jean Sedaine: Le Philosophe sans le sçavoir. Variorum critical edition, based upon the second Paris edition of 1766 and its appendix, with an introduction treating of all known editions and translations. By T. E. Oliver. $1.75.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS STUDIES IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCES

VOLUME I

No. 1-2. Financial history of Ohio. By E. L. Bogart. $1.80.

No. 4. Friedrich Gentz: an opponent of the French revolution and Napoleon. By P. F. Reiff. 80 cents.

VOLUME II

No. 1. Taxation of corporations in Illinois, other than railroads, since 1872. By Joel R. Moore. 55 cents.

No. 2-3. The West in the diplomatic negotiations of the American Revolution. By P. C. Phillips. $1.25.

No. 4. The development of banking in Illinois, 1817-1863. By G. W. Dowrie. 90 cents.

No. 1-2. The history of the general property tax in Illinois. By R. M. Haig. $1.25.

ILLINOIS BIOLOGICAL MONOGRAPHS

VOLUME I

No. 1-2. A Revision of the Cestode Family Proteocephalidae. By G. R. La Rue. $2.

PUBLICATIONS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

Following is a partial list of the publications issued at the University:

1. The Illinois Biological Monographs, published quarterly. Three dollars a year. Address 321 Natural History Building, University of Illinois.

2. The University of Illinois Studies in Social Sciences. Monographs in history, economics, political science, and sociology. Published quarterly. Three dollars a year. Address 321 Natural History Building, University of Illinois.

3. The University of Illinois Studies in Language and Literature. Published quarterly. Three dollars a year. Address 321 Natural History Building, University of Illinois.

4. The University Studies. A series of monographs on miscellaneous subjects. For contents see inside. Address Manager of University Studies, 321 Natural History Building, University of Illinois.

5. The Journal of English and Germanic Philology. Published quarterly. Three dollars a year. Address Manager of Journal of English and Germanic Philology, 321 Natural History Building, University of Illinois.

6. The Bulletin of the Engineering Experiment Station. Reports of the research work in the Engineering Experiment Station. Address Director of Engineering Experiment Station, University of Illinois.

7. The Bulletin of the Agricultural Experiment Station. Address Director of Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Illinois.

8. The Bulletin of the State Laboratory of Natural History. Address Director of State Laboratory of Natural History, University of Illinois.

9. The Bulletin of the State Geological Survey. Address Director of State Geological Survey, University of Illinois.

10. The Bulletin of the State Water Survey. Address Director of State Water Survey, University of Illinois.

11. The Report of the State Entomologist. Address State Entomologist, University of Illinois.

12. The Bulletin of the Illinois Association of Teachers of English. Address 301 University Hall, University of Illinois.

13. The Bulletin of the School of Education. Address 203 University Hall, University of Illinois.

14. The general series, containing the University catalog and circulars of special departments. Address The Registrar, University of Illinois.

ILLINOIS BIOLOGICAL MONOGRAPHS

Vol. I July-October, 1914 Nos. 1 and 2

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

STEPHEN ALFRED FORBES WILLIAM TRELEASE
HENRY BALDWIN WARD

PUBLISHED BY THE
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
URBANA

Copyright, 1914
By the University of Illinois

A REVISION OF THE CESTODE FAMILY PROTEOCEPHALIDÆ

BY

GEORGE ROGER LA RUE

Contributions from the
Zoological Laboratory of the University of Illinois under the direction of
Henry B. Ward, No. 33

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in Zoology in the Graduate School
of the University of Illinois
1911

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

Introduction 7

Historical 9

Synonymy 10

Definitions of Families and Genera Considered 11

Methods of Technique 14

Anatomy and Histology of Proteocephalids 16

Characters of Diagnostic Value 33

Key to the Better Known Genera and Species of Proteocephalidae 34

Description of Proteocephalid Species 37

Proteocephalus filicollis (Rudolphi) 38

P. esocis (G. Schneider) 48

P. agonis (Barbieri) 51

P. exiguus La Rue 54

P. pusillus Ward 58

P. pinguis La Rue 65

P. fallax La Rue 70

P. neglectus La Rue 78

P. dubius La Rue 81

P. cernuae (Gmelin) La Rue 86

P. percae (Müller) 93

Table Showing Comparative Data on *P. ocellatus* and *P. percae* 106

P. longicollis (Zeder) 109

P. torulosus (Batsch) 118

P. macrocephalus (Creplin) 129

P. ambloplitis (Leidy) 143

P. perplexus La Rue 151

P. singularis La Rue 156

P. sulcatus (Klaptocz) 162

P. pentastoma (Klaptocz) 165

P. fossatus (Riggenbach) 167

P. skorikowi (von Linstow) 169

P. sagittus (Grimm) 171

P. salvelini (Linton) 174

P. cyclops (von Linstow) sp. inq 175

P. hemisphericus (Molin) sp. inq 175

P. macrophallus (Diesing) sp. inq 177

P. nematosoma (Leidy) sp. inq 177

P. salmonis-umblae (Monticelli) sp. inq 179

P. osculatus (Goeze) sp. inq 180

Taenia simplicissima Leidy 186

Taenia belones Müller 187

PAGE
Taenia pollachii Rathke 187
Choanoscolex abscisus (Riggenbach) La Rue 188
Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species 192

Description of Proteocephalid Species from Amphibia and Reptiles:

Ophiotaenia perspicua La Rue 204
O. lactea (Leidy) sp. inq. 208
O. filaroides La Rue 209
O. lönnbergii (Fuhrmann) 214
O. nattereri (Parona) 218
O. racemosa (Rudolphi) 220
O. pigmentata (von Linstow) 226
O. trimeresuri (Parona) 227
O. calmettei (Barrois) 231
O. punica (Cholodkovski) 237
O. marenzelleri (Barrois) 240
O. grandis La Rue 242
Crepidobothrium gerrardii (Baird) 246
Comparative Tables of Selected Characters of Species of *Ophiotaenia* and *Crepidobothrium* 260
Oöchoristica cryptobothrium (von Linstow) La Rue 268

Description of Species of Monticellia

Monticellia coryphicephala (Monticelli) La Rue 268
M. macrocotylea (Monticelli) 275
M. diesingii (Monticelli) 276
M. malopteruri (Fritsch) La Rue 277
Distribution of Proteocephalidae 281
Distribution of Proteocephalids of Amphibia and Reptilia 281
Hosts and Localities Reported for Proteocephalids of Amphibia and Reptilia 283
Families of Amphibia and Reptilia Harboring Proteocephalid Species 284
Hosts and Localities of Proteocephalid Species Infesting Fish and the Species of Fish Infested 285
Distribution of the Proteocephalids of Fish According to Continents 288
Fish Harboring Two or More Proteocephalid Species 289
Proteocephalids Occurring in Two or More Species of Fish 290
Families of Fish from which Proteocephalids are known 305
Life History of the Proteocephalidae 306
Origin of the Proteocephalidae 307
Bibliography 310
Explanation of Plates 319

INTRODUCTION

Several years ago while studying under Professor Henry B. Ward at the University of Nebraska the writer began an investigation of a cestode parasitic in *Amblystoma tigrinum* (Green). That investigation resulted in a paper (La Rue 1909) in which a number of points in the anatomy of Proteocephalids were cleared up and certain problems were outlined for investigation at an early date. In the meantime the writer became interested in the large number of Proteocephalids which Professor Ward had secured by work in the field and by exchange. The writer had also made some collections. A preliminary study of the available material by the writer was convincing to Professor Ward that a more complete and comparative study of the group was desirable and that the results of such a study would prove of value to helminthologists, not only of America but also in Europe. Such a study seemed more desirable since but two comparative studies of the group had been made in more than fifteen years, the first by Riggenbach (1896) mostly on the species infesting fish, and the second by Schwarz (1908) wholly on the species infesting snakes and reptiles. The fact that very little had been done on the genus by American investigators furnished an added incentive to undertake the work.

At Professor Ward's suggestion and under his direction the writer undertook an investigation of the genus which would be comprehensive in scope and at the same time comparative. For this purpose Professor Ward augmented the material already at hand by securing the loan of alcoholics and prepared slides from several European and American investigators and from the collections of the Smithsonian Institution and the Bureau of Animal Industry at Washington. Unfortunately specimens of certain material could not be secured either because it had been lost or because it could not be removed from the collections.

The lack of some of this material has caused the writer to change the plan of the paper somewhat. Instead of making a fresh study of each species it has been necessary in describing certain species to depend on the data secured from the literature. These data have been recombined and quotations have been made, sometimes quite extended, while original drawings which were found useful in presenting the characters and structures of the species have been copied and used in the new account. Possibly it may seem that a consideration of these species might have been omitted without apparently having great effect on the value of the work. The writer found however that serious errors had been made in determination and upon these mistaken determinations identification of other species had been made dependent. The only way of escape from the tangle was to consider every species in the family.

In order that the work might be of more value to many of the American investigators to whom large numbers of the older works of the European writers are unavailable and for the purpose of comparison large extracts from these older writings have been quoted verbatim. For these reasons also the number of drawings copied is larger than at first glimpse seems necessary. The writer has been compelled however to omit because of lack of space many extracts and many drawings which would add to the value of the work. In working over the large literature on the subject an attempt was made to secure every important paper. Some less important papers are known to have been omitted.

Thanks are due to the following investigators who at the request of Professor Ward so kindly sent prepared slides or alcoholics from their valuable collections for study and comparison: Professor Fritz Zschokke, University of Basle, Professor Corrado Parona, University of Genoa, Professor Max Braun, University of Königsberg, Professor K. M. Levander, Helsingfors, Finland, Professor Anton Collin, University of Berlin, Professor A. E. Shipley, Christ's College, Cambridge, Professor Fr. Sav. Monticelli, University of Naples, Professor O. Fuhrmann, University of Neûchatel, Dr. C. W. Stiles, Hygienic Laboratory, Washington, D. C., Dr. B. H. Ransom, Bureau of Animal Industry, Washington, D. C., Professor Edwin Linton, Washington and Jefferson College, Professor A. J. Smith, University of Pennsylvania, Professor L. T. Hankinson, Charleston, Ill. Mr. E. G. Davis of Lincoln, Nebr., and Mr. Herman Douthitt of Sulphur, Oklahoma, have also very kindly furnished material for study. Thanks are due Mr. S. Fred Prince for re-drawing many of the figures from other works and for making my pencil sketches into the finished drawings.

To the United States Bureau of Fisheries and to its corps of scientific workers I am indebted for encouragement and assistance in many ways, especially in securing valuable material from various sources.

To Professor Henry B. Ward my sincerest thanks are due for the use of his extensive collections, for the use of his library, and also for securing for my use many rare specimens and rare books. His interest and co-operation have given me the inspiration to complete the work.

HISTORICAL DATA

Rudolphi (1808-10) collected together the results of the systematic labors of helminthologists up to that time. His work is very complete and in it are to be found the diagnoses, synonymy and descriptions of the species of this genus that were known at that time. These species are included in the genus Taenia Linnaeus 1758. It is to be noted that Rudolphi renamed certain of these species which had been previously established by other investigators. Later Rudolphi (1819) brought down to date a summary of the investigations of preceding helminthologists.

Some of the early investigators, other than Rudolphi, who reported species now included in the genus Proteocephalus, were Goeze, O. F. Müller, Pallas, Gmelin, Batsch, Schrank, Zeder, Bloch, and Froelich. Many of their descriptions and diagnoses were very short. Only a few were accompanied by drawings. It is needless to say that the works of these early investigators are almost entirely concerned with the study of external characters. There were no more important works which have to do with this group of cestodes until the time of Dujardin (1845) and Diesing (1850). These investigators listed several new species and new host species.

Investigators up to the time of Monticelli (1891) with the exception of Weinland (1858) considered this group of fish cestodes to belong to the genus Taenia. The latter author proposed the name Proteocephalus which will be discussed later and the former proposed the name Tetracotylus. It was von Linstow (1891) who first pointed out that the fish species made up a closely related group within the genus Taenia. He made the first careful study of one of the species of this group.

Monticelli (1891) made a careful study of the group and pointed out several misinterpretations relating to the genital organs. He first showed the true relationships of the organs in the interovarial space. His work was largely based on *Taenia coryphicephala* with which he compared other species. In all he listed 20 species belonging to his genus Tetracotylus. Of these species some were from snakes. For a more complete discussion of that work see the description of Monticellia (Tetracotylus). Since this work of Monticelli, Lönnberg (1894) proposed the genus Ichthyotaenia and in this genus many species have been included. The range of hosts includes amphibians, snakes, lizards, and all the larger groups of freshwater fish.

In 1899 Monticelli recognized that *Tetrabothrium gerrardii* Baird, a parasite of the Boidae, belonged in this family of cestodes but that it should be separated from the species of Proteocephalus, and for this species he proposed the genus Crepidobothrium. In 1903 von Linstow proposed the genus Acanthotaenia to include a spiny headed Proteocephalid from Varanus. This genus was later emended by Johnston (1909) who placed three other species in it, all from Varanidae.

It has been found necessary to restrict the genus Proteocephalus by removing from it the species of amphibian and ophidian Proteocephalids. These make a fairly homogeneous group for which the writer proposed the name *Ophiotaenia* La Rue 1911 with *Ophiotaenia perspicua* La Rue as the type species.

SYNONYMY

Weinland (1858:53) proposed the genus Proteocephalus and named as its type *Taenia ambigua* Dujardin. *Taenia filicollis* and *Taenia dispar* were also included under this genus.

Lönnberg (1894:801-803) proposed the generic name *Ichthyotaenia* and listed as members of this genus: *I. filicollis* Rud., *I. ocellata* Rud., *I. longicollis* Rud., *I. torulosa* Batsch, and *I. coryphicephala* Monticelli. Since Lönnberg named *I. filicollis* first in his list that name is to be considered the type of his genus and has been so designated by Hall (1910). Thus he made Ichthyotaenia a synonym of Proteocephalus unless *T. filicollis* Rud. is generically different from *T. ambigua* (Dujardin). On this point Railliet (1899) says: "Comme cette espece (*Taenia ambigua* Duj.) rentre nettement dans le genre *Ichthyotaenia* il est evident que le premier nom (*Proteocephalus*) doit etre repris." The validity of the names Proteocephalus Weinland or Ichthyotaenia Lönnberg then depends on the species *T. ambigua* Dujardin and *T. filicollis* Rud. The first named species was fairly well described and measurements of diagnostic value were given by Dujardin (1845). This species has since been well described and figured by G. Schneider and later by the writer using Schneider's material which agrees almost perfectly with Dujardin's description of *T. ambigua*.

Taenia filicollis Rud. is not a synonym of *Taenia ocellata* Rud., and consequently, of *Taenia percae* Müller, as Kraemer, Riggenbach, Benedict, Railliet, and others have thought. That belief was founded on a mistaken identification by Kraemer (1892). Since Dujardin (1845) there have been but few records of examinations of Gasterosteus. One of these records is by Lönnberg, another by Schneider. They examined *Gasterosteus pungitius,* while the type host of *T. filicollis* is *Gasterosteus* *aculeatus* and so far as the writer can discover there have been almost no records of collection of parasites from that host since Dujardin. The diagnoses of *Taenia filicollis* much resemble those of *Taenia ambigua.* Dujardin however evidently considered these two species as different for he records both. At the present time but a single species is well known from Gasterosteous, viz. *Proteocephalus ambiguus* (Dujardin). The writer considers however that *P. ambiguus* is identical with *P. filicollis* (Rud.) and hence the genera Proteocephalus Weinland and Ichthyotaenia Lönnberg being based on the same species are synonyms and the earlier name should be retained.

The synonymy of the name Tetracotylus Monticelli (1891) has not yet been discussed. This name was based on the description of *Taenia coryphicephala* altho Monticelli failed to designate it as the type species. Braun (1894-1900) stated that this genus was based on *T. coryphicephala* and this action was considered by Hall (1910) to be tantamount to the designation of a type. Braun regards Tetracotylus to be a synonym of Ichthyotaenia which makes it a synonym of Proteocephalus provided that the type of Tetracotylus belongs in the same genus with *Taenia filicollis* (Rud.), the type of Proteocephalus. That *Tetracotylus coryphicephala* does not belong to the same genus with *Proteocephalus filicollis* has been shown by the writer in the descriptive study of the former species (vide infra). Moreover the writer has shown that this form does not belong with any genus of cestodes at present known. It is then necessary to examine into the status of the name Tetracotylus as a generic name for *Taenia coryphicephala.*

The availability of Tetracotylus has been questioned because of the name Tetracotyle Filippi (1854). These two names are not spelled alike and are therefore not homonyms. Braun (1894-1900) suggests that Tetracotylus has been used for Tetracotyle. If such improper use has been made of the former name prior to the time when Monticelli proposed it then Tetracotylus has been rendered unavailable. The writer has not been able to find evidence of such improper use, yet because of Braun's statement of the fact and his objection to the use of the name the writer has proposed the name Monticellia in honor of Professor Monticelli who has done so much for our knowledge of this group, as a name to take the place of the invalidated Tetracotylus. The type of this genus is *Monticellia coryphicephala* (Monticelli).

The genus is to be defined thus: Monticellia La Rue: Head small, globose, without folds or lappets of tissue encircling suckers. Suckers sessile and without accessory areola. No rostellum. Testes, vitellaria and uterus entirely outside of the inner longitudinal muscle-sheath. Vitellaria composed of scattered follicles which form broad lateral fields. Testes numerous, forming a single broad dorsal field between vitellaria. Uterus ventral, with many lateral pouches. Genital pore marginal, irregularly alternating. Ovary bilobed and situated partly within and partly outside the inner muscle-sheath. Sexual organs in general as in Proteocephalidae. In Siluridae. The type of the genus is *Monticellia coryphicephala* (*Tetracotylus coryphicephala* Monticelli).

The position of this genus in the order Tetraphyllidea is difficult to determine. It apparently does not belong to any of the families as now defined therefore the writer suggests for it the family name Monticellidae with the following characters: Head small. Suckers sessile and without accessory areola. Internal anatomy as in the type genus Monticellia.

There still remains the question as to whether the name Proteocephalus is available. That name has been objected to on account of the name Proteocephala suggested by de Blainville (1828) for a cestode family. These two names are not homonyms and it is agreed that the use of a name to designate a family does not invalidate it for use subsequently as a generic name. The writer therefore retains the name Proteocephalus Weinland as the generic designation for the genus of which *Taenia filicollis* Rud. is the type.

Having determined that *Monticellia coryphicephala* (Monticelli) does not belong in the family Proteocephalidae because of its peculiar organization, it is necessary to redefine that family thus: Family Proteocephalidae: Heads small. Suckers sessile and without accessory areola. Fifth sucker functional, vestigial, or lacking. No rostellum. Genital organs in general as in other Tetraphyllideans. Genital pores marginal, irregularly alternating. Vitellaria lateral, follicular, follicles closely grouped about a central conducting tubule. Ovary bilobed, posterior. Oöcapt, oötype, shell gland, uterine passage present. Uterus with lateral outpocketings and one or more preformed ventral uterine openings. Vitellaria, testes, ovary and uterus *within* the inner longitudinal muscle-sheath.

Habitat: In fresh-water fish, amphibia, and aquatic reptiles.

In this family belong the following genera which are here defined:

(1) *Proteocephalus* Weinland 1858

With characters of the family. Head globose or conical, flattened dorsoventrally. No rostellum. No spines or hooks. No fold of tissue encircling base of head or enfolding suckers. Suckers circular or oval. Fifth sucker functional or vestigial, rarely lacking. Testes in a broad field between vitellaria. Parenchyma with close meshes. Musculature well developed. Eggs with three membranes. Habitat: In fresh-water fish.

Type species: *Proteocephalus filicollis* (Rudolphi)
Syn.: *Proteocephalus ambiguus* (Dujardin)

(2) *Choanoscolex* La Rue 1911

With characters of family. Head conical with a fold of tissue at the base partially covering suckers. No rostellum, no hooks, no spines. Genital organs as in Proteocephalus. Habitat: In Siluridae.

Type species: *Choanoscolex abscisus* (Riggenbach).

(3) *Corallobothrium* Fritsch 1886

With characters of family. Scolex with four suckers situated on the flat anterior face of the head. Many irregular folds and lappets of tissue about margin of anterior surface; may enclose suckers as in a corolla. No rostellum. No hooks nor spines. Neck broad, short. Habitat: In Siluridae.

Type species: *Corallobothrium solidum* Fritsch.

(4) *Crepidobothrium* Monticelli 1899

With characters of family. Head large, swollen, pyramidal, tetragonal, unarmed. Suckers large, inversely cordate, posterior margin interrupted and re-entrant into sucker cavity. Fifth sucker vestigial. Genital apertures marginal, irregularly alternating. Vaginal opening dorsal to cirrus pouch. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch. Testes in two lateral fields anterior to ovary. Habitat: In Boidae, South America.

Type species: *Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird)

(5) *Acanthotaenia* von Linstow 1903

With characters of family. Scolex rather small. With four rounded suckers. Apex of head conical in which may be situated a vestigial fifth sucker. No rostellum. Cuticula of head and anterior part of body covered with minute spines or bristles. Segmentation indistinct. No overlapping of segments. Testes in two lateral fields anterior to ovary. Ovarian lobes may be branched. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus. Habitat: In Varanidae and Hylidae.

Type species: *Acanthotaenia shipleyi* von Linstow

(6) *Ophiotaenia* La Rue 1911

With characters of family. Head globose or somewhat tetragonal. No rostellum. No hooks or spines. Suckers circular or oval, with margins entire. Fifth sucker vestigial. Neck usually long. Testes in two long lateral fields anterior to ovary. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch. Ovary bilobed, flattened, sometimes alate. Parenchyma with fine meshes. Musculature weak. Habitat: In aquatic snakes, Crotalinae, Colubridae, Elapinae and Amphibia.

Type species: *Ophiotaenia perspicua* La Rue 1911.

In the descriptive section the greater number of species of the above named genera have been described, with exception of species of Acanthotaenia and Corallobothrium. It has been impossible to write up the descriptions of certain species inquirendae of which no material could be obtained, and for which only very meager descriptions have ever been written. It is hoped that these may be properly considered at a later time.

METHODS OF TECHNIQUE

The following methods have been used by the writer in the work on this group of cestodes. To a large extent they may be used with success on all groups of cestodes although it should be understood that certain methods which give admirable results with the relatively small and thin cestodes here dealt with will not give equally good results if used on the large forms such as Taenia.

The larger forms were picked out of the intestinal contents, care being taken to free the head if the worm was attached to the mucosa. These were then repeatedly dipped in the killing solution until the worm ceased to contract. The worm was allowed to lie for 15 minutes to 2 hours in the same fluid. Metallic instruments are to be avoided if corrosive sublimate solutions are used for fixation. When the smaller worms were encountered the whole intestine slit open was placed in a small quantity of physiological saline solution in a bottle which was then shaken vigorously for about 3 minutes, the killing fluid was added and the whole then shaken for one half minute. This is according to the method of Looss. The fixative was permitted to act 3 to 10 hours.

The killing fluids used were hot 5% solution of formaldehyde, and hot or cold saturated aqueous solution of corrosive sublimate to which was added glacial acetic acid to make 1 to 2%. Some other fluids were tried but nothing gave better results for the purposes of this study than the corrosive acetic mixture used hot or cold. For most of the worms the cold solution was preferable to the hot which sometimes gave rise to artifacts if used at too high a temperature. In no cases were the worms stupefied before killing.

The usual methods were used for hardening and dehydrating. Specimens were usually preserved in 85% alcohol after running up through the grades. Sometimes after the corrosive acetic fixation 5% formalin was used for a preservative with uniformly excellent results.

Sections were cut 5 to 10 micra thick for the study of histological detail and 20 micra when grosser morphological details were sought. The sections were stained with haematoxylin mixtures, either Delafield's haematoxylin or Mayer's haemalum, and decolorized in the manner approved for these stains. Methods of staining in toto followed by sectioning were used with great success at times. For this purpose Ehrlich's acid haematoxylin much diluted with 50% alcohol gave the best results. For a contrast stain eosin in 95% alcohol was used on the sections. Acid fuchsin also in 95% alcohol was sometimes used effectively.

Preparations in toto were much used and were found to be of great value in mapping out the relationships of the organs of the proglottids. Frequently these methods showed everything to be desired except the histology of the organs. In some cases even histological details were well revealed by these methods. The stains which were tried for staining in toto were Mayer's paracarmine, Grenacher's borax carmine, some alcoholic cochineal mixtures, Mayer's haemalum, Delafield's haematoxylin, and Ehrlich's acid haematoxylin. None of the carmine or cochineal stains were very successful for none of them show the boundaries of cestode structures sharply. The parenchyma in which the genital organs lie always retained too great an amount of these stains to permit a clear view of the genital organs themselves. The haematoxylins, however, usually gave wonderfully clear, sharp pictures of the genital organs. It was at times possible to work out such minute structures as vasa efferentia almost in their entirety from such preparations in toto. The three haematoxylin stains were found to be about equally good.

In using these stains it was the practice to dilute the stain with the proper diluent. Relatively large quantities of the diluted stain were used for each lot of material. The stain was permitted to act over night (10 to 15 hours) at room temperature. The excess of the stain was then removed by washing in distilled water and the tissue passed through the grades of alcohol to 70% where it was decolorized rapidly by adding hydrochloric acid to make a 0.5 to 1.0% solution. The object was to remove the stain from the peripheral tissues at a rapid rate and meanwhile leave the stain in the deeper lying tissues. In this method the duration of the acid bath is usually short depending upon the size of the piece and the character of the stain taken by the tissue, and upon the character of the tissue itself. In general it is desirable to decolorize until a light reddish blue stain remains and until many of the internal structures can be distinguished while the tissue is still in the alcoholic medium. When in the judgment of the operator the proper stain is attained the tissues are placed in neutral alcohol and then into 70% alcohol rendered slightly alkaline by the addition of a few drops of an aqueous solution of sodium carbonate.

Preparations were not flattened but were straightened out on a slide and over this was placed another slide which was supported by strips of paper of such a thickness that little or no pressure was exerted on the specimen by the slides. Dehydration and clearing were accomplished while the preparation was thus kept straight. Xylol and cedarwood oil were used as clearing agents. Preparations were mounted in balsam.

The methods outlined above yielded very satisfactory preparations for the study of these cestodes and they have also been used by the writer on other cestodes and on trematodes with great success. It is noteworthy that the carmine stains give beautiful preparations of trematodes in toto but fail almost entirely for cestodes. For the cestodes these stains fail because they do not sharply and clearly outline the sexual organs as they do in trematodes though not better than do the haematoxylins. In the judgment of the writer the use of the carmine stains on cestode material has been responsible for many errors in the interpretation of cestode structures.

ANATOMY AND HISTOLOGY OF PROTEOCEPHALIDS

This section deals in a very general way with the anatomy and histology of the Proteocephalidae, placing emphasis on the usual character of the structures encountered and at times calling attention to variations in this general plan. In the descriptive part of the work the ordinary histological details and many of the anatomical details of lesser importance have been omitted or mentioned in only a casual way. Whenever the character of a structure has departed from the usual the fact has been noted in more or less detail.

The cestodes of the family are constructed on a uniform plan which has been thoroly discussed by Monticelli (1891), Kraemer (1892), Riggenbach (1896), Benedict (1900), Schneider (1905), Schwarz (1908), and La Rue (1909). Some new points are brought out in the present paper. The histological structures of these cestodes have been pretty well worked out prior to the present time. Von Linstow (1891), Monticelli (1891), Kraemer (1892), and Riggenbach (1896) did pioneer work on the histology of the group. Riggenbach (1896) and Benedict (1900) made very careful and accurate studies of the histology of certain species of fish Proteocephalids and were able to clear up some of the earlier misconceptions. No careful work on the histology of the amphibian

Proteocephalids was made until the work of the writer (La Rue, 1909) on *Ophiotaenia* (*Proteocephalus*) *filaroides*. Subsequent work on the histology of the cestodes of snakes has shown but little new, altho it must be admitted that only little has been done on the group.

The scolex is usually of small size and rather inconspicuous. Among the fish Proteocephalids the heads range in size from a little more than 0.1 mm. in breadth to about 1. mm., tho in at least one species, *P. sulcatus,* the breadth of this region attains as much as 1.75 mm. Among the amphibian and reptilian Proteocephalids the heads range from about 0.2 mm. to 1.75 mm. in breadth. In general the head is not sharply delimited from the neck, which may be of nearly equal width or in exceptional cases even wider for a short distance than the head. In form the heads show considerable variation. They are usually more or less globose or conical, and somewhat flattened dorsoventrally. In a few cases the head may present a more or less flattened tetragonal anterior face. The surface of the heads may be smooth or marked by shallow or deep grooves between the suckers. As a rule the heads have no folds or lappets of tissue about the suckers or on the anterior face. Such structures are found, however, in Corallobothrium and to a more limited extent in Choanoscolex. The special characters of the heads of each species are taken up in the descriptive part of the work. The heads of Proteocephalidae and Monticellidae differ from those of other families of Tetraphyllidea in that the suckers are sessile, and have no accessory areola. Even when the head is deeply furrowed the sucker never has the appearance of having a stalk. Suckers may be prominent or inconspicuous and so may influence the general appearance of the head.

A rostellum is unknown among the species of this family, altho certain species have been erroneously reported to have such an organ. It is possible, tho not probable, that some species of Acanthotaenia have a rostellum. Some of these species have long apical prominences on the head, but except for the elongation of this apex and the presence of cuticular spines there is no evidence for considering this structure to be a rostellum. The spines are not hooks but are cuticular structures which may extend over the anterior part of the body. Neither elongated tip nor cuticular spines are good criteria of the presence of a rostellum. To determine the presence of a rostellum one should look to the inner structure of the apex, its musculature and protrusibility, and to the origin of this rostellum-like tip. In the tip of the heads of many species which do not possess a functional fifth sucker there has been found a peculiar structure consisting of massed cells and sometimes a few muscular elements. This structure has been considered to be a rudimentary rostellum. Such a supposition, however, is incorrect, for in its development it is a fifth sucker. A more complete discussion of this rudimentary or vestigial fifth sucker is given under the proper caption (vide infra).

All species are provided with four suckers and some with an apical fifth sucker in addition. The latter may be either functional or vestigial. The four suckers are sessile, prominent, or inconspicuous cup-shaped organs which are usually situated on the broadest part of the head or just anterior to the broadest zone. In Corallobothrium the suckers are situated on the flattened anterior face of the head. The suckers do not possess accessory areola nor are they provided with hooks in their cavities or on their margins. Acanthotaenia may have cuticular spines within the sucker cavity. Suckers are usually rounded or oval in outline and may have deep cavities. The margin is usually entire but is at times interrupted. Some peculiar cases occur: *Proteocephalus cyclops* has suckers pointed at the posterior end. The suckers of *P. singularis* (Fig. 25) are flat, thin and weakly muscled and have a peculiar upturned point at the anterior margin. The deep grooves between the suckers of this species cause these organs to stand out prominently. *Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Figs. 12, 13, 33, 34, 123, and 124) likewise has peculiar suckers in that each has an interrupted lower margin which forms a point re-entrant into the sucker cavity. Deep grooves between the suckers give the head a lobed appearance and cause the suckers to be prominent. *Proteocephalus osculatus* (Fig. 162) has a fifth sucker which is said to be covered with minute spinelets. The suckers of some of the Acanthotaenia are covered with minute spinelets. It is deemed unnecessary to enter into a discussion of the histology of the suckers of this group. Benedict (1900), Kraemer (1892), and La Rue (1909) have discussed this subject in detail.

A functional fifth sucker situated at the apex of the head is present in many species of Proteocephalus but is not known among the other genera of the family. Other species of Proteocephalus, Ophiotaenia, Crepidobothrium, and Acanthotaenia are known to possess a structure which the writer (1909) called an end-organ and which Johnston (1909 et seq.) has called an apical muscle-plug. Other species of Proteocephalus and all known species of Corallobothrium and Choanoscolex do not possess this structure or the functional fifth sucker while some species of Proteocephalus and Ophiotaenia have not been investigated for it. The writer has now determined that this organ is a vestigial fifth sucker. The fifth sucker when functional is usually smaller than the others and it possesses all the histological structures of other Proteocephalid suckers. The basement membrane and the muscles have the same relations as in other suckers.

The vestigial fifth sucker in the adult head is represented by a mass of cells or of nuclei and at times a few fibers surrounded by a basement membrane. It is entirely sunken into the tissues of the head and has lost all connection with the exterior. An examination of this structure in an adult head yields no clue to its probable origin, but if heads of plerocercoids of a species which has this structure in the adult be examined it is seen to be a degenerate fifth sucker. In the plerocercoid this degenerating fifth sucker has a sucker cavity communicating with the exterior. It has basement membranes and a full complement of muscles altho some of the muscles may be somewhat displaced and undergoing atrophy. The origin of this structure has been worked out in some detail for *Ophiotaenia filaroides* and the results are given in the description of that species. Its structure is illustrated by drawings which are reproduced here (Figs. 27, 28). The structure of the adult organ was given in the writer's paper on this cestode (La Rue 1909).

A vestigial fifth sucker which has not reached such a state of degeneracy as is found in many of the species occurs in the head of *Crepidobothrium gerrardii.* In this species the sucker tho so greatly reduced in size as to be overlooked in an examination of toto preparations still retains its cavity which is yet in connection with the exterior through a small pore. The sucker is surrounded by a basement membrane and it shows some traces of muscles altho the material studied was too poor to make a good histological study. A more complete description of this vestigial sucker is to be found in the description of *Crepidobothrium gerrardii.* Its structure is delineated in figure 42. In his description of these vestigial structures found in *O. filaroides* and in *C. gerrardii* the writer has conclusively shown that the organ under discussion is a vestigial fifth sucker and not a vestigial or rudimentary rostellum. It seems highly probable that similar structures found in other species of the group will prove to be vestigial fifth suckers.

The writer can see no reason for assuming that this structure is a rudimentary rostellum. So far as known a well developed rostellum does not occur among the Tetraphyllidea but is characteristic of many species of Cyclophyllidea. Unless an apical sucker is a transitional stage in the development (phylogenetic) of a rostellum the writer sees no reason for regarding this vestigial fifth sucker as a rudimentary rostellum. The knowledge concerning this structure is incomplete and studies of developmental stages of Proteocephalid species possessing vestigial or functional fifth suckers should be made in comparison with similar developmental stages of species of Calliobothrium and Anthocephalus which according to Lang (1881) and Monticelli (1888) have certain rudimentary structures that seem to be like the oral suckers of trematodes. Likewise a study should be made of certain species of Cyclophyllidea which are known to possess a rudimentary or a vestigial rostellum. No definite solution of this problem can be reached without such a comparative study. Data as to the presence or absence of the fifth sucker in species of the group, whether functional or vestigial, is to be found in the tables of the principal characters of the cestodes of this family.

The nervous system is made up of a nerve ring which occurs in the neighborhood of the suckers and one pair of main lateral nerve trunks in the strobila. As described by the writer (1909) for *Ophiotaenia filaroides* the nerve ring is somewhat octagonal in shape and at its corners nerve processes extend out to the suckers. From the ring main trunks extend back into the strobila. In the strobila a single pair of main lateral trunks may be seen just within the lateral fields of the muscle sheath. No accessory nerve trunks have been made out by the writer. In *Ophiotaenia filaroides* the nerve trunk passes dorsal to the cirrus and the vagina.

In all species the neck is a more or less poorly defined unsegmented region between the head and the segmented part of the strobila. This region may be several millimeters long in certain species while in others it is said to be entirely lacking or may be no more than 0.3 to 0.5 mm. long. It is usually narrower than the scolex tho in exceptional cases in which the neck muscles may be unduly contracted the neck is broader than the scolex. Much confusion has arisen over the statements of the older investigators who described the neck of certain species as being long or very long without, however, giving measurements. These adjectives are relative terms. If the cestode is small, 0.5 to 1 mm. broad and 20 to 100 mm. in length, a neck which is 1 to 5 mm. long seems long or very long. A neck of that length on a cestode as large as *Crepidobothrium gerrardii* which is several millimeters broad and many centimeters long would be called short. In this species an unsegmented region 0.5-1 mm. long is ignored by some authors and the specimen is reported as having no neck. It is essential that actual measurements of the unsegmented region be made. For this purpose stained specimens are necessary because unstained specimens will not show segmentation plainly and also because external wrinkles or folds may simulate segmentation. In recording measurements of the neck the writer takes the length from the point of narrowing behind the suckers to the first evident traces of internal segmentation. In a few species another system has been found necessary but in these cases the method of measuring has been stated. The breadth given is the narrowest place in the unsegmented region.

The segmented part of Proteocephalids varies greatly in length, breadth, and thickness. In some species the strobila is small, being not more than a few millimeters long and less than 1 mm. broad, while in others it may attain a length of 60 or more centimeters and an extreme breadth of 2 to 4 mm. Such extreme size is infrequent even for the large species. Many of the species of Proteocephalus are thick and fleshy while a few of them are thin and flat. So also are the known species of the family Monticellidae. Segmentation may be evident or obscure. Perhaps in no species is it as evident as it is in some species of Taenia. The proglottids are usually without sharp posterior angles and they are attached along their entire width. Transverse intersegmental furrows if present are shallow.

The youngest proglottids are very indistinct and are distinguished in stained preparations as faint cross bands of alternate dark and light. These are darkly stained areas of rapidly differentiating parenchyma alternating with the unstained future septa. Almost without exception the young proglottids are much broader than long. The reverse of this is true only when the anterior part of the body is in an extremely attenuated condition. As the young proglottids develop they increase in length and breadth, tho the length usually increases more rapidly than the breadth. The transition from young to mature proglottids is gradual. Mature proglottids are those in which the sexual organs have attained maturity and egg production is about to begin or may just have begun. Such proglottids are usually broader than long or quadrate. The length is much greater than in the young proglottids. Mature proglottids are the best ones in which to study the greater part of the genital system tho of course a study of the uterus must be made from the ripe proglottids.

Those proglottids in which egg production is well along or complete are spoken of as ripe proglottids. The uterine pouches are full of eggs and the ventral openings are preparing for their discharge. Ripe proglottids are frequently longer than broad tho the reverse is not uncommon. They are normally considerably larger than mature proglottids. In them the vitellaria and testes may be greatly reduced in size or almost obliterated by the great mass of eggs in the uterine pouches. An end-proglottid is frequently present. This should be defined as the first proglottid to be differentiated from the growing zone of the neck. It still retains certain of the characters of the posterior end of the plerocercus which is not lost in the passage of the young tapeworm through the stomach of the host. It is pointed posteriorly and has at the tip a median excretory pore through which the excretory products are discharged. Just anterior to this pore, in some species, is a contractile bladder. In the species examined by the writer a number of end-proglottids have been found; some of these contained eggs and so are to be considered as functional. Since ripe proglottids are shed after or about the time that the eggs are ripe one frequently encounters strobilas which lack the end proglottid. The loss of this proglottid is not to be considered as a special character, for it is common to many cestodes outside the group.

Two pairs, dorsal and ventral, of main lateral excretory trunks extend through the strobila. Of these the ventral vessels are the larger. Some additional longitudinal vessels have been described by von Linstow (1891) in *Proteocephalus longicollis.* It seems probable that he saw the cut ends of branches and anastomoses. Some species have a commissural vessel connecting the ventral excretory vessels at the posterior end of the proglottid. It has not been noted in other species. In the neck region and throughout the strobila many small branches arise from the ventral vessels and some also from the dorsal vessels which pass to the surface of the worm where they discharge to the exterior through a small pore. These openings occur more frequently on the ventral surface but may be found on the lateral edges. In most species they are irregularly distributed but in *Corallobothrium lobosum* Riggenbach (1896) found that they regularly came to the exterior at the posterior corner of the proglottid. This finding has not been supported by subsequent work on other species. In the head and the anterior neck region there are numerous coils of vessels and anastomosing branches of the same which extend well into the tip of the head. These coils lead back and are connected with the main lateral excretory trunks. At the posterior end of the worm the excretory vessels discharge, if the end-proglottid is present, through a common excretory pore (Fig. 51). Just anterior to this pore and discharging through it is sometimes a pulsatile bladder which is most readily seen in the plerocercus. The flame cells at the ends of minute vessels which discharge into the main vessels or into the larger branches are situated in the medullary parenchyma not far from the main excretory vessels. They have not been found in the cortical parenchyma nor in the mid-field of the proglottid. Their distribution in *Ophiotaenia filaroides* and *Proteocephalus ambloplitis* has been thoroly worked out (La Rue 1909).

The cuticula and the subcuticular structures are scarcely worthy of discussion here for in details of structure they do not differ from other cestodes. In the Acanthotaenia alone are there special features of the cuticula to which attention should be called. Here the cuticula is thrown up into minute cuticular spines which are too minute to be called hooks or hooklets. This condition is most prominent on the head and neck but may also occur to a smaller extent over the cuticula of the entire body. These features have been emphasized by von Linstow (1903) and Johnston (1909, 1911, 1912a). Outside of this genus the only other species to have cuticular hooklets or spines is *Proteocephalus osculatus,* in which they are reported to have been found on the apical fifth sucker.

The parenchyma resembles that of other cestodes but is more loose than in the Cyclophyllidean cestodes which the author has examined. In the parenchyma the cell outlines are indistinguishable but there are numerous structures present which look somewhat like minute fibrillae. Large fat spaces are usually visible in the prepared sections and sometimes in toto preparations. In *O. filaroides* studied by the author (1909) the fat spaces are spheroidal or ovoidal in form and have a dimension of 0.03 to 0.045 mm. Similar spaces have been observed in other species of the family. They are smaller in the fish cestodes studied and larger in the snake and amphibian cestodes. The fat of fresh cestodes or of those recently killed in formol is readily stained by osmic acid solutions or by an alcoholic solution of Sudan III. Other specific fat stains have not been tried. The character of the parenchyma affects the staining properties of the cestodes. Those cestodes having a loose parenchyma make much better toto preparations than do those which have the dense parenchyma.

In general the parenchyma of the group of snake Proteocephalids is a more open, looser network than that of the fish Proteocephalids. There is more of the fibrillar structure present in the parenchyma of the latter than of the former. Whether this character is correlated with differences in environmental stimuli is not known. Perhaps the appearances of the parenchyma of cestodes can be explained as are the appearances of the connective tissues of higher animals. If this be true then it is evident that the closer woven texture of the parenchyma in fish cestodes is indicative of more frequent stresses and strains placed upon the cestode by the activity of the host or by the movement of ingested materials through the alimentary tract.

The sub-cuticular muscles resemble those of other cestodes. The circular and longitudinal fibers are not strong. Within the layer of subcuticular cells of the strobila there is a layer of longitudinal muscle fibers which separates the cortical from the medullary parenchyma in which lie almost the entire generative organs. This layer of fibers forms what is known as the inner muscle sheath. The sheath is well developed in some species, particuarly in the larger species of fish Proteocephalids, while in the weaker species and in Ophiotaenia especially it is poorly developed. In these cases the muscles are few in number and separated from each other by intervals. The muscles of the inner sheath pass through the intersegmental septa. Other muscle fibers which pass through the tissues of the proglottid from dorsal to ventral and from the lateral edges are frequently encountered but are not as numerous as are the fibers of the muscle sheath; they do not occur in groups but usually singly. In the region of the septa the transverse and dorso-ventral fibers are more numerous and form a sort of muscular plate.

The muscles of the head are not arranged in the same order as in the Cyclophyllidea, as La Rue (1909) pointed out. In transverse sections of the head the muscles are usually encountered as follows: Near the tip is a rhomboid of muscles, deeper down a transverse muscle cross and then a diagonal muscle cross, the last two forming a muscle star. The muscle star occurs in the region of the suckers but in certain cases (Riggenbach 1896) it may extend below the level of the suckers. Usually as soon as the suckers have been passed the muscles take on an arrangement typical of the muscle arrangement in the neck and the young proglottids. In longitudinal sections certain heavy muscle fibers are seen to pass from the neck to the surface of the head near the tip, others (the greater number) pass in bundles to the lower and inner surfaces of the suckers. A vertical muscle cross connecting the two adjacent suckers by the lower margin of each sucker to the upper margin of the other is also distinguishable. The muscles of the head have not been studied in many of the species. The writer has studied them in *Ophiotaenia filaroides, Proteocephalus ambloplitis,* and *P. singularis* and to a certain extent in *P. pinguis.* The muscles of the head of *P. singularis* are described in another part of this work (vide infra) with drawings illustrating the structures found. Riggenbach (1896) has studied the musculature of the head of *P. fossatus* and *Corallobothrium lobosum.* In the latter he noted certain variations from the type found in other Proteocephalids. These variations are associated with the type of head found in that genus.

The genital pore is situated on the lateral margin of the proglottid, right or left. Its position alternates irregularly from one side to the other. For each species the genital pore has a fairly constant location on the margin. In some species it is near the middle, and in other cases it is posterior to the middle, in others anterior. A genital papilla or eminence on which the pore is situated is not usually present. In one species, *Ophiotaenia grandis,* there is frequently a marked pitting or contraction about the genital pore which causes the latter to be deeply set back from the straight line of the margin. The genital pore leads into the genital atrium into which typically both cirrus and vagina open and to which the outer end of the cirrus-pouch is attached. The atrium is to be considered as an invagination of the outer body wall of the proglottid.

The cirrus-pouch, cirrus, ductus ejaculatorius, vas deferens, vasa efferentia, and testes comprise the male reproductive system.

The cirrus-pouch is a more or less cylindrical or ovoidal muscular bag which contains the cirrus and ductus ejaculatorius. At its outer end it is attached to the wall of the genital atrium by means of some of its muscle fibers. At the other end strong muscle fibers attach it to the inner dorsal surface of the muscle sheath. Some muscles are also continued into the cirrus itself. The form and size of the cirrus-pouch is reasonably constant for each species if proglottids of the same degree of development be considered. Hence the length of cirrus-pouch relative to the breadth of the proglottid is useful as a diagnostic character. In some instances the cirrus-pouch is somewhat constricted about the middle. This condition seems to be correlated with the act of protrusion, as the writer points out under a later caption. The greatest diameter is usually found at the inner end of the pouch.

The more or less muscular cirrus, a tube the two ends of which vary somewhat in structure, passes through the length of the cirrus-pouch. The outer portion of the tube is called the cirrus while the inner and less muscular end is called the ductus ejaculatorius. Each part is characterized by certain structures tho the one grades over into the other almost imperceptibly. The cirrus possesses both circular and longitudinal muscles. The circular muscles are most heavily developed near the base or outer end of the cirrus while they are greatly reduced in the end where it passes over into the ductus ejaculatorius. Longitudinal muscles are weakly developed. At the outer end strands of muscle pass out from the cirrus to the wall of the cirrus-pouch. There are very few if any gland cells on its exterior. Its internal surface is lined by a continuation of the cuticula of the atrium. Toward the inner end of the cirrus the cuticula gradually disappears until at the inner end it is difficult to distinguish. The muscles also become weaker and the outer diameter of the tube may consequently diminish in size tho in some species this region may be inflated. With the change in the musculature and the thinning of the cuticular lining prostate gland cells appear. These characters mark the ductus ejaculatorius, namely, the thin wall, weak muscles, little or no cuticula, and the presence of the prostate gland cells. This region may be swollen into a voluminous tube for the retention of spermatozoa and hence with the coils of vas deferens may serve as a vesicula seminalis. There is no specialized vesicula. The cirrus itself is usually quite straight and is only rarely thrown into coils. The ductus ejaculatorius is frequently straight tho in a large proportion of the species it is bent or coiled in one to several coils.

Since the outer end of the cirrus tube is fastened to the mouth of the cirrus-pouch the cirrus is everted when protrusion takes place. The protruded cirrus is then of double thickness, being made up of the heavier walled outer tube and the thin walled inner tube. In certain species of Ophiotaenia, viz., *O. marenzelleri* and *O. trimeresuri*, one to three or more coils of ductus ejaculatorius are forced out into the swollen base of the cirrus. This could only occur in species in which the ductus has a considerable length. Species having a short straight ductus would not show this character. In the cases above cited the cirrus-pouch is not evaginated as Schwarz (1908) stated was true of *O. marenzelleri*. This condition of the cirrus and ductus is more fully discussed under the descriptions of *O. marenzelleri* and *O. trimeresuri*. (See also Figs. 106, 108, 199.) Such a pushing out of coils of ductus into the cirrus is not known among the species of Proteocephalus, Choanotaenia, Crepidobothrium, or Monticellia. The writer has recently seen it in some proglottids of a species of Ophiotaenia from a king snake from Florida.

In certain species, notably *P. fallax* (Fig. 57) a set of strong circular muscles and a constricted area may be found about the middle of the cirrus-pouch. The inner end of the pouch may also be swollen. The presence of these muscles together with the constricted area which is not usually seen and the swollen inner end suggest a possible explanation of the process of cirrus protrusion. In all species the circular muscles of the sheath or pouch are fairly well developed while the longitudinal muscle fibers have a weaker development. A contraction of the circular muscles and perhaps also of longitudinal muscles would produce a relatively high pressure upon the contents of the cirrus-pouch. The inner end of the pouch is closed, the outer end is opened broadly and at the edges of this broad opening the cirrus walls are attached. It is evident therefore that the only escape for the contents which are under pressure is by means of this open end of the pouch through which the cirrus is everted. As an aid in the beginning of the act of protrusion, relaxation of the muscles of the cirrus and a contraction of the weak muscles which connect the cirrus to the cirrus-pouch may play a part. This action once initiated the hydraulic pressure put upon the contents of the cirrus-pouch by the contraction of the muscles of the pouch would complete the act of eversion. In certain cases where the cirrus and the ductus ejaculatorius form a straight tube through the cirrus-pouch it seems probable that a shortening of the pouch is also necessary. Such a shortening has not actually been observed.

The retraction of the cirrus is not so easily explained. It seems that strong contractions of the longitudinal muscle fibers within the walls of the cirrus and ductus ejaculatorius would be of great assistance in retraction. In many species the muscle fibers connecting the cirrus with the walls of the cirrus-pouch seem entirely too weak to assist much in this process. Since the inner end of the cirrus-pouch is attached to the body wall by strong muscles their contraction would lengthen the cirrus-pouch and this would exert a direct traction upon the ductus ejaculatorius. Such a lengthening of the pouch would tend toward the production of a negative pressure which might draw the cirrus back into the pouch. In some species large numbers of cirri have been seen protruded, in others none or almost none. Protruded and unprotruded cirri have been noted among both mature and ripe proglottids. These facts stimulate one to inquire whether the cirri are normally retracted after protrusion? Is protrusion of the cirrus a common occurrence in the act of copulation or is it an accident? Had those unprotruded cirri in the ripe proglottids ever been protruded? These are questions that cannot be answered from the available data nor has the author seen anything in the literature on these points. An opportunity is suggested here for experimental work.

Riggenbach (1896) considered that the broad cavity of the cirrus might be called a vesicula seminalis and that this cavity functioned as such an organ. While it is true that the cavity of the cirrus and ductus ejaculatorius might function as a vesicula, they are not structurally a vesicula. When the sexual organs become fully mature the semen is stored until ejaculated in the coils of the vas deferens which become greatly swollen. Thus the coils of vas deferens function as a vesicula seminalis altho they are not differentiated into such an organ. Properly speaking there is no vesicula seminalis in the Proteocephalidae. The vasa efferentia and vas deferens have been carefully described by Riggenbach (1896) and La Rue (1909). Altho they have been observed in a number of species of the family they have not been especially redescribed in the descriptions of the species because they are essentially the same in all species of the group. Their general relations may be seen in figures 90 and 180. The vasa efferentia are thin walled small tubes leading out from the covering of the testes. These tubules anastomose with some of their neighbors and finally the larger vessels unite with the inner end of the vas deferens. The vasa efferentia can sometimes be studied from toto preparations of proglottids if the testes were discharging semen at the time of killing the cestode. Carefully made frontal sections stained with haematoxylin sometimes show them very well. The vas deferens is a thin walled tube of larger diameter than the vasa efferentia. It begins near the middle of the proglottid on the inner dorsal surface of the muscle sheath. Then it makes a few or numerous coils which extend to the inner end of the cirrus-pouch which it enters. From this point the vas deferens is continuous with the ductus ejaculatorius and the cirrus.

Testes are numerous small ovoidal or spheroidal bodies which lie toward the dorsal surface of the medullary parenchyma within the longitudinal muscle sheath. They occupy as a rule nearly the entire space between the lateral vitellaria anterior to the ovary. They leave a small free area in the region of the coils of the vas deferens. In the genus Proteocephalus the testes form one or two layers which extend from one vitelline field to the other. In one species, *P. torulosus,* they extend past the ovary to the posterior margin of the proglottid. In the genus Corallobothrium the testes form a single broad field anterior to the ovary. In Ophiotaenia, Crepidobothrium, and Acanthotaenia they form two lateral fields, one on either side of a free median zone. In rare cases some scattered testes may be found in this median zone. In these genera, which have been but little studied by the section method, the testes seem to form a single layer in depth. The number of testes varies widely from about 25 in some to about 400, the upper limit for other species. For each species there seems to be a characteristic number which is not constant but varies within certain limits. This range is usually not great, being 5-10-15 for cestodes with the smaller number of testes and very much greater for those cestodes which have very numerous testes. *Crepidobothrium gerrardii* has from about 200 to 400 testes, the range being about 200. In mature proglottids the testes are most plainly seen. As the uteri develop and the eggs fill the uterine pouches the testes are pushed aside by the swollen uteri or they shrink and are lost to view between the walls of the uterine pouches.

The organs which comprise the female reproductive system are vitellaria, vitelline ducts, vagina in all its parts, ovary, oöcapt, oviduct, oötype, shell glands, receptaculum seminis, uterine passage and uterus. The vitelline follicles are small spheroidal bodies which are arranged in two long bands which extend the full length of the proglottid near the lateral margin of the latter. The follicles usually are rather compactly grouped about the central conducting tubule. Rarely the vitellaria extend past the posterior edge of the ovarian lobes, and in only one known case, (*Proteocephalus perplexus*), do they follow along the posterior margin of the proglottid (Figs. 54, 55). The vitellaria are inside the longitudinal muscle sheath and very near the lateral nerve trunks. The central conducting tubules near the posterior end of the proglottid are known as the paired vitelline ducts which lead to the middle of the proglottid where they unite to form the common or unpaired vitelline duct. This may dilate to form a vitelline receptacle. From the vitelline receptacle the unpaired duct passes backward to join the oviduct just before the latter enters the oötype. The course of these ducts as described by von Linstow (1891) and Kraemer (1892) was incorrect. Riggenbach (1896) and Bendict (1900) established their true course. The vitelline ducts, paired and unpaired, are made up of thin tissue possessing no glandular elements.

The vagina is a tube of varying dimensions and character leading from the genital atrium to the middle of the proglottid and thence posteriad to the interovarial space where it unites with the oviduct to form the fertilization canal, which is a part of the oviduct. The vagina and cirrus-pouch alike open into the genital atrium. The initial part of the vagina may lie anterior or posterior to the cirrus-pouch and the opening of the vagina may have either of these positions, but in some species examined especially for this point the opening was dorsal to the cirrus-pouch. In Proteocephalus the vagina is usually anterior to the cirrus-pouch altho there are a few species in which it is regularly posterior. In species of Ophiotaenia it is as frequently posterior as anterior to the cirrus-pouch, but in *O. filaroides* alone it has never been observed in the posterior position. In Acanthotaenia and Crepidobothrium the vagina may be either anterior or posterior. The vagina on account of its varying structure may be subdivided into four parts. These are (1) the initial part reaching from the genital atrium nearly to the middle of the proglottid, (2) the tube extending back to the interovarial space where its structure changes to (3) the receptaculum, and then (4) the lower vagina extending from the receptaculum seminis to the oviduct. These parts are distinguished by position and by structure. The initial part has an opening into the genital atrium. It is lined usually by a cuticula which in some species may be traced almost back to the receptaculum. The initial part is frequently somewhat broadened out and is somewhat muscular. Near the opening to the atrium the muscles are usually developed into a sphincter muscle, the sphincter vaginae. This may have a strong development (Figs. 106, 183) or may be very weak (Figs. 47, 50, 57). The modifications of the sphincter serve as distinguishing characters. Noticeable on the initial part of the vagina particularly but also occurring on almost the entire length of the vagina are numerous gland cells.

The longitudinal tube of the vagina presents some different appearances from the initial part. It is usually a straight or wavy thin walled tube of large or small diameter depending on the species and the stage of development of the proglottid. In some species as the proglottid becomes mature or especially after coitus this part of the tube is much dilated. In all species the musculature of this part of the tube is very weak or lacking entirely. Here also the cuticula of the initial part of the vagina may disappear or is very thin and difficult to determine. In *Proteocephalus macrocephalus* and a few other species the vagina is ciliated throughout almost its entire length. Just after the long tube of the vagina enters the interovarial space or in some species just anterior to the mid-piece of the ovary the vagina broadens out slightly and this is followed by a sudden diminution in diameter and a change in the histological structure of the tube. This broadened part is known as the receptaculum seminis, the presence of which Riggenbach (1896) denied. Since it is somewhat different histologically and also since it serves the function of a receptaculum it may well be called a receptaculum. The writer has already presented his views on this matter in his description of the organ in *Ophiotaenia filaroides* (La Rue 1909). Since that time he has observed the structure in several other species and sees no reason for changing his view. From the receptaculum seminis to its junction with the oviduct the vagina may be spoken of as the lower vagina. This part is characterized by its small diameter, thick muscular walls and rich investment of gland cells. It is not ciliated in any part of its course in any species studied by the writer. The lower vagina frequently describes a long loop or several coils within the interovarial space.

The ovary as in other Tetraphyllideans is situated in the posterior end of the proglottid, is bilobed and the lobes are connected by a mid-piece. The lobes are thin, alate or club-shaped, branched or made up of several slender blind pouches, or the branches may anastomose. Rarely or never is the lobe a solid mass but it seems to be made up of more or less anastomosing branches or tubes. The whole ovary is closely invested with a thin membrane. The organs of the interovarial region are taken up as nearly as possible in the order of occurrence, beginning with the oöcapt. The general relations of these structures are shown in drawings which have been reproduced (Figs. 99, 104). The oöcapt is a funnel-shaped muscular organ attached to the mid-piece of the ovary and present in all the species examined. It is made up of circular and longitudinal muscle fibers and is surrounded by scattered gland cells. In action it is a gulping organ which by its rhythmic contractions forces the ova down the oviduct. The oviduct is a thick-walled tube made up of epithelial cells and around these are circular and longitudinal muscle fibers. Some gland cells are scattered along its entire length and it is lined with numerous long cilia. In its course from the oöcapt it describes one or more loops or coils and then it receives the lower vagina which here pours the sperms upon the ova. The oviduct from this point on should be known as a fertilization passage. This passage, which is relatively short, discharges into the oötype. However, just before entering into the oötype the oviduct receives the unpaired vitelline duct which pours out the product of the vitelline glands. The oötype is a slightly elongated muscular organ of small size. Its muscles are much heavier than those of the oviduct and they represent, perhaps, a higher development of the oviductal muscles. About the oötype is found a large number of long slender cells which in the aggregate have been called the shell-gland. Each cell evidently discharges by its own minute duct which may be traced well down between the muscles of the oötype. In the oötype the egg is formed. The oötype discharges directly into a duct called the uterine passage. This duct leads forward directly or after a few coils have been described and discharges the formed eggs into the median stem of the uterus at a point which is usually anterior to the posterior end of the uterus and on the dorsal side of the latter. In structure the uterine passage differs from the oviduct in the lack of strong musculature. Sometimes it is difficult to find any musculature at all. There are scattered gland cells and numerous nuclei of cells which are of an epithelial nature or which belong to cells of parenchymatous origin. These structures of the interovarial space have been worked out by Monticelli (1891), von Linstow (1891), who made numerous errors; by Kraemer (1892), who likewise made some errors. Riggenbach (1896) did very careful work and so also did Benedict (1900), altho the latter erred in denying the existence of a uterine passage for his species. More recently the writer (La Rue 1909) has worked out all these points in considerable detail.

In all the species of the family the uterus develops as a median longitudinal tube (Fig. 105) lying in the medullary parenchyma just within the longitudinal muscle sheath and toward the ventral side of the proglottid, while the testes occupy the field toward the dorsal side of the proglottid. This long tube extends almost from the anterior end to the mid-piece of the ovary. The stem of the uterus is first seen as a rod of deeply staining cells which seem to arise from differentiating parenchyma cells in young proglottids. The rod after a considerable multiplication of cells becomes hollow throughout its entire length. By the method of outpocketing described by La Rue (1909) the uterus sends out a few or numerous branches to the right and left. These branches begin as rods of cells which rapidly multiply. Then a cavity opens through the length of the rod somewhat as the lumen appears in smaller blood vessels during the development of higher animals. The rod continues to increase in length and the lumen to extend as the rod lengthens. A few of the outpocketings are directed ventrally and these eventually pierce the ventral body wall (Fig. 89) and thus provide opportunity for the discharge of eggs. The lateral pouches are formed prior to the discharge of eggs into the uterus and in all cases observed the pouches increased in size so rapidly that there was no crowding of eggs until the uterus had almost attained its complete development. Those workers who ascribe the production of these pouches to the crowding of the eggs have evidently failed to read the evidence to be seen in the developing uterus. Likewise those who ascribe the splitting of the proglottid down the ventral side to the pressure of contained eggs have failed to note the fact that the ventral pouches perforate the ventral side in one or more places before the pressure of eggs is great enough to split the proglottid.

The number of pouches on either side varies greatly but is reasonably constant for each species. *Proteocephalus longicollis* with its three pouches (Fig. 167) on either side has the smallest number, while some of the Ophiotaeniae (Fig. 101) have as many as thirty or more. When filled with eggs the pouches in many species fill almost the entire ventral field of the proglottid, while in some species of Ophiotaenia they apparently take up but little more than half the width of the proglottid. The pouches come to lie very close together, so that only small septa separate them. Testes are pushed laterally or nearly obliterated by the pressure of filled pouches. The vitellaria likewise are nearly obliterated in many species while the ovarian lobes usually shrink considerably at this time. The splitting of the ventral surface and the discharge of the eggs occurs soon after. The wall of the uterus in its early stage of development appears to be made of an epithelium the cell boundaries of which are indistinct or invisible, altho the nuclei are very prominent and are closely packed together. In the later stages of the uterus the nuclei are farther separated, the outlines of the cells cannot be seen at all even tho the membrane in which the nuclei lie is thick and apparently tough. Riggenbach (1896:92) says, "Eine dicke Membran bildet die Wand des ganzen Fruchtbehälters. Nach aussen lagern derselben stets viele kleine Zellen auf, die zwar kein eigentliches Epithel bilden, wie es bei andern Cestoden oft der Fall ist, jedoch als Reste eines solchen anzusehen sind." In this view Riggenbach may be correct.

The eggs usually have three membranes altho in a few species the eggs have been recorded as having two membranes. In these cases it is possible that a third membrane was overlooked. The outer membrane of eggs that have been discharged into water is usually much larger than the others. It is thin, hyaline and spheroidal in form. Eggs preserved in utero and later examined do not show this membrane at all prominently and in some cases it might easily be considered as a part of the second membrane. The outermost membrane is smooth in outline. The only known exception is found in the eggs of *Ophiotaenia nattereri* which Schwarz (1908) described as having peculiar branched hooklets about its periphery. His drawing of this egg is reproduced (Fig. 194). The middle membrane is usually thick and granular and but little larger than the embryo. The third or inner membrane is a delicate but tough and clear membrane which is not always easy to demonstrate. It is closely applied to the embryo and might readily be overlooked.

CHARACTERS OF DIAGNOSTIC VALUE

Benedict (1900:339) in his discussion of these forms called attention to the small dependence that can be placed upon external measurements when used alone as a means of determining species. While in the main he is correct in his contentions it is certainly a fact that such measurements when used in conjunction with other data may be of great value and these should always form a part of the species description. These measurements should include the dimensions of the head and suckers, the length of the strobila and its breadth at intervals or the breadth of proglottids at certain stages of development. The dimensions of proglottids are always of service, and of less importance are the dimensions of the neck. Data as regards the host, the locality and the habitat of the host are always of value. The older investigators made much of these data and justly so for they were unable to make a study of the internal anatomy of cestodes. At the present time such data do not seem to be as highly valued as they should. The anatomy and finer structure of the internal organs furnish the most valuable characters for diagnostic purposes. The relations of the external genitalia, of the male and female reproductive organs to each other, the number and size and distribution of the testes, character of the coils of the vas deferens, size and character of the cirrus-pouch, cirrus, ductus ejaculatorius, and the protruded cirrus are all of value for diagnosis. So also are the relations of the various parts of the vagina, the vitellaria, ovary, oviducts and all of the small ~~organs of the interovarial space~~. Of especial value are data in regard to the pouches of the uterus and the measurements of the egg membranes and the embryo. The presence of muscular elements and cilia may at times be used as distinguishing features. Drawings showing the essentials of external and internal anatomy should always form a part of a report on these animals.

KEY TO THE BETTER KNOWN GENERA AND SPECIES OF PROTEOCEPHALIDAE

1(70) Proteocephalids with heads which have no folds or lappets of tissue about the suckers........ 2

2(47) Testes lie in a single broad field between vitellaria; parasitic in freshwater fish.
Genus *Proteocephalus* Weinland 1858........ 3

3(24) Functional fifth sucker lacking, i. e. absent or vestigial........ 4

4(15) Testes number 100 or more........ 5

5(8) Cirrus-pouch extends ⅓-½ across breadth of proglottid........ 6

6(7) Uterine pouches 4 to 8 on either side; ductus ejaculatorius nearly straight........*Proteocephalus agonis* (Barbieri 1909)

7(6) Many uterine pouches on either side; ductus ejaculatorius forming several coils.
Proteocephalus fossatus (Riggenbach 1896)

8(5) Cirrus-pouch extends less than ⅓ across the breadth of the proglottid........ 9

9(12) Genital pore situated at middle of lateral margin of proglottid........ 10

10(11) Uterine pouches 3 to 4 on either side; testes in 2 layers; cirrus-pouch extending ¼-⅙ across the breadth of the proglottid; suckers 0.18-0.2 mm. in diameter.
Proteocephalus torulosus (Batsch 1786)

11(10) Uterine pouches 7 to 14 on either side; testes in 1 layer, cirrus-pouch extending ⅙-⅛ across the breadth of the proglottid; suckers 0.095-0.16 mm. in diameter.
Proteocephalus macrocephalus (Creplin 1825)

12(9) Genital pore anterior to middle of lateral margin of proglottid........ 13

13(14) Uterine pouches 20 to 25 on either side; vagina anterior to cirrus-pouch; 135-155 testes.
Proteocephalus perplexus La Rue 1911

14(13) Uterine pouches 10 to 12 on either side; vagina posterior to cirrus-pouch; about 200 testes.
Proteocephalus sulcatus (Klaptocz 1906)

15(4) Testes number less than 100........ 16

16(17) Suckers with pointed apex and shallow cavity.
Proteocephalus singularis La Rue 1911

17(16) Suckers round or oval with smooth contour........ 18

18(19) Vaginal sphincter very long and strong; cestode large, robust........*Proteocephalus ambloplitis* (Leidy 1887)
19(18) Vaginal sphincter short and weak; cestodes small........20
20(23) Testes in 2 partial or complete layers........21
21(22) Cirrus-pouch extends ⅓-¼ across the breadth of the proglottid; ductus ejaculatorius forms several coils; 75-90 testes........*Proteocephalus filicollis* (Rudolphi 1802)
22(21) Cirrus-pouch extends about ½ across the breadth of the proglottid; ductus ejaculatorius nearly straight; 44-52 testes........*Proteocephalus esocis* (Schneider 1905)
23(20) Testes in one layer........*Proteocephalus neglectus* La Rue 1911
24(3) Functional (well developed) fifth sucker present........25
25(26) Suckers pointed at posterior margin.
Proteocephalus cyclops (von Linstow 1877) sp. inq.
26(25) Suckers not pointed at posterior margin........27
27(42) Testes number about 70 or less........28
28(35) Cirrus-pouch extends less than ⅓ across breadth of proglottid........29
29(32) Embryos 0.03 mm. in diameter or greater........30
30(31) Uterine pouches 6 to 8 on either side; 30-35 testes situated in 1 layer........*Proteocephalus fallax* La Rue 1911
31(30) Uterine pouches 7 to 14 on either side; 55-60 testes situated in 2 partial layers........*Proteocephalus dubius* La Rue 1911
32(29) Embryos much less than 0.03 mm. in diameter........33
33(34) Uterine pouches 9 to 14 on either side; 35-40 testes; cestodes very small........*Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue 1911
34(33) Uterine pouches 4 to 9 on either side; 50-60 testes; cestodes of medium size........*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller 1780)
35(28) Cirrus-pouch extends less than ⅓ across breadth of proglottid........36
36(39) Testes in 1 layer........37
37(38) Cirrus-pouch extends ⅓-¼ across the breadth of the proglottid; 10-14 uterine pouches on either side; embryos measure 0.016-0.018 mm. in diameter; cestodes slender.
Proteocephalus pinguis La Rue 1911
38(37) Cirrus-pouch extends $^2/_9$-$^1/_7$ across the breadth of the proglottid; 6-12 uterine pouches on either side; embryos measure 0.0212-0.018 mm. in diameter; cestodes short and robust........*Proteocephalus cernuae* (Gmelin 1790)
39(36) Testes in 2 layers........40
40(41) Uterine pouches 10 to 16 on either side; cestodes very small and weak........*Proteocephalus pusillus* Ward 1910

41(40) Uterine pouches 3 (or 4) on either side; cestodes large and robust……*Proteocephalus longicollis* (Zeder 1800)

42(27) Testes number more than 70…… 43

43(44) Fifth sucker armed with minute hooks or spines.
Proteocephalus osculatus (Goeze 1782)

44(43) Fifth sucker unarmed…… 45

45(46) Vagina anterior to cirrus-pouch; genital pore posterior to middle of proglottid; suckers 0.25 mm. in diameter.
Proteocephalus skorikowi (von Linstow 1904)

46(45) Vagina posterior to cirrus-pouch; genital pore about middle of proglottid; suckers 0.5 mm. in diameter.
Proteocephalus pentastoma (Klaptocz 1906)

47(2) Testes lie in two lateral fields between vitellaria; parasitic in amphibians, aquatic snakes and lizards…… 48

48(49) Cuticula of head or of head and parts of strobila covered with minute spines.
Genus *Acanthotaenia* von Linstow 1903

49(48) Cuticula of neck free from spines or hooks…… 50

50(69) Suckers rounded or oval in outline.
Genus *Ophiotaenia* La Rue 1911

51(52) Vagina always anterior to cirrus-pouch.
Ophiotaenia filaroides La Rue 1909

52(51) Vagina either anterior or posterior to the cirrus-pouch…… 53

53(62) Genital pore anterior to the middle of margin of proglottid… 54

54(57) Uterine pouches 20 or less than 20 on either side…… 55

55(56) Head 0.24-0.25 mm. broad; suckers 0.12-0.15 mm. in diameter; testes number 80-100; cirrus-pouch extends about ⅓ across the breadth of proglottid.
Ophiotaenia nattereri (Parona 1901)

56(55) Head 0.54 mm. broad; suckers 0.27-0.3 mm. in diameter; testes number 100-120; cirrus-pouch extends about ½ across the breadth of proglottid.
Ophiotaenia racemosa (Rudolphi 1819)

57(54) More than 20 uterine pouches on either side…… 58

58(61) Testes number about 100-150…… 59

59(60) Testes number 100-108, situated in two narrow lateral fields not near vitellaria; several coils of ductus ejaculatorius in base of protruded cirrus.
Ophiotaenia trimeresuri (Parona 1898)

60(61) Testes number 90-160, situated in two broad lateral fields extending close to vitellaria, no coils of ductus ejaculatorius in base of protruded cirrus.
Ophiotaenia lönnbergii (Fuhrmann 1895)

61(58) Testes number 150-215..........*Ophiotaenia perspicua* La Rue 1911

62(53) Genital pore at or near middle of margin of proglottid.......... 63

63(66) Heads 1.5 mm. or more in breadth.......... 64

64(65) Muscular wall of suckers of nearly constant thickness about entire circumference; testes number 150-240.
Ophiotaenia marenzelleri (Barrois 1898)

65(64) Muscular wall of suckers much thickened on anterior margin; testes number about 200.
Ophiotaenia punica (Cholodkovski 1908)

66(63) Heads less than 1.5 mm. broad.......... 67

67(68) Testes number 130-160; ductus ejaculatorius forms several coils; 24-35 uterine pouches on either side.
Ophiotaenia calmettei (Barrois 1898).

68(67) Testes number 200-250; ductus ejaculatorius nearly straight; 40-60 uterine pouches on either side.
Ophiotaenia grandis La Rue 1911

69(50) Suckers with point of lower margin re-entrant into cavity of sucker..........Genus *Crepidobothrium* Monticelli 1899.
The only know species of this genus is
Crepidobothrium gerrardii (Baird 1860).

70(1) Heads with folds or lappets of tissue about suckers.......... 71

71(72) A single fold of tissue partially covering the base of suckers; head conical..........Genus *Choanoscolex* La Rue 1911.
The only known species is
Choanoscolex abscisa (Riggenbach 1896).

72(71) Many folds or lappets of tissue about suckers; anterior surface of head flattened; suckers set in flattened end of head..........Genus *Corallobothrium* Fritsch 1886.

DESCRIPTION OF SPECIES

In this section are described the species of the following genera: Proteocephalus, sensu strictu, Choanoscolex La Rue 1911, and Ophiotaenia La Rue 1911, of the family Proteocephalidae. The species of Monticellia La Rue 1911, belonging in the new family Monticellidae, have also been described. Other species which have been mistakenly placed in the genus Proteocephalus have been considered and where possible their rightful position pointed out. The species of Corallobothrium and of Acanthotaenia have not been re-described.

PROTEOCEPHALUS FILICOLLIS (Rudolphi)

[Figs. 15, 58-60]

1782:	Taenia from Gasterosteus	O. F. Müller	1782:28-29
1786:	Taenia from Gasterosteus	Batsch	1786:241-242
1790:	*Taenia gasterostei*	Gmelin	1790:3079
1800:	*Alyselminthus gasterostei*	Zeder	1800:255
1802:	*Taenia filicollis*	Rudolphi	1802a:114-115
1803:	*Halysis gasterostei*	Zeder	1803:334
1810:	*Taenia filicollis*	Rudolphi	1810:106
1819:	*Taenia filicollis*	Rudolphi	1819:148
1844:	*Taenia filicollis*	Bellingham	1844:317
1845:	*Taenia filicollis*	Dujardin	1845:583
1845:	*Taenia ambigua*	Dujardin	1845:583
1850:	*Taenia filicollis*	Diesing	1850:512
1850:	*Taenia ambigua*	Diesing	1850:512
1858:	*Proteocephalus ambigua*	Weinland	1858:53
1858:	*Proteocephalus filicollis*	Weinland	1858:53
1872:	*Taenia ambigua*	Grimm	1872:243-246
1889:	*Taenia filicollis*	Lönnberg	1889:15
1902:	*Taenia filicollis*	Schneider	1902:84, 86-87
1905:	*Ichthyotaenia filicollis*	Schneider	1905:21-24
1909:	*Ichthyotaenia ambigua*	Lühe	1909:33
1911:	*Proteocephalus filicollis*	La Rue	1911:473, 474, 475

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of the genus. Very small cestodes. Length as much as 35 mm. or more. Breadth 0.8 mm. Proglottids few, 15-25. Segmentation indistinct. Proglottids attached by their full width. First proglottids broader than long, 0.20 mm. broad by 0.075-0.080 mm. long. Mature proglottids about 0.18 mm. long by 0.370 mm. broad. Ripe proglottids 0.48-0.66 mm. long by 0.425 mm. broad. Head small, 0.090-0.120 mm. broad or perhaps a little more, not always well set off from neck. Suckers 0.042-0.055 mm. in diameter. No fifth sucker present. Neck to first traces of segmentation about 1.0 mm. long.

Genital organs as in genus. Genital sinus marginal, irregularly alternating, situated near middle of segment on a papilla-form prominence. Cirrus-pouch about 0.130 mm. long by 0.032-0.040 mm. broad, reaching about ⅓-¼ across the breadth of the proglottid. Cirrus-canal much coiled in cirrus-pouch. Vas deferens forming mass of eccentric coils. Testes in two layers, about 75-90 in all. Vagina anterior to cirrus-pouch. Sphincter vaginae weakly developed. Receptaculum seminis present. Vitellaria made up of quite compact follicular masses, in lateral fields. Ovary bilobed, connected by an arched mid-piece.

Lobes spheroidal, thick. Uterus when fully developed possessing 5-7-8 lateral pouches on either side. Eggs provided with three membranes. Outermost membrane 0.050-0.075 mm. in diameter, embryonal covering 0.032 mm., embryo 0.027 mm.

Habitat: In intestine of host.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
*Gasterosteus aculeatus**		Müller	Müller (1782:28-29)
“ “	Greifswald	Rudolphi	Rudolphi (1802a:114-115)
“ “	Berlin	Olfers	Diesing (1850:512)
“ “	Paris	Dujardin	Dujardin (1845:583)
“ “	Ireland	Bellingham	Bellingham (1844:317)
“ “		Grimm	Grimm (1872:243-246)
Gasterosteus pungitius	Rennes	Dujardin	Dujardin (1845:583)
“ “	Halle	Nitsch	Diesing (1850:512)
“ “	Greifswald	Creplin	Diesing (1850:512)
“ “	Reval, Esthonia (Russian province)	Schneider	Schneider (1905:21-24)
“ “	Porkhala, Finland	Levander	Schneider (1902:84, 86, 87)
“ “	Upsala	Lönnberg	Lönnberg (1889:15)

O. F. Müller (1782:28-29) stated that he had seen cestodes from the intestine of Gasterosteus. He, however, did not describe the species nor did he propose a name for it. One of his drawings of this cestode in its natural size presents so little detail that it is of little value. Müller's *Taenia gasterostei* which he found in the body cavity of Gasterosteus is none other than *Schistocephalus solidus.* Batsch (1786:224) and Schrank (1788:49) followed Müller in using this name to designate the cestode found in the body cavity of the stichling. Batsch (1786:241-242) gave but a few notes on Müller's Taenia from Gasterosteus and he referred to Müller's (1782:28-29) article and figures. Gmelin (1790:3079) used the name *Taenia gasterostei* to designate the cestode found in the intestine of *Gasterosteus aculeatus* by Müller. Gmelin's diagnosis reads: "TAENIA GASTEROSTEI 81.—T. tenuissima, cauda obtusa. *Müll. Naturf.* 18. *p.* 28. *t.* 3. *f.* 6. 7. *Habitat in* gasterostei aculeati intestinis, *minima, articulis nudo oculo vix discernendis.*" This name is unavailable because of Müller's prior use of it for the cestode of the body cavity. Zeder (1800:255) in his Nachtrag used the name *Alyselminthus gasterostei* to designate this form. His description is not at hand. Rudolphi (1802a:114-115) gave this species the name *Taenia filicollis.* His specimens came from *Gasterosteus aculeatus* at

---

*Type host.

Greifswald. Since this name is here used for the first time Rudolphi's description is quoted in full:

> "*Taenia filicollis*: capite globoso distincto; collo longissimo filiformi; articulis ovariisque quadratis.
>
> Taenia Gasterostei, Syst. Nat. p. 3079, n. 81.
>
> Alyselminthus Gasterostei Zeders Nachtrag, S. 255. Taf. 3. Fig. 1-4.
>
> "Zu dem, was aus Goezes nachgelassenem Manuscript bey Zedern gesagt ist, habe ich wenig hinzuzusetzen. Ich habe diesen Wurm nur sehr selten in Darmkanal des Stichlings gefunden, und noch immer durch einen unglücklichen Zufall ohne den Kopf. Der Hals ist lang, dünn und ungegliedert; die Glieder des Körpers bis auf das letzte, welches stumpf abgerundet ist, viereckig. Da die Eyerstöcke so sehr ausgezeichnet sind, wundert es mich, dass er ihrer gar nicht erwähnt, so wie auch die Worte, *Glieder hat der Wurm eigentlich nicht,* mir unverständlich sind, da die Glieder sehr deutlich sind. Die Eyerstöcke sind viereckig, und zwar mit hervorstehenden Ecken, so dass sie auf den vier Seiten einen hellen Fleck übrig lassen, da sie selbst weiss und undürchsichtig sind. Jedes Glied ist also von dem andern durch einen hellen Fleck abgesondert, und an den Seiten des Wurms läuft eine unterbrochene helle Linie, wodurch diess Thierchen ein sehr schönes Ansehen bekommt."

Zeder (1803:334) gave a brief diagnosis of this species which he called *Halysis gasterostei.* He referred to the writings of Müller (1782), Batsch (1786), Zeder (1800), Gmelin (1790). He stated that its habitat was the intestine of *Gasterosteus aculeatus.* Rudolphi (1810: 106) gave a diagnosis, description, and synonymy of this species. His synonymy shows very clearly that he himself considered his species to be identical with the forms which earlier workers had described as *Taenia gasterostei* (the intestinal parasite) and *Alyselminthus gasterostei.* Rudolphi's diagnosis and description are here quoted:

> "Taenia filicollis R. Taenia: *capite subgloboso discreto, collo longissimo, filiformi, articulis, ovariisque quadratis.* Müller im Naturforscher St. 18. p. 28. Tab. 3, fig. 6. 7. Taenia ex intest. Gasterostei. Batsch Bandw. p. 241, n. 14. Gmel. Syst. Nat. p. 3079, n. 81. Taenia gasterostei. Goeze apud Zederum in huj. Nachtrag, p. 255. Tab. 3. fig. 1-4. Alyselminthus Gasterostei. Rudolphi in Wied. Arch. III. 1, p. 114. Taenia filicollis. Zeder Naturg. p. 334, n. 10. Halysis Gasterostei.
>
> "Hab. In *Gasterostei aculeati* intestinis Goezius Augusto, ipse aliquoties sed capite orbam, Iunio, reperimus.
>
> "Descr. Vermes duos tresve pollices longi, fere lineam lati, candidissimi.
>
> "*Caput* subglobosum, discretum, *osculis* orbicularibus, majusculis, binis tam superioribus, quam inferioribus. *Collum* filiforme, longissimum. *Corpus* planum articulis anticis minoribus, reliquis subaequalibus, quadratis, ultimo rotundato. *Ovaria* quadrangularia, angulis acutis productis, opaca, ut articulorum tantum partes inter angulos sitae pellucidae sint. Vermis inde aspectus lepidus, inter

quoslibet enim articulos maculae pellucidae, et simul canalis lateralis pellucidi species oboritur. Foramina non visa.

"Obs. 1. Goezius, qui ovaria non vidit, vermem proprie non articulatum esse in posthumis reliquit, ipse vero hanc speciem aeque ac ullam aliam articulatam observavi. A Bothriocephalo solido, in Gasterostei abdomine hospitante, omnibus prorsus notis diversissima.

"Obs. 2. Vermem in aqua fluviatili biduum vixisse, in puteali enecari. Goezius observavit."

Rudolphi (1819:148) adds nothing to his former data. Bellingham (1844:317) in his catalogue of Irish Entozoa reported the finding of *Taenia filicollis* in the intestine of *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. His observations added nothing to our knowledge of the structure of the worm. Dujardin (1845:583) reported that he had found *Taenia filicollis* in *Gasterosteus aculeatus* at Paris in 1838. His description, a part of which seems to have been derived from Rudolphi (1810) reads:

"Long de 50 à 80 mm., large de 2 mm. environ; tête presque globuleuse, distincte et portée par un cou très-long, filiforme;—sans trompe;—articles presque carrés, contenant des ovares (?) opaques également carrés, qui laissent entre eux, et sur les bords, des intervalles demi-transparents."

"Rudolphi et Goeze l'ont trouvé en Allemagne, dans le *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. Je l'ai trouvé aussi dans ce poisson, à Paris, en 1838; j'y ai vu des oeufs à double enveloppe, dont l'externe, mucilagineuse, est longue de 0 mm, 06 à 0 mm, 10; l'enveloppe interne, globuleusé, est large de 0 mm, 036, et les crochets de l'embryon sont longs de 0 mm, 012."

Dujardin (1845:583) also described a form from *Gasterosteus pungitius* (*laevis*) which he named *Taenia ambigua*. His specimens were collected at Rennes, France. His description reads:

"Long de 6 mm, large de 0 mm, 5 à 0 mm, 8, formé de quinze à dix-sept articles peu distincts et de forme très-variable;—tête petite, large de 0 mm, 17, sans trompe et sans crochets tantôt rétractée tantôt saillante, quelquefois globuleuse et séparée, par un cou très-étroit, quelquefois à quatre lobes distincts, correspondant aux ventouses larges de 0 mm, 068 à 0 mm, 07;—cou très-contractile et dilatable, traversé par quatre canaux larges de 0 mm, 009;—premiers articles malês avec les orifices génitaux irrégulièrement alternes, et les pénis longs de 0 mm, 16, larges de 0 mm, 032, ridés transversalement;—derniers articles informes, remplis d'oeufs globuleux à double enveloppe;—enveloppe externe mucilagineuse, longue de 0 mm, 053 à 0 mm, 058;—enveloppe interne longue de 0 mm, 034; embryon de 0 mm, 026, avec des crochets de 0 mm, 0095."

"Je l'ai trouvé plusieurs fois à Rennes dans l'intestin du *Gasterosteus laevis*. Son nom spécifique exprime sa ressemblance avec les scolex et les caryophyllés."

If Dujardin's description of his *T. filicollis* and his *T. ambigua* be compared, it will be noted that in the measurements of the second egg membrane there is very good agreement. The variation in the size of the outer egg membranes in Proteocephalus is large, hence but little value can be given to that measurement. Unfortunately Dujardin failed to give the measurements of the embryo in either case, so no comparison in that respect is possible. Dujardin records a difference of 0.0025 mm. in the length of the hooks of the embryos of the two species. That measurement, however, is difficult to make with accuracy and little weight should be given it as a means of differentiating the species. It is probable that Dujardin's specimens from *Gasterosteus aculeatus* and from *G. pungitius* belonged to the same species. Weinland (1858:53) made *Taenia ambigua* Dujardin the type of his genus Proteocephalus and with that species he included *Taenia filicollis* and *Taenia dispar.*

Diesing (1850:512) recording *Taenia filicollis* Rud. added nothing to Rudolphi's diagnosis and description of that species. He gave a complete synonymy up to his time, and stated that this species had been found in the intestine of *Gasterosteus aculeatus* in August by Goeze and in June at Greifswald by Rudolphi; in September at Berlin by de Olfers; at Paris by Dujardin; in *Gasterosteus pungitius* at Halle by Nitsch; at Greifswald by Creplin. Concerning *Taenia ambigua* Diesing (1850:512) gave nothing new.

Grimm (1872:243-246) found a form in *Gasterosteus aculeatus* which he identified as *Taenia ambigua* Dujardin. Grimm published no drawings of his specimens. The important parts of his description are here quoted:

"TAENIA AMBIGUA Duj.:—Im Darme des bei uns so häufig vorkommenden Stichlings (Gasterosteus aculeatus), von denen ich in vergangenen Sommer mehr als 100 aufgeschnitten habe, fand ich unter andern 6 Exemplare einer Bandwurmgattung. Sie unterscheiden sich sehr leicht von allen ihren Verwandten und sind augenscheinlich zu der von Dujardin aufgestellten Species Taenia ambigua zu rechnen, obgleich die Bestimmung, einer kurzen Beschreibung des äusseren Habitus nach, höchst schwierig ist und sehr leicht zu einen Irrthum führen kann.

"Das grösste von mir aufgefundene Exemplar hatte 30 Mm Länge und 1 Mm Breite. Die Grossenverhaltnisse der einzelnen Körpertheile eines 11,5 Mm langen Exemplars sind folgende; die Länge des Köpfchens—0.13 Mm, dessen Breite—0,25 Mm, dessen Dicke—0,15 Mm, der Durchmesser der Saugnäpfe—0,25 Mm, die Länge des Halses—1,1 Mm, die Länge der ersten unreifen Glieder 0,014 Mm; dieselben verlängern sich allmälig, indem sie 0,02 Mm., 0,03 Mm u. s. w. lang werden; reifere Glieder, ungefähr aus der Mitte des Wurms, haben eine Länge von 0,20 M., und das vollkommen reife vorletzte Glied ist 0,43 Mm. lang. Die Dicke des Wurmkörpers steigt bis zu 0,5 Mm.

"Das Köpfchen des Wurms von der Seite betrachtet, erscheint etwas angeschwollen; wenn man es von der Fläche betrachtet, so sieht man an den in Weingeist conservirten Exemplaren eine Furche, die über den Kopf, an dessen freiem Ende, von der einen flachen Seite des Wurms zur andern verläuft. Diese Furche ist aber gewiss keine constante Bildung, wird aber dadurch hervorgerufen, dass der Wurm, überhaupt sehr contractil, sein Köpfchen ofters einzieht, namentlich wenn er in Weingeist gelegt wird. Einen Rüssel besitzt er nicht. Die Saugnäpfe, die eine runde Form haben, sind paarweise auf den den flachen Seiten des Körpers entsprechenden Kopftheilen angeordnet. Die Geschlechtsöffnungen liegen am Rande der Glieder, eine über der andern. Alle Glieder, die mit dem Alter allmählig breiter werden, haben eine ziemlich unregelmässige Form, besonders aber ihre Ränder. Die Farbe des Wurms ist rein weiss. Indem wir noch hinzusetzen, dass das letzte Glied an seinem hintern Rande beständig etwas aufgeschlitzt ist, haben wir eine möglichst vollständige Darstellung des Aeussern des Bandwurms geliefert.

"Dieser Bandwurm ist hochst zart . . . . —

"Von dem weiblichen Geschlechtsorgan habe ich nur die Keimdrüse und den Uterus aufgefunden; die Keimdrüse liegt in der Mitte des Gliedes und hatte in dem untersuchten Stadium ein spindelförmiges Aussehen; von ihr geht der Uterus aus, der aus einem Röhre mit feinen faserigen Wandungen besteht, das mehrere Schlingen bildet. Der Uterus verläuft in die Scheide, die sich zum Seitenrande des Gliedes begiebt, wo sie nach aussen mit einer ziemlich grossen Oeffnung mündet.

"Neben dem weiblichen Geschlechtsporus liegt eine andere Oeffnung, die in das männliche Geschlechtsorgan führt. Das letzte besteht aus dem kolbenförmigen Cirrusbeutel mit dem nach innen gewendeten Cirrus, der an seinem Ende etwas anschwillt. Hinter dem Cirrusbeutel liegt die doppelte Samendrüse.

"In dem letzten, also am meisten entwickelten Gliede waren nur Keime, nicht aber entwickelte Eier zu sehen."

Von Linstow (1878:231) reported as hosts for *Taenia filicollis* and *Taenia ambigua* only those which had been reported by Diesing (1850). Zschokke (1884:16-17) reported and briefly described a cestode from *Perca fluviatilis,* Lake Lucerne, which he considered to be *Taenia filicollis* Rud. His specimens probably belonged to *Proteocephalus dubius* La Rue. Leidy (1886:62-63) thought that he had found *Taenia filicollis* in the intestine of *Amia calva* from North Carolina. His specimens probably belonged to the species for which La Rue (1911) proposed the name *Proteocephalus perplexus* La Rue. Lönnberg (1889:15) reported the finding of *Taenia filicollis* in *Gasterosteus pungitius* at Upsala. He added a very little to Rudolphi's diagnosis.

Kraemer (1892) reported and described a form from the intestine of *Coregonus fera,* Lake Lucerne, which he first identified as *Taenia filicollis* Rud. In the same paper Kraemer showed that his *Taenia filicollis* and his *Taenia ocellata* were identical. Riggenbach (1896) and Benedict (1900) accepted Kraemer's determination. This species has been shown to differ from the *Taenia filicollis* of Rudolphi and is described under the name *Proteocephalus fallax* La Rue 1911, where a full discussion of the position of Kraemer's species may be found. Benedict

(1900) described an American species of cestode under the name *Proteocephalus filicollis* (Rud.). In a note he changed the name to *Proteocephalus ocellata* (Rud.). He accepted Kraemer's statement that Rudolphi's *Taenia ocellata* and *T. filicollis* were identical. For this form which proves to be a new species La Rue (1911) proposed the name, *Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue. Schneider (1902:21-23) reported a parasite from *Perca fluviatilis,* in Finland, as *Ichthyotaenia filicollis* (Rud.). This form he later (1903:13 and 1905:15-17) considered to be identical with O. F. Müller's *Taenia percae.* Schneider (1902:84, 86-87) reported a specimen from *Gasterosteus pungitius* as *Ichthyotaenia filicollis* (Rud.). In a later paper (1905:21-24) he considered this form to be *Ichthyotaenia ambigua* Dujardin and here he gave the first good description of this species. Lühe (1909) gave a diagnosis of this species under the name *Ichthyotaenia ambigua* Dujardin. His diagnosis added nothing to the data given by Dujardin (1845) and Schneider (1905). La Rue (1911:473, 474, 475) presented some of the conclusions that are given in the present paper at greater length.

The name *Taenia gasterostei* as proposed by Gmelin (1790) for this species is not available because it was first used by Müller (1782) to designate the species now known as *Schistocephalus solidus.* For the same reason the name *Alyselminthus gasterostei* Zeder (1800) is rendered invalid. The name *Taenia filicollis* Rudolphi (1802) being first used to indicate this species remains available. The generic name is *Proteocephalus* as indicated by Weinland (1858). It is of importance here to determine the systematic position of the specimens reported by Grimm (1872) and by Schneider (1902 and 1905). A careful comparison of their descriptions with Dujardin's (1845) description of *Taenia ambigua* shows that they evidently belong to the latter species. One notes that Grimm's material was found in *Gasterosteus aculeatus* while Schneider's specimen came from *G. pungitius,* and a consideration of these data at once precipitates the question as to the possibility that *T. ambigua* Dujardin and *T. filicollis* Rudolphi might not prove to be identical and hence synonymous. The answer to this question must be sought in the study of the collection records and in a comparison of the structures of the forms involved.

In regard to habitat one may present certain general considerations that should have weight. The identity of the two forms can not be considered proven because they have been found together in a single host or separately in different members of a host species, for numerous instances could be cited in which a species or an individual of that species served as host for two or more closely related species of cestode. Nevertheless, it is true that a knowledge of the host is considered to have an important bearing on the proof of an identity. Frequently the knowledge that two forms have the same species for a host furnishes the first intimation that they may prove to be identical.

One may also make the statement that the finding of specimens apparently belonging to the same species in two or more unrelated host species may give an early intimation that these specimens belong to different species. While it is true that certain cestodes seem to be limited in their habitat to a single host species there is a sufficiently large body of facts to warrant the belief that in general cestode species are not so limited. It is of common acceptance that closely allied hosts having a wide distribution and inhabiting the same regions and especially when they have similar feeding habits very frequently harbor the same species of parasites.

Gasterosteus aculeatus and *G. pungitius* are closely allied, have wide distribution, inhabit the same region, and have similar feeding habits. *Taenia ambigua* has been reported from *G. aculeatus* by Grimm and from *G. pungitius* by Dujardin and Schneider. *Taenia filicollis* has been reported from *G. aculeatus* by Rudolphi, Goeze, Diesing, Dujardin, and Bellingham, and from *G. pungitius* by Diesing (from specimens collected by Nitsch and Creplin) and Lönnberg. So far as hosts are concerned there is evidence to believe that *Taenia filicollis* and *Taenia ambigua* are identical species. Against this identity is the fact that Dujardin's description of *T. filicollis* indicates a larger form than does his description of *T. ambigua.* It is a well-known fact, however, that a considerable variation in length and breadth may exist in the same species of cestode. These discrepancies in size may be due to the degree of maturity and to the contraction states of the individual worms. It is not known whether Dujardin's specimens of *T. ambigua* had attained their full development nor is this known concerning the specimens described by Grimm or Schneider. There are no statements to show whether Dujardin measured his specimens while alive or after preservation. This would make a real difference.

Attention has already been called to the fact that in regard to the egg measurements made by Dujardin for the two forms in question there is pretty good agreement. There is not sufficient evidence at hand to prove positively that *Taenia ambigua* is identical with *Taenia filicollis,* yet it seems that the evidence for the identity is stronger than the evidence against it. Therefore in this work the writer will consider that these species are identical and since *Taenia filicollis* has the right of priority that name should be retained. It is to be hoped that this discussion, which in no way can be considered to settle the problem of the identity of these species, will be the incitement to a genuine comparative study of the cestodes of *Gasterosteus aculeatus* and *G. pungitius*. There is doubtless a large amount of such material already brought together in the museums and private collections of Europe upon which an investigator could work.

Schneider's material was found for the most part in *Gasterosteus pungitius* from Obersee near Reval, Esthonia (a province in Russia) in the months of May and June. Never more than four cestodes of this species were found in a single host. He also studied a single specimen found by Dr. K. M. Levander in *Gasterosteus pungitius*. This specimen was reported by Schneider (1902:84, 86-87) as *Ichthyotaenia filicollis* (Rud.). For my study Dr. H. B. Ward very kindly secured one of Schneider's specimens of this species, stained in carmine and mounted in toto, from Dr. K. M. Levander in Helsingfors. It bears the label, "Ichthyotaenia ambigua, int. Gast. pungitius 23/10 VI, 04." The description which follows is based on Schneider's (1905:21-24) description and on the writer's observations on this one mounted specimen.

Schneider's longest specimen measured as much as 35 mm. long by a maximum breadth of 0.8 mm. and it possessed 17 proglottids. Of these the anterior were broader than long and they were united by their whole width. Proglottids well filled with eggs are longer than broad and have a well rounded outline. The specimen studied by the writer has about 25 proglottids. Of this number but a few at the anterior end are young. These are followed by a large number of nearly mature and mature proglottids and these in turn by a small number of ripe proglottids. The strobilation is indistinct. The first proglottids measure about 0.075-0.080 mm. long by 0.200 mm. broad while the mature proglottids measure about 0.180 mm. long by 0.370 mm. broad and the ripe ones about 0.48-0.660 mm. long by 0.45 mm. broad. An end proglottid tapers posteriorly and is 0.975 mm. long by 0.425 mm. broad. Schneider states that the scolex is small, 0.100-0.120 mm. broad, and not well set off from the neck. The suckers measure 0.055 mm. in diameter. No fifth sucker is present. The neck is relatively broad and its length is about one-fourth that of the body. In the specimen examined by me the breadth of the head at the base of the suckers is 0.090 mm. It is very short and not well set off from the rather broad neck. Suckers are about 0.042 mm. in diameter over all. The first traces of segmentation occur at a point about 1.0 mm. behind the head. This makes the neck equal to about ⅛ the length of the entire body.

It is stated by Schneider that the excretory vessels are quite wide; that the two ventral vessels which communicate in each segment with the exterior through a submarginal pore measure 0.03 mm. in diameter; and that the dorsal vessels are smaller, their diameter being 0.0075 mm.

The genital pore is marginal, irregularly alternating, and situated about the middle of the proglottid length. It is rather prominently situated on a little eminence which is most evident in ripe proglottids. This eminence may be caused by a pushing out of that region by nearby organs.

The cirrus-pouch measures about 0.130 mm. long by 0.032-0.040 mm. broad. Its length goes into the proglottid breadth from three to four times. The cirrus-canal, as Schneider states, is greatly coiled within the cirrus-pouch and it broadens out at its proximal end to form a vesicula 0.030 mm. broad. Coils of the vas deferens form a mass which lies toward the porose side of the proglottid. The testes in the preparation examined by the writer lie in two layers of about 35-50 testes each. Thus the total number of testes is about 75-90 or more. Schneider found that the testes measure 0.055-0.062 mm. in sagittal and 0.035-0.045 mm. in frontal diameter.

The vagina lies anterior to the cirrus-pouch. Schneider found a weak sphincter vaginae near the vaginal opening. It was not visible in the specimen which the writer studied. The vagina passes in a curve to the middle of the segment and thence posteriad to the interovarial space. Schneider found a small receptaculum seminis just anterior to the ovary. The vitellaria are quite compact follicular masses in the lateral fields of the segments. Of the ovaries Schneider writes, "The ovaries appear as spherical bodies when seen from the surface. In the posterior segments, after they have filled the uterus with eggs, they wither completely or the remains of the genital organs, pressed together into a triangular area, are recognizable as two small round bodies in the posterior region of the segment. I hold that Grimm (1872, p. 246) erroneously considered this triangular area to be the ovary when he says: 'the ovary lies in the middle of the segment and has in its observed condition a spindle-like appearance' ". The ovary is bilobed, the somewhat spheroidal lobes being connected by a short arched mid-piece. In the ripe proglottids observed by me the ovary could still be distinguished. The uterine passage was found by Schneider opening into the uterus posterior to the middle of the proglottid and opposite to the preformed opening of the uterus to the exterior. When immature the uterus is a median tube but when fully developed it has 5-7-8 lateral pouches on either side.

As observed by Schneider the outer membrane of the egg has a diameter of 0.050-0.075 mm. The embryonal covering measures 0.032 mm. and the embryo itself 0.027 mm. These measurements of the egg agree pretty well with the measurements as given by Dujardin (1845). The embryos especially agree well in size, and it may be pointed out that this is of importance in seeking to prove an identity.

P. filicollis somewhat resembles *P. agonis* Barbieri but it may be differentiated from that species by its relatively shorter cirrus-pouch, by a different position of the mass of coils of vas deferens, by its larger testes which are arranged in two layers while the testes of *P. agonis* are in one layer. *P. agonis* has no genital papilla while in *P. filicollis* there is a simulation of one. *P. filicollis* is readily distinguished from *P. dubius* La Rue and *P. fallax* La Rue by its smaller size, by its lack of a fifth sucker, by its more numerous testes, shorter cirrus-pouch and smaller proglottids. The embryos of *P. filicollis* are smaller than those of *P. fallax*. *P. filicollis* differs from *P. pusillus* Ward and *P. exiguus* La Rue in not having a fifth sucker, in having smaller suckers, a smaller head, more testes, and fewer uterine pouches. *P. filicollis* differs greatly from *P. percae* in the lack of a fifth sucker, in the size of body, head and suckers, in the proportions of the proglottids, in the size of the cirrus-pouch, ovaries, etc. It likewise differs from *P. cernuae* in practically the same points as stated for *P. percae*. *P. filicollis* is smaller than *P. esocis*. It has a shorter cirrus-pouch and a larger number of testes. The proportions of the proglottids are different. The two species are alike in not possessing a fifth sucker.

PROTEOCEPHALUS ESOCIS (Gui. Schneider)

[Figs. 16, 61-63]

1905: *Ichthyotaenia esocis* Gui. Schneider, 1905:19-20
1911: *Proteocephalus esocis* La Rue, 1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: The characters of the genus. Cestodes small and slender, 1-4.7 cm. long, maximum breadth 0.7 mm. Scolex 0.140 mm. broad, 0.105 mm. thick. No fifth sucker, nor vestige of it. Suckers shallow, weak, small, about 0.050 mm. in diameter, directed anteriad. Diameter of sucker opening 0.026-0.032 mm. Neck 2-5 mm. long. Sixty-six proglottids in specimen 4.7 cm. long, youngest proglottids twice as broad as long, 0.370 mm. broad by 0.170 mm. long. Middle proglottids broader than long, 0.680 mm. broad by 0.390 mm. long. Last proglottid longest, 0.650 mm. long by 0.460 mm. broad at anterior end. Segmentation not evident. Transverse intersegmental furrows shallow. Surface smooth.

Genital organs as in genus. Genital pore not on genital papilla. Pore marginal, irregularly alternating, about middle of proglottid. Cirrus sheath relatively long, extending nearly to middle of proglottid. Length of cirrus-pouch 0.230-0.250 mm. Cirrus sinuous. Vas deferens, a mass of coils in middle of proglottid. Testes, 30-38 visible in mature proglottids, 44-52 in young proglottids. Testes arranged in two partial layers between vitellaria. Diameter of testes, 0.050-0.090 mm. Vagina anterior to cirrus-pouch. Near vaginal opening a small sphincter vaginae. Receptaculum seminis anterior to ovary. Passages in interovarial space as in genus. Ovary bilobed, lobes ovoidal, vitellaria sparse, follicles small. Uterus not observed. Two pairs of excretory vessels, ventral and dorsal. Dorsal vessels small, ventral vessels much larger.

Habitat: In intestine of *Esox lucius* L. (type host); Reval, Esthonia (type locality).

This species was described and delineated by Gui. Schneider (1905: 19-20). La Rue (1911:475) included it in a list of species belonging to the genus Proteocephalus.

This species from *Esox lucius* taken at Reval in Esthonia, a province of Russia, Apr. 30, 1904, is briefly described by Gui. Schneider (1905). Thanks to Dr. H. B. Ward the writer has had some of Schneider's preparations and alcoholics for examination. The paucity of alcoholic specimens prevented the use of the section method. The writer has therefore been compelled to be content with a study of toto preparations and specimens cleared in glycerine. The description following is based largely on Schneider's data tho wherever possible the writer has introduced his own findings.

The worms are small and slender 1-4.7 cm. long. A maximum breadth of about 0.7 mm. was observed. No worms had ripe proglottids. The diameter of the scolex is about 0.140 mm. The four shallow suckers directed partly forward are weak, small, and about 0.05 mm. in diameter. A vestigial fifth sucker is lacking, tho perhaps a slight thickening of cells in the anterior part of the head is to be considered as the vestige of the shrunken sucker (Schneider). My measurements of a head and its suckers are: breadth 0.140 mm., thickness 0.105 mm., diameter of suckers 0.053 mm., diameter of sucker openings 0.026-0.032 mm. A most careful search of Schneider's toto preparation and of the specimen cleared in glycerine failed to reveal a trace of a fifth sucker or vestige of it. The last might not have been visible under these conditions. A functional fifth sucker certainly is not present. The suckers are quite prominent, the sucker cavities shallow. The slightly depressed head possesses a short flattened tip. The head is not well set off from the neck. Schneider says that the length of the neck is about ⅓ the length of the body. The writer finds it to be from 2.5-3-4.5 mm.

Schneider's largest specimen, 4.7 cm. long, was made up of 66 proglottids. The youngest proglottids were about twice as broad as long, the middle ones somewhat broader than long, and the posterior ones quadrate or a little longer than broad. The intersegmental furrows were not plain. The measurements of the proglottids in a glycerine specimen examined by the writer were as follows: First proglottids 0.370 mm. broad by 0.170 mm. long, middle and mature proglottids 0.680 mm. broad by 0.390 mm. long. The last proglottid in the strobila was the longest, measuring 0.650 mm. long by 0.460 mm. broad at anterior end. The segmentation is not evident. The proglottids are joined by their full breadth and the transverse furrows between the segments are very shallow. No longitudinal furrows are present. Drawings of three regions (Figs. 61, 62, 63) show the proportions of the segments at anterior, post-middle, and posterior region.

The genital pore, not marked by a papilla, is marginal, irregularly alternating in the strobila, and is situated about the middle of the proglottid. The cirrus-sheath is relatively very long and slender, for it extends about to the middle of the proglottid. Its length in the broadest proglottids is about 0.230-0.250 mm. Schneider reports that its inner end lies very near the dorsal muscle layers. This is also the case in *P. percae, P. pinguis* La Rue, *P. exiguus* La Rue and a number of other Proteocephalus species. The cirrus runs through the cirrus-pouch in a sinuous course without the formation of a vesicula seminalis. The vas deferens forms a fairly large mass of coils in the middle of the segment. This mass however is much smaller than in *P. fallax* La Rue or in *P. exiguus* La Rue. Schneider found about 30 testes in each segment, lying in a single layer, about 8 in a transverse row, and they measured 0.080-0.090 mm. in sagittal by 0.040-0.050 mm. in frontal diameter. It has been found difficult to count the testes in the more mature proglottids. Thirty-eight testes are shown in nearly mature proglottids (Fig. 62) while in the younger proglottids where they are smaller and more easily distinguished the writer counted 44-48-52. The testes seemed to be in two partial layers filling the area between the vitellaria. The larger testes measure as much as 0.070-0.080 mm. tho the larger number measure about 0.050 mm. Schneider found the diameter of the two ventral excretory vessels to be about 0.015 mm. and that of the two dorsal about 0.0025 mm.

The vagina (Fig. 62) opens anterior to the cirrus-pouch. Very near the opening, 0.030 mm. from it, is a sphincter muscle 0.015 mm. thick, according to Schneider. The writer was unable to observe this. From its opening the vagina as a broad tube describes a long smooth curve to the middle of the proglottid, then it bends posteriad and passes back to the interovarial space. As the vagina nears the middle of the segment the lumen becomes constricted. Early in its course it crosses the cirrus-pouch diagonally. The vagina is dorsal to the uterus.

Schneider found a small receptaculum seminis just anterior to the ovary. According to Schneider the passages in the interovarial space bear the relations customary to Proteocephalids. The ovary is bilobed, the lobes being like two elongated balls connected by a slender arched mid-piece. The vitellaria are lateral, follicular, sparse, and the individual follicles are small. The uterus was not observed by Schneider. It is not well developed in any of the specimens examined by the writer. Schneider saw the opening of the uterine passage and the preformed uterus opening just a little posterior to the middle of the proglottid. No eggs were seen. No proglottids were ripe.

This species is quite closely allied to the *P. filicollis* (Rud)= *(ambiguus)* described by Gui. Schneider (1905). However it is differentiated from *P. filicollis* by its much longer cirrus-pouch and by its larger testes. *P. filicollis* (Schneider's specimen) shows a slight genital prominence upon which the genital pore is situated. It differs very markedly from the *P. pinguis* La Rue found in our North American *Esox lucius*. The *P. pinguis* is a larger worm with a larger head, larger suckers, a functional fifth sucker, a relatively shorter cirrus-pouch, and a larger number of testes. *P. fallax* La Rue, *P. exiguus* La Rue, *P. pusillus* Ward, and *P. percae* (O. F. Müller) are readily distinguished from *P. esocis* by means of the fifth sucker and many other characteristics which are best shown in the comparative tables. *P. macrocephalus* (Creplin), a form without a fifth sucker, is much larger, has more numerous testes, a relatively shorter cirrus-pouch and in other ways also it differs widely from *P. esocis* (Schneider).

PROTEOCEPHALUS AGONIS (Barbieri)

[Figs. 158, 174, 185]

1909: *Ichthyotaenia agonis* Barbieri, 1909:334-341
1911: *Proteocephalus agonis* La Rue, 1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of the genus. Cestodes of small size. Strobila 3-4 cm. long, maximum breadth of same about 0.5 mm. Scolex small, 0.168 mm. in diameter, unarmed. No fifth sucker. Suckers circular, symmetrically arranged on head, size? Neck quite long, as much as 3 mm., breadth 0.140 mm. Neck not well differentiated from strobila. Proglottids very variable in form and number. At times as many as 50-70. First proglottids broader than long. Mature proglottids longer than broad, 0.580-0.620 mm. long by 0.330-0.370 mm. broad. Ripe proglottids filled with eggs, nearly quadrate, length and breadth about 0.5 mm.

Genital aperture marginal, irregularly alternating, situated near middle of proglottid length. Male organs as in genus. Testes spherical, 0.031-0.038 mm. in diameter, about 100 in number. Testes packed in area between vitellaria. Coils of vas deferens in middle of proglottid. Ductus ejaculatorius nearly straight. Cirrus straight, with a thick wall. Cirrus-pouch ovoidal, broad at inner end, extending to middle of proglottid. Female organs as in genus. Vagina always anterior to cirrus-pouch. Uterus in ripe proglottids made up of 4-6-8 lateral pouches on either side. Diameter of eggs 0.037-0.038 mm.

Habitat: In intestine and pyloric coeca of *Alosa finta* var. *lacustris* Fa. (type host); Lake Como, Italy (type locality).

The material was collected by Prof. Ciro Barbieri at Bellagio on Lake Como in Italy from the intestines and pyloric coeca of *Alosa finta* var. *lacustris* Fa. He found them here in great numbers, up to 1200-1400 in a single host.

Barbieri (1909) described this species. La Rue (1909:475) included it in a list of species of *Proteocephalus.* Since it was impossible to secure specimens for study the present description is based upon Barbieri's description.

The scolex (Fig. 158) is very small, with a diameter of about 0.168 mm. It is unarmed and has no fifth sucker. The four suckers are circular in outline and they are symmetrically arranged on the head. The neck, which is about 3 mm. long and 0.140 mm. broad, is not well differentiated from the strobila. The proglottids are very variable in number and form. One well developed individual with ripe eggs in the last proglottid had 50-70 proglottids. The first proglottids are broader than long. Mature proglottids are longer than broad, 0.580-0.620 mm. long by 0.330-0.370 mm. broad. Ripe proglottids filled with eggs are nearly quadrate, length and breadth being about 0.5 mm. The length of the worm is variable. Well developed individuals measure 30 mm. long while a single individual measured 40 mm.

The genital aperture is marginal, irregularly alternating, situated about the middle of the length of the proglottid, and marked by a shallow depression. The testes (Fig. 185) fill the whole area between the vitellaria anterior to the ovary. They are nearly spherical, 0.031-0.038 mm. in diameter. Barbieri figures about 100 testes. The coils of the vas deferens form a thick mass which occupies the middle region of the proglottid. Its walls are thin and its lumen large. The ductus ejaculatorius has a sinuous course in the inner two-thirds of the cirrus-pouch but apparently it forms no closely twisted coils before it passes over into the straight heavy-walled cirrus. The cirrus-pouch extends to the middle of the proglottid. It is ovoidal with its broadest part at the inner end. Its wall is thick, thickest at the outer end.

The ovary is bilobed, the lobes being oval in shape with smooth outlines. The vitellaria are lateral, longitudinal, follicular glands which lie just inside of the lateral ducts. Oviduct, oötype, and other organs of the interovarial space are as in other species of the genus. The vagina (Fig. 185) always lies anterior to the cirrus-pouch. It widens slightly and again narrows in its course to the middle of the proglottid. Near the inner end of the cirrus-pouch it bends posteriad and thence takes a direct course to the interovarial space where it forms several coils. The uterus (Fig. 174) containing eggs is made up of 4-6-8 lateral pouches which in fully ripened segments fill up the space between the vitellaria.

The excretory system is of the usual type. Two pairs of lateral excretory ducts take their origin in the head region. They empty into a small bladder situated at the base of the last proglottid. No secondary openings were observed in the head but they were found in the posterior region of each proglottid.

The eggs have a diameter of 0.037-0.038 mm. Barbieri failed to state whether this measurement included only the embryo or embryo with its membranes. Larval stages were found in *Bythrotrephes* and *Leptodora.*

This species, as will be seen from its position in the tables at the end of this section, is most closely related to *P. esocis* (Schneider). It is differentiated from that species by its different proportions, by its much smaller and more numerous testes, by the position of the coils of the vas deferens and by the relation of the vagina to the cirrus-pouch. *P. agonis* while resembling *P. filicollis* in size differs from that species in having a longer cirrus-pouch, in the excentric position of the coils of vas deferens, and in having smaller and more numerous testes which lie in one layer. *P. agonis* is readily distinguished from *P. fallax, P. dubius, P. pusillus,* and *P. exiguus* by its lack of a fifth sucker, by its more numerous and smaller testes, and by its less numerous uterine pouches.

PROTEOCEPHALUS EXIGUUS La Rue

[Figs. 14, 50-52, 118, 135-138]

1900: *Proteocephalus filicollis* Benedict, 1900:355-365
1911: *Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue, 1911:477-478

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Strobila short and slender. Length 9-15-25-38 mm. Maximum breadth 0.425-0.646-0.8 mm. Segmentation not evident. Intersegmental furrows shallow. Neck 2-4-7-10(?) mm. long by 0.10-0.20 mm. broad. First proglottids longer than broad or nearly quadrate. Mature and ripe proglottids longer than broad. Ripe proglottids considerably larger than mature ones, 0.680-1.190 mm. long by 0.460-0.595 mm. broad. End-proglottid elongated, pointed posteriorly, 0.714-1.50 mm. long by 0.40-0.646 mm. broad. Head somewhat globular, flattened dorsoventrally, bearing four suckers on its broadest part. Breadth of head 0.120-0.160-0.170 mm. Suckers 0.058 mm. broad by 0.069-0.085 mm. long. Opening of suckers about 0.040 mm. in diameter. Fifth sucker muscular, functional, 0.037-0.048 mm. in diameter. Common genital sinus alternating irregularly, situated near middle of lateral margin of proglottid. Testes 35-54 in number, in one layer, between vitellaria, anterior to ovary. Diameter of testes 0.04-0.05 mm. Vas deferens forming mass of coils in mid-field. Cirrus-pouch 0.289-0.340 mm. long, reaching to the middle of segment. Cirrus straight, 0.10 mm. long when protruded. Vagina anterior to cirrus-pouch, crossing cirrus-pouch near middle. Sphincter vaginae weak, 0.008 mm. thick. Lobes of ovary retort-shaped with smooth outlines. Vitelline follicles small, not compact. Uterus when fully developed with 9-14 lateral pouches on either side. Embryos about 0.019-0.021 mm. in diameter, second egg membrane 0.036-0.046 mm., outer membrane 0.038-0.06 mm.

Habitat: In stomach (probably by post-mortem wandering) and intestine of host.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
Coregonus nigripinnis	Lake Michigan near Charlevoix	H. B. Ward	La Rue
C. prognathus	As above	H. B. Ward	La Rue
C. artedi	As above	H. B. Ward	La Rue

Type: Alcoholics No. Ch. 7c, Ch. 2c, and an unnumbered bottle in Doctor H. B. Ward's collection, from *Coregonus nigripinnis, C. prognathus,* and *C. artedi.* Type locality, Lake Michigan, near Charlevoix.

Benedict (1900:355-365) described some cestodes which had been collected by Dr. H. B. Ward while engaged in a biological investigation of Lake Michigan under the auspices of the Michigan Fish Commission during the summer of 1894. The hosts were *Coregonus nigripinnis, C. prognathus,* and *C. artedi.* Benedict who had for comparison some specimens identified by Zschokke as *Taenia ocellata* Rud. (probably *P. fallax* La Rue) thought his material and Zschokke's specifically identical. He, however, called attention to the fact that Zschokke's specimens had been nearly dried out in transit and consequently were almost ruined for study. Accepting Kraemer's statement that *Taenia filicollis* Rud. and *T. ocellata* Rud. were identical he used the name *Proteocephalus filicollis* (Rud.) to designate his form. Later he discovered that the name *Taenia ocellata* Rud. had page precedence in the original paper by Rudolphi (1803) and in a foot-note he called attention to the fact that the name *Proteocephalus ocellata* (Rud.), mis-spelling for *ocellatus,* should be used to designate the form. La Rue (1911:477-478) distinguished this species from *P. filicollis* and described it as a new species *P. exiguus.*

Careful examination of prepared specimens from some of the same lots used by Benedict in his research and a study of one of his slides together with a comparison of these with specimens which Zschokke had sent to Dr. H. B. Ward under the name of *Taenia ocellata*—(now No. 09.9 in Professor Ward's collection)—has convinced the writer that Benedict's species is a new one. La Rue (1911) proposed for it the name *Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue by reason of the small size of the individuals. Benedict worked out the morphology of the form quite correctly and probably would have given due weight to the difference between his own and Zschokke's specimens had the latter been in good condition.

This species is based upon the work of Benedict (1900:355-365) and upon a further examination of, and collection of, data from certain lots of alcoholic material in Dr. H. B. Ward's collection from which Benedict secured his specimens for study. These bottles bear the labels "Long-jaw, stomach. Charlevoix Jy. 16, '94". "Ch. 7 c, Cestodes, Etc. Stomach Black-fin Charlevoix, Jy. 16, '94." "Ch. 3 c, cestodes. *Coregonus Artedi* Jy. 12, '94". Slides have been prepared from the first two lots.

The specimens which were examined carefully by the writer ranged in length from 9-10-15 mm. Benedict found the length to vary from 12-16-25 and one specimen only attained the length of 38 mm. This one case seemed to have been due to an extreme attenuation for the neck was 7 mm. long. This attenuation may have been caused by the method of killing. The maximum breadth found by the writer varied from 0.425-0.595-0.646 mm. while Benedict records a maximum of 0.8 mm. The globular head according to Benedict is 0.120 mm. broad. The writer found it to be 0.148-0.160-0.170 mm. broad. The suckers placed just above the broadest zone are directed slightly anteriad. They are 0.069-0.085 mm. long by 0.058 mm. broad with an opening of 0.042-0.048 mm. by 0.027-0.032 mm. Benedict states that the sucker is 0.040 mm. in diameter, but it seems that he must mean the diameter of the opening and not the diameter over the whole sucker. A fifth sucker is present, 0.037-0.048 mm. in diameter. This from toto preparations is apparently a true sucker. Benedict says it has the "same structure and musculature that the four large suckers possess." His drawing of the head is reproduced (Fig. 138).

The neck measures 2-4 mm. in length by 0.110-0.120 mm. broad. Benedict found the neck to be 5-10 mm. long by 0.10-0.20 mm. broad. The neck passes over into the first proglottids almost imperceptibly. The first proglottids are longer than broad or nearly quadrate. These very immature proglottids are few in number. The anlage of the genital organs appear very early and in proglottids a little further back mature sexual organs appear. Mature proglottids are longer than broad and are few in number. Ripe proglottids are longer than broad. Three of these measured 0.935 by 0.595 mm., 0.680 by 0.560 mm., 1.190 by 0.460 mm. Ripe proglottids are considerably larger than the mature. The increase in length, breadth and thickness is rapid. The longest proglottid in the strobila is usually the last one and sometimes also it is the broadest. Three terminal proglottids measured 1.190 by 0.646 mm., 0.714 by 0.51 mm., 1.50 by 0.40 mm., the length being stated first. The end proglottid is variable in form and functional. It usually tapers to a blunt point at its posterior end where the excretory pore is situated. The segmentation is not evident. The angles at the ends of the proglottids are scarcely marked and the intersegmental furrows are shallow. The total number of proglottids in a strobila is small, 10-12-14-15-20. Benedict found one specimen with 40 segments.

Benedict's findings on points of histology are probably correct and have not been checked over. The common genital sinus is situated near the middle of the margin of the proglottid, usually slightly anterior thereto. It alternates irregularly.

The testes (Fig. 50) are 37-50-54 in number, 35-50 according to Benedict. They measure 0.040-0.045-0.050 mm. in diameter. The testes lie in a single layer between the vitellaria and anterior to the ovary. In toto mounts the writer could not make out with certainty the coils of the vas deferens yet they seemed to lie in the middle of the proglottid near the dorsal wall. Benedict figures the vas deferens as forming a coil extending beyond the middle of the segment. The cirrus-pouch (Figs. 50, 51, 52) is elongated, slightly broader near its inner extremity and slightly constricted near the middle. It reaches the middle of the segment or even beyond that point in mature proglottids. Its length increases with the maturity of the segment. The following measurements of its length were taken from successive proglottids, 0.289, 0.296, 0.313, 0.330, 0.341 mm. the last being the maximum length found. The unprotruded cirrus is straight. The writer has not seen it evaginated. According to Benedict the evaginated cirrus extends 0.10 mm. from the male opening. The ductus ejaculatorius is straight.

The vagina (Figs. 50, 51, 52) opens into the common genital sinus and it always lies anterior to the cirrus-pouch. In a single proglottid the vagina was found posterior to the cirrus-pouch. This is probably to be considered as an abnormality or a very rare variation. A weak sphincter vaginae occurs a short distance from the vaginal opening. This sphincter is 0.008 mm. thick and is circular in cross section (Benedict). As Benedict has described it, the vagina extends straight in for a short distance then it "bends backward and inward passing under the middle of the cirrus-sac". The ovary very much resembles that of *P. fallax* (Kraemer's *Taenia ocellata*) in shape. The lobes are thick and solid. Benedict's comparison of their shape to that of a retort is excellent. The ovary is early decadent. The relations of the organs of the interovarial space are typical of the genus as Benedict has described them. The vitellaria are follicular. The follicles are not large nor are they closely packed. They too are decadent early. The uterus (Figs. 51, 52) in ripe proglottids consists of a median tube and 9-14 lateral pouches on either side. These pouches occupy the ventral part of the segment while the testes are dorsal. "The eggs which are found in the uterus have a diameter of 0.02 mm." (Benedict). Measurements by the writer gave a range of 0.019-0.021 mm. for the embryo and 0.036-0.046 mm. for the second membrane. The outer membrane measures 0.038-0.060 mm. The second membrane is thick and granular while the outer membrane in uterine eggs is thick and hyaline.

This species somewhat resembles *P. pusillus* Ward but is differentiated from that species by the smaller size of its head, by its very much smaller suckers and smaller sucker openings. This species has a much longer neck than has *P. pusillus.* The character of the segmentation of the two worms is utterly different being very evident in *P. pusillus,* with deep constrictions between proglottids. In *P. exiguus* segmentation is not evident. The number of proglottids in *P. pusillus* is greater than in *P. exiguus.* The mass of coils of the vas deferens in *P. pusillus* is much smaller than in *P. exiguus* and it lies almost wholly anterior to the cirrus-pouch while in *P. exiguus* it lies more nearly at the end of the cirrus-pouch. The testes are more numerous in *P. pusillus* and the cirrus-pouch is much shorter than in *P. exiguus.* The lobes of the ovaries in *P. pusillus* are bent strongly posteriad while such a condition is never so marked in *P. exiguus.* The proportions of the proglottids are greatly different.

P. exiguus closely resembles *P. fallax* La Rue. It differs from that species in having a smaller head, smaller suckers, a smaller fifth sucker, a shorter neck, fewer and smaller proglottids, more testes, a shorter cirrus when evaginated, and a greater number of lateral uterine outpocketings. The embryos of *P. exiguus* are smaller than in *P. fallax.* The differences are of such a character and of such a constancy as to be of specific importance. This species resembles *P. agonis* Barbieri even more than it does *P. fallax* except that *P. agonis* has no well developed fifth sucker. In total length and in the size of the proglottids *P. exiguus* is the larger. *P. exiguus* has more uterine pouches and fewer but larger testes than has *P. agonis.* Unfortunately Barbieri's description fails in some particulars, nevertheless sufficient data are given to establish the difference between the two species. *P. exiguus* resembles *P. dubius* La Rue but its head, its suckers, its proglottids, and its cirrus-pouch are smaller. These two species have about the same number of testes. The embryo of *P. dubius* is much larger than that of *P. exiguus.* *P. exiguus* differs radically from *P. ambiguus* and *P. esocis* in the possession of a fifth sucker. Other points of difference are also to be found. *P. exiguus* is smaller than other North American species of Proteocephalus.

PROTEOCEPHALUS PUSILLUS Ward

[Figs. 2-4, 53-55]

1910: *Proteocephalus pusillus* Ward, 1910:1185-1187
1911: *Proteocephalus pusillus* La Rue, 1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of the genus. Cestodes small, length 30-50 mm. Maximum breadth 0.350 mm. Proglottids few. Segmentation distinct. Head spheroidal, frequently much contracted, breadth about 0.300 mm., length about 0.260 mm. Four suckers placed at broadest zone of head. Suckers deep, 0.140 mm. long by 0.110 mm. broad. Fifth sucker well developed, muscular, 0.060 mm. in diameter. Neck 1.0-1.5 mm. long by 0.21 mm. broad. First proglottids broader than long, breadth of same 0.090 mm. Mature proglottids longer than broad. Ripe proglottids much longer than broad. Length of same 0.84-1.4 mm., breadth 0.18-0.35 mm. End-proglottid present and fertile.

Genital sinus marginal, irregularly alternating, situated at end of first ⅓-⅖ of proglottid. Vagina usually anterior and dorsal to cirrus-pouch, rarely posterior. Testes 44-60-70 in number, arranged in two layers between vitellaria. Length of same 0.06-0.096 mm., breadth 0.04-0.05 mm. Vas deferens a small mass of coils anterior to cirrus-pouch. Cirrus-pouch 0.095-0.106 mm. long by 0.053-0.060 mm. broad, reaching ⅓-¼ across the proglottid breadth. Ductus ejaculatorius forming one or two coils in cirrus-pouch. Cirrus short and straight. Vagina never crossing cirrus-pouch. Sphincter vaginae and receptaculum seminis not seen. Ovary bilobed, posterior. Lobes short, thick, free ends of same frequently pressed posteriad and together. Vitellaria sparse, follicles small. Uterus with 10-14-16 lateral pouches on either side. Eggs not observed.

Habitat: Intestine and esophagus of *Salmo sebago* Girard (type host), Sebago Lake, Me. (type locality); intestine and pyloric coeca of *Cristivomer namaycush* Walbaum (?), Lake Temagami, Ontario.

Type: Material in bottles 15, 16, 42, in Professor Ward's collection from Sebago Lake, Me., summer of 1907, and slides from this material.

Ward (1910:1185-1187) first described this species which he had found in the Sebago salmon. Ward's description follows.

> "*Proteocephalus pusillus* nov. spec.—Adult cestode with short strobila, measuring only 30 to 50 mm. in length. Proglottids scanty, segmentation distinct. Head much contracted. Neck 1 to 1.5 mm. long by 0.21 mm. broad. First proglottids 0.09 mm. broad changing gradually until in mature proglottids the length greatly exceeds the breadth. Ripe proglottids measure 0.84 to 1.4 mm. long by 0.18 to 0.35 mm. broad. Terminal proglottids present and fertile. Sexual organs typical for Proteocephalus; uterus median, with 10 to 14 lateral outpocketings on either side. Testes numerous, within vitellaria. Genital pore lateral, one-third to two-fifths of length of proglottid from anterior margin of same. Ovaries bilobed, median isthmus indistinct, anteroposterior diameter nearly equal to breadth of both lobes. Only a few specimens obtained from a single host species, *Salmo sebago.*
>
> "This species approaches most nearly to *P. ocellata* and *P. percae* among known species. Unlike the new species, however, both of these older forms have a fifth sucker, a few lateral uterine outpocketings, a longer neck, differently shaped ovaries, and markedly different proglottids.
>
> "In specimens with developed proglottids the head was so much contracted or distorted that any special description would be of little value. One could easily observe the general features characteristic of the genus. There was no well developed terminal or fifth sucker, and the end organ, which is known to

replace it in many forms of this genus, was inconspicuously developed, if present. Personally, I incline to the view that on more careful examination this structure will be found in all species, even those in which its absence has been made a matter of record. Accordingly, not much weight can be put in its presence or absence in any individual.

"Three plerocercoid larvae or young cestodes were found in company with *Proteocephalus pusillus,* which I regard as young forms of this species. The largest came from the salmon which was most heavily infected with this cestode parasite. It was 3.15 mm. long and had begun to assume clearly the appearance of an immature cestode. The head measured 0.3 mm. wide by 0.26 mm. long, and the suckers 0.14 mm. in length by 0.11 mm. in width. The neck was slightly narrower than the head, but was not clearly set off from the body, which was very uniform in diameter and measured 0.25 mm. in average width. The posterior end of the body was swollen into a rounded knob about 0.35 mm. broad and of approximately the same length. This feature was evidently produced by a powerful contraction of the terminal region of the body. In and near it one could see very indistinct indications of proglottid formation. In form, size, and general aspect this young cestode was in full agreement with the anterior regions of the mature cestodes of this species with which it was associated. The head, which was not contracted, showed on careful study the delicate outline of a rudimentary end organ. While such a structure was not demonstrated in the mature individuals described above, one can say positively that if present it could not have been seen owing to the greatly contracted condition of the adult scolices. I believe that its presence will be demonstrated in more favorable specimens. The complete agreement of this largest larva with the mature specimens in all other features compels me to regard both as different stages in the development of the same species.

"The other larvae were still in early stages of development and probably had been ingested by the salmon at a very recent date. Their relationship is not so clear in all respects, and yet I do not hesitate to associate with the new species of Proteocephalus a plerocercoid or young cestode obtained from the same host as the adult worms and the older larva just described. The head is broadly conical, without furrows, and measures 0.3 mm. in breadth. The suckers measure 60 to 74 μ in diameter. There is no rostellum or fifth sucker to be found, while the end organ is so poorly developed as to be visible with difficulty and only under the most favorable circumstances. The neck is nearly as broad as the head. In general appearance this larva resembles the adult cestode and the older larva previously described. With some reserve one may also assign to this species a single plerocercus taken from another specimen of *Salmo sebago.* The head, which measures only 150 μ in breadth, is shaped like that of the young cestode and like it is without rostellum or fifth sucker, while the end organ is difficult to demonstrate. Neither furrows nor ridges are seen on the larva, which has a total length of 1.14 mm. The sucker measures only 30 to 45 μ in diameter. The neck is slightly narrower than the head. This form certainly belongs to the genus *Proteocephalus* and probably to the species already described."

La Rue (1911:475) listed this form among other Proteocephalus species. Dr. H. B. Ward has very kindly loaned the writer his specimens for study. More preparations have been made and from them the writer has been able to secure additional data on this species.

The type material in bottles 15, 16 and 42 was taken from the intestine and oesophagus of *Salmo sebago* Girard, Sebago Lake, Me. Jy. 27 and Aug. 6, 1907. Other specimens which the writer has assigned to this same species were taken by Dr. H. B. Ward from two specimens of *Cristivomer namaycush* Walbaum (?), Lake Temagami, Ontario, in August, 1911. These last are described separately.

This is one of the smaller species of Proteocephalus. The observed length is from 30-50 mm. for specimens which have ripe proglottids. Proglottids are few in number and the segmentation is distinct. The head is spheroidal but in many cases it is so greatly contracted that its structure can not be determined. The head measures 0.300 mm. broad by about 0.260 mm. long. The four suckers measure about 0.140 mm. long by 0.110 mm. broad. The sucker cavity is quite deep. At the apex of the head (Figs. 2, 3, 4) is a fairly well developed fifth sucker which in well stained specimens shows an evident musculature and a cavity. Ward (1910) reported only an end organ or vestigial fifth sucker instead of a fifth sucker. When his specimen was restained and mounted it showed a well formed fifth sucker. Characteristic fifth suckers measure about 0.060 mm. in diameter. The neck is 1-1.5 mm. long by about 0.21 mm. broad. It passes over quickly into the first proglottids which are broader than long. Their breadth is about 0.090 mm. The proglottids rapidly become quadrate or even longer than broad. Ripe proglottids measure 0.84-1.4 mm. long by 0.18-0.35 mm. broad. An end-proglottid is usually present and fertile.

The common genital sinus is marginal, situated at the end of the first ⅓-⅖ of the proglottid. Its position alternates irregularly. The testes (Figs. 54, 55) number 44-60-70. Since these lie in two layers the figure does not show all of them. In less mature proglottids where the testes are much smaller and more distinct they can be counted more readily. The testes measure 0.06-0.072-0.096 mm. long by 0.040-0.050 mm. broad. The vas deferens (Figs. 53, 54, 55) forms a small mass of coils in the middle of the proglottid. The larger part of the mass is anterior to the cirrus-pouch, a condition not usually met with in this genus. The cirrus-pouch which is rather heavy reaches ¼-⅓ across the proglottid breadth. Its length is 0.095-0.106 mm. and its breadth 0.053-0.060 mm. The ductus ejaculatorius forms a coil or two within the cirrus-pouch before passing over into the short straight cirrus which has not been seen protruded. On account of a lack of sufficient material but very few sections have been made and these were too poor to yield much valuable data.

The vagina (Figs. 54, 55) usually opens anterior and dorsal to the cirrus-pouch but rarely (Fig. 53) it is posterior to the same. The number of proglottids examined have been too few to establish whether the posterior position of the vagina is normal. Even when in the anterior situation the vagina does not cross the cirrus-pouch. From its opening it makes a long curve toward the middle of the proglottid which it reaches at a point posterior to the inner end of the cirrus-pouch. The vagina is dorsal to the uterus. A vaginal sphincter could not be demonstrated, and if present it must be very weak. The presence of a receptaculum seminis has not been demonstrated. The bilobed ovary (Figs. 53, 54, 55) is peculiar in that the lobes which are short and very thick are pressed closely together. This is a condition not yet found regularly in any other species of the genus altho Nufer (1905) found it in *P. macrocephalus.* In transverse sections the thickness and compactness of the ovary are noted at once. The vitellaria are sparse, the follicles small. The ducts of the interovarial space have not been thoroly investigated. An oötype and an oöcapt are present.

In ripe ploglottids the uterus (Fig. 53) shows 10-14-16 lateral outpocketings on either side. No uterine pores have been seen. The eggs have not been observed.

Other specimens which the writer has provisionally assigned to this species were taken by Dr. H. B. Ward from the pyloric and intestinal regions of *Cristivomer namaycush* Walbaum (?), Lake Temagami, Ontario, August, 1910. It seems that the determination of the host must remain somewhat in doubt for there was no attempt at the identification of the fish when it was caught and it was not preserved. The identification was made from the memory of the appearance of the fish after the return of the expedition. Both Professor Reighard and Professor Ward when questioned by the writer thought there could be little doubt of the determination. These specimens bear the lot numbers T1p, T1j, T2h, and T2p in Dr. H. B. Ward's collection.

The specimens are small, measuring up to 20-30 mm. long. No specimens have ripe proglottids. When the worm is fully mature it is perhaps considerably longer. Measurements of a number of specimens yielded the following data: Average breadth of seven heads 0.284 mm., maximum breadth of same 0.340 mm., minimum 0.244 mm.; average length of six heads 0.177 mm., maximum length of same 0.238 mm., minimum 0.133 mm.; average length of seventeen suckers 0.134 mm., maximum length of same 0.179 mm., minimum 0.106 mm.; average breadth of thirteen suckers 0.108 mm., maximum breadth of same 0.127 mm., minimum 0.096 mm.; sucker opening 0.058-0.080 mm.; diameter of fifth sucker about 0.060 mm. Average length of six necks 1.49 mm., maximum length 1.70 mm., minimum 1.02 mm.; neck narrow, up to 0.135 mm. The proglottids are few, numbering about 30-40. First proglottids are broader than long, or quadrate, length soon exceeds breadth, and mature proglottids are longer than broad measuring up to 0.56-0.68 mm. long by 0.24-0.265 mm. broad. The maximum breadth of 0.340 mm. was observed in a proglottid measuring 0.357 mm. long by 0.340 mm. broad. Segmentation is very distinct.

The genital pore is near the middle, usually anterior thereto but sometimes posterior. The vagina lies anterior to the cirrus-pouch. There are about 44-66 testes and these measure about 0.159 by 0.180 mm. in diameter. They form two irregular layers in the region bounded by the vitellaria and ovary. In many proglottids of this lot the testes are not as fully developed as in the proglottids of specimens from *Salmo sebago.* This condition facilitates the counting of the testes. The coils of the vas deferens form a small mass situated for the most part anterior to the cirrus-pouch. Within the cirrus-pouch the ductus ejaculatorius forms a single coil and then it passes over into the small cirrus. The cirrus-pouch usually extends to the middle of the proglottid or nearly thereto. It has a length of about 0.111-0.150 mm. The average length of eight pouches was 0.133 mm. In breadth the cirrus-pouch measures 0.053-0.070 mm. The lobes of the ovary are thick and are bent back until they nearly meet in the median line. Vitellaria are sparse. A fully developed uterus has not been observed. Of the drawings representing *P. pusillus,* figures 2, 4, and 55 were made from specimens which came from *Cristivomer namaycush.* All the other drawings of this species were made from specimens taken from *Salmo sebago.*

In general these two forms agree very well but there are two points of minor disagreement. These are in regard to the length of the cirrus-pouch and the size of the suckers. As to the former the difference in length is but a matter of a few micra and this perhaps may be explained by the fact that the proglottids are in different states of contraction. As for the other point of difference it may be stated that in the specimens taken from *Cristivomer namaycush* the suckers are on the average about the size of the suckers of the typical *P. pusillus* but in one or two cases observed the length and breadth of the sucker is appreciably larger. It is to be remembered that the measurements of the suckers of the typical *P. pusillus* are based on a single head. Consequently the range of size of suckers in that species has not been determined. Tho no ripe proglottids were present in the material from *Cristivomer na-*

maycush and consequently some of the best diagnostic features could not be determined it seems best to consider these forms identical.

Proteocephalus pusillus Ward is closely allied to *P. exiguus* La Rue but is differentiated from that species by its larger head, larger suckers, and larger sucker openings. The measurements of proglottids of the two species are much alike. However, the type of segmentation is very dissimilar. In the reproductive organs *P. pusillus* varies from *P. exiguus* in having more numerous and larger testes. The testes of *P. pusillus* lie in two layers while in *P. exiguus* they are in one layer. The cirrus-pouch of *P. exiguus* is much longer than that of *P. pusillus.* The ovaries of the two species are very different. In the one species the lobes are slightly arched while in the other the lobes are bent nearly together. The lateral uterine pouches of *P. exiguus* are larger and scarcely as numerous as in *P. pusillus. P. pusillus* somewhat resembles *P. fallax* La Rue but it is smaller. It has a larger head and suckers, more numerous and larger testes, a much shorter cirrus-pouch, and more numerous uterine outpocketings than *P. fallax.* The ovaries of the two species are very different.

P. pusillus differs from *P. agonis* Barbieri in having a fifth sucker. Moreover *P. pusillus* is a larger form than *P. agonis. P. agonis* has smaller and more numerous testes than *P. pusillus,* and also fewer uterine outpocketings. *P. pusillus* differs radically in size and proportions from *P. percae* (O. F. Müller). *P. pusillus* differs from *P. esocis* (Schneider) in having a larger head, larger suckers, in possessing a fifth sucker, and a shorter neck. The proportions of the segments are different. The length of the cirrus-pouch and the ratio of its length to the proglottid breadth differ greatly in the two species. The character of the segmentation is very unlike. There is very little probability that *P. pusillus* is the same as *P. salvelini* (Linton). *P. salvelini* has no fifth sucker. Its head, suckers, and cirrus-pouch are larger than those of *P. pusillus.* Linton's description of *P. salvelini* does not furnish many characters for determination and his drawing of the proglottid is so indistinct that it cannot be relied upon as a source of data.

PROTEOCEPHALUS PINGUIS La Rue

[Figs. 29-32, 35, 36, 88-93]

1911: *Proteocephalus pinguis* La Rue, 1911:478.

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Strobila short and slender. Observed length up to 90 mm. Maximum breadth 1.24 mm. Neck 3-7 mm. long by 0.20-0.25 mm. broad. Proglottids, first in chain very short, 0.05 mm. long by 0.25 mm. broad. Mature and ripe proglottids nearly quadrate or in a few ripe proglottids length exceeding the breadth, 0.595 by 0.595 mm. up to 0.730 mm. long by 0.595 mm. broad. End-proglottid present and functional. Segmentation not very distinct. Intersegmental furrows shallow. Head conical, flattened dorso-ventrally, without furrows, presenting great variations in shape. Breadth of head about 0.33 mm., thickness 0.22 mm., length 0.20-0.25 mm. Suckers cup-shaped, deep, muscular. Diameter of suckers 0.095-0.105 mm., of aperture of sucker 0.06-0.07 mm. Diameter of fifth sucker 0.05-0.075 mm.

Common genital sinus irregularly alternating, situate at or near middle of lateral margin of proglottid. Testes ovoidal, 0.05 mm. long by 0.04-0.05 mm. broad, 54-70 in number, in a single layer taking up field between vitellaria anterior to ovary. Ductus ejaculatorius nearly straight. Cirrus well muscled, straight, length when protruded 0.07-0.08 mm. by 0.02-0.025 mm. broad. Cirrus-pouch short, stout, 0.13-0.14 mm. long by 0.05-0.06 mm. broad. Ratio of length of cirrus-pouch to breadth of proglottid 1:3 or 1:4. Vagina anterior, but vaginal opening always dorsal to cirrus-pouch. Vagina crossing inner end of cirrus-sheath. Sphincter vaginae small. Receptaculum seminis small, situate anterior to ovary. Uterus, when fully developed, with 10-14 lateral pouches on either side. Ventral uterine pores 2-3 in number. Embryos 0.016-0.018 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: In intestine of host.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
Esox reticulatus Le Sueur	Sebago Lake, Maine	H. B. Ward	La Rue (the present paper)
Esox lucius Linn.	Walnut Lake, Mich.	T. L. Hankinson	La Rue (the present paper)
Esox lucius Linn.	Lake Geneva, Wis.	A. J. Coats	La Rue (the present paper)

Type: Alcoholics in Dr. H. B. Ward's Sebago Lake collection Nos. 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 107. Slides of same. The material was collected by

Dr. H. B. Ward from *Esox reticulatus* Le Sueur (type host); Sebago Lake, Maine (type locality).

Co-type: Alcoholics No. TLH413 in Dr. H. B. Ward's collection, from *Esox lucius* Linn., Walnut Lake, Michigan, and slides of the same.

Autotype: Alcoholics No. 10.35 in collection Dr. H. B. Ward from *Esox lucius* Linn., Lake Geneva, Wisconsin.

Leidy (1888:169 and 1890:417) described some specimens of cestodes from *Esox reticulatus.* The two specific names which he proposed for them the writer considers to be synonyms and has included under the one name, *Proteocephalus nematosoma* (Leidy). Leidy's specimens were considerably larger than the specimens of *P. pinguis* and apparently are not the same. A more complete discussion of Leidy's species occurs later in the article. La Rue (1911:478) briefly characterized this species and proposed for it the name *Proteocephalus pinguis.*

This study is based, for the most part, upon specimens collected by Dr. H. B. Ward from the intestines of *Esox reticulatus* Le Sueur at Sebago Lake, Maine, while engaged in an investigation of that lake for the U. S. Bureau of Fisheries, in the summer of 1907. Portions of the study are also based upon specimens secured by Prof. T. L. Hankinson from *Esox lucius* Linn, in the course of a biological investigation of Walnut Lake, Mich., during the summer of 1906. This last material was referred to in a former paper, La Rue (1909:25), as "A new species, which I shall describe in detail later——". It bears the number TLH413 in Professor Ward's collection. Dr. H. B. Ward examined six specimens of *Esox reticulatus* Le Sueur while at Sebago Lake. These six fish yielded sixteen entire Proteocephalids and pieces as follows: No. 4, 1 head+2 pieces; No. 6, 2 heads+2-3 pieces; No. 7, 1 head+2 pieces; No. 8, 11 heads+2 pieces; No. 9, 1 head; No. 107, 5 heads, 1 young cestode+10 large pieces. In many instances the specimens reported as heads were complete worms while in others the end proglottid and part of the strobila were missing. Thus the infection of Sebago Lake hosts was light. Hankinson's material in bottle No. 413 from *Esox lucius* of Walnut Lake was probably from a single host. It contained 60-70 specimens of *P. pinguis.* A bottle, No. 10.35 in Dr. H. B. Ward's collection, bearing the label "from stomach and intestine of a 'pickerel,' Lake Geneva, Wisconsin, Jy. 1, 1904. A. J. Coats, collector", contained 5 or 6 complete specimens of *P. pinguis* La Rue and several pieces of the same species. Replying to a letter of inquiry Prof. George Wagner of the University of Wisconsin states that only one species of pickerel, the *Esox lucius,* is found in Lake Geneva, Wisconsin. The data on this species were obtained from specimens cleared and examined in glycerine, from specimens stained and mounted in toto and from transverse and frontal sections.

These cestodes are small and slender (Fig. 32). The largest specimen from the Sebago Lake material measured 90 mm. long by 1.24 mm. in maximum breadth. The longest specimen from the Walnut Lake host measured 66 mm. long by 1.0 mm. in maximum breadth. The strobila of a 50 mm. specimen is made up of an unsegmented neck 3-7 mm. long by 0.20-0.25 mm. broad followed by 300 proglottids. The youngest proglottids measure about 0.050 mm. long by 0.250 mm. broad. Further along in the chain these increase in length and breadth until in mature and ripe proglottids the length and breadth are about equal. Measurements of several ripe proglottids are as follows, the length in millimeters being stated first: 0.595 by 0.595, 0.680 by 0.680, 0.595 by 0.663, 0.730 by 0.595 mm. In transection the proglottids are elliptical.

A typical functional end-proglottid with a rounded posterior end is present. The segmentation is not very plain, for the inter-segmental furrows are not deep and the corners of the proglottids do not project. The lateral margins are rounded and smooth. The head (Figs. 29-32, 35, 36) is a cone-shaped structure somewhat flattened dorso-ventrally. It is not marked by folds or furrows. Its breadth is about 0.33 mm., its thickness about 0.22 mm., its length about 0.20-0.25 mm. In extreme cases the head may attain a breadth of 0.45 mm. This extreme breadth is due to a contraction of the longitudinal muscles of the head and neck. A collection of these specimens shows heads of very different states of contraction. The head bears on its broadest part four deep cup-shaped muscular suckers which are directed forward and outward. On its apex the head bears a well formed muscular fifth sucker. The diameter of the suckers ranges from 0.095-0.105 mm., while the diameter of the aperture varies from 0.06-0.07 mm. The fifth sucker measures 0.05-0.075 mm. in diameter. Altho its cavity is quite shallow it has the appearance of being functional for it possesses a typical musculature (Fig. 93).

The cuticula and musculature have not been found to differ in their essential features from those of other members of the genus. The nervous system in the head is much like that of *Ophiotaenia filaroides.* A ganglionic mass is located at the level of the suckers (Fig. 93). Two main lateral nerve trunks extend throughout the length of the strobila. No accessory nerve trunks were observed.

The excretory system in the head is made up of four main longitudinal canals which at various levels receive many anastomosing coiled vessels which pass through the tissues of the scolex and neck in every direction. In the strobila there are two pairs of lateral excretory vessels, ventral and dorsal respectively. These lie within the medullary parenchyma. The dorsal vessel passes dorsad and the ventral vessel ventrad to the cirrus-pouch and vagina. In diameter the ventral vessel greatly exceeds the dorsal and its lining membrane is thin while the membrane lining the dorsal vessel is thick. A posterior excretory commissure in each proglottid could not be found. Numerous secondary excretory openings which are connected with the ventral vessel and less frequently with the dorsal vessel have been seen. The drawing (Fig. 91) shows rather an extraordinary case in that the duct is larger and more extensive in its connections than is usual. In the end-proglottid the four main excretory ducts discharge to the exterior by a common pore. A bladder could not be seen.

The common genital sinus is marginal, situated at or near the middle of the proglottid. While the vagina lies anterior to the cirrus-pouch, the opening of the vagina, without exception, is dorsal to the opening of the cirrus (Figs. 88, 90). There is no genital papilla. The testes (Fig. 92) are numerous, 54-70. These are in a single layer in the medullary parenchyma, occupying nearly the entire field between the vitellaria anterior to the ovary. The testes measure as much as 0.05 mm. long by 0.04-0.05 mm. broad. The vasa efferentia (Fig. 90) form a network of fine anastomosing tubules just dorsal to the testes and within the medullary parenchyma. The main branches of the vasa efferentia unite to form the larger vas deferens. The junction occurs at a point near the median line about one-fourth the distance from the anterior to the posterior end of the segment. From this point of juncture the vas deferens forms a mass of coils extending to the cirrus-pouch. These coils function as a vesicula seminalis. When the vas deferens enters the cirrus-pouch it receives some additional glandular elements, the prostate cells. This part of the vas deferens is called the ductus ejaculatorius. The ductus in this species is very nearly straight. The cirrus which is a continuation of the ductus ejaculatorius has a heavier musculature than is present in any other part of this canal. The cirrus lies in the pouch as an almost straight organ. When protruded it measures 0.07-0.08 mm. long by 0.02-0.025 mm. broad. The cirrus-pouch is elongated oval in outline. It is short and stout, being 0.13-0.14 mm. long by 0.05-0.06 mm. broad. The ratio of its length to the proglottid breadth is 1:4 to 1:3.

The vaginal pore opens into the genital sinus just dorsal to the cirrus-pouch tho the greater part of the initial part of the vagina itself lies anterior to the pouch. A small sphincter vaginae is situated near the opening. In its course to the mid-field the vagina crosses the inner end of the cirrus-sheath. When passing posteriad to enter the interovarial space the vagina is straight, not sinuous or coiled. Just anterior to the ovary the vagina dilates slightly to form a receptaculum seminis. The relations of the vagina are shown in figures 88, 90, and 92. The ovary is bi-lobed . The lobes (Fig. 92) are elongated oval and smooth in outline. The vitellaria are typical of the genus. The uterus is formed of a median ventral-lying tube which extends nearly the full length of the proglottid. From this tube, by the process described for *Ophiotaenia filaroides,* lateral outpocketings arise. These lateral pouches (Fig. 92) number 10-14 on either side. As the pouches become filled with eggs the outlines of some of them are nearly obliterated. Yet in all but the ripest proglottids the full number is visible. Sections through mature and ripening proglottids show the pouches in all stages of development. Sections also reveal the ventral diverticula which finally come to open on the surface. Such diverticula were described in an earlier paper (La Rue 1909:36) for *Ophiotaenia filaroides* and their presence in other species was at that time noted. In *P. pinguis* the number of ventral diverticula is greater than Gui. Schneider (1905) reported in *P. macrocephalus, P. percae, P. esocis,* and *P. ambiguus* but smaller than the number reported by the writer for *O. filaroides.* A drawing (Fig. 89) of a frontal section just below the cuticula shows two of these openings. In such sections the openings, usually two or three, and the tubes leading up to them could be readily traced. A specimen cleared in glycerine showed these openings beautifully. The actual count of openings on 25 consecutive proglottids of this specimen is as follows: 1, 2, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 2, 4, 3, 2, 4, 2, 3, 3, 3. The uterine eggs were observed only in preserved condition. The outer mucilaginous envelope could not be seen. The embryos measured 0.016-0.018 mm .

Proteocephalus pinguis La Rue is a much larger form than *P. esocis* (Gui. Schneider). It has a fifth sucker which the latter lacks. It differs from that species in having a larger head, larger suckers, larger proglottids, more testes and a relatively shorter cirrus-pouch. *P. pinguis* differs from *P. pusillus* Ward, a species occurring in the same locality, in the possession of a larger head, larger suckers, larger proglottids which have proportions different from those of *P. pusillus.* Moreover, the segmentation is of a different character and the relative lengths of the cirrus-pouches in the two worms are very different. *P. pinguis* differs very much from *P. exiguus* La Rue in size, in the proportions of the proglottids, in the size of the head and of the suckers, in the relative length of the cirrus-pouch, in the character of the uterine pouches and in the size of the embryos.

P. pinguis differs from *P. macrocephalus* (Creplin) in having a fifth sucker, in being considerably smaller, in the relative length of the cirrus-pouch, in the number of testes, and in the size of the embryos. *P. pinguis* is smaller than *P. ambloplitis* (Leidy), *P. singularis* La Rue and *P. perplexus* La Rue. There is also a difference in the size and character of the head and suckers. It is further differentiated from those species by the presence of a functional fifth sucker which those species lack. *P. pinguis* is quite different from the European forms which have fifth suckers. These are *P. percae* (O. F. Müller), *P. fallax* La Rue, *P. dubius* La Rue, *P. cernuae* (Gmelin), *P. longicollis* (Rud.). It differs from them in size of body, size of suckers and of proglottids, in the relative length of cirrus-pouch, in the size of the embryos and in the number of testes.

PROTEOCEPHALUS FALLAX La Rue

[Figs. 23, 56, 57, 150, 170, 178, 179]

1884:	*Taenia ocellata*	Zschokke	1884:13-14, in part(?)
1884:	*Taenia longicollis*	Zschokke	1884:14-15
1892:	*Taenia filicollis*	Kraemer	1892:535-555
1892:	*Taenia ocellata*	Kraemer	1892:572-576
1905:	*Proteocephalus ocellata*	Nufer	1905 in part(?)
1905:	*Proteocephalus longicollis*	Nufer	1905 in part(?)
1911:	*Proteocephalus fallax*	La Rue	1911:475-477

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of the genus. Cestodes quite small. Length up to 100 mm. Maximum breadth as much as 1.20 mm. Head somewhat globose, 0.150-0.200 mm. in breadth by 0.105-0.150 mm. thick. Head well set off from neck. Suckers situated near broadest zone of head. Diameter of suckers 0.064-0.085 mm. Diameter of sucker opening about 0.040 mm. Fifth sucker present, functional, about 0.058 mm. in diameter. Neck narrow, length 2-6 mm. First proglottids quadrate or longer than broad. Mature proglottids quadrate or broader than long, 0.34-0.46 mm. broad by 0.34 mm. long. Ripe proglottids longer than broad, rarely measuring as much as 1.19 mm. broad by 1.36 mm. long. Segmentation indistinct, margins smooth.

Genital sinus marginal, alternating, situated near middle of the proglottid. Testes 30-35 in number, irregularly scattered in single layer between vitellaria and anterior to ovary. Diameter of testes 0.037-0.060 mm. Cirrus-pouch in mature proglottids 0.196-0.255 mm. long, in ripe proglottids 0.37-0.42 mm. long. Ratio of length of cirrus-pouch to breadth of proglottid 1:3-1:2. Cirrus and ductus ejaculatorius nearly straight. Length of protruded cirrus 0.026 mm. Vas deferens forming a mass of coils in middle of proglottid.

Female organs typical of genus. Vaginal opening anterior to cirrus-pouch. Vaginal sphincter weak. Ovary bilobed, lobes solid, thick, elongated ovoidal in form. In ripe proglottid ovary lies in triangular area between pouches of uterus. Vitellaria lateral, sparse. Uterus ventral, in ripe proglottids with 6-8 lateral pouches on either side. Uterine pores 2-3 in number. Outer membrane of uterine eggs thin and hyaline, middle membrane granular, 0.036-0.041 mm., embryo 0.031-0.0336 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: Intestine of *Coregonus fera* (type host); Lake Lucerne, Switzerland (type locality).

Type: No. 09.9 in Professor H .B. Ward's collection. Slides from same lot in Professor Ward's collection.

Zschokke (1884) reported and described in a very general way five species of Proteocephalus. His *Taenia salmonis umblae* and *Taenia torulosa* are discussed in their proper places. The other three species, his *Taenia ocellata, T. filicollis,* and *T. longicollis* can best be discussed together and in this connection. The small table shows the distribution of the five species in the hosts from Lake Geneva examined by him.

Hosts	Proteocephalus Species				
Coregonus fera	*Taenia longicollis*	*Taenia ocellata*			*Taenia torulosa*
Salmo umbla	*T. longicollis*	*T. ocellata*	*Taenia salmonis umblae*		
Trutta variabilis	*T. longicollis*	*T. ocellata*			
Perca fluviatilis		*T. ocellata*		*Taenia filicollis*	
Esox lucius		*T. ocellata*			
Lota vulgaris		*T. ocellata*			*T. torulosa*
Alburnus lucidus					*T. torulosa*

The *Taenia filicollis* of Zschokke's description (1884:16-17) is from *Perca fluviatilis* and may be the same as the specimens from the same host species received by Dr. H. B. Ward from Professor Parona which La Rue (1911) has named *P. dubius.* It is certain that Zschokke's description and drawings of his specimens from this host do not agree with Schneider's specimens of *P. percae* (Müller).

The specimens of *T. ocellata* which Zschokke reported from *Perca fluviatilis* are probably only longer specimens of his *T. filicollis.* The specimens reported by him from *Esox lucius* were probably *Proteocephalus esocis* Schneider. It is doubtful if Lota vulgaris harbors the same species of parasite as does the Salmonoid fishes. Zschokke's report on this point needs confirmation. The *Taenia longicollis* and *T. ocellata* from *Coregonus* fera are probably identical. Zschokke's description of his *Taenia longicollis* was no doubt based on specimens from *Coregonus fera* for he wrote (p. 14): "Pendant mes recherches je l'ai trouvé en grande quantité dans les appendices pyloriques et dans les intestines grêles de *Coregonus fera,* et une fois, en Février, enkysté sous sa forme larvaire dans le foie de Salmo Umbla."

Fortunately Dr. H. B. Ward has received some specimens from Professor Zschokke labelled, "*Taenia ocellata, Coregonus fera.*" These have been found to be identical with Kraemer's *Taenia ocellata* from *Coregonus fera,* Lake Lucerne, and they have proved to be a new species to which the writer (La Rue 1911) gave the name of *P. fallax* La Rue. It is highly probable that the specimens from *Coregonus fera* identified by Zschokke (1884) as *T. longicollis* belong to this same species. The *T. longicollis* of his description differs from that of Kraemer (1892) chiefly in length. The small head, large cirrus-pouch, the proportions of the proglottids, position of the genital pore, and the size of the cirrus-pouch agree pretty well with the facts for *P. fallax* La Rue. Zschokke (1884) presented no data to show that he had made a comparative study of the specimens collected by him from *Salmo umbla* and from *Trutta variabilis.* It is scarcely possible to determine where these specimens belong, and speculation without some basis of fact is worth but little.

Kraemer (1892) as a result of his study of specimens taken from *Coregonus fera,* Lake Lucerne, in July, identified these specimens as *Taenia filicollis* Rud. Later in the same season (about Sept. 1) he took more specimens from *Coregonus fera.* These he identified as *Taenia ocellata* on account of their greater length. His specimens collected in July measured 30-60 mm. Those taken by him in September measured as much as 100 mm. Kraemer now made a careful comparison of these two forms. He found that they agreed in every particular as to size of the head and suckers and as to the presence of a fifth sucker. In the internal anatomy of the proglottids and in the histological structure of the organs the two forms also agreed perfectly. Moreover among his specimens he found gradations in length from the shortest to the longest. On these grounds Kraemer concluded that *Taenia filicollis* Rud. and *Taenia ocellata* Rud. were one and the same; that in fact the smaller *Taenia filicollis* was but an incompletely developed *Taenia ocellata.* Kraemer was correct in concluding that these larger and smaller specimens in his possession belonged to the same species. However, his conclusion has nothing whatsoever to do with Rudolphi's *Taenia ocellata* and *T. filicollis* for the very good reason that Kraemer's specimens belonged to neither of Rudolphi's species.

Kraemer in his paper gave no adequate reasons for considering that the *Taenia filicollis* and *Taenia ocellata* of Rudolphi were identical. His specimens were neither from Rudolphi's type host nor from his type locality but from a very different host and locality. Zschokke had material from *Perca fluviatilis* yet he described what he considered to be *Taenia filicollis* rather than *Taenia ocellata* from that host. Neither Zschokke nor Kraemer reported parasites from *Gasterosteus aculeatus* or *G. pungitius,* neither of which occur in the waters from which their fish came. Kraemer's specimens beyond a doubt belong to the species *P. fallax* La Rue. Riggenbach (1896) accepted Kraemer's conclusion concerning the identity of *Taenia ocellata* and *Taenia filicollis.* He apparently made no comparative study of the forms. From North American fish Benedict (1900) described a species which he considered identical with Kraemer's *Taenia ocellata* and so named it *Proteocephalus ocellata* (Rud.). That however was a misdetermination, for Benedict's specimens have been shown to belong to another species (see *P. exiguus* La Rue). Nufer (1905) may have been dealing in part with this species in his statements regarding *P. ocellatus* and *P. longicollis* from *Coregonus fera.* La Rue (1911:476-477) described this as a new species, *P. fallax.*

The material on which this species is based bears the label "*T. ocellata,* Coregonus fera." It was secured from Prof. Fritz Zschokke by Prof. H. B. Ward. It now bears the number 09.9 in Professor Ward's collection. Some of this material was stained in haematoxylin and mounted in balsam. Other specimens were cleared in glycerine.

A comparison of these preparations with Kraemer's description made evident the fact that this species and Kraemer's *Taenia ocellata* are anatomically identical. The fact that Kraemer's specimens were also from *Coregonus fera* and that doubtless they came from the same locality was one of the considerations which caused this identity to be suspected. Kraemer's descriptions of *T. ocellata* will be used as sources of comparative data, all of his data being grouped together.

The larger worms at the writer's disposal were unfortunately broken into pieces. One complete strobila measured 27 mm. long with a maximum breadth of 0.459 mm. A small piece in the same lot had a maximum breadth of 1.20 mm. Kraemer reported specimens of *T. ocellata* 30-60-100 mm. long. He reported a breadth of 0.114 mm. for the head and 0.038 mm. for suckers. The last measurement must be that of the sucker opening and not the maximum diameter of the sucker.

Measurements in mm. of seven scolices and suckers may be seen in the following table:

No.	Breadth of head	Length of head	Suckers, maximum diameter	Fifth sucker
1	0.187 mm.	short	0.085 mm.	not observed
2	0.185 mm.	“	0.080 mm.	“ “
3	0.170 mm.	“	0.064 × 0.064 mm.	“ “
4*	0.185 mm.	“	0.068 mm.	“ “
5	0.204 mm.	“	0.082 × 0.074 mm.	“ “
6	0.153 × 105 mm. thick	“		“ “
7	0.187 × 0.153 mm. thick	“	0.079 mm.	0.058 mm.

There is here some discrepancy between Kraemer's figures and those of the writer. This may be due to an error in manipulation, to the fact that Kraemer happened to measure a very slender head, or that he happened to measure it in the dorsoventral (the shorter) dimension. As will be noted the diameter of the sucker as given by Kraemer is about the size which the writer gives for the sucker opening. The head (Figs. 23, 150) is somewhat globular in shape. The suckers are situated at or just above the broadest zone and they are directed somewhat anteriad. In the writer's material the head is readily distinguished from the thin neck. The neck is 0.076 mm. broad (Kraemer), or 0.136 mm. broad in the writer's measurements. Kraemer states that it is long but he points out that in stained preparations part of that which appeared to be neck was in reality made up of young proglottids. In glycerine mounts the neck sometimes appeared to the writer to be as much as 6 mm. long while in stained preparation 2.38 mm. was the maximum length.

The first proglottids (Fig. 170) are about quadrate or they may be longer than broad. Kraemer gives the following breadths for the various proglottids: First long proglottids 0.228 mm. broad, the quadrate anterior proglottids 0.532 mm., the sexually ripe mid-proglottids scarcely 2.0 mm., the ripest end-proglottids as much as 2.0 mm. The writer's measurements of similar proglottids are as follows: The first proglottids 0.136 mm. broad by 0.17-0.30 mm. long, quadrate mature proglottids 0.34-0.46 mm. broad by 0.34 mm. long, the sexually ripe proglottids, 1.19 mm. broad by 1.36 mm. long (only four proglottids of this size were observed). The segmentation of the worm is indistinct, the margins being quite smooth except for very slight indentations at the junction of the segments. The segments are closely joined together. The genital

*The opening of the suckers in No. 4 measured 0.042 mm.

pore is not marked by a papilla. The common genital sinus is marginal, irregularly alternating, and situated near or slightly anterior to the middle of the segment.

Kraemer worked out in considerable detail the structure of the cuticula, the musculature, the parenchyma, the nervous system, the excretory system, and the histological structure of the various internal organs. In the main the writer does not discuss these points, but since some of Kraemer's conclusions are incorrect the errors are indicated when possible.

In preparations studied by the writer the testes number 30-35 and they measure 0.037 by 0.053 mm. Kraemer recorded 27-30 testes with a diameter of 0.057 mm. The testes (Figs. 57, 178) lie in a single layer in the field bounded by the ovary, the anterior margin of the proglottid and the vitellaria. The cirrus-pouch is 0.196-0.255 mm. long in fully mature proglottids, where its ratio to the proglottid breadth is 1:3-1:2. In fully ripe proglottids it is more or less concealed by the uterine pouches. In a few ripe proglottids in which it was not contracted the cirrus-pouch measured 0.370-0.420 mm. in length. Apparently in this species the cirrus-pouch becomes larger after sexual maturity is attained. The cirrus-pouch is slender. Its inner end is slightly curved upward toward the dorsal surface where it is attached to the walls of the dermo-muscular sac by strong muscle fibers. This inner end (Figs. 57, 178) is usually somewhat swollen making a little vesicle. With this vesicle one or two sinuous curves of the ductus ejaculatorius may be seen. Adjacent to the vesicle is the constricted region of the cirrus-pouch. Here even in toto preparations strong circular muscle fibers may be seen. In this region the ductus passes over into the straight slender cirrus. Just beyond this constricted region the cirrus-pouch again dilates a little and here the circular muscle fibers are not prominently developed. From a cirrus-pouch so constricted the cirrus itself is usually protruded. The length of the incompletely protruded cirrus is about 0.026 mm. Kraemer states that the cirrus protrudes 2-3 mm. This must be an error, probably typographical, for a cirrus as long as 2-3 mm. would require first a very large cirrus-pouch and, second, many coils of vas deferens within the pouch. Kraemer's drawings and data are not convincing that this is the case. His figure (Fig. 178) shows a protruded cirrus probably not over 0.3 mm. in length. The vas deferens forms a mass of coils at the inner end of the cirrus-pouch. In mature proglottids this knot lies in the mid-field, even reaching past the middle.

Kraemer undoubtedly made some errors in his description of the cirrus of this species. Benedict (1900) pointed out these errors thus:

"Kraemer's description is widely different. His drawing shows a tube with hooks, representing the cirrus, and followed by a proglottis-like arrangement, and then several coils of the vas deferens within the pouch. The drawing has an unreal appearance on the first glance. The cirrus, with its curved hooks, is imbedded in the tissue, which must be torn through before it could be protruded. The proglottis-like appearance of a portion of the cirrus, as he drew it, was undoubtedly due to the way in which the circular muscles were cut in sectioning. He drew the cavity of the cirrus, into which the cut ends of the circular muscles projected, as the external outline of the cirrus. The coils would be necessary, according to his theory, but are not to be found. He says that the muscles, which he calls "the roots," are for retracting the pouch, which is sometimes thrust outwards for some distance through the opening. This protrusion is really due to an evagination of the free distal end of the inner tube."

The female organs in arrangement are typical of the genus. The vagina opens always anterior to cirrus-pouch. Near its opening at a distance of 0.041 mm. according to Kraemer, it possesses a weak sphincter muscle 0.026 mm. long by 0.034 mm. broad over all. In its course to the interovarial space it crosses the bulbous end of the cirrus-pouch or extends beyond the end of the pouch before bending posteriad. The writer has not noted in toto preparations the dilation in the middle portion of the vagina as described by Kraemer. There are no coils of the vagina anterior to the ovary. The ovary is bilobed, the lobes connected by a slender mid-piece. The lobes are solid, fairly thick bodies of elongated ovoidal shape. In mature proglottids the span of the ovary is about 0.350 mm. In ripe proglottids the ovary instead of becoming more elongated and flattened against the posterior wall of the segment shrinks in size and the two lobes become quite closely pressed together in a small triangular space (Fig. 56) bounded by the posterior proglottid margin and by the walls of the uterine pouches. This triangular space formed by uterine pouches in the posterior end of the proglottid is a very characteristic feature in this species. The vitellaria are sparse follicular glands in the lateral margins of the proglottid.

The organs of the interovarial space are probably about as Benedict has described them for his species and not entirely as Kraemer has described them. The writer has not made sections of this form and has been unable to trace the connections of the ducts. Kraemer established the presence of an oötype, an oöcapt, and a shellgland. The paired vitelline ducts do not originate as far anterior as Kraemer has figured them. They probably unite to form a single vitelline duct as in other Proteocephalid species where this has been investigated. Kraemer noted in toto preparations that the vagina anterior to the ovary was apparently divided, one branch going to the ovary. The second tube is probably the uterine passage which can frequently be seen in toto preparations and the connections of which can be worked out only in reconstructions of sections. The uterus is a median tube in mature proglottids. In ripe proglottids (Fig. 56, 179) there are 6-8 lateral pouches on either side of the median tube. These lie in the ventral field and come to occupy nearly the whole proglottid. By the pressure of the densely packed uterus the vitellaria are pushed farther toward the margins; the testes are pushed close to the dorsal surface and the shrunken ovaries are crowded into a small triangular space in the posterior part of the segment. The ripe proglottid is practically a thin walled sac divided up into compartments by the thin septa of the uterine pouches.

The eggs are discharged through 2-3 uterine pores (Figs. 56, 179) or through a rift down the ventral surface which is caused by a further splitting of these uterine pores. The usual number of uterine pores is 2 or 3 but occasionally a proglottid is found with a single pore. Kraemer describes a single uterine pore in the middle of the segment. The eggs have a shell with three membranes, the outer hyaline, variable in size, a middle heavier and more granular membrane, and an inner thin membrane enclosing the embryo. The embryos measure 0.031-0.0336 mm. in diameter. Such a wide variation is probably due to the different forms which the embryos assume. Some are spherical, others ovoidal. The middle membrane measures 0.036-0.041 mm. These measurements show very well the limits of variation. The outer membrane was not measured.

This species is readily differentiated from *P. percae* by its smaller head and suckers, more slender neck, and smaller proglottids. It is less robust than *P. percae.* It differs from *P. percae* in having a much shorter cirrus-pouch, fewer testes, a shorter ovary, and larger embryos. It is readily distinguished from *P. torulosus* by its smaller size and by its lack of a fifth sucker. It differs from the *P. longicollis* (Rud.) as described by von Linstow in having a smaller head with much smaller suckers. In the *P. longicollis* of von Linstow the testes are much larger than in *P. fallax* and they are in two (?) fields. The cirrus pouch is shorter in *P. longicollis.* The lateral pouches of the uterus are fewer in number and the embryos are smaller than in *P. fallax. P. fallax* varies from the *P. cernuae* in being more slender, with a smaller head and less muscular suckers. The latter species has broader but narrower proglottids, a shorter cirrus-pouch, more numerous and much larger testes, a longer span of ovary, and smaller embryos. *P. fallax* differs from *P. filicollis* (Rud.) in being longer, in having a fifth sucker, a longer cirrus-pouch, fewer testes, and larger embryos.

P. fallax much resembles *P. exiguus* but it differs from that species in having a larger head, larger suckers, a larger fifth sucker, a longer neck, more numerous and larger proglottids, fewer testes, a shorter cirrus-pouch, and fewer uterine pouches. The embryos are larger than in *P. exiguus*. This species resembles *P. pusillus* Ward. It differs from that species in the character of the segmentation, in the smaller size of its suckers, in the position of the genital aperture, in the smaller number and size of testes, in its longer cirrus-pouch and in its fewer uterine pouches. *P. fallax* resembles *P. agonis* Barbieri but it differs from that species in being somewhat larger, in having a fifth sucker, fewer testes, and more uterine pouches. *P. fallax* is larger than *P. esocis* (Schneider) and it has a fifth sucker. It moreover has smaller and less numerous testes than *P. esocis*. *P. fallax* most closely resembles *P. dubius* but it differs from that species in having smaller and much fewer testes, a relatively shorter cirrus-pouch, fewer uterine pouches, a slightly smaller embryo, and a smaller middle egg membrane. In size, in size of head, and size of suckers they resemble each other remarkably.

PROTEOCEPHALUS NEGLECTUS La Rue

[Figs. 81, 82]

1911: *Proteocephalus neglectus* La Rue 1911:477

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Strobila incomplete. Head, suckers, neck not observed. Outline of proglottid rough. Segmentation evident. Genital pore on a slight prominence. Ripe proglottids 0.5 mm. long by 0.93 mm. broad to 0.75 mm. long by 1.53 mm. broad. Young and mature proglottids not observed.

Genital pores irregularly alternating, situated near middle of lateral margin of proglottid. Cirrus-pouch frequently contracted, 0.185-0.265 mm. long by 0.080 mm. broad. Normal cirrus-pouch 0.340 mm. long. Cirrus and ductus ejaculatorius straight. Vas deferens a mass of coils in mid-field of proglottid. Testes about 75, spheroidal, 0.042-0.064 mm. in diameter, situated in a single layer in space between vitellaria. Vagina anterior to cirrus-pouch, its opening dorsal to opening of cirrus-pouch. Sphincter vaginae poorly developed, situated near vaginal opening. Vagina crosses cirrus-pouch near middle. Receptaculum seminis not observed. Ovary bilobed, lobes with smooth outlines, clubshaped. Organs of interovarial space not observed. Vitellaria lateral, follicular. Vitelline follicles small, compacted. Uterus with 7-9 lateral pouches on either side. Uterine pores not seen. Embryo 0.026-0.0265 mm. in diameter. Outer egg membrane 0.042-0.047 mm.

Habitat: "Forelle" (*Trutta fario*), type host, probably from Lake Geneva or Lake Lucerne, Switzerland.

Type: Bottled material No. 09.10 in Dr. H. B. Ward's collection, and slides of same.

Some pieces of strobila without heads were received by Dr. H. B. Ward from Professor Zschokke of Basel. These were labelled "*Taenia longicollis* Rud., aus Forelle, Zschokke." The bottle is now No. 09.10 in Dr. H. B. Ward's collection. Four toto unflattened preparations were made from this material. This material formed the basis of the preliminary report, La Rue (1911:477).

Head, suckers and neck were not seen. A proglottid with eggs in the uterus measured 0.5 mm. long by 0.93 mm. broad. A ripe proglottid very full of eggs was 0.75 mm. long by 1.53 mm. broad. The outlines of the segments are rough, with several indentations on the margin of each. Proglottid limits are well defined by the indentation at the corners and by the rounded corners. A slight prominence bears the genital pore.

Genital pores alternate irregularly in the strobila. They are situated one in the middle of a lateral margin of each segment. This genital pore leads into a common atrium into which the cirrus-pouch and vagina open. When the cirrus is partly protruded the cirrus-pouch is constricted near its inner end (Fig. 81). It is also reduced in length, measing in various states of contraction 0.185-0.190-0.220-0.265 mm. by a maximum breadth of about 0.080 mm. In a single proglottid the cirrus-pouch was in a normal condition. This is delineated (Fig. 82). This cirrus-pouch measures 0.390 mm. long. The length of the cirrus-pouch is about ¼-⅓ the breadth of the proglottid. Sometimes the cirrus is somewhat protruded tho it has not been seen completely protruded. It is blunt at the tip. Within the cirrus-pouch the cirrus and ductus ejaculatorius are straight. The one passes imperceptibly into the other. Coils of the vas deferens cannot be seen clearly but their confused outlines may be discerned in the middle region of the proglottid left vacant by the testes. The testes numbered 75 and 76 respectively in two proglottids in which they were counted. They are spheroidal, 0.042-0.053-0.064 mm. in diameter. It seems that they lie in one plane, filling the space between the vitellaria anterior to the ovary.

The uterus (Fig. 81) is made up of a median ventral tube from which 7-9 lateral pouches arise on either side. No uterine pores were discovered. The vitellaria are follicular and situated near the lateral margins of the segment. The follicles are small and quite compact. In the present species the vitellaria are less well developed than in *P. cernuae*. The ovary is bilobed and is posteriorly situated. The lobes are long, thick and heavy, and have smooth outlines. In ripe proglottids the span of the ovarian lobes may be 0.60 mm. The vagina (Fig. 82) tho apparently situated anterior to the cirrus-pouch has its opening into the genital atrium directly dorsal to the cirrus-pouch. Near its opening there is a small sphincter vaginae 0.016 mm. long by 0.010 mm. thick. Normally in its course the vagina is slightly bowed posteriad across the middle or the inner end of the cirrus-pouch. The course of the vagina in toto preparations cannot be traced to the interovarial space. The organs of the interovarial space have not been studied. The embryos measured 0.026-0.0265 mm. in diameter, the outermost envelope 0.042-0.047 mm. The second membrane was not measured.

In some respects the present species resembles *P. fallax* La Rue. The triangular shape of the interovarial space of ripe proglottids is much alike in the two species. So also there is considerable resemblance in respect to the uterine outpocketings. The proglottids, however, are as a rule broader and shorter in the present species. In the number of the testes and their close proximity to each other this species is very different from *P. fallax*. The cirrus-pouch tho greatly resembling that of *P. fallax* is relatively shorter. The two species are alike in the character of the vagina and the sphincter vaginae. Ovaries are larger in the present species. The best characters for distinguishing the two species are the number and size of the testes, the relative size of the cirrus-pouch and the size of the embryos. In some respects this species resembles *P. dubius* La Rue but the ripe proglottids are a little larger and somewhat thicker in the present species. The cirrus-pouch in proglottids of the same stage of development may be of nearly the same length. This species has more testes and these are smaller than in *P. dubius*. The chief difference lies in the size of the embryos. This difference amounts to 0.005-0.007 mm. The second membrane of the eggs of *P. dubius* is larger than the outer membrane of eggs of *P. neglectus*.

The present species differs from *P. percae* in the length of the cirrus-pouch, in the proportions of the proglottids, number and size of the testes, and in the size of the embryos. *P. neglectus* differs from *P. longicollis* as described by v. Linstow in the number, size and arrangement of the testes. The arrangement of the testes of *P. longicollis* in two fields sets that species apart from all the other species reported from fish, if von Linstow's observations on that point are correct. *P. neglectus* further differs from that species in the number of uterine pouches and in the position of the genital pore. *P. neglectus* does not agree well with Zschokke's (1884:14-16) description of *Taenia longicollis* Rud. from *Coregonus fera*. The form which he described is apparently identical with the *P. fallax* La Rue which occurs in the same host species.

PROTEOCEPHALUS DUBIUS La Rue

[Figs. 20-22, 75-77, 147-149]

1884:	*Taenia filicollis*	Zschokke	1884:16-17
1884:	*Taenia ocellata*	Zschokke	1884:13-14 in part(?)
1911:	*Proteocephalus dubius*	La Rue	1911:476

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of the genus. Small cestodes as much as 40 mm. long by 0.80-1.20 mm. broad. Segmentation indistinct. Proglottids about 100 in number. Head small, variable in shape, well set off from neck. Length of head 0.10-0.14 mm., breadth 0.127-0.212 mm. Four suckers 0.069-0.080 mm. in diameter, cavities deep, sucker outlines round or irregular. Fifth sucker 0.026-0.037 mm. in diameter. Neck slender 1.8-3.0-3.5 mm. long by 0.085-0.140 mm. broad. First proglottids broader than long, 0.20 mm. broad by 0.050 mm. long. Mature proglottids quadrate, broader than long, or longer than broad, 1.02 mm. long by 0.34 mm. broad to 0.595 mm. long by 0.680 mm. broad. Ripe proglottids quadrate, broader than long, or usually longer than broad, 1.19 mm. long by 0.680 mm. broad to 0.680 mm. long by 1.02 mm. broad. End-proglottid, triangular, small, functional.

Genital opening marginal, near middle of proglottid or anterior thereto, irregularly alternating. No genital papilla. Testes in two layers between vitellaria, anterior to ovary, 55-60 in number. Vas deferens a mass of coils in mid-field. Cirrus-pouch long, slender, 0.265-0.370-0.425 mm. in length by 0.070-0.085 mm. in breadth. Ratio of length of cirrus-pouch to proglottid breadth 5:11-1:2. Cirrus slender, straight, when protruded short and slender. Ductus ejaculatorius straight.

Vaginal opening anterior to cirrus-pouch. Sphincter vaginae weak, situated near vaginal opening. Vagina crosses cirrus-pouch near middle. Ovary bilobed. Lobes thick somewhat quadrate in nearly mature proglottids, smoothly ovoidal in fully mature and past-mature proglottids. Vitellaria lateral, sparse, follicles small. Uterus with 7-10-12-14 lateral pouches on either side. Uterine pores 1-2 on ventral surface. Eggs with three membranes, second membrane granular, thick, 0.042-0.053 mm. in diameter. Embryos about 0.032 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: Intestine of *Perca fluviatilis* (type host); Lake Geneva (type locality). Perhaps this species may occur also in the same host species of other lakes and rivers in southern or central Europe.

Zschokke (1884:16-17) described some specimens of cestode found by him in *Perca fluviatilis* from Lake Geneva. In some respects his description agrees fairly well with *P. fallax* La Rue from *Coregonus fera.* However in regard to the ovary there is considerable difference.

Moreover it scarcely seems probable that *Perca fluviatilis* and *Coregonus fera* normally harbor the same parasitic species. With this doubt in mind some material which Dr. H. B. Ward has received from Professor Parona labelled *Taenia ocellata* from *Perca fluviatilis* was carefully investigated. It was found that this material which at first glimpse appeared to be identical with *P. fallax* La Rue from *Coregonus fera* was after all sufficiently different to make it a separate species which was described by La Rue (1911:476) from material on which the present description is based. Material studied was alcoholic material in Professor Parona's collection labelled "*Taenia ocellata, Perca fluviatilis,*" locality not known, and slides and alcoholics of the same in Prof. H. B. Ward's collections.

These cestodes are of small size, being short and slender. The longest specimen in Professor Parona's material measured about 40 mm. in length by about 0.80-1.2 mm. in maximum breadth. In such a specimen there are about 30 proglottids with eggs in the uterus, 12-18 mature proglottids, about 30 which show more or less developed sexual organs, and very few in which the anlagen of the sexual organs cannot be seen, in all about 80-90 proglottids. Segmentation is not very distinct for the proglottids are joined by nearly their entire breadth. The corners are slightly rounded. Zschokke stated that the margins of the proglottids are not rounded and their angles are somewhat rounded; that the animal never presents a crenated appearance and that it has the appearance of a ribbon. Zschokke found from 60-100 segments in the strobila. His longest specimen was about 4 cm. long and about 1 mm. broad.

The head (Figs. 147, 148) is of small variable shape, well set off from the neck. Frequently its anterior face is somewhat conical, at other times flattened. The head is flattened dorsoventrally. Its length varies from 0.100-0.140 mm. Its breadth is from 0.127 mm. in heads turned slightly sidewise to 0.212 mm. in slightly swollen heads. At its broadest part the head bears four suckers which are directed outward and upward. These suckers appear to be variously placed on the head depending on the state of contraction. A small fifth sucker 0.026-0.037 mm. in diameter is situated at the apex of the head. The four suckers vary in size from 0.069-0.080 mm. in diameter. They are usually nearly circular in outline but may be a little irregular. The cavity is deep and irregular in shape or it may be nearly round in outline. The neck is slender, 1.8 mm. to 3.0-3.5 mm. in length by 0.085-0.140 mm. in minimum breadth. The length of the neck was determined by measuring from the head to the first segmentation visible in stained preparations.

The following table shows dimensions of neck, head and suckers in millimeters:

Neck		Head		Suckers		Fifth Sucker
Length	Breadth	Length	Breadth	Diameter		
1.8	0.130	0.12-0.140	0.144	0.080	0.074	0.026
3.4	0.085	0.130	0.127[1]	0.079	0.074	0.037
?	0.140	0.100	0.159	0.069	0.074	0.037
?	0.130	?	0.212	0.069	0.069	0.033
3-3.5	0.130	0.130	0.148	0.069	0.069	0.032

Zschokke's (1884) description of the head, suckers and neck reads:

> "La tête est petite, distincte du cou, arrondie en-avant. Son pourtour est garni de quatre ventouses dont la position relative est fort variable (see Figs. 147-148).
>
> "Ces organes de fixation sont très profonds et pourvus d'une puissante musculature. Quelquefois ils sont réunis sur une de faces, de manière qu'on peut les voir de face tous les quatre à la fois. Fréquemment elles font saillie au delà des pourtour de la tête. Celle-ci prend alors une forme libée ou frangée fort variable selon le degré de contraction des ventouses. La tête présente ainsi souvent des proéminences distribuées régulièrement. Les ventouses peuvent même affecter la forme de petites trompes protractiles.
>
> "Le cou est long, filiforme, épaissi en-arrière; il n'occupe cependant jamais plus d'un cinquième ou d'un quart de la longueur totale de l'animal, tandis que chez le *Taenia longicollis* il atteint facilement un tiers."

There is pretty good agreement between his description and the writer's. In the writer's specimens the first proglottids are broader than long, 0.20 mm. broad by 0.05 mm. long. These soon elongate somewhat and then the anlagen of the genital organs begin to appear. The proglottids as they become mature elongate and also increase in width. They may be much elongated, nearly square or broader than long depending upon the state of contraction. In the same strobila the following measurements were taken from anterior to posterior some few proglottids being omitted at intervals, measurements of length being stated first in each instance: 1.02 by 0.34 mm.; 0.68 by 0.425 mm.; 0.595 by 0.425 mm.; 0.510 by 0.595 mm.; 0.595 by 0.680 mm. The last measurement is of the last proglottid without uterine eggs. Ripe proglottids may be nearly square, longer than broad or broader than long. They may measure as much as 1.19 long by 0.68 mm. broad; 0.68 by

[1] Head turned slightly sideways.

1.02 mm.; 0.68 by 0.85 mm. The end-proglottid is triangular in outline, the posterior end being rounded. It is 0.425 mm. broad by 0.476 mm. long. Apparently it is functional. No excretory pore could be seen at its posterior end. Of the proglottids Zschokke wrote: "Les premiers articles sont courts, deux à trois fois plus larges que longs. Les suivants deviennent carrés et les derniers sont ordinairement plus longs que larges. L' article terminal est arrondi en arrière. Les bords des proglottis ne sont pas bombés; leurs angles sont très peu émoussés. L'animal ne présente ainsi point de crénulure; il a l'aspect d'un ruban."

The genital opening which is situated near the middle or slightly anterior to the middle of the lateral margin of the proglottid alternates irregularly. There is no genital papilla. The testes (Figs. 75, 77) are nearly round or at times slightly compressed. They measure 0.063-0.079 mm. in diameter and they are situated in two partial layers which cover the entire field between the vitellaria anterior to the ovary. Their number is from 55 to 60. The vas deferens forms a small compact mass of coils in the midfield of the segment. The cirrus-pouch (Figs. 75, 76, 77) is long, quite slender, smooth in outline or at times somewhat constricted near the inner end by the contraction of circular muscle fibers. The cirrus-pouch measures 0.370-0.425 mm. long in ripe proglottids, 0.265-0.292 mm. long in a proglottid with few uterine eggs and 0.228-0.265 mm. in mature proglottids where the cirrus is being protruded. Its breadth is about 0.070-0.085 mm. It extends from 5/11 to ½ across the proglottid breadth or in some cases it may extend slightly past the middle. The cirrus is straight and slender. When protruded it may extend about 0.1 mm. past the margin of the segment. The protruded cirrus is slender or, if but slightly protruded, conical. The ductus ejaculatorius is straight and of the same size as the cirrus of which it really forms a part.

The vagina opens into the common genital sinus apparently anterior to the cirrus-pouch. Near its opening it possesses a small sphincter vaginae. The course of the vagina is slightly anteriad then posteriad and mesad in a long arc which crosses the cirrus-pouch near the middle of its dorsal side. Its entire course into the interovarial space has not been traced. A receptaculum seminis has not been observed. The ovary is posterior and is bilobed, but in nearly mature proglottids the mid-piece may not be visible. The lobes (Fig. 75) may appear as more or less irregular quadrate bodies in the posterior corners of the segment. In such a condition they resemble the ovaries which Zschokke delineated. His figure is reproduced (Fig. 149). In more mature and ripe proglottids the lobes of the ovary are smoother in contour (Figs.

76, 77). The ovarian lobes in ripe proglottids have a span of 0.425 mm. The lobes are heavier and thicker than in *P. fallax.* The organs of the interovarial space have not been observed. In the lateral fields of the proglottid lie the vitellaria, made up of small loose follicles. Well-filled uteri (Fig. 76) are made up of a median tube and 7-8-10-12 or even 14 irregular lateral pouches on either side. The more common number of pouches is 8 or 9. One large ventral uterine pore occurs quite regularly near the middle of the proglottid and a smaller pore can sometimes be found farther anteriad. Uterine eggs have three membranes. An outermost hyaline membrane is variable in size and was not measured. The second membrane is thicker, is granular and more nearly spherical. It has a diameter of 0.042-0.048-0.053 mm. tho its more common dimension is 0.048 mm. An innermost thin membrane immediately invests the embryo. The embryo has a diameter of about 0.032 mm. but when elongated it may measure 0.026 by 0.037 mm. or even 0.032 by 0.037 mm. The average measurement is about 0.032 mm.

Zschokke's description of the genital organs reads thus:

> "Les orifices génitaux se trouvent au fond d'un bourrelet circulaire, situé au milieu ou un peu au-dessus des bords latéraux. L'alternance de la position à gauche ou à droite est irrégulière. Les cirrhes sont courts, coniques, leurs poches, étroites. Rudolphi parle de *ovaria quadrangularia;* en effet le parenchyme est rempli de vésicules, qui, dans les articles jeunes, ont une forme ronde ou ovalaire et deviennent, dans les proglottis plus mûrs, carrées et anguleuses. En réalité ces vésicules sont à l'état normal pyriformes; leur aspect carré est le résultat de leur pression réciproque. Du reste ce ne sont pas des ovaries, ce sont des testicules dont chacun présente un petit canal déférent. Tous ces canalicules se réunissent dans un canal excréteur commun.
>
> "Déjà Dujardin, en citant la description de Rudolphi, met, derrière "ovaires opaques, également carrés", un point d'interrogation et Diesing n'en parle plus.
>
> "Le vagin est court, il aboutit à une poche séminale assez spacieuse. L'utérus sous forme d'un tube large suit la ligne médiane du proglottis et se rend en décrivant quelques faibles lacets vers le bord postérieur de l'article. Là il décrit un noeud de lacets et se divise enfin en deux branches, qui, de leur côté, constituent à droite et à gauche une masse d'ovaires lobés ou contournés. Entre les ovaires, touchant le bord postérieur du proglottis, se trouve le glande vitellogène. Des amas glandulaires, peut-être la continuation directe des vitellogènes, se trouvent le long des bords latéraux."

This species is much smaller than *P. percae* (Müller). It is most closely related to *P. fallax* from *Coregonus fera.* It differs from that species in having regularly a larger number of testes (about twice as many as are found in *P. fallax*) and these are also larger. In *P. fallax* the testes are in one layer, in this species in two partial layers. The cirrus-pouch is usually longer than in *P. fallax* and it is relatively longer in proportion to the proglottid width. The uterine pouches may be more numerous and the embryos a trifle larger than in *P. fallax.* The second egg membrane is larger in this species. In other respects the species are much alike. Staining and careful comparisons are necessary to distinguish them. *P. dubius* may be differentiated from *P. neglectus* by the smaller size of its proglottids and by the larger size of its embryos. The proportions of the cirrus-pouch are also very different. *P. dubius* is much unlike *P. percae* and *P. cernuae* in size, in size of suckers; in number and size and arrangement of testes, and in length of cirrus-pouch. This species differs from *P. esocis, P. agonis* and *P. filicollis* in size and in the presence of a fifth sucker. There is also considerable difference in the length of the cirrus-pouch.

PROTEOCEPHALUS CERNUAE (Gmelin) La Rue

[Figs. 5, 6, 66-68]

1790:	*Taenia cernuae*	Gmelin	1790:3079, No. 79
1803:	*Halysis cernuae*	Zeder	1803:376
1810:	*Taenia ocellata*	Rudolphi	1810:108, in part
(?)1835:	*Taenia ocellata*	Von Siebold	1835:83
(?)1897:	*Taenia ocellata*	Von Rátz	1897:155,162
(?)1897:	*Taenia filicollis*	Von Rátz	1897:155, 162
1898:	*Ichthyotaenia ocellata*	Mühling	1898:37
1911:	*Proteocephalus cernuae*	La Rue	1911:475-476

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Strobila short, robust. Observed length as much as 40 mm. Maximum breadth 1.50 mm. Number of proglottids 50-60. Segmentation not plain. Head not well set off from neck, flattened dorsoventrally, and with a flat anterior face. Breadth of head 0.291-0.316 mm., length about 1.10 mm. Suckers not prominent, directed anteriorly, placed above broadest zone of head. Suckers almost globular, heavily muscled, small, with deep cavities. Diameter of suckers 0.064-0.090 mm. Fifth sucker present, 0.024 mm. in diameter. Neck 0.3-0.39 mm. broad, 1.7-2.0 mm. long. First proglottids much broader than long, 0.425 mm. broad by 0.085 mm. long. Mature and ripe proglottids broader than long. Length exceeds breadth only in old ripe proglottids. Dimensions of mature proglottids about 0.476 mm. long by 0.816 mm. broad, ripe proglottids 1.309-1.51 mm. broad by 0.68-0.85 mm. long. End-proglottid present and functional.

Common genital sinus situated near middle of lateral margin of segment, irregularly alternating. Cirrus-pouch 0.185-0.228 mm. long, extending barely through vitellaria. Ratio of length of cirrus-pouch to breadth of proglottid 2:9 in mature, 1:5, 1:6, 1:7 in ripe proglottids. Ductus ejaculatorius in 1-3 coils. Vas deferens forming a narrow mass extending to middle of proglottid. Testes about 70 in number, in one layer, occupying entire field between vitellaria and anterior to ovary. Diameter of testes 0.085-0.130 mm. Vagina anterior to cirrus-pouch, never crossing the latter. Sphincter vaginae and receptaculum seminis not seen. Lobes of ovary thick, heavy, and long, length of pair 0.510-0.918 mm. Vitellaria coarsely follicular, voluminous, situated farther from margin of proglottid than in most species. Uterus in ripe proglottids with 6-8-9-12 lateral pouches on either side. Embryo with three membranes, second membrane 0.037-0.04 mm. in diameter, embryo ovoidal, 0.0212-0.0265 mm. in length.

Habitat: In intestine of *Acerina cernua* (Linn.).

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
Acerina cernua (Linn.)	....	Pallas	Gmelin 1790:3079.*
(?) " "	Prussia	Von Siebold	Von Siebold 1835:83
(?) " "	"	Lühe	Mühling 1898:37
(?) " "	Lake Balaton	Von Rátz	Von Rátz 1897:162
(?) " *schraetzer*	"	"	Von Rátz 1897:162
" *cernua*	Königsberg	Braun	La Rue (the present paper)

Gmelin (1790:3079) refers to this species in these words: "Taenia cernuae. 79—*T. Pallas elench. zooph. p.* 414. *Habitat* in percae cernuae intestinis, *vix propria species.*" The writer has not been able to secure the paper cited by Gmelin so he can not judge as to his description if, indeed, he gives one. Zeder (1803:376) adds nothing descriptive to Gmelin's data. He remarks, "Ist schwerlich eine eigene Art, und gehört zu nro. 42. (Halysis percae mihi)." Evidently the confusion of this species with *Proteocephalus percae* begins here. Rudolphi (1810:108) considers *T. cernuae* a synonym of *Taenia ocellata* Rud. (Vide infra for quotation).

Von Siebold (1835:83) found *Taenia ocellata* in *Acerina cernua* in 1834. This reference is from Mühling (1898:37). The writer has not seen von Siebold's paper. Mühling also states that Lühe in 1893 found this species in *Acerina cernua* but the writer has not been able to find any reference to this catch in any of Lühe's papers. Von Rátz (1897: 162) names *Ichthyotaenia ocellata* (Rud.) and *I. filicollis* (Rud.) as parasites of *Acerina cernua* Linn. and *I filicollis* (Rud.) as a parasite of *A. schraetzer* Cuv. in Lake Balaton. Since he gives no description a determination of the systematic position of his specimens cannot be made. They may belong to *P. cernuae* and they probably do not belong to *P. percae* (Müller). Mühling (1898:17) stated that *Ichthyotaenia ocellata* had been found in East Prussia by Lühe. La Rue (1911: 475-476) described briefly *P. cernuae* from specimens used in the present description.

---

*Gmelin gave this on the authority of Pallas 1776:414. The writer has not access to this work of Pallas.

Von Siebold's and Lühe's specimens were collected in Prussia, the same general locality as those of Braun which the writer has had for study. For this reason and for the reason that they came from the same host species it is deemed probable that they belong to the same species. The specimens collected by von Rátz were taken from hosts occurring in a different drainage system and may or may not belong to *P. cernuae.* They probably do not belong to *P. percae* which comes from the same general region as does *P. cernuae* and which seems to be limited to *Perca fluviatilis* as a host. It is possible, of course, that they represent a new species. With these possibilities in mind von Rátz's specimens should be compared with parasites from *Perca fluviatilis* and *Acerina cernua* taken in Prussia or Finland.

The description of this species is based on five specimens which Prof. H. B. Ward secured from Prof. Max. Braun. The material bears the label "*Proteocephalus ocellata* Rud. int. *Acerina cernua* L. Königsberg, Pr. 8/93." These specimens bearing the number 09.26 and slides of the same are now to be found in Professor Ward's collection.

In general appearance these worms are short and robust. Four of them are very short, 10.0-19.5-22.0 mm. The fifth measured about 40 mm. Yet each strobila has proglottids with ripe eggs. A maximum breadth of 1.50 mm. was observed in the longest specimen and a breadth of 1.309 mm. in a shorter one. The head (Figs. 5, 6) is not well set off from the neck. Indeed, it is continuous with the neck which in all five specimens is broader than the head. The anterior face of the head is flattened and the suckers (Figs. 5, 6) are set at the margin of the flattened area. They are small and not prominent and are directed anteriad. No furrows or ridges mark the head. A fifth sucker is very faintly visible. The head is 0.291-0.316 mm. broad at a point a little posterior to the suckers. It is flattened dorsoventrally. Its length which cannot be determined with any accuracy is about 0.100 mm.

The suckers are heavily muscled, deeply concave, small and nearly globular in shape. Six suckers, four from one head and two from a second, gave the following measurements:

Suckers of *Proteocephalus cernuae*

Length	Breadth	Diameter of opening
0.07 mm.	0.079 mm.	0.042 mm. approximately
0.07 mm.	0.070 mm.	0.042 mm.
0.079 mm.	0.064 mm.	0.037 mm.
0.064 mm.	0.079 mm.	....
0.090 mm.	0.085 mm.	0.037 mm.
0.090 mm.	0.090 mm.	0.037 mm.

The range of length and breadth of the suckers is about 0.064-0.090 mm. A sucker in transverse section measured 0.074 mm. in diameter. The sucker opening it will be observed is very small, 0.037-0.042 mm. The fifth sucker which seems to be a true sucker is about 0.024 mm. in diameter. Its cavity is very shallow. Because of the scarcity of material no sections were made and its true structure could not be finally determined. The neck in all five specimens is broad and thick, narrowest just posterior to the head. Here it measures about 0.3-0.39 mm. Its length varies from 1.36-1.7-2.0 mm. from the tip of the head to the first traces of segmentation.

The total number of proglottids in one of the shorter strobilas was 52, in the longest, 66. The segmentation is not plain. The edges of the chain are quite smooth except for now and then small folds which rarely occur at the junction of two proglottids. The angles between proglottids are scarcely noticeable. No longitudinal folds or furrows were seen. The first proglottids are much broader than long. In one specimen they measured 0.425 mm. broad by 0.085 mm. long. As the proglottids become older they increase both in length and breadth. In all but a very few ripe proglottids the breadth exceeds the length or the segments are nearly quadrate. Mature proglottids are broader than long, about 0.476 mm. long by 0.816 mm. broad. Ripe proglottids measure as much as 1.309 mm. broad by 0.68 mm. long or even 1.51 mm. broad by 0.85 mm. long. One ripe proglottid which had discharged some of its eggs measured 1.19 mm. long by 0.915 mm. broad. A true functional end-proglottid, pointed at the posterior end, was 1.19 mm. broad at the anterior end and 1.105 mm. long. The measurements given are representative hence it will be noted that in general the breadth of the proglottids exceeds the length. Only rarely and then only in old ripe proglottids does the length exceed the breadth. The nervous and excretory systems, and the musculature have not been studied.

The common genital sinus (Figs. 66, 67) is situated very near the middle of the lateral margin of the segment or in ripe proglottids slightly posterior to the middle. It alternates irregularly. There is no genital papilla. In a worm of 52 proglottids the anlagen of the sexual organs appear in the 10th-12th segment as two darkly staining masses the one representing the cirrus and vagina and the other the ovaries. In the 20th segment the anlagen of the testes appear. Beginning with the 24th segment the sexual organs are mature while with segment 40 a number of eggs begin to appear in the uterus.

The cirrus-pouch (Figs. 66, 67) in mature proglottids is about 0.221 mm. long while in ripe proglottids its length measures 0.185-0.212-0.228 mm. It is an elongated ovoid in shape being broadest near the inner end. In mature proglottids it extends just a short distance through the vitellaria. In ripe proglottids it may barely reach through the vitellaria. The ratio of the length of the cirrus-pouch to the breadth of the proglottid varies from 2:9 in mature to 1:5, 1:6, 1:7 in ripe proglottids. The protruded cirrus has not been seen. Within the cirrus-pouch the distal portion of the cirrus is slender and straight. The ductus ejaculatorius is thrown into 1-3 coils. The vas deferens in scarcely mature proglottids is thrown into coils which extend in a straight narrow mass nearly to the middle of the proglottid, never past the middle. In ripe proglottids coils of the vas deferens are massed at the inner end of the cirrus-pouch and there is no large mass of coils in the middle of the segment. In *P. fallax* La Rue the coils of the vas deferens form a dense mass in the mid-field of the segment. In *P. neglectus* La Rue the large mass of coils of vas deferens lies in the middle of the proglottid. The testes (Fig. 67) about 70 in number, apparently lie in one layer. They are irregularly scattered between the vitellaria anterior to the ovary. They measure 0.085-0.130 mm. in diameter. They are thus more numerous and larger than in *P. fallax* and *P. dubius*, somewhat more numerous and larger than in *P. percae* and much larger than in *P. neglectus*.

The vagina (Figs. 66, 67) always opens anterior to the cirrus-pouch which it never crosses in its course to the interovarial space. It passes to the middle of the proglottid in a smooth, gentle curve, then it bends posteriad toward the interovarial space. There are no coils of vagina anterior to the ovary but at that place it may be slightly sinuous. This straight or nearly straight condition of the vagina is evidence that the worm is in a normal state of contraction for usually when a proglottid is unduly contracted the vagina is very sinuous. In an elongated proglottid of the same worm the vagina may be straight or nearly so. Thus far a sphincter vaginae has not been demonstrated. The lumen of the vagina from its opening to the ovary is almost constant in diameter. No receptaculum seminis has been demonstrated. The ovary is bilobed as in other Proteocephalids. The lobes are thick, heavy and long. In all dimensions they are much larger than in *P. fallax* or *P. dubius*. They also have a different outline. In mature proglottids they measure 0.510 mm. long while in ripe proglottids their span may be as much as 0.918 mm. This is much greater than in *P. fallax* or *P. dubius* and more nearly like the condition in *P. percae*. The organs within the interovarial space have not been investigated.

The vitellaria (Fig. 67) are coarsely follicular, lateral masses. The follicles are much coarser and more numerous than in *P. dubius* or *P. fallax*. The vitellaria are situated at a distance of 0.100 mm. from the margin in mature and ripe proglottids. This is considerably farther than in *P. fallax* and *P. dubius* and greater than in *P. percae*. The uterus, a median tube in mature proglottids, is augmented in ripe proglottids by 6-8-9-12 lateral outpocketings on either side. The pouches (Figs. 66, 68) are long, reaching to the vitellaria, and are voluminous. Sometimes the larger pouches are apparently subdivided by short septa. For this reason the appearance of the ripe proglottid in toto preparations differs considerably from that of *P. percae* or *P. dubius*. The embryo is surrounded by three membranes, an outer thin and hyaline, a middle thick and somewhat granular, and an inner very thin membrane immediately investing the embryo. The embryo varies from ovoidal to spherical in shape. The measurements of four embryos may be taken as fairly representative of the range of size—0.0265 by 0.024 mm., 0.0212 by 0.0212 mm., 0.0265 by 0.0212 mm., 0.024 by 0.0212 mm. The second membrane measures 0.037-0.040 mm. in diameter. The outer membrane is very variable in size and in the material studied almost impossible to measure on account of its collapsed condition.

This species somewhat resembling *P. percae* and *P. torulosus* in its outward appearance is distinguished from the latter by its fifth sucker, its smaller suckers, its shorter length, its much more numerous lateral uterine pouches, its fewer testes, and by the different arrangement of testes. From *P. percae* it is distinguished by its more numerous uterine pouches, its more numerous and larger testes, its much shorter cirrus-pouch, and by the different relations of cirrus-pouch and vagina. From *P. dubius* and *P. fallax* it is distinguished by its larger head, its proglottids of greatly different proportions, its more numerous and larger testes, by the greatly different cirrus and cirrus-pouch, by the different relations of the cirrus-pouch and vagina, by the differently placed coils of vas deferens, by the difference in the size of ovaries, and by the shape of the ovarial space in the ripe proglottid. From *P. longicollis,* as described by von Linstow, *P. cernuae* is distinguished by the much shorter neck, the different position of the genital opening, by the number of testes, by the position and shape of the mass of coils of the vas deferens, by the number of uterine pouches, and by the size of the eggs. No American species yet discovered resembles it closely.

This species is closely allied to the form which Zschokke designated as *P. longicollis* (Rud.) but which has been determined to be a separate species, *P. neglectus.* Unfortunately the heads of *P. cernuae* and *P. neglectus* cannot be compared due to lack of heads in the latter material. The shape and size of the proglottids may be about the same. In *P. neglectus* the segmentation is evident but very indistinct in this species. The minimum length of the cirrus-pouch of *P. neglectus* is greater than the maximum of the same organ in *P. cernuae.* The ductus ejaculatorius in *P. neglectus* is straight but it has from two to three coils in this species. In ripe proglottids of *P. neglectus* the ratio of the length of the cirrus-pouch to proglottid breadth is 1:3 to 2:9 but in *P. cernuae* it is 1:5, 1:6, 1:7.

The coils of the vas deferens are massed close to the cirrus pouch in *P. cernuae* but they are mostly median in *P. neglectus.* The testes of *P. cernuae* are nearly double the size of those in *P. neglectus,* so also the length of the ovary in ripe proglottids is much greater in the former than in the latter. The extent and compactness of the vitellaria and their relation to the margin of the proglottid are different in the two species. There may be more uterine outpocketings in *P. cernuae* than in *P. neglectus.* The embryo measures 0.026-0.0265 mm. in *P. neglectus* and about 0.021 mm. in spherical embryos of *P. cernuae.* A maximum measurement of 0.0265 mm. may occur in the latter species but only in elongated embryos. These species which seem much alike at first glance are thus after all quite different.

PROTEOCEPHALUS PERCAE (Müller)

[Figs. 8, 9, 69-74, 120-122, 172, 173]

1780:	*Taenia percae*	Müller	1780:152-155, 179
1781:	*Taenia cystica*	Pallas	1781:101
1786:	*Taenia percae*	Batsch	1786:234-235
1788:	*Taenia percae*	Müller	1788:5
1788:	*Taenia percae*	Schrank	1788:48
1790:	*Taenia percae*	Gmelin	1790:3079
1802:	*Taenia ocellata*	Rudolphi	1802a:112
1803:	*Halysis percae*	Zeder	1803:355
1810:	*Taenia ocellata*	Rudolphi	1810:108.
1819:	*Taenia ocellata*	Rudolphi	1819:149
1845:	*Taenia ocellata*	Dujardin	1845:583
1850:	*Taenia ocellata*	Diesing	1850:513
1861:	*Taenia ocellata*	Van Beneden.	1861:165
1889:	*Taenia ocellata*	Lönnberg	1889:14
1902:	*Ichthyotaenia filicollis*	Schneider	1902:21-22
1902:	*Ichthyotaenia ocellata*	Schneider	1902:23
1903:	*Ichthyotaenia percae*	Schneider	1903:13-22
1905:	*Ichthyotaenia ocellata*	Schneider	1905:11-15
1905:	*Ichthyotaenia percae*	Schneider	1905:15-17
1911:	*Proteocephalus percae*	La Rue	1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of the genus. Worms of varying length, 20-200 mm. Maximum breadth 1.1-1.5-2.0 mm. Head short, broad, flattened dorsoventrally, apex slightly elevated or flattened. At summit a fifth sucker, muscular, 0.033-0.040-0.060 mm. in diameter. Four suckers, muscular, with deep cavities, 0.085-0.100-0.137 mm. in diameter, situated at broadest zone of head or immediately anterior thereto. Head 0.192-0.357 mm. broad, usually about 0.300 mm. Thickness of head 0.170-0.238 mm. Surface of head without furrows. Neck 0.170-0.50 mm. broad by 3.0-10.0 mm. long. Transition to first proglottids imperceptible. First proglottids usually broader than long, 0.255-0.34 mm. broad by 0.085-0.102 mm. long, rarely longer than broad. Mature and ripe proglottids broader than long. Breadth of mature proglottids 0.935-1.19-1.30 mm., length of same 0.255-0.340 mm. Breadth of ripe proglottids 1.10-1.7 mm.; length of same 0.42-0.85 mm. End proglottids about quadrate. Proglottids few, 150 or more, closely attached. Segmentation fairly evident, angles of proglottid rounded. Surface of worm wrinkled and rough.

Genital organs as in genus. Genital aperture marginal, near middle of proglottid, irregularly alternating. Testes in single layer, irregularly arranged between vitellaria. Testes ovoidal, 0.05-0.095 mm. long by 0.05-0.07 mm. broad, 50-60 in number. Vas deferens a thick straight mass of coils reaching to middle of proglottid or beyond. Cirrus-pouch 0.34-0.47 mm. long, slender, lying at right angles to margin of proglottid. Ratio of length of cirrus-pouch to proglottid breadth 1:3-2:5. Cirrus, when protruded 0.1-0.2 mm. long, when unprotruded, straight within cirrus-pouch.

Vagina always anterior and dorsal to cirrus-pouch, crossing cirrus-pouch near middle. Vaginal sphincter small. Lumen of vagina ciliated. Ovary bilobed, posterior. Lobes long and heavy. Vitellaria lateral, follicular, denser near ovary. Uterus with 4-5-9 lateral outpocketings on either side. Uterine pore single, situated near middle of proglottid. Eggs provided with three membranes. Embryo 0.01 mm., second membrane 0.0264-0.029 mm., outer membrane 0.031-0.037 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: Intestine of *Perca fluviatilis* and other fish.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
Perca norvegica	....	Müller	O. F. Müller (1788)
Perca fluviatilis	....	Pallas	Rudolphi (1810)
(?) *Acerina cernua*[1]	....	Pallas	Rudolphi (1810)
Perca fluviatilis	Greifswald	Rudolphi	Rudolphi (1810)
Perca fluviatilis	Finland	Schneider	Schneider (1903 and 1905)
Coregonus lavaretus	Finland	Schneider	La Rue (The present paper)
Cottus quadricornis[2]	Finland	Schneider	La Rue (The present paper)
Perca fluviatilis	Rositten, East Prussia	Mühling	Mühling (1898)
(?) *Gasterosteus aculeatus*[3]	Rositten, East Prussia	Mühling	Mühling (1898)
Perca fluviatilis	Upsala	Lönnberg	Lönnberg (1889)

[1] In the course of this work specimens from *Acerina cernua* have been determined to belong to a new species, *Proteocephalus cernuae*. Outwardly these specimens much resemble *P. percae* and there is therefore some doubt whether the specimens collected by Pallas and the *Taenia percae* Müller were identical. Such a doubt is valid until modern investigations show that *Acerina cernua* harbors *P. percae* (Müller).

[2] Needs confirmation.

[3] This seems to be a misdetermination. The parasite was probably *P. filicollis*.

This species was first described by Müller (1780:152-155, 179). His diagnosis (1780:179) reads thus: "*Taenia percae,* capite bulboso, ocellis quatuor, osculis marginalibus, articulis quadrangulis." On account of its inaccessibility it is deemed best to quote here a portion of Müller's observations (1780:152-153) tho in a measure these observations are recapitulated in his (1788) description which is quoted after this of 1780.

> "Der Kopf oder das erste Glied des vordern und schmalen Endes ist von gleicher Breite mit den nächsten Gelenken, vorne stumpf, und in der Mitte des Randes gleichsam eingebogen. Oben mit zwei durchsichtigen und über einander stehenden kugelrunden Knoten besetzt. Wenn der Kopf fast ausgetrochnet ist, zeiget sich die Spur von vier Zirkeln, zwei an der Stelle der Knoten mit einem eingedruckten dunkeln Punkte in der Mitte; und zwei gleichsam im Schatten an der untern Lefze näher am Rande.
>
> "Die Gelenke sind platter, und kommen einem gleichseitigen Quadrat Näher, als bei den andern Bandwürmern. Auf beiden Seiten gegen den Rand laufen *zwei helle weisse Linien* den ganzen Wurm hindurch; eigentlich werden sie, wenn man genau zusiehet, von den Verbindungen der Gelenke unterbrachen, und machen in jedem Gelenke eine kleine *bogenformige* Linie; hie und da siehet man neben den Verbindungen der Gelenke in der Mitte den gewöhnlichen punktformigen Eindruck, und auf der einen Fläche, nicht auf der andern, einen *weissen Querstrich,* der sich von der Mitte des einen Seitenrands bis zu der Mitte des Gelenkes erstrecket. Die Gelenke sind am Rande dicker, als gewöhnlich; viele haben an dem einen äussern Seitenrande ein tiefes Loch, und, es scheinet dass der Querstrich von dem hier durchfallenden Lichte herrühret, weil er an dem undurchlöcherten Gelenke, und an der Seite, wo kein Loch ist, nicht zu bemerken war. Diese Löcher sind wahre Vertiefungen, und immer in der Mitte des Seitenrandes der Gelenke, doch nicht in allen, sondern ohne Ordnung, bald in zwei, bald in mehreren auf einander folgenden. Bei den andern Bandwürmern habe ich dergleichen nicht bemerket."

Under the name *Taenia cystica* Pallas (1781:101) described some plerocercoids which he had found encysted in the liver of the perch and pike. It seems probable that the specimens from the liver of the perch were the larval form of the *Taenia percae.* It is improbable that the larvae found in the liver of the pike belonged to this species. Rudolphi (1802a:112) states that these were probably *Tricuspidaria.* Batsch (1786:156-7) wrote concerning "Der Leberbandwurm in Barschen". Under this title he considered the larvae encysted in the livers of the pike and two species of perch which he called 'Stock-und Kaulbarschen'. His statement "die Haken und Köpfe" etc. shows very conclusively that he was dealing with some other species than *Taenia percae* tho some of his specimens may have belonged to that species. Batsch (1786:

234-5) compiled a description of *Taenia percae* from Müller's (1780) description.

Müller (1788:5) gave a description and diagnosis together with drawings of this species. The latter have been faithfully redrawn and are reproduced (Figs. 121, 122, 172, 173). These are of value for comparative purposes while the description which is here quoted is sufficiently detailed to permit its comparison with later descriptions. His diagnosis and synonymy are omitted.

> "*Caput,* seu extremitas antica et tenuior insequentium articulorum latitudine, obtusum supra bulbis binis sphaericis, ocularibus, pellucentibus, subtus binis similibus obsoletis infra marginem instructum. *Articuli* corporis crassiores et planiores quam in congeneribus figuram quadrangulam imitantur. In ipso corpore linea longitudinalis candida utrinque conspicitur; haec propius inspecta e lineolis articulorum arcuatis quavis intersectione interruptis componitur. In ipsis intersectionibus *porus* seu osculum solitum passim conspicitur, ac marginis medio in altera paginae parts, non in opposita, linea transversa alba ad medium paginae pertingens pellucet. Haec foramini in ipsissima ora laterali quorundam articulorum conspicuo ac ad medium usque pertuso deberi videtur. Foramen hoc canaliculatum oviductus est ac in paucis Taeniae speciebus observatur.
>
> "In paene exsiccato capitulo quatuor circuli bulborum vestigia apparuere; posteriores puncto opaco impressi erant.
>
> "In intestinis Percae *marinae* raro; ultra viginti enim diversa aetate examini subieci, in duabus tantum Martio et Aprili, unicam nempe in altera, in altera tres reperi.
>
> "Quas claris. GOEZE in *Siluro* reperit, sola absentia lineolarum lateralium non in omnibus aeque visibilium differre videtur."

Müller in his synonymy gave *Taenia alternatim transverse lineata* Goeze, a parasite of *Silurus glanis,* as a synonym of *Taenia percae.* This was followed by Gmelin (1790:3079) who also considered Goeze's species to be the same as *Taenia percae.* Schrank (1788:48) in his catalogue gave a diagnosis of *Taenia percae* and listed the perch as the host. Rudolphi (1802a-112-13) diagnosed and described this species, naming it *Taenia ocellata.* He recognized that his species and Müller's *Taenia percae,* exclusive of the variety β, were synonymous. His description is here quoted verbatim:

> "*Taenia ocellata*: capite obtuso, osculis orbicularibus excavatis; corpore planiusculo, articulis subquadrangulis.
>
> "Taenia percae Syst. Nat. p. 3079. n. 77. (exclusa var. 3.)
>
> "Zwei bis fünf Zoll lang. Der Kopf rundlich, der Gestalt nach alle Augenblicke verschieden, bald aufgeblasen, bald zusammengezogen u. s. w. Nach vorne stehen am Kopf vier kleine aber tiefe Saugblasen, wie Näpfschen, an denen ich keinen Rand, wie bei der vorigen Art, wahrgenommen habe; sonst sind sie eben so veränderlich. Der Hals ist dünn und schwach runzlich, die auf ihn folgenden Glieder werden allmählich grösser und grösser, so dass die Stärksten derselben fast

eine Linie breit sind. In der Mitte eines jeden grössern Gliedes erscheinen Linien die sich untereinander verbinden und so fast das ganze Glied zuletzt einnehmen, doch habe ich keine Mündungen an den Rändern wahrnehmen können. Die Glieder sind deutlich und beinahe viereckig, man kann also nicht leicht diesen Wurm mit der Tricuspidaria verwechseln, wenn man auch vom Kopfende absehen wollte, das sonst schon allein statt alles Unterschiedes ist.

"Ich habe diesen Wurm, aber nur selten, im Darmkanal des Barsches, Perca fluviatilis, gefunden, und zwar im Junius. Pallas, der diesen Wurm unstreitig gekannt hat, (N. Nord. Beitr. I. S. 102. (113) Fig. 33. A.) verwechselt ihn mit der Tricuspidaria, da er ihn nicht allein im Darmkanal sondern auch in Blasen an der Leber beim Barsch (und Hecht) gefunden haben will; in diesen Blasen nämlich ist er wohl nicht zu finden.

"Müllers Taenia percae (Zool. Dan. II. p. 5. Tab. 44. Fig. 1-4.) scheint hierher zu gehören, der Kopf (bis auf dessen Spitze, dergleichen ich nicht finde), passt gut; was er vom Körper sagt, bezeichnet sehr grosse Exemplare, dergleichen auch Fig. 1. abbildet, ich kann also aus den Oeffnungen u. s. w. keinen Grund degegen hernehmen. Eins aber verstehe ich nicht, er sagt nämlich: Articuli corporis crassiores et planiores quam in congeneribus; ich finde sie auch etwas dick und abgeplättet, aber wenn sie dick sind, können sie näturlich nicht so flach seyn, als bey den ganz dünnen Arten. Uebrigens ist der Bandwurm, den Müller beschreibt, aus der Perca marina.

"Wenn aber Müller und auf seine Auctorität auch Gmelin den Bandwurm aus dem Wels dahin ziehen will, so kann ich nicht ihrer Meinung seyn. Sie kennen jenen Wurm nur aus Goezes Abbildung, und die ist, besonders wenn man den Kopf betrachtet, durchaus verschieden."

Zeder (1803:355) gave a diagnosis and synonymy of this species under the name *Halysis percae*. He remarked: "Hier sind in Gmelins Ausgabe verschiedene Arten zusammengeworfen. Nach dem Zitat des Pallas (N. Bord. Beytr. S. 102) hat Gmelin den Blasenwurm aus der Leber des Berschen hieher, und zwar gans irrig, gerechnet. Und dass der aus dem Darmkanal des Welses nicht hieher gehöret, erhellet schon aus den Bestimmungen desselben." Rudolphi (1810:108) again diagnosed and described *Taenia ocellata*. His synonymy is almost identical with the one which has been given up to this point in this work with the exception that he considered *Taenia cernuae* to be a synonym of *Taenia ocellata*. His habitat data are here quoted: "In intestinis *Percae fluviatilis* et *cernuae* Pallas copiose, *Percae marinae* Müller rarius, repererunt. Ipse vario anni tempore in Perca fluv. copiosam offendi." He considered that the variety β in Gmelin's (1790:3079) catalogue (*Taenia alternatim transverse lineata* Goeze) belonged with *Taenia osculata* and not here. Rudolphi (1819:149) quoted his earlier diagnosis (1810). He further stated that *Taenia ocellata* had been found abundantly by himself in *Perca fluviatilis* at Greifswald, by Pallas in *Perca cernua,* and by Müller rarely in *Perca norvegica,* a fish which Müller called *Perca marina*.

Perca cernua is now known as *Acerina cernua* while the *Perca marina* should be known as *Sebastes marina,* according to Jordan and Evermann (1896-1900). Rudolphi (1819) stated that *Perca marina* did not occur in Müller's region and that the latter's specimens came from *Perca norvegica.* It seems very doubtful that the species designated by Müller as *Perca marina* and by Rudolphi as *Perca norvegica* can be identical with *Sebastes marina,* for the latter is a marine fish whereas the host of *Taenia percae* must be a freshwater fish since the genus Proteocephalus occurs only in the fishes of freshwater. Dujardin (1845:583) and Diesing (1850:513) added almost nothing to the data as given by the earlier investigators.

Van Beneden (1861:165) briefly reported *Taenia ocellata* but gave no drawings. He stated that the perch was the host. Von Linstow (1878:208, 209) did not increase the list of hosts. Zschokke (1884:16-17) reported and described a cestode from *Perca fluviatilis,* Lake Lucerne which he identified as *Taenia filicollis* Rud. As shown in another part of this work his specimens are probably to be considered as belonging to the species, *P. dubius.* Under the name of *Taenia ocellata* Zschokke (1884:13, 14) described a form from several hosts including *Coregonus fera.* It seems not at all unlikely that this species is the same as the one from *Coregonus fera* which Kraemer (1892) first described as *Taenia filicollis* and later in the same article as *Taenia ocellata.* Kraemer's species has been shown elsewhere in this monograph to be very different from *Taenia percae,* and it was described by La Rue (1911) as a new species, *P. fallax.* A more complete discussion of Zschokke's and Kraemer's work above cited will be found in the description and synonymy of *P. fallax.* Lönnberg (1889:14) reported *Taenia ocellata* Rud. from *Perca fluviatillis,* Upsala. His diagnosis is short, yet sufficient data are given to enable one to determine that this form is not the same as that one which he reported (1889:15) from *Gasterosteus pungitius* and identified by him as *Taenia filicollis* Rud. Lönnberg's *Taenia ocellata* was nearly 3 mm. broad by 150 mm. long. It is highly probable that this form is identical with the *Taenia percae* Müller. Linton (1897:425-426) provisionally assigned to this species some cestodes which in all probability belong to *Proteocephalus ambloplitis* (Leidy). Von Rátz (1897:453) reported finding *Ichthyotaenia ocellata* in *Esox lucius* and *Lucioperca sandra* in Lake Balaton. It seems improbable that his determination was correct. Mühling (1898:36) found what he determined to be *Ichthyotaenia ocellata* in *Perca fluviatilis* and *Gasterosteus aculeatus* at Rositten, East Prussia. It seems probable that he has made a misdetermination in the case of the cestodes found in *Gasterosteus aculeatus.*

Schneider (1902:21-22) reported a species of cestode parasitic in *Perca fluviatilis* which he considered to be *Ichthyotaenia filicollis.* He believed this to belong to the species found by Zschokke (1884) in the same host species. He noted the fact that Kraemer (1892) considering his *Taenia filicollis* to be a younger stage of *Taenia ocellata,* put his two forms together in the same species, *T. ocellata.* To this last Schneider did not agree but held that the specimens from *Perca fluviatilis* and *Coregonus lavaretus* were distinct species. He noted on the one hand the near relationships and the great similarities of the species of the Ichthyotaenia and on the other extreme variability of the cestodes. He concluded that it was not therefore wholly unlikely that the one form stands in relation to the other as a variety which has arisen from the changed environmental conditions of the new host. He further gave a short description of the worm in which he considered external characters almost exclusively. In the same article Schneider (1902:23) reported some specimens of *Ichthyotaenia ocellata* from *Coregonus lavaretus* and from *Cottus quadricornis.* It seems improbable that the latter species is a host of the cestode mentioned.

Schneider (1903:13-23) decided to avoid entirely the questions of identity surrounding the names *Taenia ocellata* and *Taenia filicollis* Rud. He proposed to use the older name *Taenia percae* to designate that form from *Perca fluviatilis* which he (1902:21-22) had previously considered to be *Taenia filicollis* Rud. His reasons for this action need not be stated here. As synonyms of *Ichthyotaenia percae* he cited *Taenia percae* Müller (1788:5, pl. XLIV), *Taenia ocellata* Rudolphi (1810:108), *Taenia filicollis* Zschokke (1884:16-18) in part, *Ichthyotaenia ocellata* Riggenbach (1896:268) in part, and *Ichthyotaenia filicollis* Schneider (1902:21) in part. It is to be noted that Schneider failed to include in his synonymy any part of Kraemer's (1892) *Taenia ocellata.* This seems the more remarkable when it is remembered that both Kraemer and Riggenbach were students under Zschokke and it is quite likely that both the pupils accepted the determination of their master on the latter's *T. filicollis.* Nevertheless parts of Zschokke's and Riggenbach's data on *T. filicollis* are held to apply to *Ichthyotaenia percae.* Nor did Schneider refer to Benedict (1900) in his synonymy. In this he was correct for in another part of this work it is shown that Benedict was working on a distinct species for which La Rue (1911) has proposed the name *Proteocephalus exiguus.* In his later description of the species Schneider (1905) gave nothing further on the synonymy of *Ichthyotaenia percae* but he added some descriptive data to that given in his papers of 1902 and 1903.

Lühe (1909) considered *Taenia ocellata* Rud. a synonym of *Ichthyotaenia percae* (O. F. Müller). In his diagnosis he followed Kraemer

(1892) and as an illustration of the proglottid of the species he apparently redrew Kraemer's figure 18. Apparently also he either ignored Schneider's work or else he considered Kraemer's *T. ocellata* and Schneider's *Ichthyotaenia percae* to be identical without having made a comparison of the material. No one who has made a careful comparison of Schneider's and Kraemer's drawings and descriptions would think of putting them in the same species. It has been shown elsewhere in this monograph that Kraemer's *T. ocellata,* or *T. filicollis* as he called his species in one part of his paper, is not the same as Schneider's *Ichthyotaenia percae*. Kraemer's specimens doubtless belong to *Proteocephalus fallax* La Rue.

A comparison of Müller's (1780 and 1788) data and drawings of *Taenia percae* with Rudolphi's (1802a and 1810) diagnoses and descriptions of *Taenia ocellata* compels the writer to believe that they were referring to the same form. It is also to be remembered that Rudolphi gave Müller's *Taenia percae* as a synonym of his own *T. ocellata*. There remains no reason why the name which Müller suggested for this species should not be used instead of the name suggested by Rudolphi many years later. The generic name is Proteocephalus. Attention is called to the fact that Müller's figure 1 (reproduced Fig. 173) compares very favorably with Schneider's figures and with his specimens which the writer has examined. Müller's figure 4 (reproduced Fig. 172) shows the shape of the proglottids and the location of the genital organs very well. The light area extending from the margin nearly to the middle of the segment is doubtless the cirrus-pouch which under certain circumstances could have been observed by Müller. Müller's figures 2 and 3 of the heads (reproduced Fig. 121, 122) are much like the heads of Schneider's specimens which the writer has examined. Taken all in all the identification seems to be as complete as is possible without a study of Müller's and Rudolphi's actual specimens.

Since the time of Rudolphi the specific names *Taenia filicollis* and *T. ocellata* have appeared frequently in the literature of helminthology. For the most part the names are listed in reports of parasites found, without diagnosis and without description. In such cases the identity of the forms so named can not be determined with any degree of accuracy. A consideration of the host can at times throw a little light on the subject but this datum alone is not to be trusted. It is noteworthy that in the case of *Taenia filicollis* no author prior to Zschokke claimed to find this species in any other than the sticklebacks, and, indeed, in only two species of sticklebacks. Zschokke (1884) reported this species from a number of hosts, none of them sticklebacks. In the case of *Taenia ocellata* no author prior to Zschokke reported this species in any other species than *Perca fluviatilis, P. norvegica,* and *Acerina cernua.* Zschokke (1884) reported this species from several hosts, and from the same host species he reported several other species of *Taenia.* A number of years later Kraemer, a pupil of Zschokke, attempted to prove that *Taenia filicollis* was the young stage of *Taenia ocellata,* and since the appearance of Kraemer's work (1892) *Taenia filicollis* and *Taenia ocellata* have for the most part been considered to be identical. As a result of the tacit acceptance of this determination great confusion exists in the identification of many lots of specimens. It is therefore difficult or, indeed, quite impossible to tell what references among the later writers should be considered in the synonymy of *Proteocephalus percae.* An actual study of many of the specimens bearing these much debated names must be made before an exact determination is possible. A comparative study of specimens of this genus now to be found in the helminthological collections in Europe would doubtless yield many interesting results. In the preparation of this monograph five lots of material identified as *Taenia ocellata* Rud. have been studied. Four of these lots were received from European investigators, another from an American. Out of these five lots four have proved to be new species reported by La Rue (1911) while the fifth was *Proteocephalus percae.* La Rue (1911:475) gave this species a place in a list of *Proteocephalus* species.

Professor Ward secured from Professor Levander, Helsingfors, Finland, some specimens of Schneider's species, *Ichthyotaenia percae* (O. F. Müller) and *I. ocellata* (Rud.) This material included both alcoholics and slides. One bottle, now No. 10.123 in Professor Ward's collection, bears the original label: "*Ichthyotaenia percae* O. F. M. *Det. G. Schneider.*" A second bottle of this material, now No. 10.122, in Professor Ward's collection, bears the original label: "*Ichthyotaenia ocellata* Rud. *Coregonus lavaretus.* 14, V, 02." The two slides were labelled "*Ichthyotaenia ocellata,* Coregonus, 24, VIII, 01." From this data it seems that these must have been prepared from the same lot which Schneider mentioned in his report (1902:23 and 53). Sections and toto preparations were made from specimens of lot No. 10.123, while sections only were prepared from lot No. 10.122. Some of the heads of each lot were cleared in glycerine.

A comparison of Schneider's descriptions of his *Ichthyotaenia percae* and his *I. ocellata* show but two significant differences between the species. He states that the head of *I. percae* is much the larger but that the main difference between them is in the presence in the head of *I. ocellata* of "einen fünften flachen Saugnapf von 40μ im Durchmesser an der Spitze, der offenbar auch noch funktioniert, da er aus einer grossen Anzahl von Radialmuskelfasern besteht''. Of this organ in *I. percae* he writes, ''an der Spitze des Scolex findet sich das deutliche Scheitel-organ, welches bei konservierten Exemplaren eine kugelförmige Anhäufung in der Langsaxe des Tieres gestrekter Zellen darstellt, die ihren Charakter als Muskel-zellen offenbar vorloren haben. Wir sehen hier ein rudimentäres Organ, das, wie es scheint, jede Funktion eingebüsst hat.'' The other differences in size and proportion of heads and necks may be explained as being due to contraction states. The breadth of the head when but a very few specimens can be measured is a valuable tho not an absolutely reliable diagnostic character in a form which is so variable and so contractile. In measuring Schneider's own specimens the writer found the heads of the two forms very much alike. One head of the *I. percae* was even smaller than the dimension recorded by Schneider for *I. ocellata,* while every head of *I. ocellata* was broader than the dimensions which he secured from measurements of the same form. Their thickness in the writer's measurements was about equal to the breadth as he recorded it. It is evident that size of head is not a valid character for the differentiation of these two forms.

As for the fifth sucker, examination of frontal sections of heads of *I. percae* with an oil immersion lens revealed the true muscular structure of a sucker. The drawing (Fig. 73) shows the nuclei, the radial muscles, basement membrane, cut ends of circular muscle fibers, and the cuticula covering the surface of the sucker. No cavity was noted in the fifth sucker of either form. This last difference then between the two forms vanishes. An examination of the two lots of Schneider's specimens revealed a marked agreement in every diagnostic feature except in the proportions of the proglottids and this slight difference was undoubtedly due to different states of contraction. Most remarkable similarity was found in the relations of the cirrus, cirrus-pouch, vas deferens, testes, vagina, vaginal sphincter, uterus, vitellaria, eggs and position of the genital pore. An examination of the comparative table and of the drawings (Figs. 8, 73, 74, 69, 70) of preparations from Kraemer's *I. ocellata* and drawings (Figs. 9, 71, 72) of his *I. percae* will at once show the strong similarities. The differences which Schneider noted in the shape of the ovary are readily explained as being due to contraction states of the proglottid. Schneider's *I. ocellata* is clearly identical with his *I. percae,* and on account of the priority of the name suggested by Müller the latter should be retained. It should also be clearly recognized that *Taenia ocellata* is a synonym of *Taenia percae* and hence can not be used to designate any other species of cestodes in this genus. Schneider then was not justified in using the name *Taenia ocellata* for the cestode found in *Coregonus lavaretus* even had it proved to be a new species.

These cestodes vary in length from 20 to 200 mm. and their maximum breadth varies from 1.1 to 1.5 to 2.0 mm. Differences in the stage of development and also in the amount of contraction account for the greater part of this variation. The short broad head (Figs. 8, 9, 121, 122) is flattened dorsoventrally. Its apex may be slightly elevated or it may be somewhat flattened. At the summit is a small but muscular fifth sucker. The four suckers, directed forward and outward, are situated at the broadest zone of the head or just anterior thereto. The breadth of the head varies from 0.192 to 0.357 mm. tho the greater number of heads measured 0.30-0.34 mm. In thickness the head measures 0.170-0.238 mm. Such variations in dimensions are due to the states of contraction of the muscles of the neck and head. Strong contractions of the longitudinal muscles of the neck and head cause the neck to dilate markedly. This condition also causes the posterior parts of the head to widen and thicken, and thus the suckers are directed more nearly forward. The converse of these statements also holds. The relaxed condition of the longitudinal muscles and the contracted condition of the neck muscles result in the narrow head. The surface of the head is smooth, without wrinkles or furrows. The four muscular suckers measure 0.085-0.100-0.137 mm., the fifth sucker 0.033-0.06 mm. in diameter. The surface of the neck is smooth tho at times transverse wrinkles simulate proglottids. The neck, usually thin, varies considerably in length and breadth. It measures 0.170-0.050 mm. broad while its length varies from 3.0 to 10.0 mm. Its transition to the first proglottids is scarcely perceptible.

First proglottids are extremely variable in shape. In a strongly contracted worm they are much broader than long, being about 0.34 mm. broad by 0.085 mm. long. In less contracted individuals these first proglottids may measure 0.255 mm. broad by 0.102 mm. long. Schneider (1903) states that they are broader than long, nearly quadrate or longer than broad. Mature and ripe proglottids show somewhat similar variations tho in less degree. Mature proglottids in all specimens examined by me were broader than long. The breadth varied from 0.935 to 1.19 or even as much as 1.30 mm., and the length from 0.255 to 0.340 mm. Fully ripe proglottids are slightly longer in proportion to breadth. They measure 1.1 mm. broad by 0.42 mm. long and in the case of the largest proglottids 1.7 mm. broad by 0.85 mm. long. No end-proglottid was observed by me. Schneider describes such a segment as being about quadrate. The number of segments varies from the few in the very short worms to 150 or more in the longer specimens (Schneider). Segmentation is fairly evident especially when the worm is viewed with a lens of low magnification, for the angles of the proglottids are rounded and the intersegmental furrows are plainly marked. Shallow grooves and depressions give the surface of the worm a somewhat roughened appearance. The segments are firmly attached to each other.

A common genital aperture (Figs. 69, 70, 71, 72, 172) is situated near, or slightly anterior to, the middle of the margin of each proglottid. Its position in the strobila alternates irregularly from side to side. There is no genital papilla.

The testes (Figs. 69, 72) lie in a single layer between the vitellaria and anterior to the ovary. They are of ovoidal or spheroidal shape. In length and breadth they measure 0.053-0.080-0.095 mm. by 0.05-0.07 mm. In Schneider's drawing of his *I. ocellata* 50-60 testes may be seen, and in preparations from either lot of his material about that number of testes may be counted. He stated that the testes measure 0.10 by 0.06 mm. The vas deferens forms a thick straight mass of coils extending from the end of the cirrus-pouch to the middle of the segment or even a little beyond. In mature and ripe proglottids the vas deferens is always well distended with spermatozoa. Extending into the segment at right angles to the margin is the long and slender cirrus-pouch. This, in a reconstruction (Fig. 74) from a transection, may be seen to curve upward to the dorsal wall of the dermo-muscular sac where it is firmly attached by strong muscle fibers. Its length varies somewhat in proglottids of different stages of development and in different stages of contraction. It measures 0.34-0.37-0.425-0.47 mm. long, the greater lengths occurring in greatly contracted, and hence very wide, proglottids. Schneider reported the ratio of its length to the proglottid breadth as 1:3 in his *I. ocellata* and 1:3-1:2 in his *I. percae*. The writer finds the ratio in each of his forms to be from 1:3 to 2:5. In no case did the cirrus-pouch reach to the middle of the proglottid tho at first sight the coils of the vas deferens frequently gave it that appearance. Schneider saw the protruded cirrus in *I. percae*, where it measured 0.1-0.2 mm. In both of his forms he found the cirrus straight in the cirrus-pouch. The same condition was observed by the writer. Schneider did not record having seen a protruded cirrus and the writer failed to find such cirri in the material examined. From the fact that the cirrus and the ductus ejaculatorius form a straight tube in the cirrus-pouch one can safely postulate that the protruded cirrus would be short.

The vagina always opens dorsal to the cirrus-pouch. This is shown by a reconstructed transection (Fig. 74) through this region. Then passing inward and bending slightly anteriad it crosses the cirrus-pouch obliquely near the middle and passes toward the ventral wall of the dermo-muscular sac. From the point of crossing the cirrus-pouch (Fig. 72) it passes posteriad in a long curve to the interovarial space. The vagina lies just dorsal to the uterus. Very near the opening of the vagina a short sphincter vaginae 0.020-0.026 mm. thick may be seen. The inner surface of the vagina is apparently ciliated. A receptaculum seminis has not been found, tho it may exist. The ovary (Figs. 69, 70, 72) is long and heavy. It is somewhat arched in the more elongated proglottids and more elongated and slender in the longitudinally contracted proglottids. Frontal sections show the ovary to be made up of closely connected tubes or branches. The vitellaria are long lateral follicular glands which extend from the anterior end of the segment to the ovary but not beyond. The vitellaria are more dense near the ovary.

The coils of the vagina, uterine passage, oviduct, and the common vitelline duct nearly fill the interovarial space. Here also are the oöcapt and oötype. The relations and connections of these various passages are similar to those described by Benedict (1900) for this group. An ill-defined uterine passage discharges into the uterus near the middle of the segment. The uterus (Figs. 70, 71) in ripe proglottids has 4, 5, 7, 8 or even 9 lateral outpocketings on either side. These come to fill up nearly the whole ventral side of the segment. Schneider found but a single uterine pore near the middle of the proglottid. The writer has found this number to be correct for his specimens. Schneider measured the eggs as follows: embryo 0.025 mm.; second membrane 0.045-0.050 mm.; outer membrane 0.090-0.125 mm. My measurements are made from uterine eggs of alcoholic materials. The writer has already shown (La Rue 1909) that the outer membrane swells up when it comes in contact with the water. It is not certain that the middle one does this. The writer's measurements of eggs from Schneider's material are as follows: embryo alone 0.019; second membrane, 0.0264-0.029; outer membrane, 0.031-0.037 mm. Since the writer has had Schneider's own specimens for examination he is inclined to believe that Schneider measured the embryo plus its investing membrane. The eggs which the writer so measured gave his figures almost exactly for the diameter of the embryo. It is a matter of regret that there is not more strict unanimity in the method of measuring and recording the measurements of cestode eggs. The data comprised in the discussion of the preceeding pages regarding *P. oscellatus* and *P. percae* are presented in tabular form on the next page.

Table showing comparative data on *P. ocellatus* and *P. percae*

	Schneider's data		Data secured by the writer from Schneider's material	
	P. ocellatus	*P. percae*	*P. ocellatus*, 10.122* and Schneider's slides	*P. percae*, 10.123*..........
Head	0.22 mm. broad.	0.35 mm. broad.	0.34-0.357 mm. broad x 0.17(?)-0.238 mm. thick. Head flattened dorsoventrally.	0.192-0.335 mm. broad. Head flattened dorsoventrally.
Suckers	0.08 mm. in diameter. Directed forward, and to sides.	0.075 mm. in diameter.	Diameter ranges from 0.106 to 0.137 mm. in diameter, average 0.12 mm. Opening averages 0.065 mm. in diameter. Directed forward and to side.	Diameter averages about 0.09 mm. Sucker opening about 0.05 mm. in diameter. Directed forward and to side.
Fifth sucker	0.04 mm. Functional.	0.035 mm. Not functional.	Sucker knoblike, muscular, no cavity. 0.05-0.06 mm. in diameter.	Sucker knoblike, muscular, no cavity seen. 0.048 mm. in diameter.
Neck	Flattened, variable in length.	....	0.34-0.5 mm. broad x 2-4-10 mm. long.	0.17 mm. broad x about 3 mm. long.
Strobila	170 mm. long x 2 mm. broad.	20-200 mm. long x 1.5 mm. broad.	60 mm. long x 2.0 mm. broad.	30-60 mm. long x 1.10-1.53 mm. broad.
First proglottids	Variable. Length exceeds breadth, quadrate or length equals 2-5 times breadth.	Very broad and short.	Breadth exceeds length. 0.255 mm. broad x 0.120 mm. long.	Broader than long. 0.34 mm. broad x 0.085 mm. long.
Mature proglottids	....	....	0.935 mm. broad x 0.34 mm. long.	1.19-1.3 mm. broad x 0.255-0.34 mm. long.
Ripe proglottids	....	....	1.7 mm. broad x 0.85 mm. long.	1.105 mm. broad x 0.42 mm. long.
End proglottid	About quadrate.	About quadrate.	Not seen.	No proglottids were very ripe. Not seen.
No. of proglottids	150 or more.	Variable.	....	....
Character of segmentation	....	....	....	....

*Number of specimen in Helminthological Collection of Henry B. Ward.

Table showing comparative data on *P. ocellatus* and *P. percae*—(*Continued*)

	Schneider's data		Data secured by the writer	from Schneider's material
	P. ocellatus	*P. percae*	*P. ocellatus*, 10.122* and Schneider's slides	*P. percae*, 10.123*
Genital aperture	Near middle of proglottid. Alternates irregularly.	Near middle or just anterior to middle of proglottid. Alternates irregularly.	Evident. About middle or anterior to middle. No papilla.	Evident. About middle or anterior to same. No papilla.
Vagina	Anterior to cirrus-pouch.	Anterior to cirrus-pouch.	Anterior to cirrus-pouch.	Anterior to cirrus-pouch.
Vaginal sphincter	0.025 mm. thick.	0.025 mm. thick.	0.026 mm. thick, short.	0.021 mm. thick, short.
Ovary	In three cornered space.	2 small narrow lobes.	Lobes long, heavy. Longer and more slender in ripe proglottids.	A trifle more slender than in preceding.
Uterus	About 8 pouches on either side.	About 8 on a side or variable. Drawings show from 4 to 9.	6-8-9 pouches on each side.	6-8-9, rarely 4-5 pouches on a side.
Egg { Embryo	....	0.025 mm. in diameter. (Includes inner membrane.)	Eggs were taken from uterus of 0.019 mm. (embryo only).	preserved specimens. 0.019 mm. (embryo only).
Middle membrane	....	0.045-0.05 mm. in diameter.	0.0264-0.029 mm.	0.0264-0.0288 mm.
Outer membrane	....	0.09-0.125 mm. in diameter.	Not well developed.	0.031-0.037 mm.
Testes	10 in a transverse row. (50-60 in drawing.). 0.10 x 0.06 mm. Arranged in one layer and in one field.	....	50-60, 0.053-0.08 mm. long x 0.05-0.07 mm. broad. Arranged in one layer and in one field.	50-60, 0.07-0.095 mm. long x 0.045-0.053 mm. broad. Arranged as in preceding form.
Cirrus-pouch	Reaches ⅓ across breadth of proglottid.	Reaches ⅓-½ across breadth of proglottid.	Reaches ⅓-⅔ across proglottid. 0.34-0.45 mm. long, slender.	Reaches ⅓-⅔ across proglottid. 0.37-0.425-0.47 mm. long, slender.
Cirrus	Straight in cirrus-pouch.	Straight in cirrus-pouch. 0.1-0.2 mm. long when protruded.	Straight in pouch.	Straight in pouch.
Vas deferens	....	....	Long straight mass of coils extending from end of cirrus-pouch to middle of proglottid.	Same as in preceding form.

*Number of specimen in Helminthological Collection of Henry B. Ward.

An examination of the preceeding table makes evident that the differences between Schneider's *Proteocephalus percae* and his *P. ocellatus* are so slight that one would not be justified in considering these forms as varieties. Schneider dealt with a single species which according to the rule of priority should be known as *Proteocephalus percae* (Müller).

Proteocephalus percae in some respects resembles *P. agonis* Barbieri, *P. exiguus* La Rue and *P. pusillus* Ward. It, however, is so much larger than these species that the possibility of an identity is entirely precluded. *P. percae* in many ways resembles *P. fallax* La Rue but it is larger than that species. The character of its proglottids is greatly different. *P. percae* has many more and larger testes, a longer cirrus-pouch, a much larger ovary, more voluminous vitellaria, a larger head, larger suckers, and larger sucker openings. *P. fallax* has a larger number of preformed uterine pores. It also has larger embryos. The area occupied by the ovaries of ripe proglottids is triangular in *P. fallax* but much elongated in *P. percae*. *P. percae* resembles *P. cernuae* in the size of the head, in the size and character of the fifth sucker, in the shape of the ovary, and somewhat in the character of the proglottids. *P. percae,* however, has larger suckers with larger sucker openings, a longer and more slender neck, a much longer cirrus-pouch, and fewer and smaller testes. The relationship of cirrus-pouch and vagina are greatly different in the two species. In *P. percae* the vagina crosses the cirrus-pouch near the middle of the latter while in *P. cernuae* the vagina does not cross the cirrus-pouch. *P. cernuae* may have more uterine pouches and its embryos are larger than in *P. percae.* Further points of difference between *P. percae* and *P. cernuae* may be readily noted in an examination of the comparative table of Proteocephalus species (vide infra).

P. percae in some respects resembles *P. longicollis* as described by von Linstow but it differs in the number of uterine pouches, number of testes, length of cirrus-pouch, and in the size of eggs and of suckers. *P. percae* most closely resembles *P. pinguis* La Rue. The heads of the two species are of about the same size. The suckers are much alike but the fifth sucker is much better developed in *P. pinguis* than in *P. percae.* *P. pinguis* is more slender, its proglottids more nearly quadrate and thicker than in *P. percae.* *P. pinguis* has many more uterine pouches and preformed uterine pores. Its cirrus-pouch is less than half as long as that of *P. percae.* *P. percae* is readily differentiated from *P. torulosus* by reason of the total lack of a fifth sucker in the latter. In number of uterine pouches, length of cirrus-pouch and in numerous other ways these species are very dissimilar.

PROTEOCEPHALUS LONGICOLLIS (Zeder)

[Figs. 167-169]

? 1780:	*Taenia eperlani*	Acharius	1780:3080
1789:	*Taenia salmonis Wartmanni*	Frölich	1789:24
1790:	*Taenia Froelichii*	Gmelin	1790:3080
1800:	*Alyselminthus longicollis*	Zeder	1800:258.
1802:	*Taenia longicollis*	Rudolphi	1802a:113
1803:	*Halysis longicollis*	Zeder	1803:333
1803:	*Taenia Renkina*	Schrank	1803:242
1810:	*Taenia longicollis*	Rudolphi	1810:107
1810:	*Scolex tetrastomus*	Rudolphi	1810:6
1819:	*Taenia longicollis*	Rudolphi	1819:149
1845:	*Taenia longicollis*	Dujardin	1845:585
1850:	*Taenia longicollis*	Diesing	1850:512-513
1891:	*Taenia longicollis*	v. Linstow	1891:565-576
1891:	*Tetracotylus longicollis*	Monticelli	1891:162
1894:	*Ichthyotaenia longicollis*	Lönnberg	1894:803
1911:	*Proteocephalus longicollis*	La Rue	1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Cestodes of small size. Observed length as much as 20-200-464 mm., breadth 1-2-2.25 mm. Scolex 0.43 mm. broad, length(?). Neck 0.3 mm. broad by 3.2 mm. long. Suckers circular, 0.12-0.14-0.18-0.19 mm. in diameter. Fifth sucker half as large as others. First proglottids 0.43 mm. long by 0.71 mm. broad. Proglottids at end of first third of strobila 0.53 mm. long by 0.99 mm. broad. Posterior proglottids 1.03 mm. long by 0.83 mm. broad. End proglottid triangular.

Genital pore marginal, irregularly alternating, situated at end of anterior one-fourth of proglottid. Testes spheroidal, 0.09 mm. in diameter, 25 in each segment, arranged in two(?) fields near vitellaria. Vas deferens forming a close mass in the median field of proglottid. Cirrus-pouch spindle-shaped, muscular, 0.110 mm. broad, extending just through vitellaria. Cirrus club-shaped when everted, reaching 0.2 mm. past margin of segment. Ovary bilobed, posterior. Lobes slender, united by a midpiece. Vitellaria lateral, follicular. Uterus with three lateral pouches on either side. Vagina anterior to cirrus-pouch, not crossing same. Sphincter vaginae? Receptaculum seminis? Unripe eggs 0.0156-0.0196 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: In intestine of host.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
Coregonus (Salmo) lavaretus	Greifswald	Rudolphi	Rudolphi, 1810:107.
Coregonus (Salmo) maraenula = *C. albula*	Berlin	Rudolphi	Rudolphi, 1810:107.
Coregonus (Salmo) wartmanni	....	Frölich	Rudolphi, 1810:107.
Coregonus (Salmo) wartmanni	....	Schrank	Rudolphi, 1819:495.
Coregonus wartmanni nobilis	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer, 1905:75
Coregonus fera	Lake Geneva	Zschokke	Zschokke, 1884:11, 14-16.
Coregonus fera	Rositten, East Prussia	Mühling	Mühling, 1898:36.
Coregonus albula	Nicolaiken, East Prussia	Mühling	Mühling, 1898:36.
Coregonus schinzii helveticus	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer, 1905:75.
Coregonus exiguus albellus	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer, 1905:75.
Osmerus eperlanus	....	Acharius	Rudolphi, 1810:212.
Osmerus eperlanus	Berlin	Rudolphi	Rudolphi, 1810:107.
Osmerus eperlanus	....	v. Linstow	v. Linstow, 1891:565-576.
Trutta trutta	....	Frölich	Rudolphi, 1810:107.
Trutta trutta	....	Zeder	Rudolphi, 1810:107.
Trutta fario	....	Martin	Rudolphi, 1810:107.
Salmo thymallus = *Thymallus vulgaris*	(in Museum Vienna)	Rudolphi	Rudolphi, 1810:107.
Salmo thymallus = *Thymallus vulgaris*	....	Bremser	Rudolphi, 1819:495.
Salmo alpinus	....	Martin	Rudolphi, 1810:107.
Salmo salvelinus	....	....	v. Linstow, 1878:262.
Salmo salvelinus	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer, 1905:75.
Salmo umbla	Lake Geneva	Zschokke	Zschokke, 1884:11, 14-16.
? *Esox lucius*	Lake Balaton	von Rátz	von Rátz, 1897:159.
? *Esox lucius*	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer, 1905:75.
? *Perca fluviatilis*	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer, 1905:75.
? *Alburnus lucidus*	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer, 1905:75.
? *Squalius cephalus*	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer, 1905:75.
? *Squalius leuciscus*	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer, 1905:75.

Possibly this species is the form which was originally referred to by Acharius (1780:52) who called it *Taenia eperlani*. Acharius's description and diagnosis of this form are not accessible to the writer. Despite the fact that Rudolphi (1810:212) considered that this species could not have been a synonym of *Taenia longicollis* Rud. it seems that it must be a synonym of the latter species or else some of the host records of

Rudolphi and others are open to question. Concerning this species Rudolphi (1810:212) wrote:

> "In *Salmonis Eperlani* cavo abdominis Taeniam reperit (pro Fasciola habitam) quatuor ad quinque lineas longam, capite oblongo obtuso; osculis duobus superioribus anticis (totidem inferioribus in figura latentibus) orbicularibus exiguis; collo nullo; articulis transversis, obtusis. Cum collum nullum delineatum sistatur, pro Taenia longicolli (n. 20) eodem in pisce obvia vix haberi potest, huic enim longissimum conceditur."

Frölich (1789:24) named a species *Taenia salmonis Wartmanni* which is recognized by Rudolphi (1802a:113 and 1810:107) as a synonym of *Taenia longicollis* Rud. Frölich's description, if he gave one, is not accessible to the writer. The name with which he designated the species is unavailable on account of its trinomial character. Gmelin (1790: 3080) gave a diagnosis of *Taenia Froelichii.* This species was considered by Rudolphi (1802a:113 and 1810:107) to be a synonym of *Taenia longicollis.* Gmelin's diagnosis reads: "TAENIA FROELICHII. No. 91.—T. capite cum collo longissimo tenuissimo marticulato continuo, ovariis dendaticis linea laterali cinctis. *Froelich Naturf.* 24 *p.* 124. *t.* 4. *f.* 20. 21. *Habitat in* Salmonis Wartmanni intestino duodeno."

The name longicollis as a designation for a species of cestode was first used by Zeder (1800:258) in connection with the generic name Alyselminthus. Unfortunately Zeder's description is not available to the writer who therefore can not judge as to its character. Rudolphi (1802a:113) gave a specific diagnosis of this species which he styled *Taenia longicollis.* In his synonymy he cited *Alyselminthus longicollis* Zeder, *Taenia Salmonis Wartmanni* Froelich, and *Taenia Froelichii* Gmelin. After a statement regarding the hosts of this form he wrote:

> "Zeders Beschreibung ist vollkommen genügend; wenn er Frölich tadelt, weil dieser die bäumschenartigen Figuren oder Eyerstöcke der Glieder mit zur Bestimmung des Wurms braucht, so habe ich im Allgemeinen nichts dagegen, dass man sie weglässt. Bey einigen Arten sind sie indessen besonders ausgezeichnet, so auch hier, wo man sie auf den ersten Blick gewahr wird, wesgegen auch die letzten Glieder bläulich, oft sogar schwärzlich aussehen. Wo man erst den Pressschieber zu Hülfe nehmen muss, um sie zu sehen, darf man ihrer nicht erwähnen, das versteht sich."

Rudolphi gave no description of this species at this time nor were there any drawings to accompany his comments. Zeder (1803:333) referred to this species under the name *Halysis longicollis.* In his synonymy he lists *Taenia salmonis Wartmanni* Frölich, *Alyselminthus longicollis* Zeder, *Taenia Frölichii* Gmelin. His diagnosis reads: "HALY-

SIS LONGICOLLIS.—leviter crenata; capite cum collo longissimo, lineari continuo, truncato; osculorum margine prominente.''

Rudolphi (1810:107) gave a diagnosis and description which is here quoted verbatim:

"Taenia longicollis R.

Taenia: capite truncato, collo longissimo, articulis subquadratis, ovariis racemosis.

Frölich im Naturforsch. 24.p.124. Tab.4. fig.20.21. Taenia Salmonis Wartmanni.

Gmel. Syst. Nat. p. 3080. n. 91. Taenia Froelichii.

Zeder Nachtrag p. 258. Alyselminthus longicollis.

Rudolphi in Wied. Arch. III. 1.p.113. Taenia longic.

Zeder Naturg. p. 333. n. 9. Halysis longic.

"Hab. in intestinis Salmonum. In *Salmonibus Wartmanni* plurimis Froelichius Augusto copiosam; in *S. Trutta* Zederus; ego in *S. Lavareto* Majo et *S. Maraenulis* plurimis Februario, reperimus. In *Salmone Eperlano* a se inventas am. Treviranus mecum communicavit.

"Descr. Vermes unum ad septum pollices longi, lineam dimidiam vel integram lati.

"*Caput* depressum, truncatum, exiguum; *osculis* orbicularibus, binis tam superioribus, quam inferioribus, capitis margini antico approximatis, ut sub ejusdem motu saepe antica omniaque simul appareant. *Collum* cum capite continuum, longissimum, tertiam circiter totius longitudinis partem sibi vindicans, depressum, margine obtuso integerrimo. *Corpus* depressum, articulus anterioribus brevissimis, reliquis subquadratis, marginibus singulorum antico et postico rectis, lateralibus rotundatis, ut totius vermis latera crenata appareant. Articulus ultimus obtusus. *Ovaria* in articulis posticis, inde vel caerulescentibus, vel nigrescentibus, distincta, racemosa sive dendritica.

"Obs. 1. An scolex tetrastomus supra dictus hujus Taenia proles nondum articulata? Sed reliquarum Taeniarum foetus semper articulatos vidi, neque caput, neque pars postica acuta conveniunt.

"Obs. 2. Hujus speciei cum insequentibus ob articulos margine postico vix incumbentes, ob capitis collique formam, affinitas magna; differentiae tamen specificae singularum discrimen satis indicant.

"Obs. 3. An quas Stellerus (Pallas N. Nord. Beytr. I.1, p,102) in Eperlani vesica natatoria reperit, Taenia huc pertinent? Conf. n. 113."

Rudolphi (1819:149) quoted the diagnosis used by him before (Rudolphi, 1810:107). He stated that he had found the species in *Coregonus (Salmo) lavaretus* at Greifswald, in *C. (Salmo) maraenula=C. albula* and in *Osmerus eperlanus* at Berlin, that Frölich had found it in *Coregonus (Salmo) wartmanni,* Frölich and Zeder in *Trutta trutta.* Specimens in the museum at Vienna had been found in *Salmo thymallus=Thymallus vulgaris.* He further stated that Martin had found it in *Salmo alpinus* and in *Trutta fario.* This serves as a very excellent summary of the hosts which had been recorded for this species up to this time. Rudolphi (1819:495) stated that Bremser's specimens which had been taken in the pyloric caeca of *Salmo thymallus* belonged to *Taenia longicollis* and not to *Bothriocephalus.* He further stated that *Taenia Renkina* Schrank is identical with *Taenia longicollis* because *Salmo Wartmanni* is called *Renken* in central Germany. Schrank's (1803:242) description of *Taenia renkina* is not at hand hence it is necessary to follow Rudolphi's judgment in the matter. Dujardin (1845:585) added but little to the data of the earlier authors. Diesing (1850:512-13) gave a literature review that is of value. Von Linstow (1878:262) in his catalogue of entozoa gave *Salmo salvelinus* as a host of this species.

Zschokke (1884:11, 14-16) identified and described some cestodes from *Coregonus fera* and *Salmo umbla,* Lake Geneva, as *Taenia longicollis.* A careful comparison of his descriptions of *T. longicollis* and *T. ocellata,* likewise reported by him from the same hosts, causes the writer to conclude that his specimens belonged to the same species. Length forms the chief difference between his species. In describing certain organs of the two forms he used almost identical phrases. Kraemer (1892) in his study of *Taenia ocellata* evidently used length as the chief distinguishing character. Zschokke's description of *T. longicollis* does not agree with the description and figures of that form as given by von Linstow (1891:565-576). Von Linstow's specimens were taken from *Coregonus eperlanus,* one of the hosts in which Rudolphi found *T. longicollis.* It is therefore probable that von Linstow's specimens rather than Zschokke's belong to Rudolphi's species. Moreover, von Linstow's description agrees more completely with Rudolphi's than does Zschokke's. Lönnberg (1894:803) included *Taenia longicollis* Rud. in the list of species in his genus *Ichthyotaenia.*

Zschokke (1896:772-777) listed *Ichthyotaenia longicollis* only in *Trutta fario* from Lake Geneva. Thanks to Prof. H. B. Ward, the writer has been able to examine parts of a strobila of Zschokke's "*T. longicollis* aus Forelle" *(Trutta fario)* and is able to state positively that it is not the same species as von Linstow's *T. longicollis.* Nor is it the same as the specimens from *Coregonus fera* which Zschokke sent to Professor Ward as *T. ocellata* (No. 0.99 in Prof. H. B. Ward's collection, the type of *P. fallax* La Rue). Von Rátz (1897:159) listed *Ichthyotaenia longicollis* from *Esox lucius* from Lake Balaton in Hungary. This is probably a misdetermination. Von Rátz gave no description so not even a probable determination can be made. Mühling (1898:36) reported *Ichthyotaenia longicollis* Rud. from *Coregonus albula* at Nicolaiken and from *Osmerus eperlanus* at Rositten in East Prussia. He gave no description. Nufer (1905:75) in the report of an investigation of Lake Lucerne reported *Proteocephalus longicollis* from *Perca fluviatilis, Alburnus lucidus, Squalius cephalus, S. leuciscus, Esox lucius, Coregonus wartmanni nobilis, C. exiguus albellus, C. schinzii helveticus* and *Salmo salvelinus.* It is extremely doubtful if the specimens of Proteocephalus which Nufer found in other than the salmonoid fishes belong to the species *P. longicollis.* Nufer's tabulated description of *P. longicollis* (Nufer 1905:147) is based almost entirely upon the work of von Linstow (1891). The character of Nufer's work has been discussed at some length in connection with *P. macrocephalus* and *P. torulosus.* Many of the statements made in those places regarding his work apply here.

A discussion of the facts brought out in this historical summary cannot yield very satisfactory conclusions. For the most part the authors cited have necessarily been compelled to depend upon the external features of the worm for diagnostic characters. Benedict (1900) showed how little dependence could be placed on such characters alone for descriptive purposes. The earlier workers necessarily based their conclusions on little else than external features, upon records of hosts, and locality of collection. The host records of all the workers who reported this species prior to Nufer and von Rátz show that these men regarded this species as being peculiar to the salmonoid fishes and it seems quite probable that they were correct in this respect. It is impossible to determine whether this species is parasitic in all the salmonoid fishes of the list or whether a number of cestode species have been reported under the one name without a painstaking comparative study of such specimens as exist in private and museum collections together with a study of specimens from hosts and localities as indicated in the list of hosts. It is not likely that this will be done, at least not for some time.

The question of priority of name is not an important one. This species is known as one of Rudolphi's species probably on account of the weight of Rudolphi's authority as much as on anything else. His first notes on this species contain only the briefest diagnosis and he distinctly says, "Zeders Beschreibung ist vollkommen genügend", etc, etc (vide supra). In the light of this it seems that Zeder should be credited with the specific name *longicollis.* It is true of course that Rudolphi was the first to use the combination *Taenia longicollis.* As to the use of the name longicollis or some of the names that were proposed still earlier, viz., *Taenia Froelichii, T. salmonis wartmanni* and *T. eperlani,* it may be said that the identity of the last is not well known. Rudolphi (1819) thought it was not identical with *T. longicollis. T. sal-*

monis Wartmanni being a descriptive name of three parts is not available. It is not necessary to discuss the question as to whether it was sufficiently described to give it any standing. *T. Froelichii* was evidently based on the same description as the *T. salmonis Wartmanni* for Gmelin (1790) gives little more than a catalogue of species and refers to Froelich's (1789) work. Since the combination *Taenia longicollis* Rudolphi is no longer the proper one with which to designate this species, the generic name Proteocephalus being used to designate the genus, it is here suggested that the proper combination for the designation of the species is *Proteocephalus longicollis* (Zeder). In a recent article the writer, La Rue (1911:475), overlooked the priority of Zeder's *Alyselminthus longicollis* over Rudolphi's *Taenia longicollis* and hence in a list of species of Proteocephalus gave the credit for the *P. longicollis* to Rudolphi instead of Zeder.

The description is based on von Linstow's (1891) paper. His material was collected from *Osmerus eperlanus* which is one of the hosts in which Rudolphi found *Taenia longicollis.*

Von Linstow reports that his largest specimen measured 46.4 mm. long by a maximum breadth of 0.99 mm. At its posterior end the worm measured 0.83 mm. broad. The scolex measured 0.43 mm. broad, the neck 0.3 mm. broad by 3.2 mm. long, first proglottids 0.43 mm. long by 0.71 mm. broad, proglottids at end of first third of strobila 0.53 mm. long by 0.99 mm. broad. The proglottids gradually increase in length until the last are 1.03 mm. long by 0.83 mm. broad. The end-proglottid is triangular and drawn out posteriorly. The specimen was incompletely developed. The four suckers have a circular outline, 0.12-0.14-0.18-0.19 mm. in diameter. A fifth apical sucker has a diameter equal to one-half that of the others.

The excretory system is made up of two large lateral vessels, one on either side just outside of the vitellaria, and six smaller vessels, three on either side lying dorsal to the larger vessel. All excretory vessels are greatly twisted and many anastomoses connect them. About 0.08 mm. anterior to the posterior margin of each proglottid a transverse commissure connects the ventral excretory vessels. The latter measure 0.019 in diameter and the smaller vessels about 0.0078 mm. In the region just posterior to the suckers there is a circular commissure of excretory vessels from which branches extend anteriorly into the head. All the main vessels discharge into a small vesicle at the posterior end of the end-proglottid.

The genital pore is marginal, irregularly alternating, and situated near the end of the anterior one-fourth of the proglottid (Fig. 167). The testes are large, spheroidal, measuring up to 0.09 mm. in diameter.

Von Linstow states that there are about 25 testes in each segment, and that these are situated in two fields near the vitellaria. In a drawing of a transverse section, reproduced (Fig. 168), von Linstow figures the testes in two layers. This causes the writer to suspect that the number of testes (25) as stated by von Linstow is too small. In all the species of *Proteocephalus* studied by the writer the testes have been in one layer if the number of testes was small and never in two layers unless they numbered at least fifty. Frequently one sees proglottids containing more than fifty testes lying in a single layer. It should also be borne in mind that von Linstow figured ten testes in his cross-section of the proglottid. Ten out of twenty-five is too large a proportion of testes to appear in one thin section through proglottids of this size. One wonders what was left for the other sections of which there must have been quite a number. Since the proglottid which von Linstow delineates is ripe or at least contained eggs in the uterus it seems probable that he overlooked the testes of the median region where the eggs would be densely packed. In the transverse section to which reference has been made the region dorsal to the coils of vas deferens is free from testes, a condition which exists in all the other species of the genus whether the number of the testes be few or many. If von Linstow's statement that the testes lie in two lateral fields be correct then this species is the only exception to the rule that the testes in this genus are irregularly scattered in the field between the lateral vitellaria. The considerations above presented cause one to doubt the accuracy of von Linstow's statements regarding the number and position of the testes. The vas deferens forms a thick mass of coils in the middle of the proglottid. This mass apparently does not extend over to the cirrus-pouch. In von Linstow's figure the cirrus-pouch, which he describes as a spindle-shaped muscular organ with a breadth of 0.110 mm., extends just a little within the vitellaria, or about ¼-⅕ across the proglottid. The cirrus (Fig. 169) in the 46.4 mm. specimen was visible at a point 13.8 mm. posterior to the head. It is short and club-shaped, 0.34 mm. long, and it extends 0.2 mm. beyond the proglottid's margin.

A comparison of cirrus-pouches in Von Linstow's figures (reproduced Figs. 167 and 169) shows some evident discrepancies. The cirrus-pouch in Figure 167 is set far within the tissues of the proglottid and it is connected with the exterior by a slender tube. Figure 169 shows by far the more typical condition and is to be considered as the normal for this species.

The bilobed ovary lies in the posterior part of the proglottid. The lobes are club-shaped. The vitellaria lie in the lateral fields. They discharge the yolk-cells through the paired vitelline ducts which pass to the interovarial space where they unite to form a common duct that empties into the oötype. Thus far von Linstow is correct in his description of the organs of the interovarial space. According to him the vagina discharges into the oötype as do also the two lobes of the ovary. He provides no visible means for the escape of the fertilized eggs from the oötype. The writer agrees with Monticelli (1891:162) in thinking that von Linstow has probably mistaken the oöcapt for the oötype, and has erroneously figured the vitelline ducts which pass near the oöcapt and the shell-glands lying near as discharging into it. The barrel-like form of the oötype as he figures it (Fig. 167) is much more typical of the oöcapt. The oötype has its long axis lying lengthwise of the oviduct and not perpendicular to it. The vagina in von Linstow's figure is doubtless the oviduct. There is every reason to believe that the organs of the interovarial space bear the same relations to each other in this species as they do in the other members of the genus. The vagina opens into the common genital sinus always anterior to the cirrus-pouch. Without crossing the latter the vagina describes a curved course to the interovarial space where it forms several coils. Von Linstow did not mention the presence of a sphincter vaginae. The uterus has three large lateral pouches on either side. A uterine pore is lacking. The latter could perhaps be found after a careful examination. The unripe eggs measure 0.0156-0.0196 mm. in diameter. The plerocercoid of this species is found in the liver of the host in which the adult is found.

It is evident from a study of Von Linstow's figures and text that he made several misinterpretations of the structural plan of this cestode. He recognized that its plan differed in certain respects from the plan on which the Taenias are formed for he said that the Taenias of fish formed a distinct group in the genus Taenia. He apparently did not note the marked resemblance of their plan to the plan of the Tetraphyllideans. Whether his failure to recognize the relationships of this species was the cause or the effect of his misinterpretation of its structure cannot be determined. At any rate because of some doubtful points his material should be re-examined and a comparative study made if such be possible.

This species is readily separated from the *P. torulosus* by its fifth sucker, and by its somewhat smaller head and smaller suckers. The ovarian lobes are more slender in this species than in *P. torulosus*. *P. longicollis* is readily differentiated from *P. percae* by its larger head, larger suckers, shorter cirrus-pouch, fewer (?) testes, fewer uterine pouches and by the position of the genital pore. *P. longicollis* resembles *P. cernuae* in a very few particulars such as shortness of cirrus-pouch. However, *P. longicollis* has a larger head, larger suckers, fewer uterine pouches, smaller embryos, fewer and smaller testes which are differently arranged. *P. longicollis* is much larger than *P. fallax* La Rue and *P. dubius* La Rue and it differs further in having fewer uterine pouches, a shorter cirrus-pouch, fewer (?) and larger testes. The genital pore is further anteriad in *P. longicollis* than in *P. fallax* or *P. dubius*. *P. longicollis* differs from *P. dubius* La Rue in having fewer uterine pouches, a shorter cirrus-pouch, fewer and larger testes and a different arrangement of the same. *P. longicollis* does not closely resemble any species reported from North America up to the present time.

PROTEOCEPHALUS TORULOSUS (Batsch)

[Figs. 7, 78-80, 145, 146, 184]

1782:	*Taenia articulis rotundis*	Bloch	1782:11
1786:	*Taenia torulosa*	Batsch	1786:181-182
1788:	*Taenia orbicularis*	Schrank	1788:49
1791:	*Taenia simplex*	Frölich	1791:58-61
1790:	*Taenia torulosa*	Gmelin	1790:3081
1795:	*Taenia cyprini idi*	Viborg	1795:240
1800:	*Rhytelminthus cyprini*	Zeder	1800:215, 220
1802:	*Taenia torulosa*	Rudolphi	1802a:110-112
1803:	*Halysis torulosa*	Zeder	1803:352-353
1810:	*Taenia torulosa*	Rudolphi	1810:111
1819:	*Taenia torulosa*	Rudolphi	1819:149-150
1845:	*Taenia torulosa*	Dujardin	1845:584
1850:	*Taenia torulosa*	Diesing	1850:514
1861:	*Taenia porulosa*	Van Beneden	1861:162-163
1884:	*Taenia torulosa*	Zschokke	1884:20, in part
1889:	*Taenia torulosa*	Lönnberg	1889:15
1891:	*Taenia torulosa*	von Linstow	1891:565
1892:	*Taenia torulosa*	Krämer	1892:55
1894:	*Ichthyotaenia torulosa*	Lönnberg	1894:801-803
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia torulosa*	Zschokke	1896:775
1897:	*Ichthyotaenia torulosa*	von Rátz	1897:159
1901:	*Ichthyotaenia torulosa*	Frič & Vavra	1901:111-112
1902:	*Ichthyotaenia torulosa*	Schneider	1902:24
1905:	*Ichthyotaenia torulosa*	Schneider	1905:24-25
1905:	*Proteocephalus torulosus*	Nufer	1905:75, 147, in part
1909:	*Ichthyotaenia torulosa*	Lühe	1909:32
1911:	*Proteocephalus torulosus*	La Rue	1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of the genus. Large cestodes 65-600 mm. long by 1.2-2.25 mm. broad. Head large, prominent, swollen, flattened dorsoventrally, without rostellum, without fifth sucker. Breadth of head 0.480-0.600 mm., thickness about 0.300 mm. Suckers circular, prominent, with deep cavities, directed anteriad and outward. Diameter of sucker 0.18-0.20 mm. Neck thick, broad, 2-3 mm. long, wrinkled. First proglottids much broader than long, mature and ripe proglottids almost always broader than long. Maximum length of ripe proglottids 1.0 mm., maximum breadth of same 2.5 mm. Proglottids fleshy, well delimited by deep inter-segmental furrows, corners of segments prominent. End-proglottid rounded posteriorly. In its posterior end a deep indentation.

Genital pore irregularly alternating, situated near middle of lateral proglottid margin. Vaginal opening dorsal and anterior to opening of cirrus-pouch. Cirrus-pouch and vagina opening into a common genital sinus. Testes large, 0.16 by 0.08 mm., 100-110 in number. Testes in two layers, one occupying the whole dorsal field between vitellaria, completely covering ovary. Vas deferens, an eccentric mass of coils reaching from cirrus-pouch to middle of proglottid. Cirrus-pouch about 0.255 mm. long by 0.085 mm. broad, extending ¼-⅙ across proglottid breadth. Cirrus short, not heavy. Ductus ejaculatorius describing few or no coils.

Vaginal opening dorsal and anterior to cirrus-pouch. Sphincter vaginae very weak, situated near vaginal opening. Vagina not crossing cirrus-pouch. Vitellaria lateral, voluminous, follicles large and closely packed together. Ovary bilobed, lobes long, thick, and irregular in outline. Oötype and oöcapt present. Uterus in ripe proglottids with 3-4 lateral pouches on either side. Uterine pores not observed. Eggs provided with three membranes. Outer membrane hyaline, 0.055 mm., second membrane granular, 0.032 mm., embryo about 0.021 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: In intestine of host.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
Idus melanotus (*Cyprinus jeses*) (type host)			Batsch (1786:181-182)
Idus melanotus (*Cyprinus jeses*)	Berlin	Bloch	Rudolphi (1819:150)
Idus melanotus (*Cyprinus jeses*)	Greifswald	Rudolphi	Rudolphi (1819:150)
Idus melanotus (*Cyprinus orfus*)		Frölich	Rudolphi (1819:150)
Leuciscus leuciscus		Zeder	Rudolphi (1819:150)
Leuciscus leuciscus			von Linstow (1878:254)
Leuciscus leuciscus	Podiebrad, Bohemia	Frič and Vavra	Frič & Vavra (1901:111-112)
Leuciscus leuciscus	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer (1905:75)
Idus melanotus (*Leuciscus idus*)		Abildgaard	Diesing (1850:559)
Idus melanotus (*Leuciscus idus*)	(In M. C. V.)		Diesing (1850:514)
Idus melanotus (*Leuciscus idus*)	Finland	Schneider	Schneider (1902:24 & 1905:24-25)
Leuciscus grislagine	Sweden (?)	Tullberg	Lönnberg (1889:15)
Alburnus bipunctatus	(In M. C. V.)	Diesing	Diesing (1850:514)
Alburnus sp.		Creplin	Diesing (1850:514)
Alburnus lucidus			von Linstow (1878:258)
Alburnus lucidus	Lake Geneva	Zschokke	Zschokke (1884:20)
Alburnus lucidus	Lake Lucerne	Kraemer	Kraemer (1892:55-71)
Alburnus lucidus		von Linstow	von Linstow (1891:565)
Alburnus lucidus		Zschokke	Zschokke (1896:775)
Alburnus lucidus	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer (1905:75)
Aspius rapax		Creplin	Diesing (1850:514)
Abramis brama			von Linstow (1878:258)
Abramis brama	Lake Balaton	von Rátz	von Rátz (1897:159)
Idus melanotus			von Linstow (1878:255)
Pelecus cultratus	Lake Balaton	von Rátz	von Rátz (1897:159)
Gobio fluviatilis	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer (1905:75)
Squalius leuciscus	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer (1905:75)
Blicca bjoerkna	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer (1905:75)
(?) *Coregonus fera*	Lake Geneva	Zschokke	Zschokke (1884:20)
(?) *Coregonus exiguus albellus*	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer (1905:75)
(?) *Coregonus schinzii helveticus*	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer (1905:75)
(?) *Salmo salvelinus*	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer (1905:75)
(?) *Perca fluviatilis*	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer (1905:75)
(?) *Perca fluviatilis*	Podiebrad, Bohemia	Frič and Vavra	Frič & Vavra (1901:111-112)
(?) *Lota vulgaris*	Lake Geneva	Zschokke	Zschokke (1884:20)

Batsch (1786:181-182) first described this species from *Cyprinus jeses* giving it the name *Taenia torulosa.* His description is here quoted in full:

> "*Taenia torulosa. Der rundgliedrige Bandwurm.*
> "Bloch Preissschr. S. 11 nr. 4. T. 2. fig. 1-4. 10. 11. Taenia articulis rotundis. Der rundgliedrige Bandwurm.
> Taenia (loriformis) capite inermi, obtuso, osculis per paria difformibus: *corpore toruloso,* articulis orbicularibus collo crenato, elongata.
>
> "Diese und die folgende Art haben einen abgestumpften, die nächsten fünf aber einen *stumpfspitzigen Scheitel,* bei allen sind weder die Haken, noch der besondere Rüssel vorhanden wie bei denen vier vorigen.
>
> "Der rundgliedrige Bandwurm hat rundliche Glieder, die kurz, dick, und undurchsichtig, und wie eine Korallenschnur an einandergereiht sind. Am Rande die Mündungen.
>
> "Der Hals ist kurz.
>
> "Der Kopf bewegt seine vier Saugmündungen paarweis, bald in halbmondförmige Wülste, bald in die Gestalt eirunder Oeffnungen. Bisweilen erweitert er sie alle vier in eine zirklrunde Form, und alsdenn verschwindet ihr sonst sichtbarer Ring.
>
> "Die Eier gehen unter dem Presschieber häufig ab.
>
> "Die Länge beträgt zwei Zoll, die Breite eine Linie.
>
> "Er findet sich im Aland (Cyprinus Jeses) nebst vielen Nelkenbindwürmern und Kratzern."

Batsch's drawings of the head are reproduced (Figs. 145, 146).

Prior to Batsch (1786) one other investigator reported cestodes of Cyprinidae which perhaps may be referred to this species. Bloch (1782:11) also had found this form in Cyprinus. He reported it under the descriptive name *Taenia* articulis rotundis. His report is inaccessible to me. Batsch knew of Bloch's report for he mentions it. Schrank (1788:49) reported this species under the name *Taenia orbicularis* and he mentioned *Taenia articulis rotundis* Bloch as a synonym. His diagnosis reads: "Vier Saugmündungen am Kopfe; die Glieder des Körpers tellerförmig. Taenia orbicularis .... Wohnort, im Aland." Frölich (1791:58-61) described a cestode from *Cyprinus orfus* giving it the name *Taenia simplex.* This article is not accessible to me. Rudolphi (1802a:110-112) commented on Frölich's work on this form in these words: "Dass er (Frölich) Blochs Taenia torulosa nicht citirt, ist sehr begreiflich, da Bloch die Glieder ganz anders vorstellt. Ich glaube, dass die Taenia simplex nur jüngere Exemplare derselben Art bezeichnen." Gmelin (1790:3081) gave a brief diagnosis of *Taenia torulosa* which he credited to Batsch (1786) tho he also made reference to Bloch (1782). His diagnosis reads: "T. elongata torulosa, capite obtuso, collo crenato, articulis orbicularis: osculis geminis difformis, ... *Habitat* *in* Cyprino Jese, *ad* 2 *pedes longa, capite plano, anterius truncato, collo brevi, articulis brevibus crassis.*"

Viborg (1795) reported *Taenia* cyprini idi upon which Rudolphi (1810:213) commented in these words: "Taenia Cyprini Idi. Viborg Ind. Mus. Vet. Hafn. p. 240. n. 133. T. Cyprini Idi.

"Non describitur, forsan tamen ad Taeniam torulosam (n. 22) pertinet, quae pluribus in Cyprinis occurrit."

Viborg's writings are not accessible to me. Dr. C. W. Stiles in reply to a letter asking for information on this species wrote, "Apparently Viborg mentions *Taenia idi* in 1795a (See author's Catalogue)," referring to the Index Catalogue of Medical and Veterinary Zoology. Zeder (1800:215, 220) reported a cestode from *Cyprinus* under the name *Rhytelminthus cyprini* which name he later (1803:352-3) considered to be a synonym of *Halysis torulosa*. His last diagnosis reads: "HALYSIS TORULOSA.—fere linearis, plana; capite terete, antice truncato, cum collo longo continuo; osculis anticis conicis; corpore crenato . . . . . . Habitat in intestinis cyprinorum jesis et leucisci."

Rudolphi (1802a:110-12) after stating a brief diagnosis of *Taenia torulosa* presented some new data in the words which are here quoted:

> "Ich habe diesen Wurm, wie Bloch, im Darmkanal des Alands, Cyprinus Jeses, im April, äusserst häufig angetroffen; aber nie über einen Fuss lang, da ihn Bloch hingegen doppelt so gross angetroffen hat. Der Kopf ist von Bloch gut beschrieben und abgebildet, die Saugwarzen und der ganz Kopf verändern ihre Gestalt alle Augenblicke, bald stehen ein paar Saugmündungen stark hervor, bald nur eine, bald alle; bald erscheinen sie wie tiefe Näpfschen, bald stehen sie hervor, bald sieht man sie zur Hälfte, bald ist der Rand gleichsam doppelt u. s. w. Uebrigens kann ich sie schon mit blossen Augen sehen; der Kopf ist bald mehr, bald weniger aufgeblasen, und immer stärker als der Hals. Der Körper ist rundlich und wird nach hinten allmälich grösser; dass er gegliedert ist, glaube ich gefunden zu haben, aber so deutlich ist er es nicht, wie man es auch nach der Blochschen Zeichnung (Taf. II. Fig. 1.) glauben sollte, wo man in einiger Entfernung vom Kopfe schon grosse runde Glieder wahrnimmt; bei den vielen von mir untersuchen Exemplaren nimmt der Körper in seinem Verlauf nur schwach zu, und die Glieder sind nur schwach unterschieden; auch habe ich an keinem einzigen eine Seitenöffnung bemerkt. Diese letztere findet man aber gewöhnlich nur bei grossen Exemplaren, und will ich also darin gerne Blochs Beobachtungen glauben."

Rudolphi (1810:111) gave a diagnosis, synonymy and description of this species which, because it admirably sums up all the previous work on the form and because of its inaccessibility, is here quoted verbatim:

> "Taenia torulosa Batsch.—Taenia: *capite truncato, osculis orbicularibus marginatis, collo mediocri, articulis crassiusculis (subquadratis) subrotundis.*
>
> Bloch Abh. p. 11. Tab. 2. fig. 1-4. Taenia articulis rotundis.

Batsch Bandw. p. 181 n. 27. fig. 105-108. Taenia torulosa.
Schrank Verz. p. 49. n. 150. T. orbicularis.
Gmel. Syst. n. p. 3081. n. 85. T. torulosa.
Frölich im Naturf. 25. p. 58-61. T. 3. fig. 4-6 T. simplex.
Zeder Nachtrag p. 220. Rhytelminthus Cyprini.
Rudolphi in Wied. Arch. III. I. p. 110. Taenia torulosa.
Zeder Naturg. p. 352. n. 39. Halysis torulosa.

"Hab. in ventriculo vel intestinis Cyprinorum. In *Cyprino Iese* Bloch et ego Aprili, in *Orfa* Frolichius eodem, in *Leucisco* Zederus Majo mense, reperimus.

"Descr. Vermes Zederiani septem ad novem lineas longi, dimidiam lati; Frolichiani duos ad quinque pollices longi, postice lineam lati; mei pedem non superantes vix lineam dimidiam lati; Blochiani duos pedes longi, lineam et quod excurrit lati.

"Caput truncatum, depressum, sub motu polymorphum, inflatum et *osculorum* directionem sum mopere mutans; haec circularia, concava, marginata, margine simplici vel duplici, interdum occultato; mox omnia quatuor, mox duo tantum in conspectum veniunt, ceterum oculis nudis usurpanda. *Collum* depressum, mediocre, a capite crassiore discretum. *Corpus* crassiusculum, subaequale, margine crenatum, articulis confluentibus, margine postico vix incumbentibus.

"Obs. 1. Blochius specimina sex maxima vidit, eorumque articulos quam in meis magis discretos, ovis farctos, et foramina marginalia (haec tamen non ulterius exposita, neque delineata) observavit. Frolichiani vermes a meis vix ulla nota differunt, nisi quod collum longum dicat, quod ipse mediocre, Blochius breve vocaverit, hoc autem vermis totius ratione habita facile explicatur; in vermibus enim bipedulibus collum vix pollicare Blochio breve visum, quod Frolichio in bivel quinquepollicaribus longum, mihi in pedalibus mediocre fuerit.

"Obs. 2. Zederiani vermes valde pusilli fuere, ideoque a nostria megis distant; ab osculis quatuor suctoriis totidem canales oriebantur, in corpore progressi, tandem in unicum vas abeuntes, quod in cauda obtuso-acuta terminabatur. Haec vasa mihi non visa, sed vermes illi tenelli plani, ideoque pellucidi fuere, nostri muto crassiores, fere teretiusculi. Zederus etiam postea ipse Rhytelminthum cyprini olim sibi dictum ad T. torulosum pertinere suspicatus est, quod olim in diario Wiedemanniano aeque indicaveram. Conf. etiam Taeniam Cyprini Idi n. 114. dictam."

Rudolphi (1819:150) stated that this species had been found by Bloch, Frölich, Zeder and himself in certain species of the Cyprinidae. Dujardin (1845:584) made no record of finding this species. He collected his data from descriptions by Zeder, Frölich, and Bloch. His description reads: "—Long de 16 à 20 mm, et large de 1.12 mm. (Zeder), ou large de 50 à 135 mm, et large de 225 mm (Froelich), ou long de 330 mm, large de 1.2 mm. (Rud.), ou enfin long de 660 mm, large de 225 à (?) (Bloch);—tête tronquée, de forme très-variable, ainsi que ses ventouses dont le bord est saillant;—trompe nulle;—cou de longueur médiocre;—articles assez épais, presque ronds."

Diesing (1850:514) found this species in *Leuciscus idus* and in *Alburnus bipunctatus* and further stated that Creplin found it in *Aspius rapax* and in *Alburnus* sp. Diesing's diagnosis added a little to the previous knowledge of the form. His diagnosis reads: "*Caput* latum depressum truncatum, acetabulis lateralibus limbo prominulo. *Collum* longum. *Articuli* teretiusculi subaequales longi confluentes. *Aperturae* genitalium. . . Longit. 7-10″; latit. ½-1′″." Van Beneden (1861:162-163) reported finding this form in several species of Cyprinidae ("plusieurs espêces de cyprins"). Altho he gave almost no descriptive data a comparison of his figures with those of Batsch leaves little doubt that his *Taenia porulosa* is identical and synonymous with *T. torulosa* Batsch. Porulosa probably is a misspelling of torulosa. Some authors have denied the synonymy of these names but their contention has no support of facts. Van Beneden failed to state the locality of his catch. Von Linstow (1878 and 1889) catalogued the hosts from which this species had been collected. He added nothing to the description of the species.

Zschokke (1884:20) stated that he found *Taenia torulosa* in *Coregonus fera, Lota vulgaris* and *Alburnus lucidus* from Lake Geneva. Since Zschokke reported *Taenia longicollis* and *T. ocellata* from *Coregonus fera* in addition to *Taenia torulosa* it is highly probable that all of his specimens from Coregonus belonged to one of the last named cestode species rather than to *T. torulosa.* Zschokke further states that his specimens were too young to permit him to recognize any trace of the internal organs. These statements as to a probable misdetermination of species apply equally well to his parasite report on *Lota vulgaris* in which case he found *Taenia ocellata* in addition to *Taenia torulosa.* His four young specimens from *Alburnus lucidus* may have been *Taenia torulosa* if only the question of host be considered. Of these four specimens he wrote: "La tête était large, tronquée, les ventouses très fortes et saillantes. Le cou était de longeur, médiocre, la segmentation en articles peu accusée." Lönnberg (1889:15) reported the finding of *Taenia torulosa* by Professor Tullberg. He gave no description and did not state the locality of the collection. Von Linstow (1891:565) found *Taenia torulosa* in *Alburnus lucidus.* He gave no data.

Krämer (1892:55) found *Taenia torulosa* in *Alburnus lucidus,* Lake Lucerne. He examined more than 150 specimens of *Coregonus fera* without finding a single specimen of *Taenia torulosa,* and among numerous specimens of *Alburnus lucidus* but a single host was infected with *Taenia torulosa.* He made the first careful morphological and histological study of this species. Lönnberg (1894:801-803) included *Taenia torulosa* in a list of species of his new genus *Ichthyotaenia.*

Zschokke (1896:775) found *Ichthyotaenia torulosa* (Batsch) in the intestine of *Leuciscus leuciscus* L. He found it in no other hosts. Von Rátz (1897:159) reported finding *Ichthyotaenia torulosa* in *Abramis brama* L. and in *Pelecus cultratus* L. in Lake Balaton, Hungary, but he gave no description. Frič and Vavra (1901:111-112) reported what they considered to be *Ichthyotaenia torrulosa* (Batsch) (misspelling for torulosa) from *Leuciscus leuciscus* and *Perca fluviatilis,* Podiebrad, Bohemia. The description of their specimens agrees very well with that of *Proteocephalus percae* and a part or all of their specimens may belong to that species. The Häsling *(Leuciscus leuciscus)* would be a new host for *P. percae* and it may be that their specimens from that host are as they identified them, i. e., *P. torulosus.* Moreover, it is not impossible that Frič and Vavra failed to distinguish between the two species of cestode, *P. percae* and *P. torulosus.* It is impossible to make a complete determination from their data. Schneider (1902:24) found *Ichthyotaenia torulosa* in *Leuciscus idus* in Finland. Three years later he (1905:24-25) briefly but concisely described this species from *Leuciscus idus.* His description agrees very well with that of Krämer (1892).

Nufer (1905:75) reported *Proteocephalus torulosus* (Batsch) from *Perca fluviatilis, Alburnus lucidus, Squalius leuciscus, Blicca bjoerkna, Gobio fluviatilis, Coregonus exiguus albellus, C. schinzii helveticus, Salmo salvelinus.* Some of Nufer's report is open to doubt. In 59 specimens of *Perca fluviatilis* he not only failed to find the species which Zschokke found in that host but he found *P. longicollis* and *P. torulosus,* a species which only once before (Frič and Vavra, 1901) had been reported from that host. In that instance attention has been called to the fact that the specimens in question were probably *P. percae* and not *P. torulosus.* Nufer's *P. torulosus* and his *P. longicollis* may have been *P. percae.* Nufer's next four hosts after *Perca fluviatilis* are all Cyprinidae, from which group this species has heretofore been reported. It is extremely doubtful if the Salmonidae harbor this species. Zschokke, who in 1884 reported young specimens of *Taenia torulosa* from *Coregonus fera,* in 1896 found this parasite only in *Alburnus lucidus.* Zschokke's paper (1896) contained the results of his investigations on more than 1600 fish from Lake Geneva and the Rhine hence his data were fairly comprehensive. Moreover, from the three species of Salmonidae in which he claimed to have found *P. torulosus* Nufer reported two other species of *Proteocephalus,* namely, *P. longicollis* and *P. ocellatus.* Here again Nufer probably made a misdetermination. The writer has shown that Nufer's work (see discussion of *P. macrocephalus*) is untrustworthy and in the determination of this species there seems to be no exception. That Nufer made a misdetermination of his *P. longicollis* is not at all improbable since specimens labelled "*Taenia longicollis* aus Forelle" which have been received by Professor Ward from Professor Zschokke have proved to belong to a different species. Nufer's tabulated description of *P. longicollis* (Nufer 1905:147) does not agree in some important points with von Linstow's description yet it is very manifest that he drew on von Linstow's description for a large part of his data. Likewise parts of Nufer's description of *P. torulosus* (Nufer 1905:147) fail to agree with the descriptions of that species by Schneider and Kraemer. Lühe (1909:32) gave a short specific description of this form under the name of *Ichthyotaenia torulosa* (Batsch). La Rue (1911:475) listed this form among other species of Proteocephalus.

The following study is based upon some of Schneider's specimens which Prof. H. B. Ward secured from Professor Levander at Helsingfors. This material is labelled "*Ichthyotaenia torulosa* Batsch. *Leuciscus idus*. Porkala. Juni 1901. det. G. Schneider." It now bears the number 10.121 in Professor Ward's collection. From this material frontal and transverse sections have been made and some heads have been studied in glycerine. A careful comparison of Schneider's (1905) and Kraemer's (1892) descriptions shows very good agreement. Zschokke's (1884) description in parts agrees pretty well with these two. Lühe (1909) seems to have based his specific description largely on the work of Zschokke, Krämer, and Schneider. Data from these sources are used in this description.

This is one of the larger species of Proteocephalus infesting fish. In length it varies from 65 to 600 mm. Specimens of the latter length are rare and have been reported only by some of the earlier investigators. The longest complete strobila examined by the writer was 110 mm. long. The breadth of the strobila varies from 1.2-2.25 mm. The head (Fig. 7) is large and very prominent, somewhat swollen in appearance, flattened dorso-ventrally, and somewhat flattened at the apex. Schneider found it 0.500 mm. broad. The heads in the writer's material measured 0.450-0.600 mm. broad by about 0.320 mm. thick. The suckers are very prominent, nearly circular in outline, with deep cavities and strong musculature. They are directed anteriad and a little outward. The suckers are 0.200 mm. in diameter according to Schneider and about 0.180-0.200 mm. in the heads examined by me. A fifth sucker is not present. In sections of the head the writer was unable to find even a trace of a vestigial fifth sucker. Nufer (1905:147) stated that the head of a 37 mm. specimen measured 0.255 mm. broad and the suckers of the same were 0.10 mm. in diameter. Nufer's measurements of the head do not agree at all with the measurements made by Schneider or the writer. Neither Krämer nor Zschokke give measurements of the head and suckers but they state that the head is large, the suckers large and round. Nufer's specimens which infested *Perca fluviatilis* most certainly could not have been *P. torulosus* (Batsch) but were either *P. percae, P. fallax* La Rue, or an undescribed species.

Batsch drew the head of *P. torulosus* as a broad structure with large and prominent suckers. His figures which have been reproduced (Figs. 145, 146) should be compared with the drawing of a head (Fig. 7) derived from material sent to Professor Ward by Professor Levander. There is remarkable agreement in form of head and of suckers. The thick neck is about 2-3 mm. long by about 0.20-0.30 mm. broad. It is much wrinkled thus making difficult the determination of the length. It passes over gradually into the first proglottids which are much broader than long. Mature and ripe proglottids are almost always broader than long. The maximum length observed was 1.0 mm. and the maximum breadth 2.5 mm. Many nearly ripe proglottids measure about 0.29 mm. long by 1.30 mm. broad. The proglottids are thick and fleshy with well defined limits. Indentations between the proglottids are deep and the corners of the latter are quite pronounced. The end proglottid is rounded posteriorly. At its posterior end it has a deep indentation into which the excretory ducts discharge.

According to Krämer the excretory ducts in the head and neck region are very prominent with many anastomosing coils. In the neck region he found many branches of the excretory vessels leading to the exterior. Sections made by the writer failed to show these relations.

The genital openings are irregularly alternating, and are situated near the middle of the margin of the segment. The vagina opens not strictly anterior to the cirrus-pouch but somewhat dorsal thereto. Krämer incorrectly states that the vagina and cirrus-pouch open near each other but not into a common atrium. The testes are large, spheroidal or by pressure they may be sometimes rendered polyhedral. They measure according to Schneider about 0.160 mm. in sagittal by 0.080 mm. in frontal diameter. My measurements are about the same as these. The testes lie in two layers, the dorsal layer (Fig. 78) covering the entire area bounded by the vitellaria and the anterior and posterior margins of the proglottid. Thus the ovary is completely covered by the dorsal layer of testes about 70 in number. In the more ventral layer there are about 30-40 testes. Hence the total number of testes is about 100-110. Krämer's drawing (Fig. 184) shows a small number of testes but since it shows the ventral view of the worm it cannot show a large number. The coils of the vas deferens form a thick mass extending from the cirrus-pouch to the mid-field of the segment. Krämer (Fig. 184) figures the coils of vas deferens lying in the middle of the segment. The cirrus-pouch is short and relatively thick. Its length is about 0.255 mm. and its breadth 0.085 mm. The ratio of its length to the proglottid breadth is about 1:4 according to G. Schneider, 1:3 in Kraemer's drawing, and 1:4-1:6 in my preparations. The cirrus is short and not very thick. Kraemer's description of the cirrus is faulty in that he said that the cirrus was armed with recurved hooks which extend back into the tissue of the cirrus itself. There are no hooks. The protruded cirrus has not been seen by the writer. The ductus ejaculatorius forms but a very few coils or instead of coils it may lie in sinuous curves within the cirrus-pouch.

The vagina which opens mostly dorsal to the cirrus-pouch has a very weak sphincter muscle situated near the opening into the atrium. This sphincter vaginae is made up of a few strands of circular muscle fibers which may be easily overlooked. In its course to the interovarial space the vagina does not cross the cirrus-pouch but passes dorsal and anterior to the pouch. A small receptaculum seminis is present just anterior to the ovary. The vitellaria are lateral, voluminous, and follicular. The follicles are large and closely packed together. The bilobed ovary is large, well developed, thick and irregular in outline, but the lobes are not as slender as they are shown in Kraemer's figure (compare Figs. 79 and 184). In proglottids 1.3 mm. broad the ovary may have a span of 0.80 mm. and the lobes may be 0.130-0.140 mm. thick. A muscular oöcapt and an oötype are present. The uterus in ripe proglottids has 3-4 lateral out-pocketings on either side. The uterine pores have not been observed. The eggs were not described by Krämer and Schneider. The outer membrane is thin and hyaline, 0.055 mm. in diameter. The granular second membrane has a diameter of about 0.032 mm. and the embryo about 0.021 mm. A delicate membrane closely invests the embryo.

P. torulosus differs from many other species of the genus by its large size, and from a large number of the species through its lack of a fifth sucker. In maximum length and breadth of its strobila it is the largest species of Proteocephalus yet described. In the width of the head and diameter of the suckers it is exceeded only by *P. ambloplitis* and *P. perplexus.* In observed length *P. torulosus* greatly exceeds these species—and it may be differentiated from them by its lesser number of uterine pouches, its weaker sphincter vaginae, its shorter cirrus-pouch, its double layer of testes, and by the arrangement of the dorsal layer of the same. It is greatly different from the other North American forms thus far described. Among the European forms *P. torulosus* is approached in size only by *P. longicollis.* The latter, however, has a well developed fifth sucker and, according to von Linstow, a much smaller number of testes. *P. torulosus* may be differentiated from *P. percae* and *P. cernuae* by its larger head, larger suckers, lack of a fifth sucker, more numerous testes and the different arrangement of the same, and by its smaller number of uterine pouches. *P. torulosus* is much larger than *P. macrocephalus.* It has a larger head, larger suckers, fewer uterine pouches and a different arrangement of the dorsal layer of testes than the latter species. *P. torulosus* is so different from the other described species of Proteocephalus that a danger of its being confused with them is scarcely possible.

PROTEOCEPHALUS MACROCEPHALUS (Creplin)

[Figs. 1, 47-49, 171]

1825:	*Taenia macrocephala*	Creplin	1825
1845:	*Taenia macrocephala*	Dujardin	1845:585
1850:	*Taenia macrocephala*	Diesing	1850:513-514
1859:	*Taenia macrocephala*	Molin	1859:13
1875:	*Taenia macrocephala*	von Linstow	1875
1889:	*Taenia dilatata*	Linton	1889:488-489
1893:	*Taenia macrocephala*	Olsson	1893
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia macrocephala*	Riggenbach	1896:267
1897:	*Taenia dilatata*	Linton	1897:425
1897:	*Taenia macrocephala*	Stossich	1897:7
1898:	*Taenia macrocephala*	Stossich	1898a:113-114
1898:	*Taenia macrocephala*	Mühling	1898:37, 69
1901:	*Taenia dilatata*	Linton	1901:435
1903:	*Ichthyotaenia hemisphaerica*	Schneider	1903:29
1905:	*Ichthyotaenia macrocephala*	Schneider	1905:10, 17-19
1905:	*Proteocephalus macrocephalus*	Nufer	1905:134-152
1909:	*Ichthyotaenia macrocephala*	Lühe	1909:33-34
1911:	*Proteocephalus macrocephalus*	La Rue	1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: The characters of the genus. Adult cestodes possessing strobila as much as 40 cm. long by 1-1.8 mm. broad or perhaps more. Segmentation quite distinct. Proglottids very numerous; first much broader than long; mature, broader than long or nearly quadrate; ripe, longer than broad. Neck 5.0-7.0 mm. long, 0.1-0.25 mm. broad. Head globose, flattened dorsoventrally, 0.28-0.30-0.32 mm. broad, 0.15-0.16 mm. thick. Suckers 0.095-0.106 mm. in diameter. Fifth sucker vestigial and deeply set in the tissue of the head, 0.025 mm. in diameter. Sexual organs as in genus. Genital aperture marginal, near middle of proglottid. Vagina anterior and vaginal opening dorsal to cirrus-pouch. Testes 100-120, irregularly scattered between vitellaria, lying in one or two layers, usually one. Cirrus-pouch short, about 0.16 mm. long. Ratio of length of cirrus-pouch to proglottid breadth 1:6-1:8. Uterine pouches 7-11-12-14 on either side. Embryos 0.017-0.019-0.021 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: In intestine of *Anguilla vulgaris* (type host), and *A. chrysypa* Raf.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
Anguilla vulgaris	Greifswald (type locality)	Creplin	Creplin (1825:69-71)
" "	Rennes	Dujardin	Dujardin (1845:585)
" "	Padua	Molin	Molin (1859:13)
" "	....	von Linstow	von Linstow (1875)
" "	....	....	Olsson (1893)
" "	Narenta	Stossich	Stossich (1897:7)
" "	Trieste	Stossich	Stossich (1898a:113-114)
" "	Memel, E. Prussia	Mühling	Mühling (1898:37-69)
" "	Finland	Schneider, G.	Schneider, G. (1903:29)
" "	Lake Lucerne	Nufer	Nufer (1905:134-152)
" *chrysypa* Raf.	Wood's Hole, Mass.	Linton	Linton (1889:488-489)
" "	" " "	Linton	Linton (1897:425-426)
" "	Sebago Lake, Me.	H. B. Ward	LaRue (the present paper)

Creplin (1825) found this species in the intestine of *Anguilla vulgaris* at Greifswald. His diagnosis and description are here given:

"*Taenia macrocephala:* T. capite elongato, antice crassiore, osculis globosis anticis, rostello brevissimo obtuso, collo brevi, articulis anterioribus brevibus obtusis, sequentibus subquadratis, horum lemniscis marginalibus vage alternis.

Hab. In intest. *Maraenae Anguillae* Martio, April, Majo et Junio hanc Taeniam reperi aut singulum aut minima tamen copia.

Descr. Vermes aliquot lineas usque ad octo pollices *longi,* majores medio corpore lineam cum quarta vel dimidia ejus parte lati, subdiaphani, albissimi.

Caput oblongum, antice latius, *rostello* brevissimo, obtuso instructum, inerme, et quatuor *osculis* (quorum orificia non vidi) globosis, prominentibus, anticis, instructum. *Collum* breve, rugosum, cum capite continuum. *Articuli* antici brevissimi, inaequales, obtusi, passim medio constricti, sequentes sensim latitudine et longitudine crescunt, maximam partem quidem latiores, quam longi manent, demum vero quadrati et ultimi adeo paulo longiores, quam lati evadunt. Omnes per articulos decurrit ad margines laterales utrinque *linea* alba *opaca. Foramina* articulorum posticorum *marginalia* vage alterna, ex quibus cirrhus propendet brevis, subtilissimus.

Obs. 1. Reperi primo hujus vermis specimen unicum valde parvum d. 27 Mart. 1822, postea d. 23. Apr. ej. anni iterum parva specimina una cum Bothriocephalis clavaecipitibus itidem parvis demum vero specimen octopollicare d. 23. Maji 1822, et secundum specimen magnum d. 5. Junii 1823, simul cum illi adjacente Bothr. clavaecipite mediocre. Vivos examinae microscopii ope non mihi licuit, ut majorem descriptionis partem de verme in spiritu servato dare debuerim.

Obs. 2. Specimen Junio inventum vivacissimum, aquae frigidae immissum, valdopere se movebat et contorquebat, hisque motibus maximam *ovorum* copiam demittebat subglobosorum, ut tota aqua inde turbida fieret.

Obs. 3. Hujus vermis caput sine dubio O. F. Müller (Vid. Schriften Berl. Naturf. I. p. 208. cit. in *Rudolph.* Entozoologiae T. II. P. 2. p. 39.) vidit, cum Bothriocephalo clavaecipiti (Taeniae Anguillae sibi dicto, a nostra taenia omnibus notis diversissimo) caput osculis quattuor instructum adscriberet."

Dujardin (1845:585) reported and described specimens of this species in these words:

"Ténia de l'anguille. *Taenia macrocephala.*—Long de 8 à 220 mm, large de (?) à 3.37 mm;—tête allongée, plus épaisse en avant, avec une trompe très-courte, obtuse;—ventouses globuleuses, dirigées en avant;—cou court;—premiers articles courts, obtus, les suivant presque carrés, avec les orifices génitaux irrégulièrement alternes, et les pénis courts, très-minces, pendants.

"M. Creplin lá trouvé plusieurs fois, à Greifswald, dans l'intestin de l'anguille.—J'ai trouvé aussi à Rennes, dans une anguille, trois jeunes ténias longs de 6 mm et 10 mm et 13.5 mm, larges de 0.25 mm à 0.3 mm; ayant la tête large de 0.33 mm à 0.48 mm, sans trompe, et les ventouses larges de 0.106, dirigées en avant."

Diesing (1850:513-514) added nothing to the earlier descriptions. Molin (1859) reported a specimen of this species taken from *Anguilla vulgaris* at Padua in March, 1859. He did not describe it. Von Linstow (1875) very insufficiently described *P. macrocephalus* from *Anguilla vulgaris* in the following words (The quotation is taken from Nufer 1905:135):

"Der Skolex ist gegen den folgenden Körper nicht abgesetzt; die vier grossen Saugnäpfe haben einen Durchmesser von 0.166 mm.; dazwischen steht ein viel kleinerer, fünfter, scheitelständiger, von 0.026 mm. Durchmesser, der oft schwer aufzufinden ist. Die Cirren sind 0.2 mm. lang und 0.06 mm. breit; am äusseren Drittel zeigen sie eine Einschnürung, sodass ungefähr die Gestalt der Kegel entsteht, wie sie beim Kegelschieben üblich sind; sie ragen nur wenig mit der Spitze über den Rand des Gliedes hinaus und stehen unregelmässig abwechselnd.

Die äussere Eihülle ist hyalin und umgibt das Ei weitläufig, denn sie hat einen Durchmesser von 0.089 mm., während der der innern Eihülle nur 0.029 mm. und der der Eizelle selber 0.023 mm. beträgt. Die Embryonalhäkchen sind sehr fein; sie haben eine Länge von 0.006 mm."

Von Linstow's measurements of the suckers much exceed those given by Schneider and the writer for this species. He also reported a small fifth sucker that was very difficult to see. The writer is inclined to the belief that this was the small vestigial fifth sucker which in some Proteocephalids may be seen in favorable toto preparations.

Linton (1889:488-489) described some cestodes from *Anguilla chrysypa* Raf., caught on our eastern coast as a new species, *Taenia dilatata* in these words:

"Head small, truncate, or, in living specimens, slightly prominent in front. Acetabula nearly circular, directed a little forward. Neck rugose, very long, very contractile and dilatable, narrow in front, tapering toward the head; a short distance back of the head expanding into a number of irregular, transparent, dilated folds, which border both sides of an opaque central portion, in which two longitudinal canals are faintly outlined. First segments about three times as broad as long; median segments square, or broader than long; ultimate segments nearly square, sometimes broader than long, sometimes longer than broad. Genital apertures marginal, opening a very little in front of the middle.

"A single specimen of this species of *Taenia* was obtained from the intestine of the Common Eel (*Anguilla vulgaris*) August 26, 1885. The length of the specimen, when stretched out by fastening one end with a needle to the bottom of the dissecting dish and removing all kinks and curves with a fine brush, was 170 mm. The length of the same specimen, after having been preserved in alcohol, is less than 90 mm. The specimen when first obtained and placed in sea-water was quite active. The body was constantly throwing itself into sinuous curves, while the head and neck were jerked from side to side with a moderately rapid motion. In addition to these movements the neck and anterior portions of the body constantly changed their shape by the inflation or dilatation of the investing membranes into wide transparent folds, constricted at irregular intervals by narrow transverse bands. The neck, meanwhile, was alternately stretched out and contracted like the body of a Nemertean. The anterior end of the head protruded into a proboscis like papilla. The breadth of the head itself varied from 0.17 to 0.35 mm.

"In the alcoholic specimen the dilatable folds of the neck are much contracted and broken. They lie in rough, ragged frills along each side of the dark central part of the strobile. The head is truncate or blunt in front. The neck immediately behind the sucking-disks is almost as wide as the head, flat, thin, and little, if at all, tapering.

"The following measurements were made on the living specimen. The head and neck changed their position and shape so rapidly that it was with the greatest difficulty that trustworthy measurements could be made:

	Millimeters.
"Breadth of head	0.28
Diameter of acetabula	0.12
Diameter of neck, narrowest part	0.20
Distance of first segments from head	17.00
Length of fourth segment from end of strobile	1.30
Breadth of same, posterior end	1.50
Breadth of same, anterior end	1.60
Length of posterior segment	0.90
Breadth of same, posterior end	0.60
Breadth of same, anterior end	1.25

"Habitat.—Common Eel (*Anguilla vulgaris*); intestine; Wood's Hole, Mass., August 26, 1885; one specimen."

"Von Linstow (Compend. der Helminth., 1878) records but two *Taenia* from the Common Eel, *T. macrocephala* Creplin and *T. hemispherica* Molin. *T. dilatata* is very different from the former. Diesing (Revis. der Ceph., Ab. Cycl., p. 378) mentions the latter, but gives no enumeration of characters. I do not have access to Molin's paper, and cannot, therefore say whether *T. dilatata* is identical with his species or not. The peculiar inflated character of the neck suggests *T. ambigua* Dujardin, but the difference in size between the adult specimens is alone sufficient to render the union in the same species impossible."

Again Linton (1897:425) reported this species from *A. chrysypa* thus:

"*Taenia dilatata* Linton.—No. 4812 U. S. N. M. From common eel (*Anguilla chrysypa*). Several strobiles but in bad state of preservation, no scolices; anterior ends have been exceedingly long and slender. The characteristic dilatations of this species can not be proved from these specimens. The general appearance of the strobiles, as well as the character of the segments, however, agrees with this species.

"It may be added that the segments of these specimens agree with Molin's description of his *T. hemispherica*. With the evidence at hand, however, the writer does not feel justified in uniting the two species of *T. dilatata* and *T. hemispherica*."

Professor H. B. Ward secured this material, No. 4812, U. S. N. M. for my examination but its state of preservation was too poor to permit any positive determination being made.

Linton (1901:435) recording the parasites of *Anguilla chrysypa* reported this species again. "*Taenia dilatata* Linton. Specimens of this genus also taken in 1899, three on August 2. Dimensions in millimeters: Length, 8, diameter of head, 0.28. Diameter of sucker, 0.08. Segments not mature. One specimen August 28; length 14 mm.''

It is the writer's opinion that the folds of the neck are of no real diagnostic value. Such folds may be seen on many other specimens of cestodes in certain states of expansion and contraction. Unfortunately Linton failed to figure or describe any of those internal structures which are of real service to the modern systematist. His drawing of the mature proglottid is such that it cannot be interpreted but his drawings of the head and of the last proglottids are of value for comparative purposes. Linton himself believed that the segments of his species agreed with Molin's description of *T. hemisphaerica.* Riggenbach (1896) considered Linton's *T. dilatata* to be identical specifically with *T. hemisphaerica* Molin. Nufer (1905) sought to show that these two species were identical with *Proteocephalus macrocephalus* (Creplin). This may prove to be the case but since the writer has not been able to study any material of the *T. hemisphaerica* he has preferred to consider the latter as a separate species. He is, however, ready to state that Linton's *Taenia dilatata* is specifically identical with *Proteocephalus macrocephalus* (Creplin). *T. dilatata* is therefore a synonym of the last named species and it should be dropped from the list of valid names for Proteocephalus species. Professor H. B. Ward very kindly secured for study Linton's slides and some alcoholic material of his *Taenia dilatata.* These specimens, judging from the statements in his letter to Professor Ward, must be from the lots described by Linton in 1889 and in 1901. Measurements of the heads, suckers, proglottids and the cirrus-pouch, together with the general appearance of the worms, give ample reason for considering this form to be identical with specimens taken from *Anguilla chrysypa,* Lake Sebago, Maine, and which the writer is describing as *P. macrocephalus.* These specimens agree in minute details of structure with the cestodes which Schneider (1905) described as *P. macrocephalus.* A more extended discussion of this comparison will be made in the proper connection (Vide infra).

Olsson (1893) reported specimens of cestodes from *Anguilla vulgaris* in Scandinavia. Riggenbach (1896) considered the form to be a species of *Ichthyotaenia.* Stossich (1897:7) reported this species from *Anguilla vulgaris* at Narenta, and again in a later paper (1898a:113-114) he gave a short diagnosis of specimens taken from the same host species at Trieste. In this diagnosis nothing of the inner anatomy of the worm is given. His diagnosis reads:

> "Lunghezza 220 mm.; larghezza 3.5 mm. Scolice in continuazione del collo, allungato, ingressato al'innanzi, con grandi ventose globose, situate anteriormente; rostello cortissimo, ottuso, provveduto di una piccola ventosa apicale. Collo corto.

Proglottidi prime corte e ottuse, le seguenti subquadrate. Aperture genitali irregolarmente alterne; pene corto, sottilissimo, pendente, con una strozzatura verso l'apice. Uova con due invogli, lunghe 0.089 mm. Rara nell' intestino dell' *Anguilla vulgaris* (Trieste)."

Stossich was evidently describing *P. macrocephalus* and not the *P. hemisphaericus* (Molin). Mühling (1898:37, 69) noted this parasite in *A. vulgaris* in East Prussia but gave no description. Schneider (1903: 29) reported from an eel a single specimen of what he then identified as *Ichthyotaenia hemisphaerica.* Later in a footnote (1905:10) he says of these specimens "*Ichthyotaenia hemisphaerica* kommt, wie es scheint, in den Aalen des Finnischen Meerbusens garnicht vor. Das Exemplar welches ich früher einmal irrtümlich als *I. hemisphaerica* bestimmt habe (Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Helminthenfauna des Finnischen Meerbusens. Acta Soc. pro Fauna et Flora Fennica 26, No. 3. 1903. S. 29), erwies sich bei genauerer Unterschung auch als *Ichthyotaenia macrocephala.*"

From this statement the writer infers that Schneider at that time considered *P. hemisphaericus* to be a distinct species. In the text of the same article Schneider (1905:17-19) described *P. macrocephalus,* recording for the first time in the history of the species a careful study of its inner anatomy. For this reason a condensed resume of his description is here given.

Proteocephalus macrocephalus: This species is a close relative of *P. percae* and is differentiated therefrom by the very short cirrus-pouch and the short cirrus. The scolex has a diameter of about 0.30 mm. The diameter of the large deep suckers is 0.100 mm. The fifth sucker as in *P. percae* is a rudimentary structure made up of long cells and having a diameter of 0.025 mm. (The writer has shown that this organ in *P. percae* is a true sucker.) The neck is quite broad and is strongly compressed dorsoventrally. The body attains a length 40 cm. and more. A specimen 11 cm. long and 1.8 mm. broad had about 200 segments. The youngest proglottids are about five times as broad as long. The middle mature proglottids are broader than long while ripe proglottids are longer than broad.

The ovaries appear from the surface as two small stripes, as they do in *P. percae.* In old ripe proglottids they are of irregular shape, bent and somewhat overlapped. The cirrus and vagina open irregularly, alternating right and left, in the middle or a little anterior to the middle of the proglottid margin. The cirrus-sheath scarcely equals 1/6 the proglottid breadth, often much less. The ductus ejaculatorius (cirrus-canal) forms some convolutions in the proximal end of the cirrus-sheath and here widens out into a vesicula seminalis, 0.030 mm.

in diameter. The convolutions of the vas deferens outside of the cirrus-sheath are excentric on the porose side. In other species with a long cirrus-sheath this convoluted mass lies in the middle of the proglottid. The vagina is provided with a small sphincter muscle close to the vaginal opening. Without broadening out into a receptaculum seminis the vagina passes into the ovarial region. The oöcapt is very muscular. The uterine passage measures 0.02 mm. in diameter and the vitelline reservoir, 0.30 mm. The vitellaria are voluminous and follicular. The opening of the uterine passage and the well preformed uterine opening on the ventral side are found almost in the same transverse section posterior to the middle of the proglottid. The uterus has about eight branches on either side. Testes, numbering about 100 in each proglottid, lie irregularly in one or two layers. They measure about 0.150 by 0.075 mm. Ventral excretory vessels measure about 0.009 mm. in diameter, the dorsal vessels about 0.0025 mm. This species occurs only in *Anguilla vulgaris* and only in small numbers.

In this connection the writer thinks it of interest to note that Creplin's specimens were taken at Greifswald in Prussia while Schneider's were taken in Finland. Geographically these habitats are not distant nor do any barriers exist to prevent the movement of the eels from one locality to the other. For this reason and because of anatomical similarities it is extremely probable that Schneider's specimens were of the same species as Creplin's. It is to be noted that Dujardin's observations on the size of specimens taken from *Anguilla vulgaris* at Rennes, France, agree very well with those which Schneider describes. Nufer (1905) described and figured what he considered to be *P. macrocephala* (Creplin). He found two specimens in the intestinal tract of a single *Anguilla vulgaris* from Lake Lucerne. Nufer shows such striking inconsistencies in his statements and these agree so poorly with the findings of Schneider and the writer that it seems best to analyse parts of his paper. Compare his description of the head (Nufer 1905:137) and his statement about the head in his summary (Nufer 1905:145) with the facts presented in tabular form on pp. 146-147 of his paper where he compares *P. macrocephalus, P. ocellatus, P. longicollis,* and *P. torulosus.* All of these species he reports from Lake Lucerne.

He writes (page 137):

> "Der Scolex ist sehr gross, ziemlich breit, rundlich, und besitzt einen Durchmesser von 0.1512 mm. Gegen den Hals ist er scharf und deutlich abgesetzt und *übertrifft alle übrigen im Vierwaldstättersee vorkommenden Proteocephalenscolices an Grösse um Bedeutendes* (The italics are mine, La Rue.), weshalb Creplin, auch auf den Gedanken gekommen sein mag, diesen Proteocephalen mit "*macrocephalus*" zu bezeichnen. Vorne ist der Skolex mit vier grossen, runden Saug-

> näpfen versehen, die ihm die wuchtige Gestalt verliehen, wie ich sie sonst bei keinem *Proteocephalen* mehr angetroffen habe. Je nach der Kontraktion der Saugnäpfe erscheint ihr Rand kreisrund oder unregelmässig gelappt. Der äussere Durchmesser derselben beträgt 0.069 mm, der innere Durchmesser 0.0414 mm. Da der Skolex bei meinem Präparate etwas geschrumpft ist, war es mir unmöglich, einen fünften, scheitelständigen Saugnapf nachzuweisen, wie ihn von Linstow beobachtet haben will; jedoch ist sicher, dass eine Scheitelvertiefung vorhanden ist."

Also in his conclusions note this (p. 145): "Der Skolex übertrifft die übrigen Proteocephalenskolices an Grösse; dementsprechend ist auch der Durchmesser der Saugnäpfe grösser."

In the tabulated data (pp. 146-147) Nufer gives the dimensions of the scolices and of the suckers of the four species thus: breadth of scolex of *P. macrocephalus* 0.151 mm., *P. ocellatus* 0.114 mm., *P. longicollis* 0.43 mm., *P. torulosus* 0.255 mm.; diameter of suckers of *P. macrocephalus* 0.069 mm., *P. ocellatus* 0.05 mm., *P. longicollis* 0.12-0.19 mm., *P. torulosus* 0.1 mm. According to these figures the diameter of the suckers and the breadth of the head of *P. macrocephalus* exceed the same dimensions as given for *P. ocellatus* only. It is smaller than either of the other two with which he compares it.

Again note in the last sentence of the first paragraph quoted Nufer states that he could not find a fifth sucker, yet in his tabulated data (p. 146) he gives the diameter of the fifth sucker as 0.026 mm. In this he must be quoting from von Linstow's description. Von Linstow was the first to mention a fifth sucker for this species. Evidently Nufer's statements must lack accuracy. Nufer's drawings are of little help in giving a clear idea of the systematic position of his specimens.

Consider now his description of the male reproductive organs (pp. 140-142) and carefully compare his description with the writer's figure (Fig. 57).

> "Der Cirrusbeutel ist keulenförmig; vorne an der Mündung schmal, erweitert er sich nach hinten ziemlich rasch und erhält einen grössten Durchmesser von 0.0945 mm. Am Hinterende ist er abgerundet und geht bis über die Mitte des Gliedes hinaus. Wie von Linstow (1875) am Cirrus, so beobachtete ich am äussern Drittel des Cirrusbeutels eine Einschnürung, durch die er ungefähr die von v. Linstow angegebene Gestalt eines Kegels erhält wie sie beim Kegelspiel üblich ist. Er dringt nicht vollkommen gerade in das Innere des Gliedes ein, sondern richtet sich etwas schräg gegen das Hinterende der Proglottids. Die Wandung des Cirrusbeutels wird von einer kräftig ausgebildeten Muskulatur umgeben. Aehnlich wie bei *Proteocephalus ocellatus* heften sich an die Basis des Cirrusbeutels kräftig entwickelte Retraktoren, welche die büschelige Wurzelfasern an einem Bulbus sitzen. Der Raum zwischen der Wandung des Cirrusbeutels und Cirrus ist von zarten Bindegewebszellen und zahlreichen, unregelmässig

verteilten Parenchymkernen erfüllt; vielleicht sind auch einzelne kleine Kalkkörperchen eingestreut, wie sie von Kraemer (1892) bei *Proteocephalus ocellatus* gefunden worden sind.

"Der Cirrus bildet die direkte Fortsetzung des Vas deferens; er durchbricht den Cirrusbeutel am Hinterende und durchzieht ihn in geradlinigem Verlaufe. Nachdem er den hintern Drittel des Beutels passiert hat, nimmt dessen Durchmesser ziemlich rasch ab und reduziert sich auf die Hälfte. Nach den Beobachtungen Kraemer's (1892) ist der Cirrus bei *Proteocephalus ocellatus* und *P. torulosus* in seinem hinteren Teile in mehrere Schlingen gelegt, während er bei *P. macrocephalus* als vollständig gestrecktes Organ auftritt. Gleichbedeutend wie diese Schlingen betrachte ich einige ringförmige wulstige Verdickungen am hintern Drittel des Cirrus. Der Schmarotzer besitzt in ihnen jedenfalk eine Einrichtung, welche, ähnlich wie der in Schlingen gelegte Cirrus, dazu dient, bei der Begattung den Cirrus zu verlangern. Bei dem Geschlechtakte würden dann die ringförmigen Wülste sich glätten und successive verschwinden in dem Masse, als der Cirrus vorgestossen wird. Er ist von einer strukturlosen, glatten Wandung umgeben, der nicht wie bei *P. ocellatus* und *P. torulosus* nach hinten gekrümmte Chitinhäkchen aufsitzen. Sein Lumen beträgt im hintern Drittel 0.009 mm., nimmt aber nach vorn bedeutend ab.

"Das Vas deferens liegt hinter und vor dem Cirrusbeutel etwas gegen den Seitenrand des Gliedes verlagert und bildet ein dichten Knauel, der sich besonders in der Länge der Proglottis ausdehnt; die Schlingen, die mit Samenfäden erfüllt sind, greifen kreuz und quer durcheinander. Die Wandung des Vas deferens ist viel zarter als diejenige des Cirrus und lässt keine besondere Muskülatur erkennen.

"Die Hoden liegen als grosse, rundliche Gebilde im Mittelfeld des Gliedes zwischen den Dotterstöcken, dem Keimstocke und dem vordern Gliedrande. Genauere Angaben über deren Zahl zu geben, ist wegen vorgerückter Reife der Glieder unmöglich; dagegen dürften sie ihres grossen Durchmessers (0.054 mm.) wegen kaum in grösserer Zahl auftreten. Bei *Proteocephalus ocellatus,* dessen Hodenbläschen beinahe gleichen Durchmesser (0.057 mm.) besitzen, fand Kraemer (1892) 27-30 in jeder Proglottis."

It will be noted that in nearly every particular his description agrees with the writer's drawing (Fig. 57) and with his description of the male organs of *P. fallax* La Rue, parasitic in *Coregonus fera.* The work of the writer on *P. fallax* was done on material from Zschokke's collection, and it seems that there can be no doubt that these specimens belong to the species described by Zschokke and Krämer as *Taenia ocellata* and by Nufer called *P. ocellatus* in his table. In the length and shape of the cirrus-pouch, in the position of the constriction of the pouch and in the inner bulbous end of the same Nufer's description and the writer's drawing (Fig. 57) agree well. The circular swollen thickening which he notes in the posterior third of the cirrus can be best interpreted to be a coil in the ductus ejaculatorius. When such a coil is seen under certain conditions the appearance described by Nufer is

simulated almost exactly. Likewise in the position of the mass of coils of the vas deferens, in the number, size and arrangement of the testes Nufer's description and his tabulated data (p. 146) agree very well with the writer's drawing and description of the same organs in *P. fallax* La Rue. His description of the male organs of the species in question cannot be said to agree with the writer's drawing (Fig. 49) which is a delineation of the proglottid of *P. macrocephalus.* Nor does his description agree with Schneider's or my own description of the proglottid of that species.

Unfortunately the writer has not had the opportunity of examining Nufer's material of this species nor any other specimens of cestodes taken from the eels of Lake Lucerne. He cannot therefore make a positive determination of Nufer's form. The evidence at hand permits several interpretations. These are: 1, that Nufer permitted his cestode material from Anguilla to become confused with specimens of *P. fallax* from *Coregonus fera;* 2, that the Anguilla of Lake Lucerne harbor *P. fallax;* 3, that the ripe proglottids described by Nufer had been taken into the intestine of the eel with its food, perhaps *Coregonus fera,* living or dead. Supposition 1 is always a possibility when one is working with such material. Supposition 2 does not give complete satisfaction because if the eels of Lake Lucerne harbor *P. fallax* Nufer ought to have been able to find a fifth sucker, which he failed to do. The third supposition permits one to consider that Nufer's whole specimens were really *P. macrocephalus* but that the ripe proglottids (in reality from *P. fallax*) upon which he based his description of the proglottids became confused with the specimens of *P. macrocephalus* by natural means. The embryo of Nufer's form is about the size of the embryo of *P. macrocephalus* as determined by the writer. His measurement of the embryo was 0.0184 mm. while the embryos of the writer's specimens of *P. macrocephalus* measured 0.017-0.019 mm. and rarely as much as 0.021 mm. in an elongated embryo. The embryo of *P. fallax* is much larger, according to the writer's measurements being 0.0312-0.0336 mm. in diameter.

Thus far attention has not been called to Nufer's description of the female generative organs. He claims that the histological structure of the vaginae of *P. macrocephalus* and of *P. ocellatus* differs in some respects. The writer's own investigation of the two species, especially when the investigations of Krämer are taken into consideration, lead him to believe that the vaginae of the two species are much alike in histological detail. Nufer describes the ovary as being single, not bilobed. In this he has been led astray by a condition sometimes found in ripe end-proglottids. In such cases the lobes of the ovary may be pressed backward until the ends of the lobes touch in the median line. In the investigations of the writer covering a large number of Proteocephalidae he has been unable to find a fusion of the ovarian lobes. He must conclude that Nufer's drawing of this condition is hardly trustworthy. Nufer also claims that the walls of the uterine pouches eventually degenerate so that the eggs come to lie in the parenchyma. There is reason to doubt the accuracy of this statement. Many sections of a large number of old ripe proglottids of *P. macrocephalus* and other species of this genus have been examined by the writer who has failed to find any such degeneration of the uterine walls. Nufer's material must have been in extremely poor condition, or else he wofully misinterpreted the appearance of the sections.

The foregoing discussion of Nufer's article leads to the conclusion that the complete specimens taken by Nufer from *Anguilla vulgaris* were probably *P. macrocephalus,* as he identified them. One must further conclude that a part of his description was based on the two loose end-proglottids which really belonged to *P. fallax* and that these were ingested with the food of the eel or that they were accidentally put with the cestodes of Anguilla during Nufer's manipulation of the specimens. It also seems that the eggs which Nufer measured came from *P. macrocephalus.* Lühe (1909) gave a very short diagnosis of this form but included no figures of it. La Rue (1911:475) included this form in a list of *Proteocephalus* species and stated that *Taenia dilatata* was a synonym of *P. macrocephalus.*

Some specimens which have been identified by the writer as *P. macrocephalus* were collected by Professor H. B. Ward in the course of a biological investigation of Sebago Lake, Maine during the summer of 1907. This investigation was conducted under the auspices of the United States Fish Commission. Professor Ward examined 11 specimens of *Anguilla chrysypa* Raf. Of this number five had no cestode infection whatever; two yielded two Proteocephalids each; one yielded one Proteocephalid, and two others together yielded eighteen cestodes and some pieces. Of these eighteen cestodes eight were Proteocephalids. Some of the pieces also belonged to this group. Altogether then there were thirteen Proteocephalids plus some pieces but there was no complete strobila among them. The specimens described are from bottles No. 47, 54, 56, 71 and 72 of the Sebago Lake collection.

The worms are long and slender. No complete strobila was found but a fragment from the middle region of a worm measured 120 mm. long. The maximum breadth observed was 1.8 mm. Young proglottids are much broader than long, measuring 0.33 mm. broad by 0.022 mm. long. Mature proglottids are broader than long, measuring 1.0-1.20

mm. broad by 0.40-0.63 mm. long. The length of the ripe proglottids may exceed the breadth. They measure 0.90-2.08 mm. long by 0.491-1.20 mm. broad. The head (Fig. 1) is very short. It has a slightly rounded apex which is not marked by grooves or furrows. The head is somewhat flattened dorsoventrally, being 0.320 mm. broad at the base of the suckers and about 0.150-0.160 mm. thick. At or slightly above its broadest zone the head bears the four almost spherical suckers which have a maximum diameter of 0.095-0.106 mm. The opening of the sucker measures 0.050-0.060 mm. Tho not measured the sucker cavity is fairly deep. The musculature of the suckers is moderately well developed.

A fifth sucker is not present in this material, nor did Schneider or Nufer find one. It is replaced by a structure such as was described by the writer for *P. filaroides* and which was there called an endorgan. This structure is in reality a vestigial sucker. It has no elongated cells, tho Schneider says that he saw such cells, and there is no trace of muscles. In my specimens it is composed of a very few cells of irregular shape surrounded by a membrane that is homologous with a basal membrane in other suckers. This vestigial fifth sucker is situated a few micra below the cuticula and it has no connection with the exterior. It measures 0.020-0.025 mm. This structure does not resemble the fifth sucker of *P. percae* for it has been shown (Figs. 8, 9, 120) that in *P. percae* this is a muscular organ which doubtless functions as a sucker.

The neck is long and broad and thin. Frequently it measures 0.225-0.300 mm. or more in breadth while its length varies from 5.0 to 7.0 mm. The strobilation is quite evident. The notches between the segments are deep and the angles of the proglottids are somewhat rounded. Many shallow longitudinal folds give the surface of the worm a roughened appearance. The genital aperture is marginal, situated about the middle of the proglottid, and is irregularly alternating. There is no genital papilla. The excretory and nervous systems have not been carefully investigated. The musculature of the strobila is much as Benedict (1900) found it for *P. ambloplitis* tho the muscles are not as heavily developed.

The testes (Fig. 49) lie in a single layer in the dorsal region of the medullary parenchyma between the vitellaria and anterior to the ovary. They are closely packed together until many of them are more or less polygonal in shape. They measure 0.063-0.074 mm. long by 0.042-0.063 mm. broad and they number from 100 to 120. The vas deferens forms a thick mass of coils extending from the middle of the proglottid to the very short cirrus-pouch. Schneider very well says that the mass of coils of the vas deferens is excentric lying on the side in which the cirrus-pouch is situated. The cirrus-pouch (Figs. 47, 48, 49) is very short, reaching just a little way through the vitellaria. Its length is about 0.160 mm. making the ratio of its length to the proglottid breadth about 1:6 to 1:8. The cirrus-pouch is bent up toward the inner dorsal wall of the dermo-muscular sac. Within the cirrus-pouch the ductus ejaculatorius forms but one or two coils before passing over into the short, but thick-walled cirrus. The cirrus is straight. It was not protruded in any specimens examined by the writer.

The vagina lies anterior to the cirrus-pouch, but the opening of the vagina into the genital atrium is situated almost dorsal to that of the cirrus (Fig. 48). Very near the opening of the vagina there is a weak sphincter vaginae. The lumen of the vagina in its initial region is quite large. This however soon constricts and the remainder of the vagina is of small diameter. The drawings (Figs. 47, 48) show how the vagina which opens anterior and dorsal to the cirrus-pouch passes over the latter in its course to the ventral surface and then backward to the ovary. Several species of Proteocephalus, e. g., *P. percae, P. pinguis,* and *P. exiguus* show this twisting of the vagina part way round the cirrus-pouch. This point has not been investigated in many species but it seems probable that it is quite a constant character of the genus.

The broadened region of the vagina bears a ciliated lining on its inner surface but the presence of the cilia could not be demonstrated throughout the length of the vagina. A small receptaculum seminis was found a little way anterior to the mid-piece of the ovary. The ovary (Fig. 49) is a heavy bilobed structure of much the same shape as in *P. percae* or *P. cernuae* tho shorter in those species. The vitellaria are long follicular masses in the lateral fields of the proglottids. The follicles are closely compacted. An oöcapt possessing a heavy musculature is present, also an oötype with its surrounding shellgland.

The uterus (Fig. 47) in ripe proglottids is made up of a median tube with 7-11-12-14 lateral outpocketings on either side. The septa between the uterine pouches are sometimes very thin and in other cases quite thick. The uterine passage is a small tube which after a sinuous course empties into the uterus about the middle of the proglottid. The uterine openings are 1-2 in number. Schneider in his specimens found only a single uterine pore. In reality this difference between the findings of Schneider and the writer is slight and too inadequate to serve as a basis for a specific distinction. A second uterine pore is very readily overlooked. Especially is this true in some species in which the second pore when present is smaller than the first and is situated some distance from it. The eggs were taken from the uterus of alcoholic specimens. The thin outer hyaline shell, characteristic of the eggs of many species, could not be demonstrated. The outer shell, in this case corresponding to the second shell of most Proteocephalus species, was thick and quite granular. It measured 0.026-0.036 mm. in diameter, 0.026-0.029 mm. being the more common dimensions. The embryo, itself, varies from spherical to ovoidal. The diameter varies from 0.017 to 0.019 mm. and rarely 0.021 mm. for a long oval embryo.

The identity of this species with Linton's *T. dilatata* has already been discussed. Whether it is the same as *P. hemisphaericus* cannot now be determined. A discussion of its relationship to that form is given under the description of *P. hemisphaericus. Proteocephalus macrocephalus* shows no marked resemblances to any other of the North American species of Proteocephalus. In some respects it shows some marked resemblances to *P. cernuae* La Rue but it differs from that species in lacking a fifth sucker, in the possession of many more and larger testes, in having a cirrus-pouch that is much shorter actually and relatively, in having a much more voluminous mass of vas deferens and in having smaller embryos. The proportions of the proglottids are also different. While in some respects this species resembles *P. percae* its lack of a fifth sucker readily differentiates these two species. Moreover its very short cirrus-pouch is in marked contrast with the very long cirrus-pouch of *P. percae.* This species is very different from *P. torulosus* in size, in the arrangement of the testes, in the size of the cirrus-pouch and in the number of uterine pouches.

PROTEOCEPHALUS AMBLOPLITIS (Leidy)

[Figs. 18, 19, 116, 117, 134a & b, 183]

1887:	*Taenia amblopitis*	Leidy	1887:22-23
1887:	*Taenia micropteri*	Leidy	1887:23
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia ambloplitis*	Riggenbach	1896:267-268
1897:	*Taenia ocellata*	Linton	1897:425-426
1900:	*Proteocephalus ambloplitis*	Benedict	1900:339:355
1905:	*Proteocephalus amblopitis*	Marshall and Gilbert	1905:513-522
1909:	*Proteocephalus sp.*	La Rue	1909:21, 25, 27, 28, 31, 36
1911:	*Proteocephalus ambloplitis*	La Rue	1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of the genus. Cestodes large, 280-410 mm. long, 2.-2.5 mm. in maximum breadth. Surface of body rough, with transverse and longitudinal furrows. Scolex prominent, 0.57-0.88 mm. broad, divided into quadrants by deep grooves. Tip of scolex usually marked by a minute depression with a slight elevation in the center. Suckers large, round or oval in outline, deep, directed outward and anteriad. Length of suckers 0.300-0.400 mm. Fifth sucker vestigial, deeply embedded in tissue of head. Proglottids closely joined together, corners rounded. Young proglottids 12-15 times broader than long. Mature and ripe proglottids broader than long, about quadrate, or rarely longer than broad.

Genital sinus irregularly alternating, marginal, situated about end of first fourth of the proglottid. Vagina opening anterior to cirrus-pouch. Vaginal sphincter long and very thick. Receptaculum seminis present. Uterus with 15-20 lateral outpocketings on either side. Cirrus-pouch pyriform, muscular, 0.400-0.500-0.650 mm. long, reaching $^2/_7$-$^2/_5$ across the proglottid breadth. Cirrus, when protruded, long and slender. Ductus ejaculatorius much coiled. Vas deferens forming a great mass of coils extending to, or past, the middle of the proglottid. Testes 70-100 in number, situate between vitellaria. Eggs provided with three membranes. Outermost membrane hyaline, ellipsoidal, 0.036-0.043 mm. in diameter; second membrane thick, granular, 0.022-0.024 mm. in diameter; third closely investing the embryo; embryo 0.0168-0.018 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: Intestine of host.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
Ambloplites rupestris (type host)	Lake George, N. Y. (type locality)	Jos. Leidy	Leidy 1887:22-23
Micropterus Salmoides = *nigricans*	Lake George, N. Y. (type locality)	Jos. Leidy	Leidy 1887:23
Ambloplites rupestris	....	J. W. Milner	Linton 1897:425-426
Micropterus dolomieu	Lake St. Clair, Mich.	H. B. Ward	Benedict 1900:339-355
Micropterus dolomieu	Lake Mendota, Wis.	Marshall & Gilbert	Marshall & Gilbert 1905:513-522
Micropterus salmoides	Walnut Lake, Mich.	T. L. Hankinson	La Rue (The present paper)
Micropterus salmoides	Pelican Lake, Minn.	E. G. Davis	La Rue (The present paper)
Amia calva	Lake Erie	H. B. Ward	La Rue (The present paper)
Amia calva	Lake St. Clair, Mich.	H. B. Ward	La Rue (The present paper)

This species was first described by Leidy (1887:22-23). His specimens were secured from the stomach of *Ambloplites rupestris,* Lake George, New York. His diagnosis reads:

> "*Taenia Ambloplitis.*—Head quadrate, spheroidal, consisting almost entirely of the four large spherical bothria, with the summit slightly prominent and conical or depressed and unarmed; neck very short or none; body compressed cylindrical, gradually widening from the head to near the posterior part, where it slightly narrows to the end; segments linear, becoming gradually longer and wider, and the more quadrate, all deeply and pretty regularly wrinkled into two or three annuli. Genital apertures obscure. Length 8 to 12 inches; in alcohol contracted to 3½ to 5 inches; greatest width 2 mm.
>
> "Head 0.5 to 0.625 mm. long and 0.75 to 0.875 broad. Bothria 0.375 mm. diameter. Commencement of body 0.625 wide. Anterior segments 0.125 long, 0.625 wide; subsequently 0.375 long and 1.5 to 1.875 wide; posterior segments 0.75 long by 1 mm. wide.
>
> "A number of specimens from the stomach of the Rock Bass *Ambloplites rupestris.* Lake George, New York.
>
> "This species resembles the *Taenia ocellata* Rudolphi of the European Perch, *Perca fluviatilis,* and perhaps is the same."

Leidy's drawings of the head and proglottids are reproduced (Figs. 134a and b). Immediately following his report on *Taenia ambloplitis* Leidy (1887:23) reported *Taenia micropteri* from the intestine of *Micropterus salmoides (nigricans)* Lake George, N. Y. in the following words:

> "*Taenia Micropteri.*—Head large, compressed spheroidal, with four subterminal spherical bothria and a papilliform unarmed summit; neck none; body obscurely segmented, and with no obvious internal organs, posteriorly variably narrowed and obtusely rounded at the end. Length from half an inch to an inch, and about 1 mm. wide. Apparently a larval form; found in the body cavity of the Black Bass, *Micropterus nigricans.* Six worms, soft, white, and active. The longer ones of an inch would elongate to double the length, becoming proportionately narrower. The head, about 1 mm. or more in diameter, varied in length and breadth, according to contraction, sometimes one and sometimes the other being the larger. Lake George, N. Y."

This form is probably the larval form of *P. ambloplitis.* In dimensions and in general character the two forms are very much alike, even to the papilliform unarmed summit of the head. The obscure segmentation was probably due to wrinkling, not to a true segmentation. *P. ambloplitis* is the only adult Proteocephalid reported from *Micropterus dolomieu* and *M. salmoides* and it is known to occur in a closely related host species in the same lake in which Leidy found *Taenia micropteri.* This is additional evidence in support of the view that Leidy's species are identical. In the light of the evidence the name *Taenia micropteri* is to be considered a synonym of *Taenia amblopliltis* and it should be stricken from the list of valid species because of the priority of the latter named species.

Riggenbach (1896:267-268) put this species in his list of *Ichthyotaenia* yet he considered it a doubtful form. Under the name *Taenia ocellata* Rud. Linton (1897) described this species from *Ambloplites rupestris*. His data agree very well with the data of Leidy, Benedict, and the writer. A difference in the length of the neck caused Linton to doubt the identity of his form with Leidy's *T. ambloplitis*. This difference is easily explained in forms so contractile and so wrinkled as these. Linton himself says, "My specimens agree with Doctor Leidy's pretty well except in the character of the neck. In *T. ambloplitis* the neck is described as 'short or none'. In my specimens the neck is long." Again in concluding Linton writes, "This reference of these *Taenia* of *Ambloplites* to the species *T. ocellata* is provisional only. I think, however, that there can be little doubt but that my specimens are identical with *T. ambloplitis* Leidy. The apparent absence of neck in Leidy's species may be ascribed to the presence of strong transverse wrinkles, due probably to the action of the preserving fluid." Benedict (1900: 339-355) working in Dr. H. B. Ward's laboratory redescribed this species using Leidy's specimens for comparison with material which Professor Ward had collected from *Micropterus dolomieu* while engaged in a biological investigation of Lake St. Clair in August, 1893. Benedict by means of the section method demonstrated that, despite some discrepancies in size, his specimens and Leidy's agreed in anatomical and histological details. Marshall & Gilbert (1905: 513-522) found this species in *Micropterus dolomieu* but not in other hosts from Lake Mendota, Wis.

La Rue (1909:21 et seq.) referred to a cestode found in *Micropteris salmoides* as *P*. sp. and in a footnote on the same page (p. 21) says, "This form may prove to be *Proteocephalus ambloplitis* Leidy, which it very much resembles". That species is now known to be *P. ambloplitis* Leidy. These specimens are referred to in the present article as No. TLH947 and No. TLH1036, collected by Hankinson. La Rue (1911:475) included this species in a list of species of Proteocephalus.

The following description of this species is based partly on Benedict's (1900) description and largely upon the writer's own work on material which Professor T. L. Hankinson had sent to Professor Ward for determination. This material was collected from the intestine of *Micropterus salmoides* in the course of a biological investigation of Walnut Lake, Michigan, summer of 1906. Other specimens have been examined and identified as belonging to this species as follows: in unnumbered bottle, collection of La Rue, labelled "Black Bass, Large mouth, Pyloric Region, Pelican Lake Minn., 1909," collected by E. G. Davis; in bottle No. 10.151 labelled "Ex dogfish, Sandusky O. Jy. 7, 1905"; in bottle No. 2d labelled "7/10/01. P. in B. Host. Amia calva-Intestine"; in bottle No. 85 "7/12/01. P. in B. Amia calva. Intestine"; in bottle No. 295, "8/4/01. P. in B. Amia, Stomach." The abbreviation 'P. in B.' stands for Put-in-Bay, Ohio, the locality of collection. The bottles numbering 10.152, 2d, 85, 295 are in Professor Ward's collection. These together with the material collected by Hankinson were turned over to the writer for study through the kindness of Professor Ward.

This is one of the largest of the species of Proteocephalus and it is the largest species of that genus known at present from the fishes of North America. It may attain a length of 41 cm. and maximum breadth of 2.0-2.5 mm. The body is thick, fleshy and heavily muscled. In preserved specimens the outlines of the worm are somewhat rough, due to the furrows on the margins of the strobila. The surface of the worm is also rough. Longitudinal and transverse furrows are frequent. Especially noticeable is a deep median ventral furrow. On account of these furrows the strobilation is more or less indistinct. Likewise the posterior limit of the neck is frequently obscured by numerous transverse folds which give an appearance of segmentation.

The head is large and prominent. Its breadth is 0.75-0.875 mm. according to Leidy, 0.82-0.88 according to Benedict. The heads examined by me measured 0.57-0.60 mm. Benedict describes the shape of the head thus:

> "From the anterior face it presents a nearly square outline, with a deep notch in the middle of each side, dividing the surface into quarters. Each quarter contains a large sucker which is directed outward and upward. The apex of the head is a smooth, rounded prominence with a small depression in the top. No hooks are present. A fairly good idea of the shape of the scolex can be obtained by placing two truncated pyramids base to base. One of the smaller bases will represent the beginning of the neck, the other the prominence, while on the slope just beneath this would lie the suckers. The notches seen in the anterior view of the scolex form furrows down the four sides of the head, which gradually decrease in depth and vanish on the first few proglottids."

Frequently the head is more globose than Benedict describes it. Such heads are figured (Figs. 18, 19). The small apical papilla may not always be seen but the furrows have been present in all heads examined by me. Leidy's figure of the head, reproduced (Fig. 134a), is somewhat similar to mine. It, however, does not show the furrows. Two of Benedict's figures of the head have been reproduced (Figs. 116, 117) for purposes of comparison.

The suckers which are deep and well muscled measure 0.30 mm. in length with a sucker opening of 0.150 mm. in my specimens. Leidy's measurement of the suckers was 0.375 mm. long and Benedict's was 0.32 mm. broad by 0.40 mm. long. In suckers of this size differences in contraction states will explain the variation in size. There is no functional fifth sucker but deep in the tissue of the head a peculiar structure (Fig. 116) may be found which is a vestigial fifth sucker. Benedict saw the structure but was unable to point out its significance. The neck is short and 0.25-0.45 mm. wide. The first proglottids are much broader than long measuring 0.344 mm. broad by 0.022-0.028 mm. long. Mature and ripe proglottids are broader than long as a rule, but at times may be quadrate, or even longer than broad. The last condition is rare. The maximum breadth of mature and ripe proglottids is 1.5-2.0-2.5 mm. while the maximum length of the same is 0.5-1.0-2.0 mm.

The cuticula, the musculature, and the nervous system were carefully investigated by Benedict (1900) who found nothing especially remarkable about these structures. The excretory system differs from that of *P. fossatus* (Rigg.) and *Corollabothrium lobosum* Rigg. in having no definitely placed foramina secundaria. At irregular intervals along either dorsal or ventral excretory vessel arise numerous branches which take a fairly direct course to the exterior. These branches are of various sizes and the lumen of each undergoes considerable variation in size in its course. The duct through the cuticula is very small and not beset with small bristles as Kraemer (1892) described for the species which he determined to be *P. filicollis*. No special musculature can be found in the course of these ducts to the exterior. The main longitudinal excretory vessels are four in number. They are situated just within the dermo-muscular sac. The ventral vessel has the larger lumen and it is further differentiated from the dorsal vessel by its very thin membranous lining. The dorsal vessel has a lining apparently made up of columnar epithelial cells. Rarely, however, the dorsal vessel is dilated to a size equalling that of the ventral vessel and in these cases the structure of the walls of the two vessels appears to be identical. No transverse vessel connecting the ventral or dorsal vessels in the posterior part of the proglottid has been found. In the scolex there is an intricate plexus of excretory vessels. Many ducts opening to the exterior by small pores place the coils of this plexus in communication with the exterior.

The common marginal genital sinus opens about one fourth of the length of the proglottid from the anterior end. It is irregularly alternate in position. A genital papilla is not present. The vagina opens into the sinus anterior to the cirrus-pouch. The testes are spheroidal bodies, 0.050-0.065 mm. in diameter, which are sometimes rendered polyhedral by pressure of adjacent testes. They number from 75 to 100 and are crowded into one or two irregular layers in the space between the vitellaria and anterior to the ovaries. The vas deferens (Fig. 183) forms a large mass of coils beginning in the mid-field of the proglottid and reaching to the cirrus-pouch. A large part of this mass of vas deferens is posterior to the cirrus-pouch. The cirrus-pouch (Fig. 183) is a large pyriform structure, heavily muscled with both longitudinal and circular muscles. Its larger and inner end is attached by heavy muscle strands to the dermo-muscular sac. It measures 0.40-0.50-0.65 mm. long by 0.150-0.230 mm. in maximum breadth. The ratio of its length to the proglottid breadth varies from 2:7 to 2:5. When it is protruded the cirrus is long and slender, a little larger at the base than at the tip but when within the cirrus-pouch the basal part of the cirrus has a broad lumen. This sharply defines the cirrus from the slender ductus ejaculatorius which forms an intricate mass of coils.

The vagina (Fig. 183) always lies anterior to the cirrus-pouch. Very near its opening the vagina dilates markedly and in this region it is provided with a thick and powerful sphincter vaginae measuring about 0.030 mm. thick by 0.300 mm. long. This prominent sphincter surrounding the greatly dilated vagina renders the latter a striking feature of the proglottid and makes a valuable diagnostic character for the species. At the inner limits of the sphincter the vagina contracts sharply and beyond the constriction it again dilates. Here its inner surface is weakly ciliated. Anterior to the ovary the vagina may describe a few coils before it passes into that portion of its length which is differentiated into a small receptaculum seminis. Entering the interovarial space the vagina describes a few coils and then discharges into the oviduct. The ovary (Fig. 184) is situated in the posterior part of the proglottid. It is bilobed, each lobe being thick, broad and somewhat club-shaped. The lobes extend laterad to the vitellaria. From the midpiece of the ovary arises a muscular organ, the oöcapt or gulping organ. From the oöcapt arises the oviduct which after making one or more coils is joined by the vagina. From this point the oviduct extends to the oötype. Just as it enters the oötype the oviduct receives the common vitelline duct which has been formed by the union of the paired vitelline ducts arising in the posterior part of the vitellaria.

The oötype is surrounded by the so-called shell-glands, the individual cells of which are long and clubshaped. The oötype discharges into a somewhat muscular duct known as the uterine passage which extends anteriad passing the ovary on the dorsal side. It discharges into the uterus from the dorsal side of the latter at a point about 0.080-0.110

mm. anterior to the ovary. Benedict (1900) stated that there was no uterine passage in this species. An examination, however, of his slides and reconstruction drawings convinced the writer that a uterine passage was present in Benedict's specimens as it was in his own. The vitellaria are lateral and follicular. In this species they do not extend posterior to the lobes of the ovary nor do they parallel the posterior margin of the proglottid. The uterus in young proglottids is a median ventral tube from which later 15-20 lateral outpocketings develop on either side. Benedict's drawing reproduced (Fig. 183) shows but a part of the pouches. There are 1-2-3 preformed uterine pores. The uterine eggs are covered with three membranes, an outer, thin hyaline, a middle thick and granular membrane and an inner thin membrane closely investing the embryo. The outer membrane which is not spheroidal but ellipsoidal measures about 0.036-0.043 mm. in length. The middle shell is spherical 0.022-0.024 mm. in diameter. The embryo measures 0.0168-0.017 mm. in diameter.

The American forms which most resemble this species are *P. perplexus* LaRue and *P. nematosoma* (Leidy). The latter may be the same as *P. ambloplitis*. *P. perplexus,* however, is distinguished from *P. ambloplitis* by its smaller head, smaller suckers, thinner and smaller strobila, by the smaller size and the different location of its sphincter vaginae, by the posterior prolongation of its vitellaria, by its much smaller cirrus-pouch, by its fewer coils of ductus ejaculatorius, by its larger number of testes, and by the smaller size of the eggs. *P. ambloplitis* greatly resembles *P. nematosoma.* It differs from that species chiefly in the somewhat larger size of the head and suckers. *P. ambloplitis* is larger than most of the old world species of Proteocephalus and it differs from a large number of these in not possessing a fifth sucker. It resembles *P. torulosus* in the lack of a functional fifth sucker and in size. It may be easily differentiated from *P. torulosus* by reason of its larger head and suckers, by its sphincter vaginae which for size and length is unique in the genus, by its more numerous uterine pouches, and by the different arrangement of testes.

P. ambloplitis may be distinguished from all other known species of Proteocephalus by means of its extremely large sphincter vaginae which because of its length and its extraordinary development is remarkable. This species is also readily distinguished from all other species of the genus by reason of the large number of coils of the ductus ejaculatorius.

PROTEOCEPHALUS PERPLEXUS La Rue

[Figs. 17, 64, 65]

? 1886: *Taenia filicollis* Leidy, 1886:62-63.
1911: *Proteocephalus perplexus* La Rue, 1911:478-479.

Specific Diagnosis: The characters of the genus. Observed length as much as 15.5 cm. Maximum breadth 1.7 mm. Strobilation evident. Angles of proglottids sharp and distinct. First proglottids much broader than long. Mature proglottids broader than long, 1.70 mm. broad by 0.595 mm. long. Ripe proglottids quadrate or longer than broad, 1.02 mm. broad by 1.10 mm. long to 1.75 mm. long by 0.510 mm. broad. Head somewhat spheroidal, flattened dorsoventrally, divided into four quadrants by grooves extending nearly to the apex. At apex frequently a small papilla situated in a small depression. Head 0.663-0.714 mm. broad, 0.425-0.510 mm. long. Suckers four, 0.340-0.459 mm. long by 0.255-0.272 mm. broad. Cavity of sucker deep. No fifth sucker, no rostellum. Neck broad and thick, about 0.5 mm. long.

Genital pore marginal, situated at end of first fourth or half of proglottid, irregularly alternating. No genital papilla. Cirrus-pouch elongated oval in shape, 0.30-0.344 mm. long, extending ⅓-¼-⅕ across the proglottid. Ductus ejaculatorius with 1-3 coils. Cirrus when protruded spindle-shaped, slender, thicker at base, 0.60 mm. long. Testes 135-155 in number, in one layer occupying dorsal field between vitellaria anterior to ovary. Testes 0.069 by 0.037 mm. in diameter. Vagina anterior to cirrus-pouch, never crossing same, beginning region dilated. Inner surface of vagina heavily ciliated. Vitellaria follicular, voluminous, follicles of same large, compacted. Portion of vitellaria parallelling posterior margin of proglottid. Ovarian lobes thick, heavy, irregular. Uterus, when developed, possessing 20-25 lateral pouches. Uterine pores 2-4. Uterine eggs provided with three membranes. Inner and outer membranes thin, second thick, granular, sometimes partially or wholly split into two layers. Embryos 0.013-0.014 mm. by 0.014-0.0156 mm., second membrane 0.019-0.030 mm., outer membrane 0.024-0.036 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: In intestine of host.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
Amia calva L. (type host)	Illinois river, Havana, Ill. (type locality)	H. B. Ward	La Rue (Present paper)
? *Amia calva L.*	North Carolina	Jos. Leidy	La Rue (Present paper)
Lepisosteus platostomus	Illinois river	H. B. Ward	La Rue (Present paper)

Type: Alcoholics No. Ha89a and slides from the same lot. Cotypes:—Ha 10 a; Ha 10 e; Ha 29 a; Ha 30 h; Ha 77 a, b, e; Ha 94 e, h, and slides of Ha 94 h, in Dr. H. B. Ward's Collection.

Leidy (1886:62-63) found some specimens of a cestode in *Amia calva* from North Carolina. These specimens, he thought, might be *Taenia filicollis* Rud. His specimens, judging from his data probably belong to the species *P. perplexus* but since Leidy published no drawings of his specimens no positive determination can be made. That part of his report containing his data is here quoted:

> "The worms accorded with the description of the *Taenia filicollis,* infesting Sticklebacks, *Gasterosteus,* and is probably the same species. They range from 1½ to 3 inches long, gradually widening from the delicate thread-like neck to the posterior rounded extremity, where they measure from 1 to 1.5 mm. wide. The head is spheroidal, variably broader or longer, and about 0.625 mm., with the summit slightly prominent and unarmed and with four hemispherical, lateral bothria 0.25 mm. in diameter. Neck variable, when extended long and narrow and usually about half the width of the head. Anterior segments, transversely linear, about an eighth the length of the breadth, gradually becoming inverted saucer-shaped or scutellate, and about one-fourth the length of the breadth. Posterior segments more quadrate, slightly widening behind, about 0.75 mm. long and from 1 to 1.5 mm. broad; last segment longest and rounded. Genital apertures marginal."

La Rue (1911:478-479) briefly described this species and gave it the name *Proteocephalus perplexus.* The specimens upon which this species is based were collected at Havana, Ill., June and July 1910, by Dr. H. B. Ward. The hosts *Amia calva* and *Lepisosteus platostomus* were caught in the Illinois river at that place. Four Amia were examined, three were infested with 30,10 and 15-20 specimens of *P. perplexus* respectively. One was uninfested. Eight gars were examined; three were infested with 6, 3 and 5 specimens of *P. perplexus* respectively. Five of the gars were not infested with this species. In all the Amia and in one of the infested gars the *P. perplexus* was not accompanied by any other species of *Proteocephalus.* In two of the gars *P. singularis* occurred together with *P. perplexus.* Nothing is known regarding the seasonal distribution of the parasite and almost nothing regarding its geographical distribution. It has not been found in the Amia of the Great Lakes which Professor Ward examined a number of years ago. In those specimens were found *P. ambloplitis.*

Judging from external appearances alone these specimens of *P. perplexus* are very much like specimens of *P. ambloplitis.* Even after staining and mounting some pieces in toto they appear to belong to that species tho certain points of difference may be indistinctly made out. Examination of sections revealed certain characters by which the two species may be differentiated. The writer (1911:478) proposed the name *Proteocephalus perplexus* for this species by reason of the difficulties attending its differentiation from its nearest congener. In size *P. perplexus* is somewhat smaller than *P. ambloplitis.* Its strobila is shorter, narrower and thinner. Its head is a little smaller than that of *P. ambloplitis* but is very similar in shape and it has four grooves as does that species. Sometimes the minute papilla at the apex of the head thought to be characteristic of *P. ambloplitis* may be seen. The suckers are large and in a position similar to that which they occupy in *P. ambloplitis.*

The head (Fig. 17) is somewhat spheroidal. It is flattened dorsoventrally and is evenly rounded anteriorly. Four grooves extend from the base of the head nearly to the apex where frequently there is a small papilla in a shallow depression. The head is not as truncate as the head of *P. ambloplitis* described by Benedict (1900). It resembles very closely heads which the writer has examined and drawn (Figs. 18, 19) of the latter species. Four heads of *P. perplexus* measured in breadth and length 0.663 by 0.510 mm., 0.697 by 0.425 mm., 0.697 by 0.510 mm., 0.714 by 0.459 mm. The suckers measured 0.340-0.459 mm. long by 0.255-0.272 mm. broad. There is no fifth sucker and no rostellum. Sections through the apex of the head failed to reveal even a vestige of a fifth sucker. In *P. ambloplitis* the rudimentary fifth sucker is large. The suckers are deep and well muscled, usually longer than broad. The sucker-opening is directed outward and slightly forward. The neck is 0.5-0.6 mm. long. It is broad and thick but narrower than the head. No complete strobila was found yet most of the longer pieces contained ripe proglottids. Six pieces with heads measured 65, 91, 106, 105, 125, and 155 mm. long respectively. The maximum breadth observed was 1.7 mm.

The worm shows a very evident strobilation, the angles of the proglottids being sharp and well marked. The posterior border of one proglottid overlaps the anterior end of the one following. Segmentation begins about 0.5-0.6 mm. from the head. Here the segments are much broader than long. Gradually the length increases in proportion to the breadth until in nearly mature proglottids the dimensions may be 1.70 mm. broad by 0.595 mm. long. Further down the strobila nearly ripe proglottids may be about quadrate 1.02 mm. broad by 1.10 mm. long. Ripe proglottids are longer than broad, 1.75 mm. long by 0.510 mm. broad. In all but ripe proglottids the genital pore is not prominent but in these long proglottids the pore is situated on an eminence. Moreover in this part of the strobila the posterior region of the segment is much broader than the anterior or middle region. The neck is 0.5-0.6 mm. long. It is broad and thick, not as broad, however, as the head.

The genital pore is situated on the lateral margin at the end of the first one-fourth to one-half of the proglottid. The pores alternate irregularly. In mature and nearly ripe proglottids there is no genital papilla but in elongated ripe proglottids a genital prominence is noted. Into the common genital sinus both vagina and cirrus open, the vagina always anterior to the cirrus. The male organs (Fig. 65) much resemble the male organs of *P. ambloplitis* but here again certain differences exist. The cirrus-pouch in *P. perplexus* is not as voluminous nor as long as in *P. ambloplitis.* It measures 0.300-0.344 mm. long. Its length goes into the proglottid breadth 3-4-5 times depending somewhat upon the state of contraction of the proglottid. Within the cirrus-pouch are 1-3 coils of ductus ejaculatorius, a much smaller number than in *P. ambloplitis.* The coils of the vas deferens outside the cirrus-pouch are very numerous. They form in mature proglottids a thick compact mass extending from the cirrus-pouch to the middle of the segment. The cirrus itself is well muscled. When protruded it is a long spindle-shaped organ slightly thicker near the base than elsewhere. It is nearly 0.60 mm. long. The testes are very numerous, 135-155 in number. They are arranged in one layer, occupying the entire dorsal field anterior to the ovaries and as far laterad as the vitellaria, with the exception of the small region taken up by a portion of the cirrus-pouch and the vas deferens. The testes measure as much as 0.069 mm. long by 0.037 mm. broad, their short axis lying parallel to the long axis of the worm.

The vagina (Fig. 64) in its first part is considerably dilated. Throughout the full length of this dilated region there is a weak circular musculature. At the end of the dilatation is a strong sphincter vaginae 0.053 mm. long and 0.015 mm. thick. *P. ambloplitis* has a long and extremely heavy sphincter vaginae while in *P. singularis* the sphincter vaginae resembles that of *P. perplexus*. Throughout its length as far as the receptaculum seminis the inner surface of the vagina is heavily ciliated. The vagina does not cross the cirrus-pouch, but after reaching the mid-field of the segment it takes a sinuous course posteriad to the interovarial space. A small receptaculum seminis lies just anterior or posterior to the mid-piece of the ovary. The vagina forms no coils anterior to the ovary. The vitellaria (Fig. 64) are voluminous, the follicles are of good size and closely packed together. They extend not only to the extreme posterior margin of the proglottid but are bent around and lie parallel to the posterior margin nearly to the mid-field. This is particularly noticeable in ripe proglottids. The paired vitelline ducts pass through this posterior continuation of the vitellaria.

The ovary is bilobed. The lobes are thick and heavy, with a somewhat irregular outline due to protuberances. In sections it is noted that the ovary is not a solid organ but is made up of smaller parts more or less fused together. An oöcapt, oötype, shell gland and other organs usually found in the inter-ovarial space in Proteocephalids are found here and in the relations characteristic of the genus. The uterus (Fig. 64), a median tube in mature proglottids, is made up in ripening proglottids of a median tube and 20-25 narrow lateral pouches. These pouches occupy the entire ventral field between the vitellaria and the anterior and posterior proglottid limits. There are 2-4 ventral uterine pores. The uterine eggs have three membranes, an inner one closely investing the embryo, a middle membrane, quite thick and granular and frequently partially or completely split into two layers, and an outer membrane thin and hyaline. The embryo measures 0.013-0.014 mm. by 0.014-0.156 mm., being usually somewhat elongated but at times spheroidal. The second membrane measures 0.019-0.030 mm. and the outer one 0.024-0.036 mm. in diameter.

The excretory system is made up of four main lateral canals which traverse the length of the strobila. The two ventral vessels are larger than the two dorsal. At intervals small branches arise from the main vessels and lead to the exterior. No transverse excretory commissure was observed. In the head and neck the anastomoses of the excretory system are very complex. The main trunks are nearly straight.

This species is closely allied to *P. ambloplitis* yet it differs from that species in size, in the lack of a vestigial fifth sucker, in the size and location of the vaginal sphincter, in the posterior prolongation of the vitellaria, in the smaller cirrus-pouch, in the fewer coils of ductus ejaculatorius within the cirrus-pouch, in the greater number of testes and in the size of the eggs. In size *P. perplexus* somewhat resembles *P. singularis*. It also resembles *P. singularis* in the shape and proportions of its proglottids and in the character of the vagina and vaginal sphincter. These two species differ in the size and shape of the head, suckers, and neck. Tho nearly of the same size the strobila of *P. perplexus* is the larger. *P. perplexus* has more numerous testes, a larger cirrus-pouch and more numerous coils of ductus ejaculatorius. The main excretory vessels of *P. perplexus* are straight, but they are very sinuous in *P. singularis*. *P. perplexus* differs from all the European species in the position of the sphincter vaginae and in the extension of the vitellaria along the posterior border of the proglottid.

PROTEOCEPHALUS SINGULARIS La Rue

[Figs. 24, 25, 39-41, 83-87]

1911: *Proteocephalus singularis* La Rue, 1911:479

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of the genus. Strobila long and slender. Observed length up to 170 mm., length probably as much as 250 mm. in complete individuals. Maximum breadth up to 0.90-1.0 mm. Head small, 0.250-0.30 mm. broad by 0.20-0.22 mm. long. Head bearing at broadest part four large suckers. Apical region of head frequently prolonged into an unarmed rostellum-like organ. Deep grooves between suckers. Suckers of variable shape, always with pointed apex, with shallow cavity and thin muscular wall. Length of suckers 0.130-0.170 mm., breadth of same 0.170-0.190 mm. No fifth sucker, no vestige of same. Neck slender, 2.0-3.0 mm. long by 0.1-0.2 mm. broad. First proglottids broader than long, 0.255 mm. broad by 0.017-0.020 mm. long. Mature proglottids as much as 0.85 mm. broad by 0.34-0.37 mm. long. Ripe proglottids longer than broad or quadrate 0.680 mm. broad by 0.90-1.00 mm. long. Old spent proglottids up to 2.0 mm. long by 0.4 mm. broad. Segmentation evident. Posterior angles of proglottids slightly projecting.

No genital papilla. Genital pore marginal, irregularly alternating, situated at end of first ¼-½ of proglottid. Testes numerous, 75-80 or 90, in a single layer occupying entire field between vitellaria anterior to ovary. Breadth of testes 0.4-0.6 mm., length of same 0.07-0.10 mm. Vas deferens a large mass of coils in the mid-field. Ductus ejaculatorius with few or no coils. Cirrus slender, straight, muscular. Cirrus-pouch slender, nearly straight, muscular, 0.185-0.20-0.265 mm. long. Length of cirrus-pouch 2½-3 times into proglottid width.

Vagina always anterior to cirrus-pouch, never crossing the latter. Beginning region of vagina narrow. This region about 0.1 mm. long, terminated by weak sphincter vaginae. Adjacent to narrow region a dilated portion. Vagina not ciliated in any part of length. Vesicula seminalis present. Vitellaria with large follicles, not paralleling posterior margin of proglottid. Uterus when fully developed with 20-25 lateral outpocketings on either side. Uterine pores ventral, 2-3-4 in number. Eggs with three membranes. Embryos 0.014-0.0156-0.0168 mm., second membrane 0.026-0.031 mm., outer membrane 0.027-0.033 mm. in diameter. Excretory system has four main lateral trunks. Ventral vessels large, dorsal vessels much smaller. All main vessels sinuous or spiral. Many secondary openings to exterior.

Habitat: In intestine of *Lepisosteus platostomus* (type host), Illinois river, Havana, Illinois (type locality).

Type: Alcoholics No. Ha 30 i and slides from same. *Cotypes,* Ha 12 d, e; Ha 29 f; Ha 87 a; Ha 88 a; Ha 101b; Ha 110c. Material in Dr. H. B. Ward's collection.

La Rue (1911:479) in a preliminary way described this species.

In a collection of endoparasites secured by Dr. H. B. Ward from fish caught in the Illinois river at Havana, Illinois, were some specimens of Proteocephalus somewhat resembling the species which the writer (1911) has designated as *P. perplexus.* On account of the peculiar form of the head and suckers of this species the name *Proteocephalus singularis* La Rue has been proposed for it. In all, eight gars were examined. Of this number only one was uninfested with this parasite. This gar was infested only with *P. perplexus.* The numbers of *P. singularis* present in each of the seven infected hosts were: 2, 7, 26, 2, 5, 4, 8. Two gars had a mixed infection of *P. singularis* and *P. perplexus.* Slides have been prepared from some of this material.

Its study showed that the longest piece measured 170 mm. Complete strobilas perhaps measure up to 250 mm. or more. Its maximum breadth is about 0.90-1.00 mm. The strobila is slightly smaller than that of *P. perplexus* yet it greatly resembles the latter species in outward appearance. The more slender neck and the smaller head are its more readily noted points of difference. The head (Figs. 24, 25) is small, measuring from 0.250 to nearly 0.300 mm. in breadth by 0.20-0.22 in length. At its broadest part it bears four large suckers which when well expanded cover up nearly the whole surface of the head except the apical region. The apical region in most species is flattened or conical, but in this species it is drawn out into a long slender protuberance which has no hooks. This is not a rostellum. At times this protuberance is greatly contracted. Between the suckers are deep grooves which in many cases cause the head to appear almost lobate. The suckers themselves are more or less variable in shape but they always show the pointed apex. The cavity is shallow, the muscular wall thin. The suckers measure 0.130-0.170 mm. long by 0.170-0.190 mm. broad. A fifth sucker is not present. A careful search through three series of sections has failed to reveal any trace of an endorgan. The neck is long and slender, 2.0-3.0 mm. to the first traces of segmentation. The breadth is 0.1-0.2 mm., usually much narrower than the head. The neck grades over almost imperceptibly into the first proglottids which are very difficult to discern.

The first proglottids are 12-15 times broader than long, being about 0.255 mm. broad by 0.017-0.020 mm. long. As the proglottids near maturity they increase greatly in size measuring up to 0.85 mm. broad by 0.34-0.37 mm. long. Proglottids in which the uterus contains some eggs measure 0.51 mm. long by 0.867 mm. broad or are even quadrate, each dimension being about 0.85 mm. The ripest proglottids become longer than broad, measuring 0.680 mm. broad by 0.90-1.00 mm. long and in rare cases of old spent proglottids the length may be about 2.0 mm. and the breadth about 0.4 mm. Segmentation is evident. No longitudinal furrows are present. Transverse furrows occur only at the division lines between the segments. The posterior angles of the proglottids project slightly beyond the anterior margin of the proglottid following.

This species has not been thoroly investigated as to its histological structure. However, certain points have been worked out with some care. The cuticula, the subcuticula, the parenchyma, the muscles of the strobila, and the nervous system were not seen to differ essentially from similar structures in *P. ambloplitis*.

The muscles of the head were worked out in series of transverse and frontal sections. The muscles of the apical protuberance of the head could not be worked out with care but that region could be seen to be well supplied with muscles especially in the subcuticular layer. The peripheral parts of the apical region of the head contain many heavy muscles going up toward the tip. In the middle and lower region of the suckers about 0.135 mm. from the tip of the head heavy muscles cross from one sucker wall to the wall of the sucker opposite forming a heavy muscle cross (Fig. 40). Weaker muscles cross the head dorsoventrally and laterally. These muscles extend to the subcuticular region and serve by their contraction to cause in part the deep grooves between the suckers. Near the upper limits of the suckers at a depth of about 0.10 mm. from the tip of the head heavy muscles (Fig. 39) connect the sucker wall with the lateral or dorso-ventral surfaces of the head. These probably serve a double function of causing by their contraction the deep grooves between the suckers and of pulling the adjacent margins of the suckers together, thus broadly flaring the sucker. In this region the muscle-cross is weakly developed. In the lower part of the head (Fig. 41) just as the suckers disappear from transverse sections the fibers of the muscle-cross flare out to secure a broad attachment on the sucker wall. In fact a great many fibers dip down below and attach themselves near the lower outer margin of the sucker. At the sides of each sucker large groups of very heavy muscles may be found the attachments and courses of which are best made out in longitudinal sections. Transverse fibers, i. e. lateral and dorsoventral, pass through the muscle-cross and assist in the formation of a muscle-star (Fig. 41). Below this point the muscles rapidly assume the relations of the muscles in the strobila.

The longitudinal muscles of the head are fairly well worked out. Certain groups which were of undetermined character in transverse sections can be readily distinguished in frontal sections. The large groups of tangentially cut ends (Fig. 41) at either side of the sucker are in reality longitudinal muscles which come up from the neck region and find their attachment on either side of the sucker (Fig. 87), right and left. Much weaker muscle bands pass up toward the tip of the scolex. In the apical region (Fig. 86) many heavy muscles attached to the sucker wall pass out by diverging paths to the subcuticular area. These probably control not only a certain part of the movement of the suckers but also the form of the apex. The muscles of the head, both longitudinal and transverse, are much more strongly developed than in the head of *P. filaroides,* which the writer has worked out with great care (La Rue 1910). Their arrangement in the two forms is very similar, tho certain groups of muscles present in *P. singularis* are lacking in *P. filaroides.*

In the head the excretory vessel may be followed as coiling and anastomosing trunks and vessels which are much less developed than in *P. ambloplitis* or *P. perplexus.* In the neck region the anastomoses of the excretory system are much like those in the head. Here four main lateral vessels are to be found with branches which have a very few openings to the exterior. A little further posteriad the excretory vessels increase in size. This is particularly true of the ventral vessel. Both ventral and dorsal vessels have a very sinuous or spiral course even in the most elongated proglottids. In frontal sections (Fig. 83) the sinuous ventral vessels appear as series of oval or circular spaces in the parenchyma. In either frontal or transverse sections the ventral vessel is very prominent on account of its very large lumen. At frequent intervals branches may be seen to be given off from the ventral vessel and a lesser number from the dorsal. Many of the ventral branches communicate to the exterior by means of small ducts through the cuticula. These branches are more numerous and more prominent than in any other Proteocephalid examined by the writer. One branch opens quite regularly at the posterior angle of the proglottid. Other branches (by far the largest number) open on the ventral surface. A few branches of the ventral vessel open on the dorsal surface. No duct connecting the ventral excretory ducts in the posterior part of the proglottids has been observed, nor have the relations of the excretory vessels been observed in the end-proglottid.

There is no genital papilla. The genital pore is marginal, irregularly alternating, and situated at the end of the first third or two-fifths of the proglottid. Vagina and cirrus open into the common genital sinus, the vagina always anterior to the cirrus. In all the general features of the sexual organs these are typical of the genus. The testes are ovoidal or spheroidal in shape, 0.04-0.06 mm. broad by 0.07-0.10 mm. long. They are arranged (Fig. 85) in a single layer which fills the dorsal region between the vitellaria anterior to the ovaries. The region in which the coils of the vas deferens lie is free from them. They are 75 to 85-90 in number. The vasa efferentia can very readily be made out just below the layer of longitudinal muscles of the dorsal side. These empty into the vas deferens which makes a large mass of coils in the middle of the proglottid and reaching over to the cirrus-pouch. This knot is relatively thick for it extends from dorsal to ventral wall of the dermo-muscular sac. Entering the cirrus-pouch (Fig. 84) the vas deferens which now becomes the ductus ejaculatorius passes over almost immediately into the straight cirrus. The ductus ejaculatorius is usually straight but sometimes it is thrown into from one to three small coils. The cirrus itself has not been seen protruded. It is always slender, straight, and muscular. The cirrus-pouch (Fig. 84) is slender, nearly straight, and quite muscular. It measures 0.185-0.200-0.265 mm. long. Its length goes 2½-3 times into the proglottid width.

The vagina (Fig. 84) in its first part has a narrow lumen. This region extends about 0.100-0.110 mm. from the vaginal opening. It is characterized by its rich covering of gland cells and its weak longitudinal and circular muscles. The vaginal sphincter which is poorly developed is situated just at the end of this first region. The sphincter is about 0.025 mm. long. Immediately following this region with the narrow lumen is a dilated portion which may extend clear to the vesicula seminalis. There is no ciliated region of the vagina. The vagina does not cross the cirrus-pouch but lies anterior thereto until it comes to the knot of the vas deferens which it passes ventrally. It forms no coils anterior to the ovary but may be somewhat sinuous. The vitellaria (Fig. 85) are lateral. The follicles are large, and closely packed together. In the posterior part of the segment the vitellaria never extend posterior to the ovary as in *P. perplexus.* The vitelline ducts are as in *P. ambloplitis.* The lobes of the ovary are smaller than in *P. perplexus* or *P. ambloplitis.* They are more branched than in either of those species but this branched condition cannot be determined except in sections.

The relations of the oöcapt, oötype, oviduct, lower vagina, vitelline ducts, and uterine passage are typical of the genus and need no explanation here. The uterus is a median tube in mature proglottids. In ripe proglottids (Fig. 83) the median tube has 20-25 lateral outpocketings on either side which take up the entire ventral field of the segment bounded by the anterior and posterior margins and by the ventral excretory ducts. Two, three or four ventral uterine pores have been observed, tho in most of the very old proglottids the ventral body wall is split from end to end. The formation of the ventral uterine openings is as La Rue (1909) described the process in *O. filaroides.* The eggs very much resemble those of *P. perplexus* in size but differ in having a thinner and more hyaline middle membrane which is never split into two layers. The embryos measure 0.014-0.0156-0.0168 mm. The second membrane measures 0.026-0.031 mm. and the other hyaline membrane 0.027-0.033 mm.

This species in the structure of the head stands quite by itself. Its proglottids somewhat resemble those of *P. perplexus* but are smaller. In toto preparations the ventral excretory ducts of *P. singularis* being much larger make a fairly easy means of separation. In the number of uterine pouches the two species are much alike, but these pouches in *P. perplexus* extend farther laterad than in *P. singularis.* In the position of the vaginal sphincter the two species are much alike but the beginning region of one is dilated, in the other contracted. One has a ciliated vagina, the other not. The cirrus-pouch of *P. singularis* is more slender, the cirrus and ductus ejaculatorius are straighter and more slender than in *P. perplexus.*

PROTEOCEPHALUS SULCATUS (Klaptocz)

[Figs. 130, 131, 175, 176]

1906:	*Ichthyotaenia sulcata*	Klaptocz	1906:123-130
1911:	*Proteocephalus sulcatus*	La Rue	1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: The characters of the genus. Cestodes of small size, up to 68 mm. long. Maximum breadth 1.5-2.0 mm. Scolex very variable in form, unarmed, without apical depression or fifth sucker, divided by distinct furrows into four quadrants. Median furrows arise near first proglottids. Cross-section of one type of scolex nearly round, of the other elliptical. Breadth of scolex 0.46-1.75 mm., thickness of same 0.67-1.28 mm. depending on contraction states. Suckers, deep rounded, one in each quadrant. Diameter of sucker 0.250 mm. Neck none. First proglottids broader than long. Mature and ripe proglottids quadrate or longer than broad. Last proglottid rounded posteriorly. Maximum breadth of proglottid 1.5 mm., maximum length of same 2.0 mm.

Genital pore at end of first third of segment. Cirrus-pouch about 0.20-0.25 mm. long, extending about ¼ across the proglottid. Several coils of ductus ejaculatorius in cirrus-pouch. Cirrus when protruded long and slender. Testes about 200, irregularly arranged between vitellaria. Opening of vagina posterior to the cirrus-pouch. Lumen of vagina large. Ovary posterior, bilobed. Vitellaria follicular, lateral. Uterus with 10-12 lateral out-pocketings in ripe proglottids. Eggs pear-shaped, circular in cross-section. Diameter of same 0.016 mm, length up to 0.019 mm.

Habitat: *Polypterus endlicheri* Heckel (type host), taken at Duem (type locality) on the White Nile, and *Clarotes laticeps* Rüppell, from the White Nile in the region of Khartoum.

The material was collected by Dr. F. Werner in the spring of 1905, was described by Klaptocz (1906), and was listed by La Rue (1911) among other species of Proteocephalus. In the summary and also in the following description the data are taken from Klaptocz's paper and from his drawings.

A specimen from Clarotes measured 68 mm., one from Polypterus 60 mm. The scolex (Figs. 130, 131) is of very variable form, is unarmed, has no apical depression, and it always shows four very distinct furrows of which the two on the median line are the heavier. These divide the anterior face of the scolex into four large similar quadrants whose acute angles come together at the tip of the scolex. The free margins of the quadrants often are indented especially on the flattened sides. The furrows, especially the heavier median ones, arise in the region of the first proglottids. In each quadrant a deep rounded sucker is sunken which lies nearer the margin of the scolex than to its tip. Two types of scolex are recognized with intermediate forms. The one is more or less flattened on the anterior face. It has a more or less round cross section. Its furrows are shallow, and its suckers are directed anteriad while the other type has an elliptical transverse section. Its thickness is less than the breadth of the scolex. The scolex itself is much flattened. The furrows are deep and very plain, reaching nearly to the tip of the scolex. The suckers are more elongated and the sucker openings are directed outward. These types of head are to be considered contraction states of the same species since intermediate stages are present. The second type is found in Polypterus, and the first type in Clarotes. The diameter of the scolex in specimens from *Clarotes laticeps* ranges up to 1.72 mm, the diameter of a sucker of the same 0.250 mm. The diameter of the smallest scolex of this host is 0.75 mm. The thickness of scolices from *Polypterus endlicheri* ranges from 0.67 to 1.28 mm., the breadth from 0.46 to 0.675 mm. No neck is present. The youngest proglottids are thinner than the head and only slightly narrower. Their breadth exceeds their length. Proglottids increase in both breadth and length with age until they are quadrate in form or longer than broad. The last proglottid is rounded posteriorly. The maximum breadth of a proglottid from *Clarotes* is 1.5 mm, maximum length 2.0 mm. The maximum breadth of a segment from *Polypterus* is 1.5 mm. and the maximum length of the same 1.9 mm.

Sexual ripeness appears very early. The anlagen of genital organs in stained specimens may be seen in very young proglottids within two scolex lengths of the head. Many proglottids are sexually ripe before becoming quadrate in form. The marginal genital pore alternates irregularly. Sometimes in as many as ten to twelve or more proglottids the genital sinus may be on the same side tho this condition is rarely true in more than five segments. The sinus (Fig. 176) is situated at about the end of the first third of the segment. The vagina opens posterior to the cirrus-pouch. The cirrus-sheath (Fig. 176) containing the coiled cirrus (or cirrus plus the ductus ejaculatorius) has a pear-shaped form and lies perpendicular to the margin of the proglottid. It may extend ¼ across the breadth of the proglottid. The cirrus-pouch is about 0.20-0.25 mm. long (measured from Klaptocz's figures which are drawn to scale). The cirrus (Fig. 176) when protruded is very long and slender. It is thicker at the base than at the point and is devoid of hooks or bristles. The testes are elliptical, in number about 200. The testicular field is limited by the vitellaria, the anterior margin of the segment and the ovaries. There is no median zone free from them except where they are pushed aside by the developing uterus. As the uterus continues to develop the testes are pushed well into the lateral fields.

The vagina (Fig. 176) which has a large lumen runs to the middle of the proglottid in a more or less sinuous course, then bends and passes directly back to the posterior end of the segment. In proglottids with developed uterus the vagina is pushed somewhat from the median line and, it seems, always toward the side on which it opens. The ovary is posterior, is bilobed, and the lobes are limited laterally by the vitellaria. In the end-proglottid the lobes of the ovary are bent together. The vitellaria are follicular and lateral. On the porose side the vitellaria is divided into two parts connected by small follicles which lie dorsal and ventral to the genital passages. The uterus (Figs. 175, 176) is a median tube reaching to the anterior end of the proglottid from which arise many (10-12 in drawing) lateral outpocketings. These are separated when fully developed by thin tissue-layers. The eggs are pear-shaped, circular in cross-section, 0.016 mm. in diameter and 0.029 mm. long.

Despite the fact that Klaptocz found an apparently intergrading series between the types of head mentioned by him it seems to the writer that there is a possibility of his having confused two forms of very similar appearance. The writer in the present work on *Proteocephalus perplexus* and *P. ambloplitis* found considerable difficulty in distinguishing the heads. And in certain respects the structure of the internal organs of the proglottids was remarkably similar. So too it was found to be difficult to distinguish the proglottids of *P. perplexus* and *P. singularis.* This was made especially difficult when both species occurred in a specimen of *Lepisosteus platostomus.* The fact that this species seems to occur in two such widely separated families of fish is a further intimation that two species of parasite are here included under the one name. Material from the two hosts should be carefully reworked.

PROTEOCEPHALUS PENTASTOMA (Klaptocz)

[Figs. 126-129]

1906:	*Ichthyotaenia pentastoma*	Klaptocz	1906:130-133
1911:	*Proteocephalus pentastomus*	La Rue	1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of the genus. Cestodes of small size. Strobila up to 28 mm. long; maximum breadth of same 1.19 mm. Neck none. First proglottids many times broader than long. Mid-proglottids 1.19 mm. broad, 0.21 mm. long. Sixth from last proglottid 0.59 mm. broad, 0.21 mm. long. Head large, oval in cross-section, 0.975 mm. broad by 0.83 mm. thick. No rostellum, no hooks. Four large suckers, oval or round in outline, 0.50 mm. in diameter, situated in four quadrants. Suckers separated from each other by longitudinal furrows. A true fifth sucker 0.085-0.100 mm. in diameter situated at apex of head. Genital aperture about middle of proglottid. Vagina posterior to the cirrus-pouch. Testes 70-100, arranged irregularly between vitellaria with tendency toward formation of two lateral fields. No data on cirrus-pouch and vas deferens. Vitellaria lateral, not voluminous. Ovary bilobed, posterior. Uterus immature.

Habitat: *Polypterus bichir* Geoffr., White Nile near Khor Attar.

Klaptocz (1906) described this species and it has not since been described from the specimens. La Rue (1911:475) gave it a place in a list of species of Proteocephalus. A single specimen was taken by Dr. F. Werner, Feb. 16, 1905, while making a journey up the Nile river into the Sudan. All data are taken from Klaptocz's (1906) paper since it was impossible to secure any material for the writer's examination.

Measurements of the worm are as follows: Total length 28.0 mm.; lateral diameter of scolex 0.975 mm., dorso-ventral diameter 0.83 mm.; maximum diameter of suckers 0.5 mm.; diameter of fifth sucker 0.085-0.10 mm.; thickness of proglottids near scolex 0.46 mm., breadth 0.87 mm., length 0.04 mm.; maximum breadth of proglottid near middle of the strobila 1.19 mm., length 0.21 mm.; breadth of sixth proglottid from end 0.59 mm., length 0.21 mm.; breadth of next to last proglottid 0.41 mm., length 0.31 mm.; breadth of last proglottid 0.33 mm., length 0.51 mm. The scolex (Figs. 126, 127) is somewhat oval in transection, surpassing the breadth of the first proglottids. It possesses no rostellum, no armature of hooks, but has an apical sucker 0.085-0.100 mm. in diameter. The four equal sized suckers of somewhat oval or round shape vary in size in different individuals. They are about 0.50 mm. in diameter and are situated on the four quadrants of the head. The four quadrants are somewhat swollen and are separated from each other by longitudinal furrows. The apical depression is a true sucker, as is shown in the stained specimen. There is no neck, the first segments appearing under the microscope 0.060 mm. behind the margin of the suckers. The size relations of the proglottids have already been given. From the drawing, reproduced (Figs. 128, 129), the strobilation is evident, the posterior angles of the proglottids being quite prominent.

The anlagen of the sexual organs may be seen 7 mm. back of the tip of the scolex while the well developed testes may be seen 3 mm. farther posteriad. These are elliptical in shape, their long axis lying in the long axis of the worm. They number 70-100, and lie irregularly scattered between the vitellaria and anterior to the ovaries. They are most numerous in the lateral fields but they may also occur in the median part especially in the anterior region. No data are given concerning the cirrus-pouch and the vas deferens. The genital pore is irregularly alternating. It is situated near the middle of the margin of the segment, but in the last proglottids a trifle anterior thereto.

The vagina opens posterior to the cirrus-pouch. Just before its opening it possesses a considerable broadening, the diameter of which is about equal to the broadest diameter of the cirrus-pouch. On either side of this broadened part the vagina is narrow. The vitellaria are lateral longitudinal glands which develop late. They are not voluminous. The bi-lobed ovary lies in the posterior part of the proglottid as in Proteocephalids. Since the uterus was immature in this species the eggs could not be observed.

This species easily separates itself from the most of the forms, parasitic in fish, by reason of the large size of its head and suckers. The position of the vagina posterior to the cirrus-pouch is a further diagnostic character of value, while the lack of an unsegmented neck and the proportions of the proglottids are characters which serve for its identification. Unfortunately Klaptocz failed to describe and to figure the cirrus-pouch, cirrus, and vas deferens which are of great value in making a positive determination. The uterus being immature could not be described. For these reasons this species which probably is a species of Proteocephalus cannot be accurately placed in its relation to the other members of the genus.

PROTEOCEPHALUS FOSSATUS (Riggenbach)

[Figs. 133, 180]

1896:	*Ichthyotaenia fossata*	Riggenbach	1896:166-193
1911:	*Proteocephalus fossatus*	La Rue	1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: The characters of the genus. Cestodes of short length, 3.5-4 cm. long. Scolex large, visible to naked eye, maximum breadth of same 0.714 mm. Anterior end of scolex conical, bearing at apex a slight concavity, not a fifth sucker. Suckers, round, 0.34 mm. in diameter, borne at broadest part of head. Neck broad, 0.85 mm. long. First proglottids broader than long, 0.612 mm. broad by 0.135 mm. long. Mature and ripe proglottids, quadrate to longer than broad. End-proglottids not observed. Lateral margins of proglottids quite straight, about the genital sinus a slight elevation or genital papilla.

Genital aperture marginal, irregularly alternating, situated a little anterior to the middle of the proglottid. Testes, numerous, 120-150, in medullary parenchyma between vitellaria. Vas deferens, a loose mass of coils between cirrus-pouch and middle of proglottid. Ductus ejaculatorius with few coils. Cirrus short and thick. Cirrus-pouch pear-shaped, 0.30 mm. long, extending about ⅓ across the proglottid breadth. Vagina, opening anterior to cirrus-pouch. Vaginal sphincter small, near opening. Lumen of first part of vagina broad, nearly as large as cirrus-pouch. No coils in vagina anterior to ovary. Arrangement of organs in interovarial space typical of genus. Ovary bilobed, posterior. Vitelline glands follicular, lateral, extending full length of segment. Uterus in ripe proglottid with many lateral outpocketings on either side. Eggs, round, thin shelled structures, size not given.

Habitat: Intestine of *Pimelodus pati* Valenc., Rio Paraguay, South America.

This species was described by Riggenbach (1896) who made it a member of the genus *Ichthyotaenia.* La Rue (1911) listed this species in a list of species of Proteocephalus. The material was collected by Dr. Ternetz in January and February 1894. The following description is based on Riggenbach's (1896) paper.

The worms as collected were of small size, 3.5-4.0 cms. long. There was no undamaged strobila. The neck is 0.85 mm. long and is quite broad. It passes imperceptibly into the youngest proglottids. The scolex (Fig. 133) is relatively large, and is perceptible to the naked eye. It bears four large suckers at its broadest zone. Here the scolex is 0.714 mm. broad. From this point the scolex becomes smaller toward the end and also toward the neck. Its anterior region is conical. At the apex of the head is a slight depression which structurally is not a fifth sucker. There is no rostellum. The suckers are round in outline and they measure 0.34 mm. in diameter.

The youngest proglottids in the proliferation zone are narrow transverse bands 0.135 mm. long by 0.612 mm. broad. With increasing age the proglottids elongate until mature and ripe proglottids may be quadrate and the oldest proglottids even longer than broad. An end-proglottid was not seen. The margins of the segments are nearly straight. At the place of the opening of the genital passages the surface is slightly raised to form a small genital papilla.

In the head the excretory vessels run close together. Near the suckers a circular anastomosis unites the vessels. In the space between the suckers is a complicated plexus. The two pairs of main lateral excretory vessels run through the length of the worm in nearly a straight course. In the posterior margin of each proglottid is a transverse anastomosis connecting the main vessels. In this region also and in the neck short ducts arise from the main ventral vessels only and pass to the exterior, opening on the surface near the posterior angle of the proglottid. The lumen of such ducts becomes smaller near the periphery of the work. The passage through the cuticula is quite small.

The genital aperture is marginal, irregularly alternating, situated a little anterior to the middle of the proglottid. A very small genital papilla is present, due largely to a thickening of the margin of the sinus. The anlagen of the genital organs appear in about the twentieth segment. The testes (Fig. 180) number 120-150 and are relatively small, 0.5 mm. in diameter, round or polygonal in outline. They fill the whole field between the two vitelline glands and the anterior and posterior margins of the proglottid. The vas deferens forms a loose mass of coils between the cirrus-pouch and the middle of the proglottid. The mass lies posteriad to the cirrus-pouch and is excentric to it, lying on the porose side of the proglottid. In the cirrus-pouch the ductus ejaculatorius forms a few coils and then it passes over into the cirrus. The cirrus is enlarged into a thick vesicle which fills up nearly the outer half of the cirrus-pouch. The cirrus-pouch, which is about 0.30 mm. long, reaches about ⅓ across the proglottid breadth. It is an elongated oval in shape.

The vagina which always opens anterior to the cirrus-pouch has a small sphincter near its opening. Further within, the lumen of the vagina enlarges into an ovoidal vesicle which may be almost as voluminous and as long as the cirrus-pouch. The vagina forms no coils anterior to the ovary. Riggenbach found no receptaculum seminis but he found a widening of the vagina anterior to the ovary. The two dilatations of the vagina take the place of the receptaculum seminis. The arrangement of the organs in the interovarial space is typical of the genus. The ovary is a bilobed structure, situated in the posterior part of the proglottid. The ovary does not seem to be made up of blind-pouches, as is true in the ovaries of most of the Taenias. Its outline is somewhat irregular, due to the sack-like processes which may be seen on the plump mass of the lobe. These extend posteriad nearly to the proglottid margin and laterally to the excretory vessels. The vitellaria as in all Proteocephalids are made up of a large number of single follicles arranged in long bands extending the full length of each lateral field of proglottid. The vitelline ducts arise in the posterior region of the segment and their course to the interovarial space is like that of other Proteocephalids. The shell-gland is poorly developed. The uterus lies in the middle of the proglottid and "As a canal with numerous lateral branches it extends itself through the whole proglottid." "The uterine eggs are round thin shelled structures." Their size was not given.

This species is readily separated from a large number of species of Proteocephalus on account of its lack of a fifth sucker. Among those species which do not possess fifth suckers this species is most like *P. sulcatus* which likewise occurs in one of the Siluridae. The latter species however is much larger as to head and as to observed length. It also has a much larger number of testes. The form of the head is also different.

PROTEOCEPHALUS SKORIKOWI (Von Linstow)

[Figs. 152, 177]

1904:	*Ichthyotaenia skorikowi*	von Linstow	1904:18-19
1911:	*Proteocephalus skorikowi*	La Rue	1911:475

This species was described by von Linstow (1904). La Rue (1911) listed it among other species of Proteocephalus. The following description is an abstract from von Linstow's account.

The length measures as much as 200 mm., the breadth anteriorly 1.14 mm. First proglottids beginning directly behind the scolex are very short. Breadth in middle is 3.75 mm; the length of proglottids here 0.95 mm. Breadth at posterior end equals 3.16 mm; length of proglottids here 1.97 mm. The last proglottid is rounded posteriorly. The scolex is short, 0.67 mm. broad. Von Linstow's drawing of the head is reproduced (Fig. 152). Suckers measure 0.250 mm. in diameter while a fifth apical sucker is 0.130 mm. in diameter. Muscles of subcuticular layer are as usual. The heavy layer of longitudinal muscles below the subcuticula in the anterior part of the worm is very strongly developed, filling up almost the entire space in unripe proglottids. Dorsoventral muscles are very heavily developed. In anterior segments the main longitudinal nerves lie about $^{12}/_{100}$, and in ripe segments about $^{6}/_{100}$, of the segment width from the lateral margins. Mesad of the nerve trunks lie the excretory ducts two on either side. Chalkbodies are lacking entirely.

Sexual openings are marginal, irregularly alternating, posterior to the middle in each proglottid. The vagina lies anterior to the cirrus. The cirrus is cone-shaped, blunt at the tip, 0.141 mm. long. The point is 0.042 mm. broad. The cirrus-sheath extends ⅕-⅙ across the breadth of the proglottid. The vas deferens makes many coils before entering the cirrus-sheath. Testes are very numerous, 0.053-0.083 mm. in diameter. From von Linstow's figure one may conclude that there are about 70-100 testes. The vagina runs in a curve to the middle of the posterior region of the segment where it forms many coils anterior to the ovaries. These coils function as a receptaculum seminis. The ovary is made up of two groups of club-shaped bags which run parallel to the posterior margin of the proglottid. Anterior to its middle lies an oöcapt. Vitellaria are follicular, reaching on left and right the full length of the proglottid inside the longitudinal muscles. The uterus in the posterior part fills the whole space within the longitudinal muscle fibers and extends anteriad in round diverticula. In von Linstow's drawing which is reproduced (Fig. 177) there are 6-8 diverticula on either side. Eggs are globular, 0.027 mm. They have two membranes, the outer one very delicate and hyaline. The six-hooked embryo measures 0.021-0.023 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: Intestine of *Acipenser stellatus* (type host); River Gürgen, Caspian Sea (type locality). Von Linstow failed to state who collected the specimens of this species and the abundance of their occurrence.

This species is one of the more robust Proteocephalids and is thus distinguished from a large number of species of the genus. The fact that the vagina forms many coils anterior to the ovary and that the ovary consists of slender club-shaped bags separates it effectively from all other known species. The position of the genital pore posterior to the middle of the proglottid is a further distinguishing feature. In many respects this species most closely resembles *P. fossatus* (Riggenbach).

PROTEOCEPHALUS SAGITTUS (Grimm)

(?)1819:	*Bothriocephalus barbatulae*	Rudolphi	1819:144
(?)1850:	*Bothriocephalus barbatulae*	Diesing	1850:608
1872:	*Taenia sagitta*	Grimm	1872:240-243
1878:	*Taenia sagittata*	von Linstow	1878:260
1891:	*Taenia sagittata*	Monticelli	1891:169
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia sagittata*	Riggenbach	1896:267
1909:	*Ichthyotaenia sagitta*	Lühe	1909:33
1911:	*Proteocephalus sagittus*	La Rue	1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: Strobila small, as much as 45 mm. long by 1 mm. broad. Head thick, ending in an unarmed point. Suckers four, in pairs on the basal part of the head. Suckers transversely elongated and heavily muscled. Neck as much as 12 mm. long by 0.5 mm. broad. (Probably many young proglottids were overlooked in this exceptionally long neck.) Proglottids few. Youngest proglottids about quadrate, older ones longer. Last eight proglottids 1.4-1.5 mm. long by 1 mm. broad by 0.3 mm. thick. Last proglottid always rounded posteriorly. Cirrus-pouch large and clubshaped. Cirrus quite thick. Uterus with uterine pouches, number unknown. Genital pore situated near middle of lateral margin of proglottid.

Habitat: In intestine of *Cobitis barbatula* (type host), Petersburg (type locality) and Province of Novgorod.

Grimm (1872:240-243) described some cestodes which he had collected from the intestines of *Cobitis barbatula* at Petersburg and in the province of Novgorod. These he named *Taenia sagitta*. He called attention to the fact that Rudolphi (1819:144) had proposed the name *Bothriocephalus barbatulae* for some cestodes from *Cobitis barbatula*. Rudolphi gave no diagnosis nor description hence it is not possible to determine the genus to which his specimens belonged. Grimm also called attention to Diesing's (1850:608) reference to *Bothriocephalus barbatulae* and that Diesing gave no description of the form. Von Linstow (1878:260) listed *Taenia sagittata* Grimm (for *sagitta*) as a parasite of *Cobitis barbatula*. Monticelli (1891:169) included *Taenia sagittata* (for *sagitta*) in a list of cestode species parasitic in fish. Riggenbach (1896:267) included *Ichthyotaenia sagittata* (Grimm) in a list of *Ichthyotaenia*. Lühe (1909:33) gave a very short diagnosis of *Ichthyotaenia sagitta* (Grimm) which he apparently derived from Grimm's (1872) paper. La Rue (1911:475) included this species in a list of Proteocephalus species.

Because of its inaccessibility to many scientific workers Grimm's entire description of this species is here quoted, and since the tables at the end of this section give the essential data no digest of his paper is given at the end of his description:

"TAENIA SAGITTA.—Der berühmte Helmintholog Rudolphi erwähnt in seinem Werk Entozoorum Synopsis S. 144 eines Bandwurmes, den er im Darme von Cobitis barbatula aufgefunden hat. Da er aber nur ein Fragment, nicht aber ein volles Exemplar des Wurmes besass, so gab er auch keine eingehendere Beschreibung dieser als neu von ihm erkannten Art, sondern stellte sie grade zu den Grubenköpfen und belegte sie mit dem Namen Bothriocephalus barbatulae. Diesing stellte diese Art in seinem Systema helminthum zu den Species dubiae und setzte zu den von Rudolphi gesagten nur noch hinzu, dass "Fragmenta acephala servantur im M. C. V." (Syst. helm. I. p. 608). Etwas umständlicher spricht sich S. Leuckart aus über das Aussehen dieses Helminthen, indem er sagt, "an dem sehr kleinen kopflosen Stücke, das ich sah, war nichts Ausgezeichnetes. Die Glieder länger als breit, oder vollkommen quadratisch. Keine deutlichen Ovarien" (Sig. Leuckart, Zoologische Bruchstücke. I. p. 57). Das ist Alles, was wir von diesem Bandwurme kennen und deshalb wird wohl nicht überflussig sein, wenn ich im folgenden die Resultate meiner anatomischen Untersuchung kurz zusammen fassen werde, die ich an diesem Wurm unlängst angestellt habe.

"Ich fand nämlich hier, in Petersburg, und im Nowgorod'schen Gouvernement 3 erwachsene Exemplare des Wurms in Cobitis barbatula, nachdem ich einige Hunderte dieses so häufig in unseren kleineren Flüssen vorkommenden Fischchens durchmustert hatte. Aus dem Gesagten geht schon hervor, dass dies ein sehr seltner Wurm sein muss. Hier muss ich noch bemerken, dass, dem Kopfe nach, dieser Wurm der Taenia filicollis Rud. nicht unähnlich ist, sich aber von ihr durch die längeren Glieder, wie wir es unten sehen werden, unterscheidet.

"Die Länge des grössten Exemplares betrug 45 Mm. bei einer Breite von 1 Mm. Der Kopf ist verdickt und endigt mit einer Spitze die keine Hacken trägt; die 4 Saugnäpfe liegen paarweise auf der Grundhälfte des Kopfes; sie sind etwas in die Quere verlängert und stark muskulos, weshalb der Wurm auch so fest an die Wände des Darms sich ansaugt, dass man beim Einsammeln sehr vorsichtig sein muss, wenn man nicht "Fragmenta acephala" erhalten will. Das zu seiner Basis verengte Kopfchen geht in den ziemlich dünnen Hals über, welcher ungefähr 12 Mm. lang und 0,5 Mm. breit ist. Hinter dem Halse fängt die Kette an, in der ich 23 einzelne Glieder gezählt habe. Die ersten resp. jüngsten Glieder sind fast vollkommen quadratisch; weiterhin werden sie etwas länger, so dass die letzten acht Glieder 1,4 bis 1,5 Mm. lang sind; dabei beträgt ihre Breite 1 Mm., bei einer Dicke von nur 1,3 Mm., so dass der Wurm vollkommen plattgedrückt erscheint. Das letzte Glied ist immer von hinten abgerundet. Dies ist alles was wir an einem unlädirten Exemplare zu sehen bekamen.

"Wenn wir nun aber einzelne zuvor mit Carmin und Glycerin bearbeitete Glieder und dünne Querschnitte unter dem Mikroskop untersuchen, so überzeugen wir uns, dass der Bau der Körpermasse dieses Bandwurmes sich überhaupt durch nichts von dem Bau anderer Bandwürmer unterscheidet. Wir finden hier wie auch bei Cyathocephalus truncatus, dass der Körper aus folgenden Elementen besteht:—aus der körnchenreichen Grundsubstanz, die von sich schlängelnden dorso-ventralen Muskelbündeln durchsetzt wird; einer Schicht Längen-muskeln und einer Schicht Quer—oder Ringmuskeln, einer Schicht feinkörniger Rindensubstanz, in der die Enden der dorso-ventralen Muskeln liegen, und endlich der sehr feiner äusseren Haut, die gar keine Muskeln besitzt. In der Längsaxe eines jeden reifen Gliedes liegt das centrale Rohr des Eierstocks, welcher aber manchmal näher zur einen oder andern flachen Seite zu liegen kommt, wie man es auf den Querschnitten bemerkt. Von diesem Centralrohr verlaufen nach beiden Seiten zu unregelmassig gebogene und theils sich auch verzweigende Ausläufer, die in ihrer Länge mittelst der dorso-ventralen Muskeln erhalten werden. In der Mitte des Hauptrohres geht von ihm die Scheide ab, die, nachdem sie eine schwache Biegung gemacht hat, sich in der Mitte der seitlichen Oberfläche des Gliedes nach aussen öffnet; die Scheide ist ziemlich breit, so dass die Eier sie leicht passiren konnen. In dem von mir untersuchten Exemplare waren die Eier in der Mehrzahl der Glieder vollkommen reif;—sie enthielten eine grob und—feinkörnige Masse und waren mit einer ziemlich dicken Haut umgeben. Aber wie in diesen reifen Gliedern, so auch in den jüngern bin ich nicht im Stande gewesen die sog. Dotterstöcke aufzufinden; ob sie hier so früh verschwinden, oder zu fein sind, weiss ich nicht; auf den Querschnitten sind sie aber nicht aufzufinden.

"Gleich über der Scheide liegt und öffnet sich nach aussen das männliche Zeugungsorgan; dasselbe besteht aus einem ziemlich grossen und kolbenförmigen Cirrusbeutel, in dem der eingezogene Cirrus liegt; der Cirrus ist ziemlich dick und am Grunde dünner als am Ende; dessen Kanal ist durch den Cirrusbeutel zu sehen. In den Wänden des Cirrusbeutels trafen wir, wie immer, Längs—und Quermuskeln. Die Samendrüsen waren nicht zu sehen.

"Dies ist alles was ich von dem Bau des Bandwurmes erfahren konnte. Die Unvollkommenheit meiner Untersuchung wurde aber durch den Mangel an Material bedingt. Dennoch aber fühle ich mich berechtigt zu sagen, dass auch dies Wenige genügt, um dem beschriebenen Bandwurm seine natürliche Stellung in der Reihe seines Gleichen anzuweisen und somit die Zahl der zweifelhaften Arten zu beschränken.

"Noch muss ich hinzusetzen, dass aus dem Gesagten doch klar ist, dass ich wohl mich nicht irre, indem ich den von mir augefundenen Wurm als dem Bothriocephalus barbatulae Rud. identisch halte."

While the foregoing description is sufficient to warrant the inclusion of this species in the genus Proteocephalus it is not sufficient to enable one to determine the relations of the species to the other members of the genus. In size the form resembles *P. filicollis* and *P. agonis*. For the present the species must be put in the list of incompletely described species.

PROTEOCEPHALUS SALVELINI (Linton)

[Figs. 143, 144]

1897:	*Taenia salvelini*	Linton	1897:424
1911:	*Proteocephalus salvelini*	La Rue	1911:475

Linton (1897:424) described this species. La Rue (1911:475) included this form in a list of species of Proteocephalus.

Linton's description reads:

"TAENIA SALVELINI. *Type.*—No. 4811, U. S. N. M. From intestine of Great Lake trout (*Cristivomer namaycush*); Outer Island, Lake Superior; J. W. Milner. In this lot are several small *Taeniae;* scolices with few roundish or oblong segments, in some of which the male genitalia were developed, otherwise immature; length from 3 to 5 mm.

"These specimens bear some resemblance to the young of *T. torulosa,* Batsch, as described by Zschokke (1884).

"Head usually rounded in front, with suckers directed anteriorly. The diameter of the head varies according to state of contraction, usually 0.3 to 0.4 mm.; suckers relatively large, usually directed forward, prominent, rather deep, 0.15 to 0.18 mm. in diameter, aperture 0.1 mm. in diameter. Neck assuming various contraction shapes; in some continuous with head and merging imperceptibly in body; in others separated by a constriction behind the head; in others not separated from head by constriction but with segments beginning abruptly; numerous calcareous bodies in neck behind head. Usually first segments indistinct, broader than long. Oldest segments longer than broad; genital aperture near middle of lateral margin a little nearer anterior margin. Vitellaria making a narrow border along lateral margins; beginning of germ gland at posterior end; central region in front of rudimentary germ gland occupied by prominent testicular lobes; cirrus-bulb oblong, elliptical, convex on posterior, straight or slightly concave on anterior surface; cirrus enters from inner anterior edge of bulb, then traverses middle of bulb to orifice; length of bulb, 0.26 mm.; diameter, 0.14 mm.; largest segments, 1.5 mm. in length and 0.75 mm. in breadth."

Linton's figures of the head are reproduced (Figs. 143, 144).

Since this description is insufficient for determination in comparison with the forms described here Dr. H. B. Ward very kindly secured Linton's specimens from the National Museum at Washington. Unfortunately but fragments remained. These fragments were cleared and examined in glycerine. The writer's observations on the heads agree very well with Linton's records. A fifth sucker or a trace of one could not be found. The suckers in their maximum diameter measure 0.170 mm. the sucker opening about 0.100 mm. The head is flattened dorsoventrally. In general shape it resembles some dilated heads of *P. pinguis* but is larger. Linton says that it resembles young specimens of *P. torulosus,* however its measurements are quite different. It must be left for the present in the list of inadequately described species.

PROTEOCEPHALUS CYCLOPS (Von Linstow) sp. inq.

[Fig. 159]

1877: *Taenia cyclops* von Linstow, 1877:15-16.

Von Linstow's description of this immature form is here given:

"*Taenia cyclops* n. sp.—In Coregonus maraena aus dem Schallsee. Die Tänie ist 25 Mm. lang und 0,27 Mm. breit, überall fast gleich breit, die letzte Proglottide hinten zugespitzt. Die Kalkkörperchen sind klein, ohne concentrische Schichtung; das Kopfende ist abgerundet, die Saugnäpfe sind längsoval, 0,15 Mm. lang und nach hinten zugespitzt, 0,1 Mm. breit; ein fünfter scheitelständiger Saugnapf hat 0,069 Mm. im Durchmesser; Geschlechtsorgane waren noch nicht vorhanden. Taenia longicollis aus verschiedenen zur Familie der Lachse gehörenden Fischen hat zum Unterschied von dieser Art länglichrunde Saugnäpfe, deren längerer Durchmesser rechtwinklig zur Längsaxe des Thieres steht."

Nufer (1905:152) thought that this form was a larval state of *P. longicollis* (Rud.). However, the character of the suckers does not permit such a determination. *P. cyclops* is remarkable for the notch in the inferior margin of the sucker and in this respect differs from all the other species of Proteocephalus. This notch is well shown in von Linstow's drawing which is reproduced (Fig. 159). Until adults can be secured for determination this form must be considered to be a species inquirenda.

PROTEOCEPHALUS HEMISPHERICUS (Molin) sp. inq.

1859:	*Taenia hemispherica*	Molin	1859:14
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia hemisphaerica*	Riggenbach	1896:267, 268
1911:	*Proteocephalus hemisphericus*	La Rue	1911:475

Molin's original description and observations are as follows:

"*Taenia hemispherica* Molin.—*Caput hemisphericum, acetabulis anticis; ore inermi; collum longissimum, antice dilatatum; articuli supremi brevissimi, subsequentes transverse parallelogrammici, postremi subquadrati; aperturae genitales marginales, vage alternae. Longit.* 0.07; *lat.* 0.003.

"HABITACULUM. *Anguilla vulgaris:* in intestino tenui, Novembri, Patavii (Molin).

"OSSERVAZIONE 1. Ai 5 di Novembre del 1858 rinvenni nell' intestino di un' anguilla, nella quale avevo trovato 5 *Dibothrium claviceps,* un esemplare di quel verme; ed in un' altra anguilla un esemplare intero e varii frammenti.

"OSSERVAZIONE 2. Negli articoli bene sviluppati potei distinguere ai margini laterali le ovaja, e tutto il resto dell' articolo riempito dall' amplissimo ovidotto ravvolto in ambagi e ripieno di uova sferiche. Nel mezzo vidi collocato orizontalmente il membro virile attortigliato che si estendeva fino al centro dell' articolo.

"OSSERVAZIONE 3. Fino ad ora non si conosceva dell' anguilla altro che la *Taenia macrocephala,* dalla quale però la *hemisphaerica* si distingue per la forma della testa non chè per la lunghezza del collo. Questa è affine alla *filicollis,* dalla quale però si distingue per la forma della testa e del collo, e deve perciò venir registrata dopo di questa nel sistema."

Since the appearance of Molin's paper no further attempts have been made to describe this species. There is in fact no further notice of the finding of this species. Linton (1886) thought that his *Taenia dilatata* might be the same as *Taenia hemispherica.* Riggenbach (1896) said of it, ''Ein genauer Vergleich der Diagnosen, welche die genannten Autoren den fraglichen Species gaben, zwingt mich mit Bestimmtheit eine Identität der *I. (Ichthyotaenia) dilatata* Linton mit *I. hemispherica* Molin anzunehmen.'' Linton's species has been determined by the writer to be identical with *Proteocephalus macrocephalus* (Creplin). Schneider (1903) identified a cestode taken from *Anguilla vulgaris* as *Ichthyotaenia hemispherica.* Later (1905) he decided that this form was not *I. hemispherica* but *I. macrocephala* (Creplin). His statement of this is discussed in the historical summary of *Proteocephalus macrocephalus.* Nufer (1905) attempted to show the identity of *P. dilatatus* (Linton) and *P. hemisphaericus* (Molin) and further that these species were identical with *P. macrocephalus* (Creplin). This contention is true in part at least. In the discussion of *P. macrocephalus* in another part of this monograph identity of *P. dilatatus* and *P. macrocephalus* has been shown. The present form, however, is so little known and so poorly described that it seems advisable for the present to consider that it is not identical with the well known species of Proteocephalus found in Anguilla but that it is a species inquirenda. La Rue (1911:475) listed this form among other species of Proteocephalus.

PROTEOCEPHALUS MACROPHALLUS (Diesing) sp. inq.

1850:	*Taenia macrophalla*	Diesing	1850:514
1856:	*Taenia macrophalla*	Diesing	1856:35
1864:	*Taenia macrophalla*	Diesing	1864:377
1891:	*Taenia macrophalla*	Monticelli	1891
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia macrophalla*	Riggenbach	1896:267

This form is known only from the writings of Diesing listed above. His statements are wholly inadequate for purposes of comparison and his drawings (Diesing 1856, Pl. VI, figs. 15-20) show but little more than external features and the cirrus pouch. It seems to the writer however that this species should be considered as belonging to the genus Proteocephalus and that it is a species inquirenda. Monticelli (1891) and Riggenbach (1896) included this species in their groups of fish cestodes the most of which are now included in the genus Proteocephalus.

Diesing's original species description (Diesing 1850:514) is here quoted: "*Taenia macrophalla* Diesing. *Caput* rotundatum depressum, acetabulis anticis maximis. *Collum* nullum. *Articuli* supremi, subquadrati, subsequi oblonge-quadrati, ultimo longissimo rotundato. *Aperturae* genitalium marginales. *Penes* alterni longissimi filiformes. Long. 1-3′ ″; latit.½′ ″.

"Habitaculum. *Cichla monoculus,* Junio, Julio et Octobri in Brasilia (Natterer: in intestinis. M. C. V.)

"b. Os limbo elevato, uncinulorum corona interdum decidua armatum."

PROTEOCEPHALUS NEMATOSOMA (Leidy) sp. inq.

1888:	*Taenia leptosoma*	Leidy	1888:169, (nec Diesing)
1891:	*Taenia nematosoma*	Leidy	1891:410-418
1891:	*Taenia somatolepta*	Monticelli	1891
1911:	*Proteocephalus nematosoma*	La Rue	1911:475

In May 1888 Leidy reported thus on the "Parasites of the Pickerel".

> "Dr. Leidy remarked that among the numerous parasites which are mentioned as infesting the pike, *Esox lucius,* of Europe, no Taenia is indicated. In the Pickerel, *Esox reticulatus,* brought to our market, a species of the latter appears to be common. In two fishes he found half a dozen in the intestine and stomach; and in another a single individual two feet in length. It resembles

closely the *Taenia amboplitis*, noticed in the Rock Bass, *Ambloplites rupestris* (Proc., 1887, 23), and may be the same. Distinguishing it with the name of *Taenia leptosoma*, its characters are as follows: Body long and thin, and at the forepart thread-like. Head unarmed, without rostellum, with four equidistant hemispherical bothria; neck very short or none; anterior segments transversely linear, many times wider than long; posterior segments gradually becoming proportionately longer and quadrate and barrel shaped; genital apertures marginal, alternating irregularly. Ova spherical.

"Length from 6 to 9 and 26 inches, shortening to one half or less; breadth to 2 and 2.5 mm. Head 0.25 to 0.5 mm. broad; bothria 0.125 to 0.175 mm. Anterior segments an inch from the head 0.175 mm. long by 1 mm. broad; posterior segments 0.5 to 0.75 mm. long by 2 to 2.5 mm. broad. Ova 0.028 to 0.032 mm. in diameter.

"A single slender *Scolex* associated with the longest *Taenia* was 4 mm. long by 0.25 wide, but elongated to 8 mm. by 0.1 wide. The head was of the same form as that of the *Taenia*. After being in alcohol, the head of the *Scolex* was 0.225 mm. wide, with the bothria 0.1 in diameter. The posterior part of the body exhibited traces of segmentation, with the segments 0.075 mm. long by 0.25 wide."

In November 1890 from the same host species Leidy reported *Taenia nematosoma*. His description is quoted:

"*Taenia nematosoma*, n. s.—Head rounded quadrate, unarmed, with equidistant hemispherical bothria and a small central papilla; neck short or none; fore part of body linear; anterior segments much wider than long; posterior segments gradually becoming proportionately longer, quadrate or barrel-shaped; genital apertures marginal and alternating irregularly. Length to 9 inches, contracting to about one-half. Breadth of head 0.375 to 0.5 mm.; bothria 0.175 wide; neck 0.25 wide; anterior segment an inch from the head 0.175 mm. long by 2 mm. broad; posterior segments 0.75 mm. long by 2 mm. broad, and when contracted widening to 2.5 mm. Ova spherical, 0.028 to 0.032 mm.

"A half dozen specimens in the stomach of two Pickerel, *Esox reticulatus*."

Neither report was accompanied by drawings.

Leidy's two forms agree in practically every particular as to measurements and proportions and host species. The writer therefore concludes that they are identical and includes them both under the name *P. nematosoma* (Leidy). This species differs from *P. ambloplitis* chiefly in the measurement of the suckers. Its measurements vary greatly from those of *P. pinguis* and from *P. esocis* Schneider. None of Leidy's specimens remain, so the species must continue a species inquirenda. Monticelli (1891) on account of the confusion of this name with *Taenia leptosoma* Diesing suggested the name *Taenia somatolepta*. However the name *nematosoma* should be preferred because it is an earlier available name than *somatolepta*. La Rue (1911:475) made this one of his list of Proteocephalus species.

PROTEOCEPHALUS SALMONIS-UMBLAE (Monticelli) sp. inq.

(?)1811-12:	*Taenia salmonis omul*	Pallas	1811-12:409
1884:	*Taenia salmonis umblae*	Zschokke	1884:18-19
1891:	*Tetracotylus salmonis-umblae*	Monticelli	1891:
(?)1891:	*Tetracotylus salmonis-omul*	Monticelli	1891:
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia salmonis-umblae*	Riggenbach	1896:267
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia salmonis umblae*	Zschokke	1896:783
1909:	*Ichthyotaenia salmonis umblae*	Lühe	1909:33
1911:	*Proteocephalus salmonis-umblae*	La Rue	1911:475

This species was first described and delineated by Zschokke (1884) who found it in *Salmo umbla,* Lake Geneva. His description and drawings are inadequate for a determination of its position. Monticelli (1891) considered this to be a species of *Tetracotylus.* He also stated that he considered *Taenia salmonis-omul* Pallas a species dubia and probably a synonym of *T. salmonis-umblae.* Monticelli wrote both of these names with a hyphen and hence was the first to give these species binary names. Krämer (1892) does not discuss the species. Riggenbach (1896) considered it to be a species of *Ichthyotaenia.* He did not add anything to our knowledge of its structure.

Zschokke (1896:783) listed this species in his summary of parasites found in the fishes of Lake Geneva. He did not find it in any of the fish from the Rhine altho he examined nearly 1200 fish. Nufer (1905) who investigated the fish of Lake Lucerne made no report on this species. Lühe (1909) placed this species in the genus *Ichthyotaenia.* Since his diagnosis is short and concise it is here quoted in lieu of Zschokke's description (1884:18-19):

"*Ichthyotaenia salmonis umblae* Zschokke. 30-50 cm. lang, 1-2 mm. breit. Am Scheitel eine leichte saugnäpfähnliche Vertiefung. Proglottiden länger als breit, ca. 100 bis 150 an Zahl, Hodenbläschen zahlreich; ein hodenfreies Mittelfeld scheint zu fehlen. Cirrus-beutel bis fast zur Mittellinie reichend; Genitalöffnung ungefähr an der Grenze von 2. und 3. Fünftel der Proglottidenlängs. Uterus mit "zahlreichen" (ca. 6 ?) Blindsäcken jederseits. Kalkkörperchen sehr zahlreich, besonders in Scolex und Hals.

"Im Darm von *Salmo salvelinus* L.; bisher nur aus dem Genfer See bekannt."

This species is too little known to permit a determination of its position in the genus. On account of its larger size and more numerous testes it seems certain that it is not the same as *P. fallax* or *P. dubius.* It does somewhat resemble the *P. neglectus* from *Trutta fario* and there is a possibility that it may be the same. For the present it must be considered to be a species inquirenda. In regard to the name of this species attention is called to the fact that Zschokke wrote the name as a trinomial. Since a trinomial name can have no standing and since Monticelli (1891) first wrote this name as a binomial it is here suggested that the name should be credited to him. The species is then to be known as *Proteocephalus salmonis-umblae* (Monticelli). Pallas (1811-12) is unaccessible to the writer. It appears that his description of *Taenia salmonis omul* if one were given was of no value. Monticelli (1891) suggested that *Taenia salmonis-umblae* was probably identical with the *Taenia salmonis-omul* Pallas and he called the latter a species dubia. Rudolphi (1819:175) expressed the opinion that *Taenia salmonis omul* was a species of Bothriocephalus. For this reason it seems that *Taenia salmonis omul* is hardly of sufficient value as a name to be worthy of being called a species inquirenda and since Monticelli apparently desired to bury it with the *Taenia salmonis-umblae* it is best not to attempt to resurrect it. La Rue (1911:475) in a list of Proteocephalus species credited this species to Monticelli who was the first to give it a binary name.

PROTEOCEPHALUS OSCULATUS (Goeze), sp. inq.

[Figs. 161-165, 182]

1782:	*Taenia osculata*	Goeze	1782:415
1782:	*Taenia alternatim transverse lineata*	Goeze	1782:416
1786:	*Taenia siluri*	Batsch	1786:157-159
1786:	*Taenia osculata*	Batsch	1786:209
1788:	*Taenia osculata*	Schrank	1788:47
1788:	*Taenia glanis*	Schrank	1788:47
1790:	*Taenia percae β*	Gmelin	1790:3079
1790:	*Taenia siluri*	Gmelin	1790:3080
1803:	*Halysis siluri*	Zeder	1803:353
1803:	*Halysis transverse-lineata*	Zeder	1803:353
1810:	*Taenia calycina*	Rudolphi	1810:115-116
1810:	*Taenia osculata*	Rudolphi	1810:116-119
1819:	*Taenia osculata*	Rudolphi	1819:150, 497
1845:	*Taenia osculata*	Dujardin	1845:584
1850:	*Taenia osculata*	Diesing	1850:522-523
1854:	*Taenia osculata*	Wagener	1854
1861:	*Taenia osculata*	Van Beneden	1861:165
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia osculata*	Riggenbach	1896:267
1909:	*Ichthyotaenia osculata*	Lühe	1909:30-31
1911:	*Proteocephalus osculatus*	La Rue	1911:475

Specific Diagnosis: For the specific diagnosis see Lühe's diagnosis of this species at the close of the historical summary.

Habitat: In intestine of *Silurus glanis* L.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority	
Silurus glanis L.	Magdeburg	Goeze	Goeze	1782:415-416
Silurus glanis L.	Greifswald	Rudolphi	Rudolphi	1819:150

Goeze (1782:415) described and figured this form from the intestine of *Silurus glanis.* His diagnosis is here given:

"Der gemündete Bandwurm. Taenia *osculata.* Auch vom Graf von Borke in den Gedärmen eines 24 pfündigen Welses (Silurus *Glanis* L.). Nach der Grösse dieses Fisches wenige, und äusserst kleine Tänien. Kleiner, als in der Hechten. Nicht am Magenende, sondern mehr in der Gegend des Afters. Das merkwürdigste daran, das sie ausser den beyden Saugblasen am Kopfe, an dem vorstehendem Rüssel eine wahre Mündung hatten, welche unter dem Komposito mit No. 4 Tub. B. deutlich zu sehen war. An keinem andern hat der Graf die Rüsselmündung so deutlich, als an diesen wahrgenommen. Sie waren alle lebendig; aber auf der Glasplatte schwer zu behandeln, weil sie sich beständig krümmten, und mit ihren Saugwarzen selbst an ihrem eignem Körper vest ansogen. Ein besondere Umstand! Das Aufblähen der Saugwarzen, und das Ausstrecken und Einziehen das Rüssels ein angenehmes Schauspiel. Die Glieder sehr undeutlich. Mehr Runzeln als Glieder."

Goeze (1782:416) described a form from *Silurus glanis* which he thought was a species distinct from the *Taenia osculata.* Later investigators considered that the second form was identical with *Taenia osculata* and it seems that they are probably correct. Goeze's description of the second form is more complete than that of the first hence it is here quoted:

"Der wechselsweise Lineirte Bandwurm. Taenia, *alternatim transverse lineata:* articulis *quadrangularibus;* capite quadriverrucoso.

"Eine wirklich neue und besondere Art. Auch aus den Gedärmen eines sechzehnpfündigen Welses (Silurus *Glanis.* L.) Als ich am 1sten Iulius 1780 von Rekane nach Magdeburg kam, blieb ich daselbst um der grösseren Fische willen nach einige Tage. Ich bekam die Gedärme eines Störs (Acipenser *Sturio* L.) von 50 Pfund. In denselben verschiedene Askariden, und zween Kratzer, wie bey andern Fischen. In den Gedärmen eines Welsens aber fand ich zween merkwürdige Bandwürmer.

"Die Länge etwa 12 Zoll. Die Breite am breitestem Hinterende eine gute Linie. Die Glieder regelmässige Quadrate: eine Linie lang und Breit. Nach dem Kopfe zu Verhältnissmässig kleiner. Das Karakteristische dieses Wurms waren die, Wechselsweise, in jedem Gliede, in ungleicher Ordnung stehende weisse Querlinien, die bis über die Hälfte des Körpers, vom Hinterende an, hinaufgehen, und besonders in die Augen fallen. Diese Linien gehen nicht weiter bis in die Mitte des Gliedes. Zeigt sich z. B. auf der rechten Seite in jedem Gliede, in fünfen nach einander, die weisse Querlinie; so ist die linke Seite frey. Dann auf der linken Seite in zwey Gliedern, und die rechte Seite frey. Weiter nur an einem Gliede, bald rechts, bald links, u. s. w. In der Mitte jedes Gliedes am Hinterende liegen reife Eyer, und ich kann diese Linien für nichts anders, als für Eyergänge halten, obgleich die Randmündungen zu klein, oder zu verschlossen sind, als dass man sie wahrnehmen könnte. Das Kopfende sehr fein und dünne, aber flach, und das Köpfchen nach Proportion wie ein kleines Knötgen. Unter dem Komposito der Hals ungegliedert. Am Kopfe auf jeder Seite zwo stark hervorstehende Saugmündungen. Vorn ein kurzes Rüsselchen, ohne Baken."

The "Querlinien" of the above description is probably the more or less clear region in which the cirrus-pouch, vagina, and the convoluted vas deferens lie.

Goeze's figures of this form have been reproduced (Figs. 163, 164, 165).

Batsch (1786) followed Goeze in considering that two species of Taenia were parasitic in *Silurus glanis.* He changed the name of Goeze's second form to *Taenia siluri.* His two descriptions which seem to be based largely on the work of Goeze are here quoted:

"Taenia siluri. Der Welsbandwurm.

"Goetze, S. 416. T. 33. fig. 11-14. Taenia (scalaris) ductu rectissimo brevi introrsum subclavato, extrorsum pedicellato; capite papillis quatuor et nodo verticali subaequalibus globosis; articulis subquadratis, marginibus convexis.

"In jedem reifen Gliede hat der Eiergang, fast wie bei dem vorigen, die Gestalt eines Stempels, in dem er mit dem Eierstocke, welcher in der Mitte des Gliedes liegt und eiförmig ist, zusammenfliesst. Aber der Gang ist kürzer, gerader, und der Eierstock weder hervorragend noch so ausgebreitet und deutlich von dem Eiergange an Grösse verschieden.

"Die Glieder sind viereckig, eine Linie lang und breit, und an den Ecken abgerundet, und an den Seiten mit einem ziemlich breiten dunkeln Rande.

"Die Eierstöcke und Gänge sind von weisser Farbe, und stehen wechselsweise auf einer oder der andern Seite, in verschiedner Anzahl neben einander, wie bei den vorigen Art. Sie sind vom Hinterende bis über die Hälfte des Körpers sichtbar, wo die Glieder aufhören viereckig zu sein, sondern ganz kurz werden, und auch von da an mit dem ganzen Vorderende des Körpers gleichförmig bis an den Kopf an Breite abnehmen. Nahe am Kopfe hat der Körper etwa den sechsten Theil der Breite der reifen Hinterhälfte. Das Vorderende ist flach.

"Der Hals ist lang und ungegliedert; der Kopf ragt wie ein starker Knoten hervor, der aus vier kuglichen grossen nahe beisammen stehenden Saugblasen, und einem beinahe eben so grossen Knöpfchen auf dem Scheitel zusammengefesst ist.

"Jede Saugblase hat einem breiten wulstigen Rand um ihre tiefe Höhle; und giebt dem Kopfe eine ausgezeichnete Schönheit.

"Die Länge des ganzen Körpers erstreckt sich auf zwölf Zoll. Diese Art wohnt in den Gedärmen des Welses (Silurus Glanis), Goetz hat zwei Zeichnungen davon gegeben, deren eine darin von dem beschriebnen abweicht, dass die Hinterglieder zwar viereckig sind, aber doch mit dem etwas breitern Hinterrande über den folgenden Vorderrand eckig hervorstehen, und keine Eiergänge zeigen. Der Kopf ist übrigens in der Hauptsache nicht verschieden.

"Die Oeffnungen der Eiergänge und die Art der Eier selbst ist an dieser Art noch nicht berichtigt."

"*Taenia osculata. Der gemündete Bandwurm.*

"Götze S. 415, T. 33. fig. 9. 10.

Taenia (larvata) capite cum collo coalito, osculis binis speciosis bimarginatis, in acumen osculatum defluente; corpore crenato, articulis brevibus, parallelepipedis.

"Dieser Bandwurm, den der Graf *Borke* im *Wels* endeckt hat, ist von einer sehr sonderbaren Gestalt. Er hat an seinem Kopfe, der fast nicht vom Körper unterschieden ist, zwei (vermuthlich vier) sehr grosse rundliche Saugblasen, welche dicht an einander liegen, die ganze Breite des Kopf erfüllen, und mit doppelten wulstigen Rändern, wie mit Augensternen versehen sind. Der äussere Wulst ist nach der Zeichnung dunkler als der innere, und gefleckt, beide aber etwas gestrahlt. Mitten zwischen ihnen kann sich der Scheitel in eine zapfen- oder schlauchähnliche kegelartige Erhöhung verlängern, welche am Ende eine Mündung hat, unten sich in den Kopf ausbreiter, und mit ihm zusammenfliesst. Man dürfte auf den Gedanken gerathen, als wenn dieser Wurm von dem oben-beschriebnen Welsbandwurme nicht wesentlich verschieden wäre, allein man hat bei jenem die kegelförmige Verlängerung des Scheitels und seine Mündung nicht bemerkt; auch sind bei eben demselben die Glieder grösser im Verhältniss des Körpers und deutlich organisirt. Hier ist diess nicht, sie gleichen mehr undeutlichen Runzeln, und nach der Vergrösserung sind sie viereckig, fünfmal breiter als lang, mit abgestumpften Ecken. Der Hals ist ungegliedert und punktirt.

"Es waren wenige, äusserst kleine Bandwürmer im Verhältniss gegen den grossen Fisch, kleiner als im Hecht. Sie befanden sich mehr in der Gegend des Afters, krümmten sich im Leben beständig, und sogen sich mit den Saugwarzen an ihrem eigenen Körper an. Das Ausstrecken und Einziehen des Rüssels wie auch das Aufblähen der Saugwarzen, gab ein angenehmes Schauspiel."

Schrank (1788) devoted a two line diagnosis to each of the two forms reported by Goeze from *Silurus glanis.* The second form he called *Taenia glanis.* His diagnosis adds nothing to the data given by Goeze and Batsch. Gmelin (1790) gave Latin diagnoses for *Taenia siluri* and *Taenia percae* form β. These diagnoses are of no value except to show that he regarded these two forms reported by Goeze to be two distinct species.

Rudolphi (1810:116-119) summed up the knowledge of this species and for the first time called attention to the fact that Goeze's two forms constituted but a single species. Rudolphi apparently had a personal knowledge of this species and hence his data carry much more weight than do those of some of the other writers immediately preceding him. His description together with his synonymy is here quoted:

"Taenia osculata Goeze.

"*Taenia: osculis rostellique apice concavis, parte antica capillari, articulis quadratis planis, margine majorum integerrimo.*

Goeze Naturg. p. 415. Tab. 33. fig. 9. 10. T. osculata.

Idem ib. p. 416. Tab. 33. fig. 11-14. Taenia alternatim transverse lineata.

Batsch Bandw. p. 209. n. 45. fig. 146. 147. T. osculata. p. 157. n. 16. fig. 80. 82. T. siluri.

Schrank Verzeichn. p. 47. n. 141. T. osculata. n. 142. T. glanis.

Gmel. Syst. Nat. p. 3080. n. 82. T. siluri. ib. p. 3079. n. 77. T. percae β.

Tabl. Encycl. t. 49. fig. 4. 5. (ic. Goez.) T. siluri. fig. 6-9. (ic. Goez.) T. alternans.

Zeder Naturg. p. 353. n. 40. Halysis siluri. n. 41. Hal. transverse-lineata.

"Hab. in *Siluri Glanidis* parte intestini praesertim media, saepe tamen etiam inter priorem. Goezius Iulio, ego Majo, reperimus.

"Descr. Vermes pauci a Comite de Borke reperti exigui, duo a Goezio observati duodecim pollices longi; mei, copiosissimi, pollicem ad pedem et quod excurrit longi, antice capillares, postice lineam ad sesquilineam lati.

"*Caput* discretum, subglobosum, exiguum, *Oscula,* uti caput totum, quam in specie praecedente multo minora, concava, profunda, ostio exiguo, ut in illa sita saepeque simul in conspectum venientia. *Rostellum* intermedium, brevissimum, osculum quintum, sed minus et plerumque magis protrusum refert. *Corporis* plani et tenuis pars antica in verme tam pollicari quam pedali capillaris, mox tantum rugosa vel incisa videtur *colli* speciem sistens, mox autem articulis distinctis iisque tenuissimis, forma variis, moniliformibus, oblongis et rugaeformibus interjectis, constat; pars reliqua sensim increscens, articulis tandem quadratis, satis aequalibus, margine laterali rectiusculo, integerrimo. Articulos ultimus rotundatus, fere semper emarginatus. Cujusvis articuli majoris media pars *ovarium,* sive maculam oblongam, pellucidam continet, quae neque anteriorem, neque posteriorem articuli marginem attingit. A quolibet ovario *linea transversa* ad alterum articuli marginem lateralem, ordine plerumque alterno, non tamen certo, excurrit. Linea longitudinalis, qualis in specie praecedente, in hac non conspicua.

"Substantia vermis tenuis, at firma et duriuscula, ideoque in aqua non pro speciei praecedentis more intumescit vel gelatinosa fit. Vermibus utriusque speciei aeque magnis, prioris volumen multo majus.

"Obs. 1. Hujus et praecedentis discrimen, quo minus conjungantur, nimis magnum esse, cuique observationem illi adjectam legenti, vel utramque recentem aut spiritu vini servatum comparanti patebit.

"Obs. 2. Me speciem a Comite de Borke detectam et Goezio osculatam dictam, cum altera hujus auctoris specie (transversim lineata) conjunxisse forsan miveris, sed utrique collum non articulatum et tenue adscribitur, quale praecedenti semper deest, et Borkius, qui Taeniolas suas in Glanidis intestinis crassis repererit, nonnisi partem vermium summam offendisse videtur, dum articulos rugas potius referre asserit, quod de hujus tantum parte antica valet. Goezius vermes majores reperit et ejusdem icon (fig. 11.). Taeniam integram sistens, bona est. Capitis vero icones neque Borkiana neque Goeziana laude dignae sunt. Zederus, verme licet non viso, alteram Goezii speciem rescindendam esse, recte praedixerat.

"Obs. 3. Müllerus de Taenia ocellata, nobis n. 21. dicta, loquendo, Taeniam transverse-lineatam Goezii ab illa lineolarum lateralium defectu solo forsan differre, suspicatus est, quo Gmelinus commotus, qui Taeniam nostram oscellatae varietatem fingeret, sed osculata toto coelo diversa, et rostello solo jam distingui potest.

"Obs. 4. Omnes quidem Taeniae osculatae sunt, nostra autem (uti et praecedens) κατ'ἐξοχηυ ita vocari potest, cum osculo quinto quasi instruatur, et oscula valde profunda sint. Goezii ergo nomen conservavi, et praecedentem simili voce designavi."

Rudolphi (1810:115) also described *Taenia calycina* from *Silurus glanis*. This species he later (1819:497) came to consider as identical with *Taenia osculata*. His later observations are here given:

"Entozoologiam edendo duplicem hujus Taenia formam, mihi tum temporis constantem visam prae oculis habui, quo commotus T. calycinam mihi dictam ab osculata distinxi. Postmodum vero Gryphiae Octobri mense Siluri Glanidis quinquaginta tres libras pondere aequantis intestina examinando, Taenias plurimas reperi inter T. osculatam et calycinam et ita quidem intermedias, ut nullum amplius discrimen superesset. Tres quatuorve pedes longae collo mox longiore mox breviore utebantur; articuli lineis longitudinalibus, rarius (posteriores) etiam transversis insignes; aquae commissae quasi gelatinosae reddebantur, aliquot dies vivae conservatae. Taenia calycina ideoque rescindenda est."

Rudolphi (1819:150) gave a very brief Latin diagnosis of *T. osculata* and stated that he had collected it at Greifswald. Dujardin (1845) and Diesing (1850) added very little to the previous diagnoses and descriptions. Wagener (1854) is inaccessible to the writer hence it is impossible to review his findings. Carus (1857) gave two drawings of this form after Wagener (1854). Van Beneden (1861:165) listed this species of cestode. Riggenbach (1896) considered it a species of Ichthyotaenia but he gave no descriptive data on the species. Two drawings of the head by Wagener are reproduced (Figs. 161, 162).

Lühe (1909:30-31) gave a short descriptive diagnosis of the species and in an original figure, reproduced (Fig. 182), he showed a little of the structure of the proglottid. His diagnosis reads:

"Zirka 50 cm bis 1 m lang, bei einer grössten Breite von ca. 3 mm. Scolex mit einem kleinen scheitelständigen "Saugnapf", der mit zahlreichen, sehr hinfälligen Häkchen von 0,007 mm Länge besetzt ist. Proglottiden mit entwickelten

Geschlechtsdrüsen sowie auch solche mit Uterus annähernd quadratisch. Genitalöffnung in der Mitte des Gliedrandes. Cirrusbeutel klein, kaum über den Dotterstock nach innen hinausragend; das Knäuel des Vas deferens stark quergestreckt, vom Cirrusbeutel bis an die Medianlinie reichend. Hodenbläschen sehr zahlreich, das ganze Mittelfeld der Proglottis einnehmend. Vagina ohne Sphincter. Uterus jederseits mit etwa 6-8 schlanken, sich etwas verzweigenden Seitenästen. Kälkkörperchen anscheinend spärlich.

"Im Darm von *Silurus glanis* L."

La Rue (1911:475) listed this as a little known species of Proteocephalus.

The above diagnosis by Lühe and his drawing of the inner structure of the proglottid furnish the only available data on the anatomy of this species. Unfortunately his drawing and description fail to show many of the details which one might desire. If his interpretation of the structures there found are correct then one must consider that so far as the arrangement and character of the generative organs are concerned this species must belong with the genus Proteocephalus. The presence of the minute spines on the fifth sucker is not in strict accord with the writer's ideas of this genus. At first glimpse one is caused to think of the spines on the head of the members of the genus Acanthotaenia and is led to inquire whether this species does not have its place in that genus. However, since the Acanthotaenia are found thus far only in the Varanidae, a family of lizards, it seems that this species can not have its closest relatives there. Since its host is a member of the genus Silurus and since this genus harbors several species of cestodes of the genus Monticellia one must consider the possibility of its belonging with the latter. Here, it seems to the writer, this species will eventually be placed but at present all the data, meager tho they are in details, place it in the genus Proteocephalus.

TAENIA SIMPLICISSIMA Leidy

1887:	*Taenia simplicissima*	Leidy	1887:22
1891:	*Taenia simplicissima*	Monticelli	1891
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia simplicissima*	Riggenbach	1896:267
1911:	*Proteocephalus simplicissimus*	La Rue	1911:475

This species was first described in a very superficial way by Leidy (1887) who besides the wholly inadequate species description figured the head and the outline of the proglottids in two drawings. Monticelli (1891) without comment included this species in a list of forms which somewhat resembled his *Tetracotylus (Taenia) coryphicephala*. Riggenbach (1896) included this form in a list of species of Ichthyotaenia.

He did not discuss his reasons for so doing. La Rue (1911) inadvertently included this form among a list of species of Proteocephalus.

Leidy's description is here quoted:

> "*Taenia simplicissima.*—Head small, unarmed, truncate; bothria spherical, terminal, occupying the four angles; neck very long, nearly or as wide as the head, body gradually widening to the posterior third and then tapering; anterior segments transversely linear, subsequently reversed disklike, gradually longer and wider, then campanulate and gradually becoming longer and narrower. Generative apertures and ova unobserved. A number of specimens from the Cod, *Gadus callarias,* up to 20 lines by 1 mm. where widest. Two only of the specimens retained the head."

The only reliable data in the description and the drawings have to do with the head and the form of the proglottids. Not even the genital pores were noted. Furthermore so far as the writer is able to find out no investigator since Leidy has seen or described this parasite of the cod. Nor is it likely that Leidy's specimens remain for he did not save many of them and many of those which he preserved have since been destroyed hence a re-examination of his specimens seems unlikely. Since the host is a purely marine fish and since no undoubted species of Proteocephalus are known to come from marine hosts the writer holds it highly improbable that this form is a species of Proteocephalus. Other than the fact that it has four sessile suckers and inhabits a fish it certainly has no claim for a place in the genus Proteocephalus. The species is therefore deleted from the list of Proteocephalus species and Leidy's original name for it is retained.

TAENIA BELONES Müller

The writer has examined the statements of Rudolphi (1819:175) in regard to *Taenia belones* and he agrees with Monticelli (1891) and Riggenbach (1896) in believing that this form does not properly belong in the genus Proteocephalus. Monticelli (1891) expressed the view that this species is identical with *Bothriocephalus belones* Dujardin. Riggenbach (1896) apparently accepted Monticelli's statement.

TAENIA POLLACHII Rathke

Rudolphi (1819:175) gave a short statement in regard to this form but evidently he did not regard it as a well known species. Monticelli (1891) thought it very probable that this form was identical with *Abothrium gadi* Van Beneden. Riggenbach (1896) apparently accepted this view. The writer has found no reason for thinking that this form is a species of Proteocephalus.

CHOANOSCOLEX ABSCISUS (Riggenbach 1896) La Rue

[Fig. 151]

1896:	*Ichthyotaenia abscisa*	Riggenbach	1896:193-210
1911:	*Choanoscolex abscisus*	La Rue	1911:479

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Worms small, 25-30 mm. long, breadth up to 0.765 mm. Number of proglottids as many as 70. Head somewhat conical with a fold of tissue at the base at times partly covering the suckers. Apex of head flattened. Maximum breadth of head 0.476 mm. No rostellum, no fifth sucker. Suckers large oval, 0.306 mm. long by 0.136 mm. broad. First proglottid longer than broad. Tenth proglottid 0.476 mm. broad by 0.102 mm. long. Segments near middle of worm broader than long or nearly quadrate. Last proglottid 1.02 mm. long by 0.765 mm. broad. Proglottid margins straight, posterior corners rounded. Last ploglottid with bluntly rounded end.

Sexual organs as in genus. Genital aperture situated at end of anterior ⅓-⅕ of the proglottid. No genital papilla. Testes, about 100 in number, 0.054 by 0.045 mm. in size, arranged in a single layer between vitellaria. Coils of vas deferens voluminous. Coils of ductus ejaculatorius few. Cirrus swollen, with large lumen. Cirrus-pouch large, pear-shaped, muscular, reaching nearly to middle of segment. Length of cirrus-pouch 0.34 mm. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch. Lumen of first part of vagina large. Receptaculum seminis present. Ovary bilobed, posterior, unbranched. Vitellaria lateral, follicular. Uterus a median tube with many lateral outpocketings. Eggs, 0.021 mm. long by 0.016 mm. broad.

Habitat: *Silurus* sp., Rio Paraguay, South America.

This species was described by Riggenbach (1896) as a species of Ichthyotaenia. La Rue (1911:479) established for it a new genus, Choanoscolex and made this species the type of the new genus.

The material was collected by Dr. Ternetz in Paraguay, 1894. The following description is based on the work of Riggenbach (1896). Riggenbach considered this form to be a species of Ichthyotaenia and his comparisons are made with species of that genus rather than with Corallobothrium.

The worm is small being 25-30 mm. in length. It is made up of about 70 proglottids whose form varies according to the age. The scolex (Fig. 151) varies from the usual shape in being somewhat conical instead of spherical and in having at the base of the cone a fold of tissue or a mantle which may be partially drawn over the suckers. The tip of the cone is flattened. The maximum breadth of the head is 0.476 mm., the breadth of the flattened apex of the cone 0.255 mm. The suckers which are separated from each other by a small zone only are very large in proportion to the size of the head. The shape is oval or at times triangular. Their length is about 0.306 mm. and their breadth about 0.136 mm.

The head reaches its greatest breadth, 0.476 mm., at the point where it passes over into the neck of medium length and a breadth of 0.348 mm. First proglottids are very narrow transverse bands. The tenth proglottid is about 0.476 mm. broad by 0.102 mm. long. As in most species the length of the proglottid increases with age more rapidly than the breadth. The twentieth proglottid has a length of 0.153 mm. Proglottids near the middle of the worm are broader than long or quadrate. The last proglottid is 0.765 mm. broad by 1.02 mm. long. Margins of the proglottids are straight and the posterior corners of the proglottids are rounded. Except for the slight elevation in the region of the genital opening, the geometrical form of the proglottid is not disturbed. The last proglottid is rounded off at the posterior end.

Riggenbach (1896:196-199) described the cuticula, parenchyma, musculature, and nervous system. These structures are typical for Proteocephalids. He did not describe the musculature of the head. In the head the small space between the suckers is almost completely filled with vessels of the excretory system. The four main vessels are bent inward as they enter the head. A circular anastomosis behind the suckers could not be demonstrated. In the short apical region of the head the vessels are never capillaries and an apical capillary plexus such as is found in *Corallobothrium lobosum* does not occur. Riggenbach was not able to find a direct connection of the vessels of the head or of the plexus with the exterior. Posterior to the suckers the main excretory vessels pass to the lateral fields of the neck in a course that is perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the body, then they bend posteriad, decrease in size and extend throughout the strobila as nearly straight narrow tubes. In the region of the cirrus-pouch and vagina the dorsal vessel passes above and the ventral vessel below these organs. The excretory vessels lie mesad of the vitellaria and the lateral nerve trunks. At the posterior part of each segment the main excretory vessels are connected by a transverse anastomosis. In the region of the transverse anastomosis are canals which connect the main vessels with the exterior. These canals arise directly from the main vessels and not from a capillary network. The point of opening to the exterior is on the surface near the posterior angle of the proglottid. In the posterior part of the end-proglottid the four main vessels join together to form a small bladder or reservoir. This reservoir opens in the middle of the rounded posterior margin of the end-proglottid.

The genital aperture is marginal, irregularly alternating, situated at the end of the anterior ⅓ to ⅕ of the proglottid. A genital papilla is not present tho the margin of the genital sinus is slightly swollen. In structure and arrangement the sexual organs agree thoroly with the general relations of Proteocephalus. Riggenbach mentions a *receptaculum seminis* as being new to Ichthyotaenia but it has been found in several species of Proteocephalus.

The testes number about 100. They measure 0.054 by 0.045 mm. They lie in a single layer in the medullary parenchyma, between the vitellaria and anterior to the ovaries. The vas deferens forms a voluminous knot of coils outside the cirrus-pouch. The ductus ejaculatorius forms a few coils in the cirrus-pouch and then, undergoing a change in histological structure, it passes over into the cirrus, the basal part of which is much broadened to form a roomy ovoidal vesicle. The cirrus somewhat resembles the cirrus of *Monticellia malopteruri* (Fritsch). Riggenbach did not see the protruded cirrus. The cirrus-pouch is a large pear-shaped and muscular sac extending into the segment perpendicular to the margin. Its length is about 0.34 mm. Since the ripe proglottids measure about 0.70-1.0 mm. broad the cirrus-pouch must reach from ⅓ to ⅖ across the proglottid.

The vagina opens into the common genital sinus anterior or posterior to the cirrus-pouch. In old proglottids the beginning part of the vagina is swollen into a sac nearly as large as the cirrus-pouch but in young proglottids the diameter of the vagina is uniform. In its course to the middle of the proglottid it describes an arc, then it bends sharply and passes to the interovarial space in a spiral or sinuous course. The convolutions of vas deferens are crossed by the vagina only when the latter opens anterior to the cirrus-pouch. A receptaculum seminis is present near the mid-piece of the ovary. This is more than a broadening of the vagina at this point for in Riggenbach's drawing there is shown a change in the histological structure of the vaginal wall. The relations of the organs with the interovarial space is similar to that in species of Proteocephalus and need not be discussed here. The bilobed ovary is in the posterior region of the proglottid. The lobes are unbranched saclike structures united by a mid-piece from which the oviduct arises. The vitelline glands are lateral follicular structures extending the full length of the segment. They occupy a broad zone laterad to the excretory vessels and the nerve trunks. The uterus is a median longitudinal tube with a large number of lateral outpocketings on either side. The uterine eggs are 0.021 mm. long by 0.016 mm.

broad. "The elongated shell is very thin and surrounds in part the yet undifferentiated egg-cell with the yolk-cells, in part the cell-groups which are the results of the first development stages." Evidently Riggenbach saw no embryos.

In life the heads of typical species of Proteocephalus are extremely variable in form passing with considerable rapidity from one contraction state to another. By the contraction of longitudinal muscles extending into the apex of the head the suckers may be drawn down out of sight within the inflated neck region but there are no folds of tissue at the base of the head within which the head may retreat. In the species just described this fold of tissue within which the suckers are partially withdrawn seems to be a constant feature of the scolex. Thus the head differs from heads of species of Proteocephalus. At first one notes certain similarities with the scolices of species of *Corallobothrium.* Further consideration of the structure of the two types of heads convinces one that they are not alike. The head of a *Corallobothrium* is greatly flattened anteriorly and the suckers are directed anteriad. At the margins of the flattened apical region are numerous folds and lappets which form a corolla-like sheath about the suckers. In this species the head is conical and the suckers are directed outward. In *Corallobothrium lobosum* Riggenbach (1896) found a small muscle-cross connecting each dorsal sucker with the ventral sucker opposite it. Riggenbach did not see such a structure in his sections of the heads of *Ichthyotaenia abscisa.* It is doubtful if it occurs in any other genus known at present to belong in this family.

This species may be considered as forming a transitional stage between Proteocephalus and Corallobothrium. This view is supported by the appearance of heads of plerocercoids of an unknown species of Corallobothrium found encysted in the liver of *Ameiurus melas* and *A. nebulosus* from the Illinois river. The heads of these plerocercoids possessed but a few simple folds of tissue enveloping a part of the head. When alive the heads were somewhat conical, not flattened on the apex as in preserved heads of the adult worms. However, a marked difference between these heads and the heads of *Choanoscolex abscisa* may be noted. In the plerocercoids the suckers are plainly paired, two dorsal and two ventral, while in this species the head is but slightly flattened dorsoventrally and the suckers are not plainly paired. This species does not belong in the genus Corallobothrium or Proteocephalus.

The foregoing descriptions of species of Proteocephalus are briefly summarized in and supplemented by the following comparative table.

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species

Characters	*Proteocephalus filicollis* (Rud.)	*P. esocis* (Schneider)	*P. agonis* (Barbieri)	*P. exiguus* La Rue	*P. pusillus* Ward
Strobila	35 mm. long x 0.8 mm. broad. Reported longer by other authors	10-47 mm. long x 0.7 mm. broad at widest part	30-40 mm. long x 0.5 mm. broad	9-15-25-38 mm. long x 0.425-0.646-0.8 mm. broad at widest part	30-50 mm. long x 0.35 mm. broad at widest part
Neck	Narrow, 1 mm. long	2-5 mm. long	3 mm. long x 0.14 mm. broad. Limits poorly defined	2-4-7-10 (?) mm. long x 0.1-0.2 mm. broad	1.-1.5 mm. long x 0.21 mm. broad
First proglottids	0.075-0.08 mm. long x 0.2 mm. broad	0.17 mm. long x 0.37 mm. broad	Broader than long	Longer than broad or nearly square	Broader than long
Mature proglottids	0.18 mm. long x 0.37 mm. broad	0.39 mm. long x 0.68 mm. broad	Longer than broad. 0.58-0.62 mm. long x 0.33-0.37 mm. broad	Longer than broad but smaller than ripe proglottids	Longer than broad
Ripe proglottids	0.48-0.66 mm. long by 0.425 mm. broad	....	Nearly quadrate, length and breadth about .5 mm	Longer than broad, 0.935 mm. long x 0.595 mm. broad,—0.68 x 0.56,—1.19 x 0.46 mm.	0.84-1.4 mm. long x 0.18-0.35 mm. broad
Head	Short, 0.9-0.121 mm. broad	0.14 mm. broad, 0.105 mm. thick	0.168 mm. in diameter	Somewhat globular, flattened dorsoventrally. 0.12-0.16-0.17 mm. broad	Spheroidal, 0.3 mm. broad, 0. 26 mm. long
Suckers (the four)	Nearly round, 0.042-0.055 mm. in diameter	Shallow, weak, 0.05 mm. in diameter. Aperture 0.026-0.032 mm. in diameter	Circular	0.058 mm. broad x 0.069-0.085 mm. long. Aperture 0.04 mm. in diameter	Slightly elongated, deep, muscular, 0.14 x 0.11 mm.
Fifth sucker	Not present	Not present	Not present	Muscular, functional, diameter 0.037-0.048 mm.	Well developed, muscular, 0.06 mm. in diameter
Genital pore, location on margin of proglottid	Near middle	Near middle	Near middle	Near middle	At end of first third to two-fifths
Cirrus-pouch, size	0.13 mm. long x 0.032-0.04 mm. broad	0.23-0.25 mm. long	Long, (0.25 mm., estimated)	0.289-0.34 mm. long	0.095-0.106 mm. long, 0.53-0.06 mm. broad

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species (Continued)

Characters	*Proteocephalus filicollis* (Rud.)	*P. esocis* (Schneider)	*P. agonis* (Barbieri)	*P. exiguus* La Rue	*P. pusillus* Ward
Cirrus-pouch, length of into breadth of segment.	3-4 times	About 2 times	About 2 times	About 2 times	3-4 times
Ductus ejaculatorius	In several coils	Straight (?)	Nearly straight	Straight	In 1 or 2 coils
Testes, number	75-90	44-52	100	35-54	44-60-70
Testes, size	0.055-0.062 mm. x 0.035-0.045 mm	0.05-0.09 mm. in diameter	Diameter 0.031-0.038 mm.	Diameter 0.04-0.05 mm.	Testes oval, 0.06-0.096 x 0.04-0.05 mm.
Testes, arrangement*	In 2 layers	In 2 partial layers	Number of layers?	In 1 layer	In 2 layers.
Vagina, relation of, to cirrus-pouch	Anterior to cirrus-pouch	Anterior to pouch	Anterior to pouch	Anterior to pouch, crossing middle of latter	Lies anterior to, but never crosses, cirrus-pouch
Uterus, pouches on each side	5-7-8	....	4-6-8	9-14	10-14-16
Embryo, size	0.027 mm	....	....	0.019-0.021 mm.	....
Eggs, number and size of membranes	Three membranes. Outer one 0.05-0.075 mm. in diameter, inner 0.027 mm.	....	Number? Egg measured 0.037-0.038 mm. in diameter	Three membranes Outer one 0.038-0.06, second 0.036-0.046 mm. in diameter	....
Additional data	Slight genital papilla sometimes present. Segmentation indistinct, hence neck of unstained specimens appear to be relatively very long	Segmentation indistinct. Specimen immature	Occurred in great numbers. 1200 in a single host		Ovarian lobes pressed closely together posteriad
Hosts	*Gasterosteus aculeatus*, *G. pungitius*	*Esox lucius*	*Alosa finta* var. *lacustris*	*Coregonus nigripinnis*, *C. prognathus*, *C. artedi*	*Salmo sebago*, *Cristivomer namaycush*
Locality	Germany; France; Ireland; Sweden; Finland	Esthonia	Italy	Lake Michigan	Maine; Ontario.
Chief sources of data	Schneider (1905), the present paper	Schneider (1905), the present paper	Barbieri (1909)	Benedict (1900), the present paper	Ward (1910), the present paper

*Testes are characteristically in a single field between vitellaria.

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species (Continued)

Characters	*P. pinguis* La Rue	*P. nematosoma* (Leidy)	*P. fallax* La Rue	*P. neglectus* La Rue	*P. dubius* La Rue
Strobila	9.0 cm. long x 1.24 mm. broad at widest part	7.6-33 cm. long x 2.2.5 mm. broad. (Measured when alive?)	10 cm. long x 1.2 mm. broad at widest part	Length not known, 0.153 mm. broad at widest part	4 cm. long x 0.8-1.2 mm. broad at widest part
Neck	3-7 mm. long x 0.2-0.25 mm. broad	Very short or none, 0.25 mm. broad	2 mm. long (balsam mounts), 6 mm. (in glycerine), 0.076-0.136 mm. broad	....	1.8-3.5 mm. long x 0.085-0.14 mm. broad
First proglottids	Very short, 0.05 mm. long x 0.25 mm. broad	Proglottids 25 mm. from head 0.175 mm. long x 1-2 mm. broad	0.17-0.3 mm. long x 0.126 mm. broad	....	0.05 mm. long x 0.2 mm. broad
Mature proglottids	Nearly quadrate, about as large as ripe proglottids	Quadrate or barrel-shaped	0.34 mm. long x 0.34-0.46 mm. broad	....	Quadrate, broader than long, or longer than broad. 1.02 mm. long x 0.34 mm. broad. 0.59 mm. long x 0.68 mm. broad
Ripe proglottids	Nearly quadrate or longer than broad. 0.595 mm. square-0.73 mm. long x 0.595 mm. broad	Posterior segments 0.5-0.75 mm. long x 2-2.5 mm. broad	Longer than broad, rarely 1.36 mm. long x 1.19 mm. broad	Range from 0.5 mm. long x 0.93 mm. broad to 0.75 mm. long x 1.53 mm. broad	Usually longer than broad, 1.19 mm. long x 0.68 mm. broad, 0.68 mm. long x 1.02 mm. broad
Head	Conical, flattened dorsoventrally, 0.33 mm. broad, 0.22 mm. thick, 0.2-0.25 mm. long	Rounded quadrate, 0.25-0.375-0.5 mm. broad	Somewhat globose, 0.15-0.2 mm. broad, 0.105-0.15 mm. thick	....	Variable in shape, 0.127-0.212 mm. broad, 0.10-0.14 mm. long
Suckers (the four)	Cup-shaped, deep, muscular, 0.095-0.105 mm. in diameter, aperture 0.06 x 0.07 mm. in diameter	Hemispherical, 0.125-0.175 mm. in diameter	Rounded, 0.064-0.085 mm. in diameter. Aperture about 0.04 mm.	....	Round or irregular, with deep cavity. Suckers measure 0.069-0.08 mm. in diameter
Fifth sucker	Functional, 0.05-0.075 mm. in diameter	None present, but there is a papilla at the apex. (Can papilla be a sucker?)	Functional, about 0.058 mm. in diameter	....	0.026-0.037 mm. in diameter
Genital pore, location on margin of proglottid	Near middle	Marginal	Near middle	Near middle	Near middle
Cirrus-pouch, size	0.13-0.14 mm. long, 0.05-0.06 mm. broad	....	0.196-0.255 mm. long in mature and 0.37-0.42 mm. long in ripe proglottids	When contracted 0.18-0.265 mm. long, 0.08 mm. broad, normally 0.34 mm. long	0.265-0.37-0.425 mm. long, 0.07-0.08 mm. broad

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species (Continued)

Characters	*P. pinguis* La Rue	*P. nematosoma* (Leidy)	*P. fallax* La Rue	*P. neglectus* La Rue	*P. dubius* La Rue
Cirrus-pouch, length of into breadth of segment.	3-4 times	....	2-3 times	3-4 times	2-2⅛ times
Ductus ejaculatorius	Nearly straight	....	In 1 or 2 sinuous curves	Straight	Straight
Testes, number	54-70	....	30-35.	75	55-60
Testes, size	Testes oval, 0.05 x 0.04-0.05 mm	....	Diameter 0.037-0.06 mm.	Diameter 0.042-0.064 mm.	Diameter 0.063-0.075 mm.
Testes, arrangement*	In 1 layer	....	In a single layer	In a single layer	In 2 partial layers
Vagina, relation of, to cirrus-pouch	Anterior but opens dorsal to cirrus-pouch	....	Anterior, may cross inner end of cirrus-pouch, usually runs past inner end of pouch	Anterior, crosses middle of cirrus-pouch	Anterior crosses middle of cirrus-pouch
Uterus, pouches on each side	10-14	....	6-8	7-9	7-10-12-14
Embryo, size	0.016-0.018 mm.	Ova measured 0.28-0.032 mm. (over all?)	Diameter 0.031-0.0336 mm.	Diameter 0.026-0.0265 mm.	Diameter 0.032 mm.
Eggs, number of membranes	....	....	Three membranes. Middle one 0.036-0.041 mm. Outer one not measured	Three membranes. Outer one 0.042-0.047 mm.	Three membranes. Middle one 0.042-0.053 mm.
Additional data				Description based on fragments	
Hosts	*Esox reticulatus*,. *Esox lucius*	*Esox reticulatus*	*Coregonus fera*	*Trutta fario*	*Perca fluviatilis*
Locality	Maine; Michigan, Wisconsin	Fish were brought to Philadelphia markets	Switzerland	Switzerland	Switzerland
Chief sources of data	The present paper	Source? Leidy (1888 & 1890)	Kraemer (1892), the present paper	The present paper	Zschokke (1884), the present paper

*Testes are characteristically in a single field between vitellaria.

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species (Continued)

Characters	*Proteocephalus cernuae* (Gmelin)	*P. percae* (O. F. Müller)	*P. longicollis* (Zeder)	*P. salmonis-umblae* (Monticelli)	*P. torulosus* (Batsch)
Strobila	40 mm. long x 1.5 mm. broad at widest part	2-20 cm. long x 1.1-1.5-2 mm. broad at widest part	2-20-46.4 cm. long x 1-2-2.25 mm. broad	30-60 mm. long x 1 mm. broad	6.6-60 cm. long x 1.2-2.25 mm. broad at widest part
Neck	1.7-2 mm. long x 0.3-0.39 mm. broad	3-10 mm. long x 0.17-0.5 mm. broad	3.2 mm. long x 0.3 mm. broad	....	2-3 mm. long x 0.2-0.3 mm. broad
First proglottids	0.085 mm. long x 0.425 mm. broad	Usually broader than long, 0.085-0.102 mm. long x 0.255-0.34 mm. broad	0.43 mm. long x 0.71 mm. broad	....	Much broader than long
Mature proglottids	Always broader than long, 0.476 mm. long x 0.816 mm. broad	Broader than long, 0.255-0.34 mm. long x 0.935-1.19-1.3 mm. broad	Measurements at end of first third of worm, 0.53 mm. long x 0.99 mm. broad	Longer than broad	Broader than long
Ripe proglottids	Usually broader than long, 0.68-0.85 mm. long x 1.309-1.51 mm. broad	Broader than long, 0.42-0.85 mm. long x 1.1-1.7 mm. broad	At posterior end of worm, 1.03 mm. long x 0.83 mm. broad	....	0.29-1 mm long x 1.3-2.5 mm. broad
Head	Flattened anteriorly, and dorsoventrally, 0.291-0.316 mm. broad, 0.1 mm. long	Short, broad, flattened dorsoventrally. 0.192-0.357 mm. broad, 0.17-0.238 mm. thick	0.43 mm. broad	....	Large, swollen, flattened dorsoventrally. 0.48-0.6 mm. broad, 0.3 mm. thick
Suckers (the four)	Almost globular, heavily muscled, deep. Diameter 0.064-0.09 mm.	Muscular, deep. Diameter 0.085-0.1-0.137 mm.	Circular in outline. Diameter 0.12-0.14-0.18-0.19 mm.	....	Circular, deep. Diameter 0.18-0.2 mm
Fifth sucker	Diameter 0.024 mm.	Diameter 0.033-0.06 mm.	Half the size of the others	Not present	No trace of fifth sucker found in sections of head
Genital pore, location on margin of proglottid	Near middle	Near middle	At end of first fourth	At end of first two-fifths to three-fifths	Near middle
Cirrus-pouch, size	Slender, 0.185-0.228 mm. long	0.34-0.47 mm. long	Short, 0.11 mm. broad	Long	0.255 mm. long x 0.085 mm. broad
Cirrus-pouch, length into breadth of segment	4½-5-6-7 times	2½-3 times	4-5 times	About 2 times	4-6 times

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species (Continued)

Characters	*Proteocephalus cernuae* (Gmelin)	*P. percae* (O. F. Müller)	*P. longicollis* (Zeder)	*P. salmonis-umblae* (Monticelli)	*P. torulosus* (Batsch)
Ductus ejaculatorius	In 1-3 coils	Straight	....	....	Few or no coils
Testes, number	70	50-60	25 (Probably more)	Numerous	100-110
Testes, size	Diameter 0.085-0.13 mm.	0.05-0.095 x 0.05-0.07 mm.	Diameter 0.09 mm.	....	Diameter 0.16-0.08 mm.
Testes, arrangement*	In 1 layer	In 1 layer	In 2 lateral fields(?). In 2 layers	No free mid-field. Layers(?)	In 2 layers, one filling whole dorsal field
Vagina, relation of, to cirrus-pouch	Anterior, never crosses cirrus-pouch	Anterior, crosses cirrus-pouch near middle	Anterior	....	Dorsal or anterior, not crossing pouch
Uterus, pouches on either side	6-8-9-12	4-5-9	3	6	3-4
Embryo, size	Diameter 0.0212-0.0265 mm.	Diameter 0.019 mm.	Unripe eggs measure 0.0156-0.0196 mm. in diameter	....	Diameter 0.021 mm.
Eggs, number and size of membranes	Three membranes. Second one 0.037-0.04 mm. in diameter	Three membranes. Second one 0.0264-0.029 mm., outer one 0.031-0.037 mm. in diameter	....	....	Three membranes. Outer one 0.055 mm., middle one 0.033 mm. in diameter
Additional data	Vitellaria usually farther removed from margin of proglottid than is common for species of this genus				Septa of uterus fleshy, appearing branched when sectioned
Hosts	*Acerina cernua*	*Perca fluviatilis, perca norvegica, Coregonus lavaretus*	Salmonoid fishes, see list in description of species	*Salmo salvelinus*	Cyprinidae. See list given in species description
Locality	Prussia; Lake Balaton(?)	Germany; Finland; Sweden	Germany; Finland; Switzerland	Switzerland; Germany	France; Germany; Finland; Switzerland; Austro-Hungary
Chief sources of data	The present paper	Schneider (1902; 1903; 1905), the present paper	Von Linstow (1891)	Zschokke (1884), Lühe (1909)	Krämer (1892), the present paper

*Testes are characteristically in a single field between vitellaria.

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species (Continued)

Characters	*P. macrocephalus* (Creplin)	*P. ambloplitis* (Leidy)	*P. perplexus* La Rue	*P. singularis* La Rue
Strobila	40 cm. long x 1-1.8 mm. broad at widest part	28-41 cm. long x 2-2.5 mm. broad at widest part	15.5 cm. long x 1.7 mm. broad at broadest part	17-25 (?) cm. long x 0.9-1 mm. broad at widest part.
Neck	5-7 mm. long x 0.1-0.25 mm. broad	Short, 0.25-0.45 mm. broad	0.5 mm. long. Broad and thick, almost as broad as head	2-3 mm. long x 0.1-0.2 mm. broad
First proglottids	0.022 mm. long x 0.33 mm. broad	0.022-0.028 mm. long x 0.344 mm. broad	Much broader than long	0.117-0.02 mm. long x 0.255 mm. broad
Mature proglottids	0.4-0.63 mm. long x 1-1.2 mm. broad	Usually broader than long. 0.5-1-2 mm. long x 1.5-2-2.5 mm. broad	0.595 mm. long x 1.7 mm. broad	0.34-0.37 mm. long x 0.85 mm. broad
Ripe proglottids	Length may exceed breadth. 0.9-2.08 mm. long x 0.49-1.2 mm. broad	About same size as mature proglottids	Quadrate or longer than broad. 1.1 mm. long x 1.02 mm. broad to 1.75 mm. long x 0.51 mm. broad	Quadrate or longer than broad. 0.85 mm. square, 0.51 mm. long x 0.86 mm. broad, 0.9-1.0 mm. long x 0.68 mm. broad. Old spent proglottids as much as 2.0 mm. long x 0.4 mm. broad
Head	Globose, flattened dorsoventrally. 0.28-0.3-0.32 mm. broad, 0.15-0.16 mm. thick	Somewhat pyramidal or globose, deeply grooved, 0.57-0.88 mm. broad	Spheroidal, flattened dorsoventrally, deeply grooved. 0.663-0.714 mm. broad, 0.425-0.51 mm. long	Small, deeply grooved; at apex a slender protuberance, not a rostellum. 0.25-0.3 mm. broad, 0.2-0.22 mm. long
Suckers (the four)	Almost spherical. Diameter 0.095-0.106 mm. Aperture 0.05-0.06 mm.	Circular or oval, deep. 0.3-0.4 mm. long	Circular or oval, deep. 0.34-0.459 mm. long x 0.255-0.272 mm. broad	Shape variable, always with pointed apex, weakly muscled. 0.13-0.17 mm. long x 0.17-0.19 mm. broad
Fifth sucker	Vestigial, deeply set in tissues of head	Vestigial, deeply set in tissues of head	No trace of fifth sucker found in sections of head	No trace of fifth sucker found in sections of head
Genital pore, location on margin of proglottid	Near middle	At end of first fourth	At end of first fourth or half	At end of first fourth or half
Cirrus-pouch, size	0.16 mm. long	0.4-0.5-0.65 mm. long x 0.15-0.23 mm. broad	0.3-0.344 mm. long. Elongated oval in shape	Slender, 0.185-0.2-0.265 mm. long
Cirrus-pouch, length into breadth of segment	6-8 times	2½-3½ times	3-4-5 times	2½-3 times

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species (Continued)

Characters	*P. macrocephalus* (Creplin)	*P. ambloplitis* (Leidy)	*P. perplexus* La Rue	*P. singularis* La Rue
Ductus ejaculatorius	In 1 or 2 coils	In many coils	In 1-3 coils	In few or no coils
Testes, number	100-120	75-100.	135-155	75-80-90
Testes, size	0.063-0.074 mm. x 0.042-0.063 mm.	Diameter 0.05-0.65 mm.	0.069 x 0.037 mm.	0.07-0.1 x 0.04-0.06 mm.
Testes, arrangement*	In a single layer	In 1 or 2 irregular layers	In 1 layer	In 1 layer
Vagina, relation of, to cirrus-pouch	Anterior, opening almost dorsal to that of cirrus-pouch	Anterior	Anterior, not crossing cirrus-pouch	Anterior, not crossing cirrus-pouch
Uterus, pouches on either side	7-11-14	15-20	20-25	20-25
Embryo, size	Spheroidal or ovoidal. Diameter 0.017-0.019-0.021 mm.	Diameter 0.0168-0.018 mm.	Usually ellipsoidal. 0.013-0.014 x 0.014-0.0156 mm.	Diameter 0.014-0.0156-0.0168 mm.
Eggs, number and size of membranes	Three membranes. Middle one 0.026-0.036 mm. in diameter	Three membranes. Outer one 0.036-0.043, middle one 0.022-0.024 mm. in diameter	Three membranes. Outer one 0.024-0.036 mm., middle one 0.019-0.03 mm. in diameter	Three membranes. Outer one 0.027-0.033 mm., middle one 0.026-0.031 mm. in diameter
Additional data	Remarkable for its very short cirrus-pouch	Sphincter vaginae very long and very thick. All vitelline follicles lateral	Sphincter vaginae short, thick, situate at end of long dilatation. Some vitelline follicles along posterior margin of proglottid	Sphincter vaginae weak. situate about 0.1 mm. from genital sinus, followed by dilation. Vitellaria lateral. Excretory vessels very sinuous
Hosts	*Anguilla vulgaris, A. chrysypa*	*Ambloplites rupestris, Micropterus salmoides, M. dolomieu, Amia calva*	*Amia calva. Lepisosteus platostomus*	*Lepisosteus platostomus*
Locality	Europe; Eastern United States	New York; Michigan; Minnesota	Illinois	Illinois
Chief sources of data	Schneider (1905), the present paper	Leidy (1887), Benedict (1909), the present paper	The present paper	The present paper

*Testes are characteristically in a single field between vitellaria.

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species (Continued)

Characters	*Proteocephalus sulcatus* (Klaptocz)	*P. pentastoma* (Klaptocz)	*P. fossatus* (Riggenbach)	*P. skorikowi* (v. Linstow)
Strobila	6.8 cm. long x 1.5-2 mm. broad in widest part	2.8 cm. long x 1.19 mm. broad at widest part	35-40 mm. long, breadth unknown	20 cm. long x 3.75 mm. broad at widest part
Neck	No neck	No neck. First proglottids appear 0.06 mm. back of sucker margins	Broad, 0.85 mm. long	....
First proglottids	Broader than long. Almost as broad as head	Much broader than long	0.135 mm. long x 0.612 mm. broad	Very short, 1.14 mm. broad
Mature proglottids	Quadrate or longer than broad. Some segments are ripe before becoming quadrate	Mid-proglottids 0.21 mm. long x 1.19 mm. broad	Quadrate	0.95 mm. long x 3.75 mm. broad. (Measured at middle of worm. Not stated that proglottids are mature here.)
Ripe proglottids	Largest proglottid 2 mm. long x 1.5 mm. broad	Sixth from last proglottid 0.21 mm. long x 0.59 mm. broad. Next to last 0.31 mm. long x 0.41 mm. broad	Longer than broad	Proglottids at posterior end of worm measure 1.97 mm. long x 3.16 mm. broad
Head	Variable in shape, deeply furrowed. 0.46-1.75 mm. broad x 0.67-1.28 mm. thick, depending on state of contraction	Oval in transection, deeply furrowed. 0.973 mm. broad x 0.83 mm. thick	Conical with depression at apex. Head 0.714 mm. broad	Short, 0.67 mm. broad
Suckers (the four)	Rounded, deep, 0.25 mm. in diameter	Oval or round in outline. 0.5 mm. in diameter	Diameter 0.34 mm.	Diameter, 0.25 mm.
Fifth sucker	No fifth sucker	A true fifth sucker, 0.085-0.1 mm. in diameter	No fifth sucker	Present, 0.13 mm. in diameter
Genital pore, location on margin of proglottid	At end of first third	Near middle	A little anterior to middle	Posterior to middle
Cirrus-pouch, size	0.2-0.25 mm. long. (Measured on drawing made to scale.)	....	Elongated oval in shape, 0.3 mm. long	....
Cirrus-pouch, length into breadth of segment	4 times	....	3 times	5-6 times

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species (Continued)

Characters	*Proteocephalus sulcatus* (Klaptocz)	*P. pentastoma* (Klaptocz)	*P. fossatus* (Riggenbach)	*P. skorikowi* (v. Linstow)
Ductus ejaculatorius	In several coils	....	In a few coils	....
Testes, number	200	70-100	120-150	Numerous, judging from drawing about 70-100
Testes, size	....	....	Diameter 0.05 mm.	Diameter 0.053-0.083 mm.
Testes, arrangement*	Number of layers?	Number of layers? Tend to form two lateral fields	Number of layers? Irregularly scattered over dorsal field	Number of layers? Irregularly scattered over dorsal field
Vagina, relation of, to cirrus pouch	Posterior	Posterior	Anterior	Anterior
Uterus, pouches on either side	10-12 (drawing)	Immature	Many	6-8
Embryo, size	....	....	....	0.021-0.023 mm. in diameter
Eggs, number and size of membranes	Number of membranes? Eggs measure 0.016 mm. in diameter, 0.029 mm. long	....	....	Two membranes, outer one 0.027 mm. in diameter
Additional data	Cirrus, when protruded, long and slender, thicker at base. On atrial side the vitellaria are pierced by genital canals so that small follicles lie dorsal and ventral to these ducts Series of genital pores on right alternating with smaller series on left side	....	Small genital eminence present	Vagina forms many coils anterior to the ovary. Ovary consists of two groups of slender club-shaped bags. Cirrus 0.141 mm. long
Hosts	*Polypterus endlicheri, Clarotes laticeps*	*Polypterus bichir*	*Pimelodus pati*	*Acipenser stellatus*
Locality	White Nile	White Nile	Rio Paraguay, S. A.	River Gürgen, Caspian Sea
Chief sources of data	Klaptocz (1906)	Klaptocz (1906)	Riggenbach (1896)	von Linstow (1904)

*Testes are characteristically in a single field between vitellaria.

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species (Continued)

Characters	*P. cyclops* (v. Linstow)	*P. salvelini* (Linton)	*P. sagittus* (Grimm)	*P. osculatus* (*Goeze*)
Strobila	2.5 cm. long x 0.27 mm. broad	3-5 mm. long. Breadth unknown	4.5 cm. long x 1 mm. broad at widest part	50-100 cm. long x 3 mm. broad
Neck	....	....	12 mm. long x 0.5 mm. broad. (Probably many small segments were overlooked.)	....
First proglottids	....	Indistinct, broader than long.	About quadrate	....
Mature proglottids	....	Largest segments 1.5 mm. long x 0.75 mm. broad	Longer than broad	About quadrate
Ripe proglottids	....	....	Last 8 segments 1.4-1.5 mm. long x 1 mm. broad x 0.3 mm. thick	About quadrate
Head	End of head rounded. Size not stated	Rounded in front, 0.3-0.4 mm. in diameter, varying with state of contraction	Thick, pointed at apex	....
Suckers (the four)	0.15 mm. long x 0.1 mm. broad	Prominent, rather deep, 0.15-0.18 mm. in diameter. Aperture 0.1 mm. in diameter	Heavily muscled, elongated	....
Fifth sucker	Present, 0.069 mm. in diameter	Not present	Not present	Small fifth sucker provided with minute hooks
Genital pore, location on margin of proglottid	....	A little anterior to middle	Near middle	At middle
Cirrus-pouch, size	....	0.26 mm. long x 0.14 mm. broad	Large and club-shaped. Cirrus quite thick	Short, scarcely reaching through the vitellaria
Cirrus-pouch, length into breadth of segment	....	....	....	5-6 times

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Proteocephalus Species (Continued)

Characters	*P. cyclops* (v. Linstow)	*P. salvelini* (Linton)	*P. sagittus* (Grimm)	*P. osculatus* (Goeze)
Ductus ejaculatorius	....	....	....	....
Testes, number	....	....	....	Very numerous, about 140 in drawing
Testes, size	....	Prominent	....	....
Testes, arrangement*	....	Situated in central region in front of rudimentary germ gland	....	Number of layers? In dorsal field
Vagina, relation of, to cirrus pouch	....	....	....	....
Uterus, pouches on either side	....	....	....	6-8
Embryo, size	....	....	....	....
Eggs, number and size of membranes	....	....	....	....
Additional data	Immature. Suckers pointed at posterior end	Immature		Vagina without a sphincter
Hosts	*Coregonus maraena*	*Cristivomer namaycush*	*Cobitis barbatula*	*Silurus glanis*
Locality	Schallsee, Germany	Lake Superior	Petersburg and Province of Novgorod, Russia	Germany
Chief sources of data	von Linstow (1877)	Linton (1897)	Grimm (1872)	Goeze (1782) Lühe (1909)

*Testes are characteristically in a single field between vitellaria.

OPHIOTAENIA PERSPICUA La Rue

[Figs. 10, 102]

1911: *Ophiotaenia perspicua* *La Rue* 1911:480

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of the genus. Length up to 36.0 cm. Maximum breadth about 2.0 mm. Head conical, flattened, divided by grooves into four quadrants. Breadth of head 0.355-0.408 mm., thickness of head as much as 0.306 mm., length about 0.270 mm. Suckers four, circular, oval or at times somewhat triangular, 0.105-0.170 mm. in maximum dimension. Cavity of sucker 0.053-0.106 mm. in diameter. Neck long, 5-7 mm. in length by 0.170-0.425 mm. broad. First proglottids short. Mature proglottids quadrate, 2.0 mm. in length and breadth, or somewhat longer than broad. Ripe proglottids measure as much as 3.8 mm. long by 1.2 mm. broad. Segmentation indistinct. Proglottids attached by full width. Surface smooth. In life and when preserved in formol specimens somewhat translucent.

Genital pore marginal, irregularly alternating, situated near middle or at end of first third of proglottid. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch. Testes 150-215, oval or polygonal, measuring up to 0.053-0.106 mm., situated in two fields. Vas deferens in ripe proglottids a heavy mass of coils reaching from end of cirrus-pouch to the mid-field. Cirrus-pouch 0.255-0.320 mm. long by 0.080-0.090 mm. broad. Ratio of length of cirrus-pouch to proglottid breadth 1:4 to 1:3. Cirrus slender, about 0.20 mm. long when protruded. Vagina dilated in first part, not crossing cirrus-pouch. Sphincter vaginae and receptaculum seminis present. Lobes of ovary long, flattened, irregular in outline, made up of anastomosing tubules. Oöcapt and oötype present. Vitellaria loose. Uterus when ripe with 20-30 lateral pouches on either side. Uterine pores not seen. Eggs provided with three membranes, outer one 0.045-0.100 mm. in diameter. Embryos 0.018-0.021 mm.

Habitat: *Natrix (Nerodia) rhombifer* Hallowell, (type host); Havana, Illinois, (type locality).

Type: Unaccessioned bottles in coll. La Rue, also bottle in Dr. H. B. Ward's collection. Slides of same.

The host, a very large female, was caught on the banks of the Illinois river at Havana, Illinois, and was examined for parasites July 9, 1910. From the intestine 57 cestodes and pieces were taken. Of these 42 pieces had heads attached. No strobilas were found with an end-proglottid. There were, however, a number of specimens with ripe proglottids. Because of their translucent appearance in life and when preserved in formol the writer (1911) has proposed the specific name *Ophiotaenia perspicua.* Other specimens of this species were furnished the writer by Mr. Herman Douthitt from *Natrix (Nerodia) rhombifer* taken in Oklahoma, June, 1910.

La Rue (1911:480) described this species in a preliminary way and made it the type of his new genus Ophiotaenia.

Two heads of the lot from Oklahoma measured respectively 0.374 mm. and 0.340 mm. broad by 0.27 mm. thick. The suckers measured 0.119 mm. long by 0.102 mm. broad. The neck was 0.272 mm. broad and several millimeters long. The longest worm was 135 mm. long by a maximum breadth of 1.19 mm. Specimens were mounted in toto and from them a positive determination was made. The longest specimen of the preserved type material measured 36.0 cm. in length by a maximum breadth of 2.0 mm. The neck is long, slightly broader than the head and not easily distinguished from the segmented portion following. The first proglottids are very short. About 16.0 cm. from the head the proglottids are quadrate, length and breadth being about 2.0 mm. These proglottids are mature. At 26.0 cm. from the head the proglottids begin to increase in length and to decrease in breadth. The second from the last proglottid measures 3.8 mm. long by 1.2 mm. broad. These proglottids are ripe. An examination of other specimens of the lot shows a considerable variation in dimensions. Proglottids are attached by their full width. The margins of the strobila are smooth, almost without indentations at the junction of the proglottids. As a consequence the segmentation is indistinct so that without staining and clearing the proglottid limits are made out with difficulty even with a microscope. The proglottids are rectangular in shape, rarely oval. The surface of the worm is sometimes thrown into shallow longitudinal furrows. No transverse furrows are noted. In life and when preserved in formol the specimens are translucent from which character is derived the specific name.

The head is somewhat conical in shape, slightly flattened dorsoventrally and marked off into four quadrants by grooves which extend from the basal region to, or nearly to, the apex. Each quadrant bears at its thickest and broadest region a sucker which opens outward and slightly forward. The apex does not exhibit a circular depression or a fifth sucker. The head varies in breadth from 0.255 mm. to 0.408 mm. This dimension slightly exceeds the thickness and length. A head 0.357 broad measured 0.306 mm. in thickness, while a head 0.408 mm. broad was about 0.270 mm. long.

The suckers show also considerable variation in size and shape. In general they are nearly round or oval in outline with shallow cavities.

However some of them appear nearly triangular. They measure 0.105-0.170 mm. in diameter. In the smaller ones the effect of contraction is very plainly shown by the deeper cavity and by the thickened muscular wall. The diameter of the sucker opening varies from 0.053 to 0.105 mm. A vestigial fifth sucker resembling that of *O. filaroides* is found imbedded in the tissues of the head. The neck is always long, 5 to 7 mm., and its breadth is subject to considerable variation, from 0.170 to 0.425 mm.

Since this study is based upon toto preparations almost exclusively, the excretory, nervous and muscular systems were not studied carefully. The excretory system is made up of coiling trunks and anastomosing branches in the head and extreme anterior neck region. In the proglottids two pairs of main lateral excretory vessels can be made out.

The sexual organs (Fig. 102) in their character and relations are typical of the snake Proteocephalids. The genital sinus is marginal, irregularly alternating and situated near the middle, or it may be as far anterior as the end of the first ⅓ of the proglottid. It is marked by a slight elevation which becomes more pronounced in the more elongated proglottids. The vagina and cirrus open into the common shallow genital sinus. The vagina lies either anterior or posterior to the cirrus-pouch but more frequently anterior. Rarely the vagina is dorsal to the cirrus-sheath.

The testes are very numerous, 150-215. They are arranged in two fields, leaving a free median zone. In elongated mature and ripe proglottids the testes are oval or even polygonal. They measure as much as 0.053 by 0.106 mm. It is to be noted that the testes tend to occur in groups of 2, 3 or 4. In these cases the limiting membranes of the testes touch each other. The vasa efferentia may be clearly seen in some toto preparations. They much resemble the vasa efferentia in other species. The vas deferens in young proglottids is nearly straight. It arises in the middle of the proglottid and extends to the cirrus-pouch which it enters. As the proglottid becomes older the vas deferens becomes longer and more and more coiled until in mature and ripe proglottids it forms a heavy mass of coils lying between the cirrus-pouch and the middle of the segment. When filled with deeply stained spermatozoa this mass becomes very prominent. Upon entering the cirrus-pouch the vas deferens becomes the ductus ejaculatorius, which is coiled several times before passing over into the heavier-walled cirrus. The cirrus is somewhat swollen but is much smaller than in *Crepidobothrium gerrardii, O. calmettei,* or *O. grandis.* When protruded it is slender and of even diameter from tip to base. Its length protruded is about 0.200 mm. This measurement is taken from a specimen from Oklahoma. The cirrus-pouch is more slender than in several other species of Ophiotaenia. In mature and ripe proglottids it measures from 0.255-0.320 mm. long by 0.08-0.09 mm. broad. In maturing proglottids it measures about 0.25 mm. long and is considerably narrower than in ripe ones. Its length goes into the proglottid breadth 3-4 times. In mature and ripe proglottids the vagina very near its opening to the exterior has a wide but short inflated region. A sphincter vaginae has been found. In this region the vagina is richly set with gland cells. In its course to the interovarial space the vagina bends somewhat anteriad and then inward and posteriad, crossing the numerous coils of the vas deferens but not crossing the cirrus-pouch. Just before the ovary is reached the vagina is somewhat twisted or even thrown into small coils. A small receptaculum seminis can be seen in some preparations. The ovarian lobes are long flattened bodies of irregular outline. They are not solid but are made up of short heavy anastomosing tubes of irregular shape. Just back of the mid-piece of the ovary the muscular oöcapt can be noted even in toto mounts. The coils and connections of the oviduct, vitelline duct, uterine passage and lower vagina cannot be made out distinctly. An oötype and shell-gland have been seen in some preparations.

The vitellaria are loosely follicular and lateral. The paired vitelline ducts cross the ovarian lobes. The uterus in maturing proglottids is a median tube from which as the proglottid becomes older lateral pouches arise by the method that has been described for *O. filaroides* by La Rue (1909). There are 20-30 large pouches on either side and a number of smaller ones. No uterine pores have been seen. In one toto preparation the uterine passage may be traced to a point 0.29 mm. anterior to the mid-piece of the ovary where it discharges into the uterus.

The eggs are furnished with three membranes, an outer one which is smooth, thin and hyaline, a middle membrane thick and granular, and an inner thin membrane surrounding the embryo. The outer spheroidal membrane measures 0.045-0.06-0.100 mm. and the embryos are 0.018-0.021 mm. in diameter. Plerocercoids were found encysted in the intestine and liver of the host caught at Havana, Illinois.

This species is much smaller than *Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), *O. marenzelleri* (Barrois), *O. calmettei* (Barrois) and *O. trimeresuri* (Parona) in most respects tho it may exceed some of these in length. It most closely resembles *O. nattereri* (Parona), likewise from one of the Colubrinae. It has a larger head and larger proglottids and a greater number of testes than *O. nattereri*. *O. perspicua* is much larger than *O. filaroides* La Rue from *Amblystoma tigrinum* Green. Its head is smaller, its testes are more numerous and the position of its genital pore is very different from that of *O. filaroides. O. perspicua* differs from *O. lönnbergii* (Fuhrmann) from *Necturus maculosus* Raf. in having a smaller head and smaller suckers and more numerous testes.

OPHIOTAENIA LACTEA (Leidy) Sp. Inq.

1855:	*Taenia lactea*	Leidy	1855:443
1911:	*Ophiotaenia lactea*	La Rue	1911:481

On account of the inaccessibility of Leidy's description, it is here quoted in full:

> "*Taenia lactea* Leidy.—Head small, continuous with the neck, without rostellum; acetabula anterior, hemispherical, situated at the four angles. Neck moderately long. Segments anteriorly transversely oblong, posteriorly longer than the breadth, square with rounded angles. Generative apertures marginal (indistinct in the specimen.)
>
> "HAB.—One specimen 16 inches long and ⅔ of a line wide was found in the intestine of *Tropidonotus sipedon.* In alcohol the specimen contracted one-half the original length and widened to 1 line."

La Rue (1911:481) made this a species inquirenda in his newly established genus Ophiotaenia. This is probably a species of Ophiotaenia and may be the same as the species reported by the writer from *Natrix rhombifer.* Unfortunately the writer has had as yet no opportunity to make a study of any Ophiotaenia from *Natrix sipedon* and hence is unable to form an opinion of the likelihood that the two species of host harbor the same parasites. Leidy's description is too inadequate to permit a careful determination of the position of his form and since his specimens could not be had by the writer for study his species is considered to be a species inquirenda. Further research may indicate its proper position.

OPHIOTAENIA FILAROIDES La Rue

[Figs. 26-28, 43-46, 103-105]

1909:	*Proteocephalus filaroides*	La Rue	1909:17-49
1911:	*Ophiotaenia filaroides*	La Rue	1911:481

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Worms attenuate, small, thin, flat. Length 80-110 mm., maximum breadth about 0.80-0.90 mm. Color white, in life somewhat translucent. Strobilation not evident. Intersegmental furrows shallow. Surface smooth. Scolex globose, flattened dorsoventrally, with conical apex, without apical depression or fifth sucker. No rostellum. No spines. Head not marked by furrows. Breadth of head 0.366-0.46 mm. Suckers deep, muscular, oval in outline, maximum dimension 0.165-0.184 mm. Neck narrow, 3-4 mm. long. First proglottids 0.30-0.36 mm. broad by 0.10-0.17 mm. long. Mature proglottids quadrate or longer than broad. Ripe proglottids from 1.6 mm. long by 0.8 mm. broad to 4.0 mm. long by 0.75 mm. broad. End-proglottid present. Musculature weak. Parenchyma coarse, filled with large fat globules.

Genital organs typical of genus. No genital papilla. Genital pore marginal, irregularly alternating, situated at end of first fifth of proglottid. Testes 0.05-0.06 mm. in diameter, 70-114 in number, arranged in two lateral fields. Ductus ejaculatorius with a few coils in cirrus-pouch. Cirrus weakly muscled, slender, cylindrical, 0.2-0.3 mm. long when protruded. Cirrus-pouch about 0.22 mm. long by 0.11 mm. broad. Vagina always anterior to cirrus-pouch, not crossing latter. Weak sphincter vaginae and small receptaculum seminis present. Ovarian lobes thin, alate, composed of anastomosing tubules. Organs of interovarial space typical of genus. Vitellaria with large follicles. Uterus, when developed, with 25-35 lateral pouches on either side. Uterine pores 8-12. Eggs with three membranes. Outer one 0.035-0.100 mm., second, 0.030 mm., embryo 0.021 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: Intestine and rectum of *Amblystoma tigrinum* (Green) [type host], Nebraska (type locality) and Kansas.

Type: Unnumbered alcoholics in collection of La Rue and slides of same. Autotype in collection of Dr. H. B. Ward.

This species was first described by La Rue (1909:17-49) as *Proteocephalus filaroides*. So far as the writer has been able to find out no one else has worked on the species. La Rue (1911:481) included this species in a list of species of Ophiotaenia.

This material was obtained from *Amblystoma tigrinum* (Green) caught in ponds in Nebraska, both at Crete and in Cherry County, also in a pond at Belleville, Kansas. The specimens were taken during the summers of 1907 and 1908. The data presented here are for the most part extracted from the former article (La Rue, 1909) but the data regarding the character of the fifth sucker are new.

These cestodes are attenuate, thin and flat. In life they are white, at times somewhat translucent. The chain presents no evident strobilation, for the proglottids are closely joined by their full breadth and the intersegmental furrows are shallow. There are no longitudinal or transverse furrows in preserved specimens. The strobila measures 80-110 mm. in length by a maximum breadth of 0.80-0.90 mm. The scolex (Fig. 26) is globose, flattened somewhat dorsoventrally, and possessing a smooth conical apex in which there is no depression nor fifth sucker. There is no rostellum and no spines. The surface of the head is usually smooth and but rarely marked by furrows. The head measures 0.366-0.40 mm. broad. Four oval suckers are borne at the broadest part of the head. They measure 0.165-0.184 mm. in the maximum dimension. The suckers have deep cavities and their musculature is well developed.

As in many other species of this group which possess no functional fifth sucker there is in the tissue below the surface of the apex of the head a structure which the writer formerly called an endorgan. This structure appears as a small mass of tissue surrounded by a definite membrane. The mass of tissue contains a few nuclei and a few scattered fibers which may be muscle fibers. In the adult this structure is about 0.063 mm. long by 0.034 mm. broad. It has no opening to the exterior. Two histological drawings of the adult organ are to be found in a previous paper (La Rue 1909, Figs. 13 and 17). In the plerocercus this structure is much larger than in the adult. This fact was pointed out in the author's paper of 1909 and figures were given to show the difference in size. These figures have been reproduced (Figs. 27, 28). If sections through the head of plerocercoids of this species be examined one notes that the endorgan has many points in common with the functional fifth sucker. There is a sucker cavity communicating with the exterior, a basement membrane, muscle fibers (sub-cuticular and radial). The musculature about the organ is also like that about other suckers. These histological features are shown in drawings which are reproduced (Figs. 43-46). This structure is, however, undergoing a marked modification. The sucker cavity is completely or partially filled with a granular mass of apparently the same texture as that which makes up the greater bulk of the sucker itself. The radial muscles are no longer arranged in such beautiful order as in other suckers but they seem to be twisted and pressed out of the regular position and spread far apart by the granular mass. Radial muscle fibers showing the most typical arrangement are to be seen in figure 45. Nuclei are plainly seen and these are figured as the larger oval black spots. The drawing of the endorgan (Fig. 43) is made from a head cut in a frontal or sagittal plane. It shows the opening to the exterior, the basement membrane and the cuticular lining of a part of this opening. It also shows the cut ends of the muscle fibers next to the basement membrane. Some of these same features may be well seen in other drawings (Figs. 45 and 46) which are drawn from transverse sections of other heads.

It is evident from the structures here described and the drawings which illustrate them that this organ is in reality a sucker. It is a sucker which evidently comes to a certain state of development in which as pointed out by the writer in his former paper on this species (La Rue 1909:25), it is larger than the other suckers. The relative sizes of this organ are shown in drawings reproduced (Figs. 27, 28) from the former paper. This enlargement is due to a hypertrophy characterized by the presence of granules. Altho the stages succeeding this hypertrophy have not been followed out it is plain that the hypertrophy is succeeded by an atrophy of the tissues. Since the granules are not present in the adult organ they must disappear either as a result of streaming out of the sucker opening before that is closed or they may be absorbed by the organism. The sucker opening and the sucker cavity and all traces of the cuticula, outer basement membrane, and muscles about the sucker cavity are obliterated by the time this organ is found in the adult cestode head. Thus the sucker loses all connection with the exterior. It retains its limiting basement membrane, some of its nuclei and perhaps a few scattered muscle fibers. The conclusion is then that this endorgan, or muscle-plug as Johnston (1909 et seq.) has called it, is a vestigial fifth sucker. That the writer was dealing with the plerocercoids of this species is attested by the facts brought out by his feeding experiments (La Rue 1909) in which he fed plerocercoids taken from the flesh of Amblystoma to other uninfected Amblystoma and the latter became heavily infected with the cestode *Ophiotaenia filaroides.*

The narrow neck is 3-4 mm. long. This is followed by a region of short proglottids which gradually become longer and broader. The increase in length is more rapid than the increase in breadth hence the proglottids change progressively from broader than long to quadrate and then to longer than broad. The youngest proglottids measure 0.30-0.36 mm. broad by 0.10-0.17 mm. long. Ripe proglottids measure 1.6 mm. long by 0.8 mm. broad and in some cases as much as 4.0 mm. long by 0.75 mm. broad. An end-proglottid with a rounded posterior end may be present, but it is lost with the first ripe proglottids.

The musculature of the body is weakly developed. This is particularly true of the longitudinal muscles which lie between the cortical and medullary layers of the body. In the head the excretory system is made up of coils of small anastomosing vessels which are connected with the two pairs of main lateral vessels extending through the length of the strobila. In the strobila both pairs of lateral vessels lie within the dermo-muscular sheath. The genital canals, cirrus-pouch and vagina, always pass between the dorsal and ventral excretory vessels. The latter are connected with the exterior by numerous branches which discharge on the dorsal or ventral surface more frequently than on the margin of the segment. Regularly situated foramina secundaria do not exist. A transverse excretory commissure uniting the ventral vessels in the posterior part of the proglottid has been observed. The meshes of the parenchyma are coarse, forming numerous large spaces. In material prepared by ordinary methods this tissue appears to be nothing more than abnormally loose parenchyma. When fresh material is stained with "Sudan III" or is treated with osmic acid these spaces are found to be filled with large fat globules. These tests have likewise been applied by the writer to pieces of *O. lönnbergii* with similar results. Fat globules have been found in the tissues of species of Proteocephalus but in this case the fat globules are small. Tests have also been made on *Taenia saginata* (?), *Taenia serrata*, and *Dipylidium caninum* and in each case fats have been found. Tests for fat have not been made on the cestodes of snakes but all the species examined show the loose parenchyma with large spaces which the fat globules once occupied.

The genital organs (Figs. 103, 104, 105) are typical of the genus. A genital papilla is not present. The genital pore is marginal, irregularly alternating, and situated near the end of the anterior fifth of the proglottid. Through the genital pore the small genital atrium is connected with the exterior. Into the genital atrium both cirrus and vagina open. The vagina always lies anterior to the cirrus-pouch. The testes (Fig. 105) measure about 0.05-0.06 mm. in diameter. They number about 70-114 and they are situated in two broad fields on either side of a free median zone. They occupy a position dorsal to the uterus. A much coiled vas deferens forms a mass which extends from the cirrus-pouch to the midfield of the proglottid. This mass of coils functions as a vesicula seminalis. Upon entering the cirrus-pouch the vas deferens becomes the ductus ejaculatorius which makes 1-3 coils before passing over into the straight and weakly muscled cirrus. When protruded the cirrus measures 0.2-0.3 mm. in length. It is slender and of almost uniform diameter from base to tip. The cirrus-pouch is weakly muscled. Its length is about 0.22 mm. and its breadth about 0.11 mm., being broadest at its inner end.

The vagina (Fig. 105) always lies anterior to the cirrus-pouch and does not cross the latter. There are no coils of vagina anterior to the interovarial space. A weak sphincter vaginae and a small receptaculum seminis are present. The lobes of the ovary are thin and somewhat alate in shape. They are made up of anastomosing tubules. The organs (Fig. 104) of the interovarial space are typical of the genus. Vitelline follicles are large and are arranged in the lateral fields as in the other members of the group. The uterus in mature proglottids is a median tube. From this tube there arise both lateral and ventral diverticula after the manner described by La Rue (1909:33-37). The lateral diverticula in fully ripe proglottids extend to the vitellaria which by this time have degenerated to a large extent. They number from 25 to 35 on either side. The ventral diverticula number about 8-12. These are short and usually pointed. In time they pierce the ventral body wall forming the uterine pores. The ventral body wall now gives way along this line of perforations thus causing a rift which extends from one end of the proglottid to the other. Through this rift the eggs are discharged. The eggs are covered with three membranes of the character usual to the group. The outer thin and hyaline membrane varies in diameter from 0.035 to 0.10 mm. This variation is due in part to the fact that when it comes in contact with the water the outer membrane swells up greatly. The second membrane is thick and granular, about 0.030 mm. in diameter. The inner membrane which closely invests the embryo is thin. The six-hooked embryo measures about 0.021 mm. in diameter. The larval form of this cestode is frequently found encysted in the abdominal viscera and body muscles of the host, *Amblystoma tigrinum.* They have also been found free in the body cavity of the same host. As yet they have not been found in an invertebrate host.

Altho this species shows marked similarities with some of the species of cestodes infesting snakes it most nearly resembles *Ophiotaenia lönnbergii* (Fuhrmann) which infests the amphibian, *Necturus maculosus* Raf. It differs from this species in size relations, in the character of the excretory vessels, and in the relations of the cirrus-pouch to the vagina. There are some minor points in which the two species from the amphibia differ from the species infesting snakes but as yet characters have not been found by which they can be separated from the genus Ophiotaenia. These two species certainly do not belong with the genus Proteocephalus.

OPHIOTAENIA LÖNNBERGII (Fuhrmann)

[Figs. 119, 189]

1895:	*Ichthyotaenia lönnbergii*	Fuhrmann	1895:218-226
1909:	*Proteocephalus lönnbergii*	La Rue	1909:43
1911:	*Ophiotaenia lönnbergii*	La Rue	1911:481

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of the genus. Length as much as 17-19 cm. Breadth as much as 1.35 mm. Strobilation usually indistinct. Intersegmental furrows shallow. Proglottids attached by full width. Scolex globose, flattened dorsoventrally, 0.50-0.60 mm. in breadth. No rostellum, no spines, no functional fifth sucker. Suckers prominent, muscular, oval or round, deep, measuring 0.24-0.26 mm. long by 0.14-0.22 mm. broad. Opening in oval suckers 0.20 by 0.10 mm. Neck 0.375-0.54 mm. in minimum breadth, length about 2.0 mm. First proglottids about 0.5 mm. long by 0.50 mm. broad. Mature proglottids quadrate, 0.85-1.0 mm. square or longer than broad, measuring as much as 2.5 mm. long by 0.45-0.5 mm. broad. Ripe proglottids not observed. Two pairs of main lateral excretory vessels situated at some distance from lateral margins of strobila. Vessels spiral in form. Transverse commissure present in posterior end of each proglottid. Many branches extending from dorsal and ventral vessels to exterior. In head many branches of anastomosing vessels.

Genital pore marginal, irregularly alternating, situated at end of first one-third or two-fifths of proglottid. Testes 90-160 in number, round or oval, 0.05-0.08-0.12 mm. in maximum dimension, situated in two lateral fields extending laterad of excretory vessels. Midzone of proglottid not entirely free of testes. Vas deferens forming a large mass of coils posterior to cirrus-pouch. Cirrus-pouch 0.185-0.280 mm. long by 0.05-0.085-0.10 mm. broad. Ductus ejaculatorius in several coils, frequently forming a small vesicula. Cirrus not muscular, when protruded cylindrical and 0.13-0.15 mm. long by 0.045-0.05 mm. thick. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch. Vaginal opening sometimes dorsal to latter, but vagina never crossing cirrus-pouch. A large dilatation in vagina of some proglottids. Sphincter vaginae and receptaculum seminis present. Ovary thin, flat, lobes wedge-shaped or alate. Organs of interovarial space typical of genus. Vitellaria sparse. Uterus in past mature proglottids possessing 25-40 lateral pouches. Eggs immature in specimens observed. Yolk-mass 0.010-0.012 mm. in diameter. Egg membranes not seen. Embryos not yet developed.

Habitat: In intestine of *Necturus maculosus* Raf. Fuhrmann does not state the locality of the host from which his type specimens came. The writer has frequently found the species in Necturus from Ohio and Indiana which had been brought to the laboratory for dissection. Fuhrmann's specimen was obtained from Prof. F. Zschokke who received it from Prof. R. Burckhardt.

Type: Prepared slides in collection of Fuhrmann.

Fuhrmann (1895:218-226) described and delineated this species, calling it *Ichthyotaenia lönnbergii.* Without attempting a description of the species it was referred to by La Rue (1909:43) as *Proteocephalus lönnbergii.* In a more recent paper La Rue (1911:481) pointed out that this was to be considered as a species of a new genus, Ophiotaenia.

This study is based on slides and alcoholics in the collection of La Rue. The material has been carefully compared with Fuhrmann's preparations of the type which Professor Ward very kindly secured for the writer's use. The material was thus found to be identical with Fuhrmann's. Reference will be made from time to time to the work of Fuhrmann (1895) from which certain data were secured.

This form is more robust than *O. filaroides.* It may reach a length of 17.0 cm. or more. Fuhrmann's specimen, an immature worm, measured 19.0 cm. The worm is thin and flat. Its breadth varies considerably. The maximum breadth in the writer's specimens was 1.275 mm. Fuhrmann's material had a slightly greater breadth. The strobilation is rarely distinct. The margins of the strobila are quite smooth. Infrequently the posterior part of the worm may show a distinct strobilation. The proglottids are attached by their full width. Transverse folds are rare but shallow longitudinal furrows are not uncommon. The scolex (Fig. 119) is somewhat globose and is flattened dorsoventrally. On it are four prominent suckers situated on its broadest part. In breadth the scolex varies from 0.50 to 0.60 mm. There is no rostellum, no spines and no functional fifth sucker. The suckers are round or oval in outline with deep cavities and a strong musculature. In length the suckers vary from 0.24 to 0.25 mm. and the breadth from 0.14 to 0.22 mm. The opening of the more oval suckers measures about 0.20 by 0.10 mm. Immediately behind the head the neck has a breadth of 0.375-0.54 mm. The first traces of segmentation occur about 2 mm. posterior to the head.

Young proglottids, according to Fuhrmann, measure 0.27 mm. in length. The first discernible proglottids in the writer's material are about 0.050 mm. long by 0.50 mm. broad. The length and breadth of the proglottids increase rapidly for a distance then the length increases while the breadth decreases. In this material the maximum breadth of 1.275 mm. was reached long before the proglottids were mature. Proglottids in this region are 1.275 mm. broad by 0.30-0.40 mm. long. Mature proglottids are about 0.85-1.0 mm. square, or they may be longer than broad. Some of the elongated proglottids measure 2.5 mm. long by 0.45-0.5 mm. broad. In Fuhrmann's specimens the last proglottids were 0.7 mm. long by 1.35 mm. broad. Neither Fuhrmann nor the writer has seen the ripe proglottids. All the hosts examined by the latter had been in captivity for some time and were examined in the spring months. It may be that the parasites attain the sexually ripe condition later in the season.

The excretory system (Fig. 189) is made up of two pairs of main lateral vessels, dorsal and ventral. These are situated much farther mesad than is usually the case with this group. They take a spiral path through the tissues. From them at frequent intervals small branches pass to the dorsal and ventral surfaces. A transverse excretory commissure is present in the posterior part of each segment. In the head the main excretory vessels are connected by means of many anastomosing branches. The parenchyma resembles that of *O. filaroides*. Chalkbodies of large size are abundant, especially in the head and neck. The musculature is weakly developed.

The genital pore alternates irregularly, is marginal, and is situated at the end of the first third or two-fifths of the proglottid. Cirrus-pouch and vagina pass to the exterior between the ventral and the dorsal excretory vessels. The male organs mature first. Testes number from 90 to 160, the number varying greatly in the proglottids of the same strobila. Elongated testes measure 0.05-0.08 and even as much as 0.120 mm. in maximum dimension. The testes (Fig. 189) lie in two broad fields which extend laterad and mesad of the excretory vessels. In many proglottids an occasional testis lies in the midfield. The testes are dorsal to the uterus. In mature proglottids the vas deferens forms a large mass of coils which function as a vesicula seminalis. This mass of coils is usually situated posterior to the cirrus-pouch. It lies almost in the mid-field of the segment. The cirrus-pouch is short and broad. Its length varies from 0.185 to 0.290 mm. and its breadth from 0.050 in the case of the long slender pouches to 0.085-0.100 mm. in the shorter and thicker pouches. The cirrus-sheath is broadest at the inner end. The ductus ejaculatorius forms several coils and then it passes over into the slender cirrus. In some proglottids the ductus is dilated to form a small vesicle. The cirrus is not muscular. When protruded it is cylindrical and about 0.13-0.15 mm. long by 0.045-0.050 mm. thick. There are no coils of ductus ejaculatorius in the base of the protruded cirrus.

The vagina lies anterior or posterior to the cirrus-pouch but its opening is usually dorsal to the latter. When the vagina occupies the position anterior to the cirrus-pouch it never crosses the latter but passes beyond it and then dips down below the coils of the vas deferens. In many mature proglottids the initial part of the vagina is greatly dilated and this dilatation extends for some distance down the length of the vagina. There are no coils of the vagina anterior to the ovary but it may lie in sinuous curves. A weak sphincter vaginae close to the external opening and a small receptaculum seminis near the mid-piece of the ovary are present. The ovary varies in shape with the state of contraction of the proglottid. It is always thin and flat and is made up of anastomosing tubules as in *O. filaroides.* In very broad but short proglottids the lobes of the ovary are long slender wedge-shaped structures the apices of which lie in the mid-field of the proglottid. In attenuated proglottids the lobes are much shortened and broader than in long proglottids, in which case the ovary may be distinctly alate in form. The organs of the interovarial space are arranged about as delineated for *O. filaroides* (Fig. 104). The vitellaria are lateral, composed of medium-sized sparse follicles. Since no ripe proglottids have been seen a well developed uterus cannot be described. In one proglottid in which a few eggs had been passed into the uterus there were from 25 to 40 lateral pouches on either side. No uterine pores have been observed. No eggs with developed embryos could be found. A few eggs which could be but poorly seen within the uterus of a toto preparation showed yolk-masses measuring 0.010-0.012 mm. in diameter. The egg membranes could not be measured. Fuhrmann saw no eggs.

This species tho most closely related to *O. filariodes* can be readily distinguished from the latter by its larger strobila, larger head, larger suckers and larger testes. The excretory vessels are spiral structures in *O. lönnbergii* but straight in *O. filaroides.* The ovarian lobes are also different in size and shape. *O. lönnbergii* differs from the Ophiotaenia parasitic in snakes by the position of its genital pore and by the less muscular character of its cirrus. Its ovary is also of a different shape. The position of the excretory ducts is much farther mesad in *O. lönnbergii* than in any species of Ophiotaenia at present known from snakes.

While further investigation may prove that the species of Proteocephalids infesting Amphibia are generically different from the species infesting snakes there is at present no justification in erecting a new genus for them. Their nearest allies are among the Ophiotaenia, hence the writer places them in that genus.

OPHIOTAENIA NATTERERI (Parona)

[Figs. 139, 194, 195]

1901:	*Ichthyotaenia nattereri*	Parona	1901:4-6
1908:	*Ichthyotaenia nattereri*	Schwarz	1908:17-19
1911:	*Ophiotaenia nattereri*	La Rue	1911:481

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Length 75-250 mm., maximum breadth not over 1 mm. Scolex unarmed, rounded but not spheroidal, not easily distinguished from the neck. Diameter of scolex 0.240-0.250 mm. Suckers four in number, circular, cavity deep, margin thick, diameter of suckers 0.120-0.150 mm. No rostellum and no fifth sucker. Neck long, 7-8 times the length of the head. First segments broader than long, more or less indistinct. Mature proglottids nearly quadrate. Ripe proglottids longer than broad, length of same up to 2.0 mm., breadth 0.33-0.50 mm. Last proglottids readily detached. Segmentation distinct.

Genital aperture irregularly alternating, situated somewhat anterior to middle of proglottid margin. Testes 80-100, 0.042 mm. in diameter, situated in two lateral fields. Vas deferens a thick mass of coils extending even past the middle of the proglottid. Ductus ejaculatorius much coiled. Protruded cirrus slender, heavy at base, about 0.20 mm. long. Cirrus-pouch about 0.265-0.280 mm. long, extending from $^1/_3$-$^2/_7$ across proglottid breadth. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch. Sphincter vaginae present. Uterus, when fully developed, possessing 15-20 lateral pouches on either side. Eggs provided with three membranes. Outer membrane covered with fine hooklets. Eggs 0.024 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: In intestine of Coluber sp. from Liguria, a district of Italy. The material was collected by Parona in December, 1897.

This species was first described by Parona (1901:4-6) but the description was not accompanied by drawings. Schwarz (1908:17-19) delineated and redescribed the species, using Parona's specimens. La Rue (1911:481) included this form in a list of species of Ophiotaenia. The data upon which this description is based were secured from the descriptions of Parona (1901) and Schwarz (1908), and from observations made upon slides prepared from type material which Professor H. B. Ward secured from Professor Parona.

This cestode is thin and slender. Its observed length varies from 75-250 mm. and its maximum breadth is not over 1 mm. The segmentation is distinct in the posterior region. The single proglottids are thin, and somewhat translucent. The first proglottids are broader than long and their boundaries between segments are not distinct. More mature proglottids are nearly quadrate while ripe proglottids are longer than broad. The length of ripe proglottids may be as much as 2.0 mm. and the breadth 0.33-0.50 mm. The last proglottids are easily detached from the chain. The head is small and not clearly set off from the neck. It is unarmed, rounded somewhat but not spherical; its diameter is 0.24-0.25 mm. It bears four circular suckers which have a deep cavity and a thick muscular wall. The diameter of the suckers varies from 0.120 to 0.150 mm. There is no rostellum and no fifth sucker. The neck is seven or eight times as long as the head. Cuticula, musculature, nervous system and excretory system are very similar in arrangement and character to the same systems in other species of the genus.

The early developing sex organs may be seen in quadrate proglottids. The genital aperture lies somewhat anterior to the middle of the margin of the segment and it irregularly alternates from right to left. Schwarz's figure of the ripe proglottid showing the main features of the reproductive systems is reproduced (Fig. 195). The testes lie in two fields between the vitellaria, thus leaving the median zone of the proglottid free of them. They number 80-100 and measure 0.042 mm. The vas deferens forms a thick heavy coil extending from the cirrus-pouch even past the middle of the proglottid. Within the cirrus-pouch there is a very complicated mass of coils of ductus ejaculatorius. This is much more highly developed than in any other known species of Ophiotaenia. The cirrus when protruded is heavy at the base, more slender at the tip and about 0.200-0.210 mm. long. In preparations where it was incompletely protruded it measured 0.108 mm. long. The cirrus-pouch is 0.265-0.280 mm. long. In quadrate and oblong proglottids its length goes into the proglottid breadth from 3 to 3.5 times.

The vagina opens into the common genital pore. It may lie either anterior or posterior to the cirrus-pouch. In 12 out of 18 proglottids examined by the writer the vagina had a posterior position. At the opening of the vagina a sphincter of good size is present. The course of the vagina is nearly direct to the middle of the segment, frequently crossing the inner end of the cirrus-pouch or even lying above the cirrus-pouch for its full length. From the middle of the segment its course is directly posteriad with sometimes a single loose coil just above or anterior to the ovaries. In the interovarial space are the usual coils of the vagina, oviduct, uterine passage, and unpaired vitelline duct; here also are the oöcapt, oötype, and shell-gland. The uterus appears in mature proglottids as a median tube from which later 15-20 lateral pouches arise on either side. The ovarian lobes are fairly dense compact masses. In many proglottids the ovary has a more elongated and pointed form than Schwarz delineates it. The vitellaria are lateral, follicular masses, reaching from the anterior end nearly to the posterior end of the segment. According to Schwarz the eggs have three membranes. The diameter of the egg is 0.024 mm. The outer membrane of ripe eggs is covered with fine hooklets or processes which terminate in small knobs. The writer was unable to observe these processes in his toto mounts. Schwarz's figure of the egg is reproduced (Fig. 194).

This species is easily differentiated from *Crepidobothrium gerrardii, O. marenzelleri, O. calmettei, O. racemosa, O. trimeresuri* and *O. grandis* by its much smaller size, and from *O. perspicua* by its slightly smaller head and suckers, by its lesser number of smaller testes, its more voluminous cirrus-pouch and its lesser number of uterine pouches. In many respects *O. nattereri* and *O. perspicua* are quite similar. *O. nattereri* has a smaller head and smaller suckers than *O. pigmentata.* Further comparisons with *O. pigmentata* can not be made because of the incompleteness of the data on the latter.

OPHIOTAENIA RACEMOSA (Rudolphi)

[Figs. 140, 191]

1819:	*Taenia racemosa*	Rudolphi	1819:692
(?)1819:	*Taenia colubri*	Rudolphi	1819:709
1845:	*Taenia racemosa*	Dujardin	1845:610
1850:	*Taenia racemosa*	Diesing	1850:511, in part
1898:	*Ichthyotaenia racemosa*	Barrois	1898:3
1898:	*Ichthyotaenia racemosa*	Lühe	1898:652
1908:	*Ichthyotaenia racemosa*	Schwarz	1908:28-29
1911:	*Ophiotaenia racemosa*	La Rue	1911:481

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Length of strobila as much as 160 mm., breadth about 1 mm. Length of ripe proglottids about 2 mm., breadth 1 mm. Scolex easily distinguishable from strobila, breadth about 0.54 mm. Suckers four, nearly circular, 0.27-0.30 mm. in diameter. Genital organs as in genus. Testes about 100-120 in number, 0.072-0.078 mm. in diameter, located in two broad fields which tend to fuse at middle. Ductus ejaculatorius sinuous. Cirrus-pouch long, reaching nearly to middle of proglottid. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch. Ovary voluminous, lobes somewhat winglike. Uterus possessing about twenty long outpocketings on either side. Eggs provided with three membranes. Diameter of egg 0.024 mm.

Habitat: In intestine of South American snakes of the family Colubridae, subfamily Colubrinae.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
Coluber sp.	Brazil	Natterer	Rudolphi, 1819:692
Ophiomorphus miliaris	Brazil*	Natterer	Diesing, 1850:511
Ophiomorphus miliaris	Brazil*	Natterer	Schwarz, 1908:28
Ophis merremii	Brazil*	Natterer	Diesing, 1850:511
Ophis merremii	Brazil*	Natterer	Schwarz, 1908:28

This species was first described by Rudolphi (1819:692). Since this description is not readily accessible to many workers it is quoted in full:

> "Taenia racemosa R. n. sp. Pone Synops. n. 52.
>
> "T. Capite obconico, collo brevi, angustissimo, articulis planis, elongatis, foraminibus marginalibus alternis prominulis. *Hab.* In intestinis *Colubri* n. 20. specimen sexpollicare et fragmenta hujus Taenia Natterer in Brasilia reperit.
>
> "*Caput* antrorsum dilatatum, sive obconicum, osculis orbicularibus, aut hemisphaericis, nam satis profunda videntur, anticis. *Collum* breve, angustissimum. *Articuli,* quos vidi, plani, tenues, elongati, lineam ad sesquilineam longi, tertia lineae parte latiores, foraminibus marginalibus alternis, prominentibus.
>
> "*Ovaria* singulorum articulorum lineam mediam fere totam sibi vindicant, ad cujus latera utrinque maculae exiguae opacae ita digestae sunt, ut ovaria racemosa appareant.
>
> "*Obs.* Caput Taeniae omphalodis Synops. n. 9. articuli vero T. tuberculatae n. 25. ut fragmenta, quae vidi, Taeniam illis intermediam reddant."

Rudolphi (1819:709) established the name *Taenia colubri* to designate a few proglottids found in Coluber sp., Brazil by Natterer. His exact words are here quoted:

> "Taenia Colubri. Pone Synops. n. 140.
> Fragmenta in intestinis *Colubri* n. 12. a Natterero in Brasilia reperta Museo Viennensi debeo lineam circiter longa, duodecim ad octodecim articulis constantia, latioribus quam longis, subcuneatis, angulis plus minus exstantibus, capite destituta.
> "Utrum vere colubrina, an ex ave quadam deglutita forsan residua?"

Dujardin (1845:610) added nothing to Rudolphi's description. Diesing (1850:511) gave a short diagnosis of a form from the museum at Vienna which he identified as *Taenia racemosa* Rud. His description varies so widely from that of Rudolphi and his list of hosts covers such a range of families that his words are quoted in full:

---

*Material found in Vienna Museum.

> "*Taenia racemosa* Rudolphi. *Caput* magnum tetragonum, acetabulis angularibus subterminalibus v. terminalibus, subovatis v. cordatis. *Collum* nullum. *Articuli* supremi brevissimi, subsequentes longiores et latiores, angulis rotundatis, ultimi longi parallelopipedi angustiores. *Penes* filiformes basi incrassati, marginales vage alterni. Longit. 2"—1½'; latit. med. 1—3"'; ultim. 1"'.
>
> "Taenia racemosa *Rudolphi:* Synops. App. 692—*Dujardin:* Hist. nat. des Helminth. 610.
>
> "Habitaculum. *Ophiomorphus miliaris,* Martio. — *Eunectes Scytale,* Octobri, Februario et Junio. — *Bothrops Mararacca,* Martio et Aprili. — *Ophis Merremii,* Januario, in Brasilia (*Natterer*): in intestinis. M. C. V."

Von Linstow (1878:183) did no more than refer to Rudolphi (1819) and Diesing (1850). Barrois (1898:3) was convinced that *Taenia racemosa* Rud. belonged to the genus Ichthyotaenia for he had examined a head and some ripe proglottids ''provenant du type primitif de Rudolphi.'' He did not describe the species. Lühe (1898:652) stated that *Taenia racemosa* belonged to the genus Ichthyotaenia. Marotel (1899:34) quoted Diesing's (1850) description of this species which he stated was too incomplete to permit a precise determination. Parona (1901:3) reported some specimens collected by Dr. Adolf Lutz from *Bothrops (Lachnis) lanceolata,* São Paulo, Brazil under the name of *Ichthyotaenia racemosa* Rud. These specimens which have been examined by the writer prove to belong to the species *Ophiotaenia calmettei* Barrois. Shipley (1905:101) reported some cestodes from *Eunectes murinus* Wagler as *Taenia racemosa* Rud. Professor H. B. Ward secured some specimens labelled with this name from Mr. Shipley. Upon examination they proved to be specimens of *Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird). Schwarz (1908:28-29) secured some material from Barrois which the latter had obtained from Dr. E. von Marenzeller of Vienna. He also secured additional material from Dr. E. von Marenzeller. Upon this material he based his description of *Taenia racemosa* Rud. He did not definitely state the name of the host from which his material was collected but evidently quoted Diesing's (1850) list of hosts. La Rue (1911:481) included this form in a list of species of the genus Ophiotaenia.

It is possible that *T. colubri* Rud. collected by Natterer in Brazil from Coluber sp. is a synonym of *T. racemosa* Rud. also collected by Natterer in Brazil from a species of Coluber. Rudolphi's description of *T. colubri* is insufficient to place the species, nor is there any data as to exact host and locality that would fix it. Diesing (1850:558) states that *T. colubri* was collected by Natterer in Brazil from *Ophiomorphus poecilogyrus.* He gave no descriptive data and nothing that would be of assistance in placing Rudolphi's species. Diesing's (1850) diagnosis of *Taenia racemosa* Rud. does not agree with Rudolphi's description of that species. His statements in regard to the tetragonal head, the terminal or subterminal angular suckers which are subovate or cordate fits the diagnosis of *Crepidobothrium gerrardii* but not that of *Ophiotaenia racemosa.* The latter species has orbicular suckers. Likewise Diesing's statements that there is no neck, that the first proglottids are very short, following ones larger and broader, with rounded angles, the last proglottids long parallelopipeds and somewhat narrow agree much better with the descriptions of *C. gerrardii* than with that of *O. racemosa.* Diesing's form was considerably larger than Rudolphi's. No completely protruded cirrus was noted in the writer's material of *C. gerrardii* hence the cirri of the two forms cannot be compared. Moreover, Diesing lists *Eunectes scytale* as a host of *Taenia racemosa. Eunectes scytale* is a synonym of *Eunectes murinus,* a species in which *C. gerrardii,* but no other Proteocephalid, has been found. It seems probable therefore that Diesing's diagnosis of *Taenia racemosa* was based on the material from *Eunectes murinus (scytale).*

A further analysis of Diesing's list of hosts of *Taenia racemosa* shows that besides the Boidae, represented by *Eunectes murinus,* the Colubridae are represented by two species and the Viperidae by one species. From this evidence it seems probable that Diesing's *Taenia racemosa* must have included several species, for among the species of Ophiotaenia from snakes which have been adequately described in recent years no species has been found in hosts belonging to different families. A list of these species, their hosts, and their distribution is found elsewhere. Another fact to be remarked about these Proteocephalid species from snakes is that the individuals which infest the *Boidae* are larger than the individuals of the species which infest the Viperidae and these in turn are larger than those that infest the Colubridae. By the term size the writer means size of head, size of suckers, breadth of neck, breadth and length of proglottids and length of strobila. In certain of these characters the writer's general statement fails but, considered in a broad way, it is true. This is an additional reason for supposing that Diesing included several species under the one name *Taenia racemosa.*

Concerning hosts of *Taenia racemosa* Schwarz (1908:28) says: "Als Wirte werden angegeben: *Ophiomorphus miliaris, Ophis Merremii, Bothrops jararacca* (for mararacca) und *Eunectes scytale,* Schlangen, die in Brazilien vorkommen". This seems to be but a restatement of Diesing's list of hosts. Nowhere does Schwarz state definitely the exact host from which his specimens were taken. A study of his description in comparison with other species from snakes shows that his specimens are most closely related to the species from Colubrinae yet they are distinct from any of those species. It seems then quite probable that his specimens came from one of the Colubrinae, Coluber sp. and possibly *Ophiomorphus miliaris* or *Ophis merremii.* They surely did not come from Eunectes, and it is highly improbable that they came from Bothrops, one of the Viperidae. The emended list of hosts of *Taenia racemosa* then contains these names, *Coluber* sp., *Ophiomorphus miliaris* and *Ophis merremii.* Further questions regarding Schwarz's *Taenia racemosa* arise. Is his species identical with Rudolphi's *Taenia racemosa?* Could Schwarz have had any of Rudolphi's type material? At the writer's request Professor Ward wrote to Professor E. von Marenzeller at Vienna for information concerning the specimens of *Taenia racemosa* which he had sent to Barrois and to Schwarz. Professor von Marenzeller replied that all of Rudolphi's types were in the Museum at Berlin. It is therefore impossible that Schwarz or Barrois had Rudolphi's type specimens for examination and the question of the identity of Schwarz's *Taenia racemosa* and Rudolphi's species of that name remains open and must so remain until Rudolphi's types are re-examined, if they still exist. Meanwhile the writer assumes that the *Taenia racemosa* of Schwarz and Rudolphi are identical.

Schwarz's material all came originally from the Museum at Vienna, some directly through von Marenzeller, and some through von Marenzeller to Barrois, then from Barrois to Schwarz. As hosts he mentioned four species of South American snakes two of which have been ruled out in the above discussion, leaving either or both *Ophis merremii* and *Ophiomorphus miliaris* as probable hosts. If the specimens were from *Ophis merremii* they were probably collected by Natterer in Brazil.

The following description is based on the work of Schwarz (1908). The observed length of specimens was 160 mm., length of ripe proglottids 2 mm. and the breadth of the same 1 mm. The scolex (Fig. 140) is readily distinguished from the strobila. It bears four nearly circular suckers which measure 0.270-0.300 mm. in diameter. The scolex has a diameter of 0.540 mm.

The sexual organs (Fig. 191) agree in arrangement with the genital organs of other Ophiotaenia. The testes are of exceptional size, 0.072-0.078 mm. in diameter, appearing as large spheres. They are not limited to the side fields but are scattered irregularly through the whole area of the segment. The testicular field is not interrupted at the anterior or posterior margin of the segment. Testes number about 100-120. The ductus ejaculatorius takes a sinuous course through the cirrus-pouch but it forms no coils. The cirrus-pouch lies perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the proglottid and reaches almost to the median line of the same.

Female organs are as in other members of the genus. The vagina opens either anterior or posterior to the cirrus-sheath. The ovary is voluminous, bilobed, joined at the middle by a mid-piece. The ovarian lobes are somewhat plumper in form than are those of *O. marenzelleri.* The uterus forms about 20 long diverticula on either side. In Schwarz's drawing (reproduced Fig. 191) the genital pore is marginal and at the end of the first third of the proglottid. The eggs are round and have three membranes. No hooklets are to be found on the outer membrane. Schwarz does not state whether the diameter of 0.024 mm. is the diameter of the entire egg or of the embryo.

Ophiotaenia racemosa is a much smaller species than *Crepidobothrium gerrardii.* Its head and suckers are smaller, the proglottids shorter and narrower. The form of the suckers is greatly different in the two species. *O. racemosa* differs from *O. calmettei* in its smaller head, smaller suckers, in the distribution of testes and in the number of uterine pouches. *O. racemosa* is likewise much smaller than *O. marenzelleri* and *O. grandis* in length, breadth, size of head, and size of suckers. It also has fewer testes. *O. racemosa* differs from *O. timeresuri* in being somewhat smaller. Its chief differences lie in the position of the testes and in the character of the cirrus and vagina. *O. racemosa* differs from *O. pigmentata* in having larger suckers. *O. pigmentata* is too poorly described to furnish more diagnostic differences. *O. racemosa* differs from *O. nattereri* and *O. perspicua* in having a larger head, larger suckers, a different arrangement of testes, and smaller proglottids.

OPHIOTAENIA PIGMENTATA (von Linstow)

[Fig. 160]

1908:	*Ichthyotaenia pigmentata*	von Linstow	1908:85
1911:	*Ophiotaenia pigmentata*	La Rue	1911:481

Von Linstow (1908) described this species from a specimen which Edward Jacobson had collected in Java (Semarang). La Rue (1911: 481) put this form in the genus Ophiotaenia. Unfortunately the specimen was immature so von Linstow's description is necessarily incomplete. His description reads:

> "*Ichthyotaenia pigmentata,* n. sp.—Aus der Schlange Psammodynastes pulverulentus Fisch.—Darm.
>
> Länge 32mm., Breite vorn 0.51mm., hinten 1.78mm.; ein sehr lange Strecke ist ungegliedert, nur der letzte 2.5mm. lange Theil zeigt deutliche Proglottidenbildung; es ist nur ein noch völlig entwickeltes Exemplar vorhanden. Der Scolex ist abgerundet und nicht breiter als die folgende Strecke; die Länge des Scolex beträgt 0.57 mm.; die kreisrunden Saugnäpfe messen 0.18mm.; ein Rostellum und Haken fehlen. Die Glieder am Hinterende sind 0.13mm. lang; ihre Breite beträgt 1.1mm., die Dicke 0.25mm. Die Cuticula ist 0.0052mm. dick und trägt an ihrer Aussenseite einen 0.0065mm. dicken Stäbchenbesatz. Die Muskulatur ist stark entwickelt und man unterscheidet 6 verschiedene Muskelarten, 1, Ring-und 2, Längsmuskeln in dünnerer Lage unter der Cuticula; an Parenchymmuskeln 3, äussere, 4. innere Längsmuskeln, letztere bestehen aus Muskelbündeln, 5. Dorsoventral; und 6. Transversalmuskeln. In jedem Querschnitt erkannt man etwa 20 Längsgefässe; die Hauptlängensnervenstämme verlaufen 1/5 des Querdurchmessers der Proglottide vom Rande entfernt; massenhaft finden sich dunkel pigmentirte, meistens eiförmige, durchschnittlich 0.026mm. lange und 0.021mm. breite Kalkkörperchen. Nur in den letzten Proglottiden findet man eine Anlage der Geschlechtsorgane, besonders deutlich ist jederseits nach innen vom Nerv der rundliche Dotterstock; trotz der geringen Entwicklung der Geschlechtsorgane ist es zweifellos dass die Art zu Ichthyotaenia gehört".

This is probably a species of Ophiotaenia. The presence of lateral vitellaria removes it from the genus Oöchoristica. It must, however, be placed in the list of incompletely described species.

OPHIOTAENIA TRIMERESURI (Parona)

[Figs. 106-108, 141, 142, 192, 193]

1898:	*Taenia trimeresuri*	Parona	1898:7-11
1898:	*Ichthyotaenia trimeresuri*	Lühe	1898:652
1908:	*Ichthyotaenia trimeresuri*	Schwarz	1908:33-35
1911:	*Ophiotaenia trimeresuri*	La Rue	1911:481

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Length up to 105 mm. Maximum breadth 0.75-1.5 mm. Scolex unarmed, without rostellum, broader than neck, breadth 0.75 mm. Suckers prominent, hemispherical, muscular, 0.16-0.25 mm. in diameter, situated anteriorly. No fifth sucker. Neck short, three times length of head, breadth 0.25-0.5 mm. First proglottids broader than long. Mature proglottids quadrate or longer than broad. Angles of proglottids not prominent. Strobilation indistinct.

Genital pore situated near middle of proglottid margin, irregularly alternating in position. No genital papilla. Genital sinus if present very shallow. Testes 100-108 in number, measuring 0.063 by 0.027 to 0.080 by 0.027 mm., situated in two fields not near vitellaria. All testes anterior to ovary. Mass of coils of vas deferens not large. Ductus ejaculatorius much coiled. Cirrus muscular. Many coils of ductus ejaculatorius in base of protruded cirrus. Cirrus-pouch about 0.270-0.330 mm. long by 0.136 mm. broad. Ratio of length of cirrus-pouch to proglottid breadth 1:4 or 2:5. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch, not crossing same. Lumen of vagina variable in size. Sphincter vaginae present. Lobes of ovary flattened, elongated, narrow. Vitelline follicles small. Uterus when fully developed with 20-30(?) lateral pouches. No ripe eggs observed.

Habitat: In the intestine of *Trimeresurus formosus* (type host); Island of Mentawei, East Indies (type locality).

Type: Specimens in Professor C. Parona's collection labelled "*Arynchotaenia trimeresuri* Par., *Trimeresurus formosus,* (Mentawei)." Also two toto preparations of the same material in the collection of Professor H. B. Ward.

Parona (1898:7-11) first described and figured this species without discovering its relation to the Proteocephalidae. Lühe (1898:652) stated that this species belonged to the Ichthyotaenia. In a footnote he says, ''Herr Prof. Parona hat mir die Original-exemplare der Art in uneigennützigster Weise zur Verfügung gestellt, so dass ich die Zugehörigkeit derselben zu der Gattung *Ichthyotaenia* feststellen konnte.'' Schwarz (1908:33-35) had no specimens of this species for examination. He rewrote Parona's description but was able to add nothing new. He believed this form to be a species of Proteocephalus and called attention to the fact that the lower part of the uterus in Parona's drawing was probably the ovary and that the testes would be found in the anterior region of the proglottid where they were frequently difficult to see. La Rue (1911:474) stated that this species belonged with the genus Ophiotaenia and gave a few of its characters.

Thanks to Professor H. B. Ward who secured this material from Professor Parona for the purpose of this study the writer has been able to give this species a more careful description than has hitherto been attempted. The material consists of about a half dozen pieces among which no head could be found. This material is labelled ''*Arynchotaenia trimeresuri* Par., *Trimeresurus formosus* (Mentawei)''. From it two toto preparations were made. These together with the alcoholics and Parona's original description form the basis of the following description.

In the material at the writer's disposal the head, neck and first proglottids were missing. The pieces measured 10, 20, 60 and 70 mm. long by a maximum breadth of about 1.0 mm. Parona in a table gave data concerning the length and breadth of five specimens with heads and five without. The length of those with heads ranged from 12 to 50 mm. and the maximum breadth from 0.75 to 0.1 mm. while the headless specimens measured 33-105 mm. in length by a maximum breadth of 0.75-1.5 mm. The scolex is spheroidal, has neither hooks nor rostellum, and is broader than the neck. Its diameter is about 0.75 mm. The suckers are prominent and are situated in the anterior part of the head. They are hemispherical, strongly muscular, and have a diameter of 0.16-0.25 mm. The aperture of the sucker is 0.11-0.16 mm. Parona's drawings of the scolex are reproduced (Figs. 141, 142).

The neck is about three times as long as the scolex. Its breadth varies from 0.25 to 0.5 mm. The first proglottids tho broader than long are not very short. These become successively longer, the last being longest. The increase in length, however, is not constant because short proglottids are to be found between long ones. Nor is the breadth of the strobila constant. Some proglottids are about quadrate while others are 2-3-4 times longer than broad. Proglottid limits are poorly defined rendering the strobilation indistinct. The angles of the proglottids are not prominent hence the worm has the appearance of a continuous ribbon. The description thus far is based on the original description as given by Parona (1898:8-9). In the toto preparations which the writer has studied there were a few mature proglottids one of which is delineated (Fig. 108). The uterus of this proglottid contained a few eggs but these have been omitted in the drawing. This segment measured 1.7 mm. long by 0.9 mm. broad. It was thin and flat but considerably thicker than proglottids of an equal state of development from *O. perspicua* or *O. natterer.*

A genital pore is situated near the middle of the margin of each proglottid. It alternates irregularly from left to right. There is no genital papilla nor is the pore marked by a deep depression as is sometimes the case in *O. grandis.* The vagina and cirrus-pouch open very near each other but in this toto preparation it was impossible to tell whether there was a common genital sinus. If present at all it was very shallow. The other drawings (Figs. 106, 107) do not show such a structure.

Testes (Fig. 108) are 100-108 in number. Their dimensions are 0.063 by 0.027 mm. to 0.080 by 0.027 mm., the long axis being perpendicular to the long axis of the worm. They are arranged in narrow bands situated well away from the vitellaria. In this respect the species resembles *O. calmettei.* None of the testes are posterior to the ovaries though Parona's drawing which has been reproduced (Fig. 193) for purposes of comparison shows them there. The vas deferens (Fig. 108) forms a small mass of coils in the mid-region of the proglottid. Within the cirrus-pouch is the much coiled ductus ejaculatorius (Fig. 107). The ductus passes over into the thicker-walled and more muscular cirrus. When under certain conditions the cirrus is protruded the greater part of the ductus ejaculatorius is crowded out into the dilated basal part of the cirrus (Figs. 106, 107). In this condition the cirrus is very similar to that described and figured by Schwarz (1908) for *O. marenzelleri.* He, however, claimed that the whole cirrus-pouch was evaginated. In this he misinterpreted the facts for only the cirrus and a part of the ductus are pushed out. Schwarz's drawing (reproduced Fig. 199) shows the cirrus-pouch in its normal position. In *O. marenzelleri* the distal half of the evaginated cirrus is filiform. This condition has not been seen in the present species yet it seems highly probable that a part of the coils of the ductus can be pushed through the basal part of the cirrus and thus form a filiform cirrus. Unless this be the case it is difficult to understand how copulation can be possible. The large number of coils of ductus ejaculatorius in this species furnishes a differentiating character between this species and *O. marenzelleri.* The cirrus-pouch (Fig. 107) is large and very muscular. Its length varies from 0.27 to 0.34 mm. Its breadth is about 0.136 mm. and its length, according to Parona, is 0.33 mm. The ratio of the length of the cirrus-pouch to the breadth of proglottid is 1:4 or 2:5.

The vagina lies either anterior or posterior to the cirrus-pouch, but it does not cross the latter. Its course after reaching the median field is frequently quite sinuous. Near its opening (Figs. 106, 107) it has a very heavy sphincter muscle. In some parts of the vagina its lumen is narrow while in other regions it may be widely dilated. The lobes of the ovary are flattened. They are more elongate and narrower than in *O. marenzelleri.* The paired vitelline ducts cross the ovary on the ventral side. The uterus in mature proglottids is a median tube extending the length of the proglottid. No ripe proglottids could be examined so the number of lateral uterine out-pocketings could not be accurately determined. The drawing, however, (Fig. 108) indicates that probably about 20-30 lateral pouches would be developed on either side. No ripe eggs were present in the material examined.

The description and drawing of the mature proglottid shows this to be a species which falls readily into the group of Proteocephalids infesting snakes. The writer's description and drawings do not agree very well with Parona's except as to size, position of genital pore and length and shape of cirrus-pouch. From his drawings which have been reproduced (Figs. 192, 193) it appears that he has confused certain organs. The lower portion of the uterus in his drawing is undoubtedly the bilobed ovary. The position of the testes posterior to the ovary in his figure cannot be explained except on the supposition that he mistook parts of the organs of the interovarial space for testes. The sinuous duct which he shows as the vas deferens extending back from the cirrus-pouch to the ovary is not the vas deferens but the vagina which in fact passes below the coils of vas deferens before reaching the middle of the proglottid. The mass of coils of the vas deferens is poorly shown at the inner end of the cirrus-pouch. The opening of the vagina, the vaginal sphincter and the first part of vagina along the length of the cirrus-pouch he has omitted altogether or he has confused these with a part of the cirrus-pouch. The cirrus-pouch as he drew it seems to be made up of lamellae. In a poor preparation the cirrus-pouch might have had this appearance. The cirrus within the cirrus-pouch is not properly delineated. The uterus in an early stage may possibly have had the single anterior lateral pouch on either side as he has shown it. The writer has not seen a proglottid as nearly ripe as this one was and so is not able to judge as to the actual structure of the uterus. The testes and vitellaria he (Parona) has omitted from his drawings. It seems highly probable that Parona's drawings are intended to represent the same species as do the writer's. The apparent difference probably came about through Parona's using poor preparations for study.

O. trimeresuri (Parona) in size is much smaller than *O. grandis, O. marenzelleri* and *Crepidobothrium gerrardii.* Moreover in number and arrangement of testes, size and proportions of cirrus-pouch and cirrus these three species differ greatly from *O. trimeresuri.* In size this species is more nearly related to *O. calmettei* but in the latter species the relations of the cirrus, cirrus-pouch and vagina are different. The testes in *O. trimeresuri* are arranged much as they are in *O. calmettei* but the size of the head and the suckers differ greatly. *O. nattereri* and *O. perspicua* are smaller, more delicate and have very different relations of cirrus, cirrus-pouch, vagina, and testes. This form differs from any other species thus far described from snakes yet it has its closest affinities with *O. calmettei,* likewise parasitic in one of the Crotalinae.

OPHIOTAENIA CALMETTEI (Barrois)

[Figs. 11, 109, 110, 155, 156, 197, 198]

1898:	*Ichthyotaenia calmettei*	Barrois	1898:1-3
1898:	*Ichthyotaenia raillieti*	Marotel	1898:99-101
1898:	*Ichthyotaenia calmettei*	Lühe	1898:652
1899:	*Ichthyotaenia calmettei*	Marotel	1899:34-42
1901:	*Ichthyotaenia racemosa*	Parona	1901:3
1908:	*Ichthyotaenia calmettei*	Schwarz	1908:24-26
1911:	*Ophiotaenia calmettei*	La Rue	1911:481

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Observed length 27-40, and even up to 80 cm. Maximum breadth 0.97-1.2-2.0 mm. Proglottids numerous, first broader than long, subsequent ones quadrate or even much longer than broad. Mature proglottids about 0.85 mm. square. Ripe proglottids 2-3-4 mm. long by 1.0-1.2 mm. broad. Strobilation not clear. Scolex spheroidal or tetragonal, without rostellum, without hooks, without fifth sucker. Anterior face of same flattened, with small elevation at center. Head 1.0-1.3 mm. broad, 0.60 mm. long, 0.935 mm. thick. Suckers four, somewhat globular, with deep cavity. Diameter of sucker opening 0.12-0.17-0.185 mm. Diameter of sucker 0.27-0.300-0.408 mm. Neck 0.580-0.980 mm. broad by 4-5-8 mm. long.

Genital organs typical of genus. Genital aperture irregularly alternating. Situated at or near middle of proglottid margin. Genital sinus shallow. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch. Vaginal opening frequently dorsal to cirrus-pouch. Testes 130-160 in number, arranged in two lateral fields. Size of testes 0.026-0.04-0.06 by 0.053-0.07 mm. Vas deferens a mass of coils extending from cirrus-pouch to mid-field. Cirrus-pouch 0.25-0.29-0.33 mm. long by 0.100-0.136 mm. broad. Ratio of length of cirrus to proglottid breadth 1:6-1:5 in mature and 1:4 in ripe proglottids. Ductus ejaculatorius with numerous coils. Cirrus broad, muscular, not seen protruded.

Vagina broad at distal end, never crossing cirrus-pouch in course to mid-field. Course of vagina to interovarial space sinuous. Sphincter vaginae present. Receptaculum seminis not seen. Ovarian lobes slender in young proglottids, broad in old. Vitellaria with small follicles. Uterus when fully developed possessing 24-35 lateral pouches on either side. Uterine pores ventral, 2-4-5 in number. Eggs provided with three membranes. Outer membrane variable in size, second one thicker, 0.022-0.024 mm. in diameter, inner one granular, irregular, 0.014 mm., embryo 0.012 mm.

Habitat: In intestine of *Lachesis* (*Bothrops*) *lanceolatus* L.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
Lachesis (*Bothrops*) *lanceolatus L.*	Martinique	Calmette	Barrois
Lachesis (*Bothrops*) *lanceolatus L.*	Martinique	Guérin	Marotel
Lachesis (*Bothrops*) *lanceolatus L.*	São Paulo, Brazil	Adolf Lutz	La Rue (the present paper)

Barrois' (1898) description of this species while meager and unaccompanied by drawings agrees fairly well with the descriptions of later investigators. His specimens came from *Lachesis (Bothrops) lanceolatus* L. from Martinique from which host they were secured by Professor Calmette. Later in the same year Marotel (1898) briefly described this species under the name *I. raillieti.* His specimens came from *Lachesis (Bothrops) lanceolatus* L. from Martinique. Lühe (1898) called attention to the fact that *I. raillieti* was a synonym of *I. calmettei,* and he included the form in his list of species of Ichthyotaenia. Marotel (1899:34-42) in a more extended paper described this species. His paper is accompanied by several drawings.

Parona (1901) listed this species in a record of parasites from South America under the name of *Ichthyotaenia racemosa.* His specimens are from *B. lanceolatus* L. from São Paulo, Brazil. Schwarz (1908:24-26) added but little to the work of Barrois and Marotel. He determined that there was no rostellum. La Rue (1911:481) included this form in a list of species of Ophiotaenia.

This study is based on material which Professor H. B. Ward secured for the writer's study from Professor Parona. Professor Parona's material bore the label, "*T. (Oöchoristica) racemosa* S. Paulo, racc. A. Lutz." This is evidently the material on which Parona (1901) based his report. There he stated that it came from *Bothrops lanceolatus* L. The material consisted of seven or eight pieces to one of which a head was attached. The head was cleared in glycerine and studied in that condition while some of the smaller pieces were stained and mounted as toto preparations. The identification of the material was made from these preparations. The report is also based upon data secured from the papers of Barrois (1898), Marotel (1899), and Schwarz (1908).

The longest piece which the writer observed measured 270 mm. long by 2.0 mm. broad. Barrois (1898) states that he observed a length of 35-80 cm. by a breadth of 0.97 mm. for this species. Marotel's (1899) specimens measured up to 35-40 cm. long by a maximum breadth of 1.2 mm. Schwarz (1908) reported a breadth of 1.5 mm. The strobila is made up of many proglottids, according to Barrois as many as 289. The proglottids are closely joined to one another. As a rule no intersegmental furrows can be seen with the unaided eye. In a single piece the strobila was strongly contracted and here the strobilation was evident. The surface of many of the proglottids is thrown into numerous longitudinal folds.

The scolex is club-shaped according to Barrois and Marotel, spheroidal according to Schwarz. The figures of the head as delineated by Marotel and Schwarz are reproduced (Figs. 155, 156). Barrois and Schwarz reported that there was no fifth sucker and no rostellum while Marotel thought that the slight elevation at the middle of the head (Fig. 156) was a rudimentary rostellum. He saw no fifth sucker. Marotel states that the globular suckers are directed anteriad. The head (Fig. 11) which the writer observed was cleared in glycerine. It presents a somewhat tetragonal face with a small elevation at its center which is not a rostellum and upon which there is no fifth sucker. The suckers are placed in the corners of the anterior face and they are directed anteriad. Slight grooves or wrinkles which do not extend to the apex partially divide the head into quadrants. The suckers are nearly round in outline and they lack any trace of the inturned lower margin which is a characteristic of the suckers of *Crepidobothrium gerrardii.* Suckers measure 0.39-0.408 mm. in diameter while the openings of the suckers measure 0.17-0.185 mm. in diameter. The sucker cavity is deep. This head is 1.19 mm. broad, 0.60 mm. long, 0.935 mm. thick. The comparative table which follows presents the data on the head as secured by Barrois, Marotel, Schwarz, and the writer.

Head		Suckers		Diameter of sucker opening	Authority
Shape	Size	Shape	Diameter		
Club-shaped	breadth 1-1.3 mm.		0.32-0.34 mm.		Barrois (1898:2)
Club-shaped	breadth 1.05 mm.	globular	0.27-0.30 mm.	0.120 mm.	Marotel (1899:36)
Spheroidal	breadth 1.0 mm.		0.30-0.34 mm.	0.120 mm.	Schwarz (1908:24)
Somewhat tetragonal	breadth 1.19 mm. length 0.60 mm. thickness 0.935 mm.	round in outline	0.39-0.408 mm.	0.17-0.185 mm.	La Rue (the present paper)

The neck of the specimen observed by the writer measured about 5-8 mm. long by 0.980 mm. broad. Its limits could not readily be determined in a glycerine preparation. Marotel states that the neck is 4 or 5 mm. long and 0.580 mm. broad at its narrowest place. Barrois and Schwarz do not state its dimensions. The first proglottids are much broader than long. These increase in length more rapidly than in breadth. Marotel (1899) states that at 20 mm. from the head the proglottids measure 0.255 mm. long by 0.63 mm. broad; at 25 mm. 0.65 by 0.65 mm. i. e., they are quadrate; at 30 mm., 0.71 mm. long, 0.67 mm. broad; at 40 mm., 1.45 by 0.75 mm., and the last proglottids are 3 to 4 mm. long by 1.0 mm. broad. In material examined by the writer nearly mature proglottids measure as much as 0.9 mm. broad by 0.55 mm. long and mature proglottids about 0.85 mm. square or some of them may be a little longer than broad. Ripe proglottids measure 2-3 mm. long by 1.0-1.2 mm. in breadth. In some contracted regions of the strobila the breadth may measure as much as 2.0 mm.

The sexual aperture is irregularly alternating, situated in mature proglottids at or slightly anterior to the middle of the margin. In ripe proglottids it may be either anterior or posterior to the middle. Both cirrus and vagina open into a common genital sinus which is very shallow. The vagina lies anterior or posterior to the cirrus-pouch with almost equal frequency. In ripe proglottids frequently and in mature proglottids more rarely, the vagina may open dorsal to the cirrus-pouch.

The testes (Figs. 109, 110) number from 130 to 160 in the writer's preparation and these numbers are also about the limits observed by the other investigators. They measure from 0.026 to 0.037 mm. by 0.053 to 0.063 mm. in the writer's preparations. Others report them as measuring 0.06 by 0.04 mm., 0.062-0.072 mm., and 0.07 by 0.04 mm. They are arranged in two fields which in elongated proglottids are near the ventral excretory vessels while in contracted proglottids (Figs. 109, 110) they are some distance from the ventral vessels. In the former case the testes of each field are arranged in two irregular rows while in the latter case the testes of each field are irregularly arranged in a broad zone. The testes are dorsal to the uterus. The vas deferens forms a mass of coils which extend from the cirrus-sheath nearly to the middle of the proglottid. The writer's drawings (Figs. 109, 110) show it more heavily developed than do the figures of Marotel (1899) which are reproduced (Figs. 197, 198).

The cirrus-pouch is relatively short and broad, 0.25-0.29 mm. long by 0.100-0.136 mm. broad. In the broad mature and ripe proglottids it extends but a short distance within the vitellaria. The ratio of its length to the breadth in mature proglottids is about 1:6, in ripe proglottids about 1:5 or 1:5.5, in the ripest proglottid observed about 1:4. Schwarz states that the cirrus-pouch is about 0.33 mm. long. The ductus ejaculatorius (Fig. 109) has numerous coils. The unprotruded cirrus is broad and muscular. The writer has not seen it protruded and no other investigator reports having seen it thus. An examination of Marotel's figures which have been reproduced (Figs. 197, 198) shows fewer coils of ductus ejaculatorius and of vas deferens than do the drawings of the writer (Figs. 109, 110). This can be explained by the assumption that Marotel could not follow these structures out completely in his preparation which he states was made from material in a poor state of preservation. The material upon which the writer worked was in a fair state of preservation and in preparations made from it these ducts could be traced with ease.

The vagina at its distal end is broad, measuring 0.09-0.12 mm., a breadth due in part to the breadth of its lumen and in part to the thickness of its sphincter vaginae. In this region the vagina is nearly as broad as is the cirrus in its basal portion. In its course to the middle of the proglottid the vagina never crosses the cirrus-pouch. Arrived at the middle of the segment it bends sharply and takes a sinuous course posteriad to the interovarial space which it enters after passing over the dorsal side of the ovary, not the ventral as Marotel figures it. There are no coils of the vagina anterior to the ovary. A receptaculum seminis has not been observed tho from its occurrence in other species in the genus its presence in this species may be inferred. The lobes of the ovary in nearly mature proglottids are more slender and more pointed at the extremities than in mature and ripe proglottids. In well elongated segments the lobes of the ovary assume the form figured by Marotel (Figs. 197, 198).

The vitellaria are lateral, and made up of small follicles. The vitelline ducts cross the ventral surface of the ovary instead of lying some distance anterior to it as Marotel figured them. In mature proglottids the uterus is a median tube. The early development of the lateral pouches (Figs. 110, 198) may be traced as small somewhat excentric bulbous enlargements of the median tube. This is not essentially different from *O. filaroides* and *O. perspicua*. These enlargements may attain some size before any uterine eggs appear. In early stages there is considerable resemblance to the condition shown in Marotel's figure (reproduced Fig. 198) of the ovigerous segment of *O. calmettei.* Later, however, many of these pouches (Fig. 109) extend toward the sides and the uterus resembles that of other species of the genus. The walls of these pouches are not very easily observed so Marotel may have overlooked them in his specimens. The pouches number 24-35 on either side. Two to four or five ventral uterine pores were observed in some of the riper proglottids. The uterine eggs in the alcoholic material are surrounded by three membranes. The outermost very thin hyaline membrane measures from 0.024 to 0.026 mm. The second, a thick and homogeneous membrane, measures 0.022 mm. The inner membrane is thick, granular and more or less irregular in outline. It measures 0.014 mm. while the six-hooked embryo measures 0.012 mm. in diameter. Marotel (1899) states that the eggs are globular, with two membranes, the outermost one being thin and membranous, 0.065 mm. in diameter, and the other, a homogeneous and somewhat thick membrane, 0.024 mm. in diameter. This membrane corresponds in size and description to the one which the writer calls the middle membrane. He further states that the embryo is granular but does not give its dimensions.

This species varies from the *O. racemosa* described by Schwarz (1908) in the much larger size of the head, the larger size of the suckers, and the relative prominence of the same. The number and arrangement of the testes are radically different. In the length of the cirrus-pouch, in the number of coils of ductus ejaculatorius they differ greatly. In the size of the distal end of the vagina there is considerable difference. The character of the diverticula of the uterus is not the same. In this species the uterus extends back to the ovary while in *O. racemosa* as described by Schwarz it does not. The vitellaria are much alike in character. This species is much smaller than *O. grandis*. The heads are of about the same size but not alike in shape. The relations of cirrus, cirrus-pouch and vagina are different. There is a wide difference in the number of testes and in the number of uterine outpocketings. The hosts and geographical distribution are also widely different.

It varies from *O. marenzelleri* in having a much smaller head, smaller suckers and in the lesser prominence of the same, in its smaller proglottids and in its smaller number of testes. The relations of the cirrus and cirrus-sheath are quite different. The fact that the vagina lies usually posterior to the cirrus-pouch in *O. marenzelleri* constitutes a marked difference. The shape of the ovaries is somewhat different. In *O. marenzelleri* the marked bending of the vagina in its course to the middle of the proglottid is very unlike the condition in this species. *O. calmettei* is larger than *O. trimeresuri.* It has a larger head, larger suckers and more than twice as many testes. The relations of the unprotruded cirrus and the cirrus-pouch are much alike in the two species. *O. calmettei* is so much larger than *O. perspicua, O. nattereri* or *O. pigmentata* that any possibility of confusion with them is precluded. It is likewise much larger than *O. filaroides* and *O. lönnbergii* which occur in amphibians. *O. calmettei* most nearly resembles *O. grandis, O. marenzelleri* and *O. trimeresuri* which likewise occur in the Crotalinae.

OPHIOTAENIA PUNICA (Cholodkovski)

[Figs. 153, 187, 188]

1908:	*Taenia punica*	Cholodkovski	1908:418-20
1910:	*Proteocephalus punicus*	Hall	1910:148
1911:	*Ophiotaenia punica*	La Rue	1911:481

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Length as much as 10 cm. Breadth up to 2.75 mm. Head large, 1.5 mm. broad, unarmed, somewhat tetragonal in shape. Suckers four, large, round, 0.7 mm. in maximum diameter. Sucker musculature heavier on inner half. Neck short. Segmentation not evident. Proglottids attached by full width. First proglottids broader than long, older ones quadrate and finally longer than broad. The genital opening alternates irregularly, is situate near middle of proglottid margin. Testes very numerous, about 200, situated in two well defined lateral fields. Cirrus-pouch slender, extending ¼ to ⅓ across the breadth of the proglottid. Lobes of ovary long, slender, rough in outline, connected by a long slender mid-piece. Vitellaria lateral, composed of small follicles. Uterus median. Eggs not observed.

Cholodkovski (1908:418-420) reported this species from a dog in Tunis (Island of Dscherba). Since this is a peculiar host in which to find a species normally occurring in a lower vertebrate his description is here quoted omitting only the first and last paragraphs:

> "Nicht ohne Überraschung habe ich also in einer mir von Dr. M. Weinberg zugesandten Tänie aus dem Darme eines Hundes eine neue Species erkannt, die ich hier in aller Kürze beschreiben will. Das Material (in Formol aufbewahrt) stammte aus Tunis (Insel Dscherba) und bestand aus drei unvollständigen Strobilae, deren grösste eine Länge von etwa 10 cm erreichte. Der Scolex ist sehr gross (1.5 mm breit), unbewaffnet, mit vier starken rundlichen Saugnäpfen und einer kleinen Erhöhung auf dem Scheitel versehen. Die Saugnäpfe sind etwas asymmetrisch gebaut, indem ihre innere Hälfte merklich dicker als die äussere ist; der grösste (äussere) Durchmesser derselben beträgt 0.7 mm. Gleich hinter einem sehr kurzen Halse wird die Strobila etwa 2 mm., dann bis 2.75 mm. breit, nach hinten aber allmählich etwas enger. Eine äussere Gliederung ist auf ganzen Stucken unbemerkbar und lässt sich erst auf gefärbten Balsam—oder Glyzerinpräparaten in der Gestalt von sehr schwach ausgesprochenen Querrinnen konstatieren. Die ersten Proglottiden sind breiter als lang, (vide Fig. 188 which is reproduced from Cholodkovski's figure 3) dann werden sie allmählich länger, quadratisch und endlich länger als breit; in keinem der mir vorgelegenen Exemplare waren aber ganz reife; d. h. fertige Eier enthaltende Proglottiden vorhanden. Die Geschlechtsöffnungen alternieren unregelmässig. Die stark in der Querrichtung verlangerten Ovarien liegen dicht an der hinteren Grenze der Proglottis, der Dotterstock ist sehr klein, der Uterus bildet einen geraden, in der Mittellinie der Proglottis nach vorn verlaufenden Stamm. In völlig reifen Proglottiden treibt er vielleicht auch laterale Zweige, in meinen Exemplaren war er aber immer einfach (vide Fig. 187 reproduced from Cholodkovski's figure 4). Die zahlreichen Hoden liegen in zwei lateralen Längsfeldern nach innen von den grossen Excretionsgefässen. An der Stelle letzteren befindet sich in totalen, mit Boraxkarmin tingierten Präparaten der reifsten mir vorgelegnen Proglottiden eine körnige Masse, deren Bedeutung mir unklar geblieben ist, da ich aus Mangel an Material keine darauf bezuglichen Schnittserien verfertigen konnte.
>
> "Da die hier beschriebene Tänie allem Anschein nach eine neue Species darstellt, so schlage ich vor, sie nach ihrem Fundorte *Taenia punica* zu nennen."

This species was overlooked by the writer until his attention was called to it by Hall's article (Hall 1910) in which it is stated that the species belongs to the genus Proteocephalus. The paragraphs (Hall 1910:146 and 148) in which he gives his reasons for this belief are here quoted:

> "In compiling a key to the dog tapeworms, an examination of Kholodkovski's (1908) description and figures of *Taenia punica* from the dog showed that the cestode in question probably belongs in the genus *Proteocephalus* Weinland. The head, the uterine stem, the position of the ovaries at right angles to the uterine stem, and the position of the testes and the genital canals all indicate this. The granular strand of uncertain nature which Kholodkovski noted in the position of

the excretory canals can hardly be anything other than the vitellaria, in the location usual for the species of the genus *Proteocephalus*. Kholodkovski states that the vitellarium is very small, but it seems likely that he has mistaken something else for the vitellarium. A comparison of the figures with mounted specimens of the worms of the genus *Proteocephalus* leaves no reasonable doubt on this point, and it is the opinion of the writer and Dr. B. H. Ransom, with whom the point was discussed, that it is more likely that the dog from which the tapeworms were obtained had just eaten the true host, some fish, reptile, or batrachian, than that the dog was the true host by virtue of a normal, even though unusual, infection with larval form. Fuhrmann appears to have overlooked the unusual features of this worm in his review of Kholodkovski (1909), and states that the anatomy is that of species of *Taenia*." . . . .

"*Taenia punica* Kholodkovski, 1908, should therefore be known as *Proteocephalus punicus* (Kholodkovski, 1908) Hall, 1910, a combination proposed here for the first time . . . . . ."

La Rue (1911:481) included this species in the genus Ophiotaenia.

After making a careful study of Cholodkovski's description and drawings, and after comparing them with many specimens of Proteocephalidae the writer agrees with Hall that the normal host of this species is not the dog. In the writer's opinion the true host is a snake. This cestode having its testes in two fields does not resemble any of the species of Proteocephalus thus far described from fish. The Proteocephalids that infest the lizards belong to the genus Acanthotaenia and these are distinguished from other Proteocephalids by the presence of spines on the head and neck. The Proteocephalids thus far described from Amphibia are small with rather small heads. Their genital organs, however, resemble those of *Taenia punica*. It is also true that in this respect they agree with the Proteocephalids of snakes. The species from snakes vary in size from small to large. Some of the species have large heads with large and prominent suckers. Their genital organs much resemble those of *Taenia punica*. As in the latter species the vitellaria of the Proteocephalids of snakes are sometimes composed of small follicles which refuse to take the stain well. In such cases it is easy to overlook the vitellaria. As an instance of this might be mentioned the *Taenia eunectes* A. J. Smith in which the coils of the ducts in the interovarial space were thought to be the vitellaria. For these reasons and also on account of the size of the head and strobila the writer suggests that the true host of *Taenia punica* is a snake, and that *Taenia punica* belongs to the genus Ophiotaenia and should therefore be known under the name *Ophiotaenia punica* (Cholodkovski, 1908) La Rue.

OPHIOTAENIA MARENZELLERI (Barrois)

[Figs. 37, 199]

1898:	*Ichthyotaenia marenzelleri*	Barrois	1898:2-3
1908:	*Ichthyotaenia marenzelleri*	Schwarz	1908:26-27
1911:	*Ophiotaenia marenzelleri*	La Rue	1911:481

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Observed length of strobila as much as 40 cm. Maximum breadth 1.5-2.0 mm. Scolex large, round, muscular, 1.2-2.0 mm. broad, well set off from neck. No rostellum. No hooks. No functional fifth sucker. Suckers four, 0.60-0.70 mm. in diameter. Neck not observed. Strobilation distinct. Proglottids closely attached. Length of proglottids as much as 5.5 mm., maximum breadth of same 1.5-2.0 mm. Excretory system composed of two pairs of lateral vessels. Genital organs as in genus. Genital aperture marginal, irregularly alternating, situated near middle of lateral margin of proglottid. Testes numbering 150-200-240, measuring 0.06-0.07 mm. in diameter, situated in two lateral fields. Cirrus-pouch large, extending about one-third across the proglottid breadth. Cirrus, when protruded, swollen at base and filiform at tip, 1 mm. long. Vagina usually posterior to cirrus-pouch. Uterus when completely developed possessing 20-25 lateral outpocketings on either side. Eggs provided with two membranes. Diameter not known.

Habitat: In intestine of *Ancistrodon piscivorous* Holbr., southern United States.

Barrois (1898) in a very brief description, unaccompanied by drawings, proposed this species. Schwarz (1908:26-27) redescribed this species using Barrois's material. His description was accompanied by three drawings. La Rue (1911:481) included this species in the new genus Ophiotaenia.

The material which Barrois and Schwarz had for study was collected by Doctor Calmette, Dec. 22, 1897, from *Ancistrodon piscivorous* Holbr., a snake indigenous to the southern United States. Unfortunately specimens of this species could not be had by the writer for study hence the data used in the following description are derived only from the articles of Barrois (1898) and Schwarz (1908). A study of new material is desirable in order that a more complete knowledge of this form may be had.

This is one of the largest of the species of Ophiotaenia. The observed length is about 40 cm. Schwarz (1908:26) thought that perhaps the length might exceed that of *O. calmettei* (35-80 cm.). The maximum breadth observed was 1.2-2.0 mm. The scolex is large, round and muscular. It has a breadth of 1.5-2.0 mm. Barrois described an unarmed rostellum. Schwarz found no rostellum, nor does his delineation of the head, which is reproduced (Fig. 37), show such a structure. The scolex is clearly set off from the neck. The four suckers are muscular, prominent and nearly circular. They measure 0.60-0.70 mm. No functional fifth sucker is present. The neck was not described by Barrois or by Schwarz. The strobilation is distinct, altho the strobila has no indentations. Proglottids are strongly attached. The length of the largest proglottids is about 5.5 mm. and the maximum breadth about 1.5-2.0 mm. The excretory system is made up of two pairs of lateral vessels, a dorsal and a ventral.

The genital aperture is marginal and it alternates irregularly. It is situated about the middle of the proglottid. The testes (Fig. 199) lie in two well marked lateral fields between the vitellaria and the uterus. Barrois gives 230-240 as their number, Schwarz 150-200. They measure 0.060-0.070 mm. Of the cirrus-sheath and vas deferens Schwarz says ''the relation of the cirrus-sheath and vas deferens is especially characteristic for this form. In ripe segments the greater part of the cirrus-sheath is completely evaginated. There seems to exist a special muscular apparatus which withdraws the cirrus-sheath, when completely sexually mature, from the proglottid, so that it projects free from the margin. In young segments it lies in its normal position within the segment''.

Schwarz has evidently misinterpreted the appearance in this case. His own drawings show no evagination of the cirrus-pouch but a complete evagination of the cirrus which is thick at the base, and filiform at the tip. From this heavy base the ductus ejaculatorius runs straight through the now greatly shrunken cirrus-pouch. There are some coils of the ductus ejaculatorius within the basal part of the cirrus itself. The writer has observed this condition in *O. trimeresuri*. Schwarz states that the cirrus is about 1 mm. long in *O. marenzelleri*. In his drawing the cirrus-pouch extends about ⅓ across the proglottid breadth. The vas deferens forms a mass of coils before entering the cirrus-pouch.

The vagina opens usually posterior to the cirrus-sheath. Its course to the middle of the proglottid forms an arc. At the middle of the proglottid it bends sharply posteriad and then its course is direct to the interovarial space. The uterus is a median tube from which arise on either side many lateral pouches; 20-25 are figured in Schwarz's drawing. The ovary is relatively large and its lobes are somewhat wing-like in shape. The eggs are provided with two membranes. No processes arise from the outer one. No measurements of the eggs were given by Barrois or Schwarz.

The species is clearly differentiated from the other large species of the genus by the size and shape of the head and suckers. The relations of the cirrus and cirrus-pouch vary greatly from the plan common to the other large species of the genus. *O. trimeresuri* which has the same relations of cirrus and cirrus-pouch is considerably smaller. *O. grandis* resembles it in having the same host species and in being of large size. *O. grandis* has, however, a very much smaller head which is not readily distinguished from the strobila. It has much smaller suckers and greatly different relations of cirrus and cirrus-pouch. Its cirrus is short and thick. It also has very many more uterine outpocketings. *O. marenzelleri* is not to be mistaken for *O. racemosa, O. nattereri, O. pigmentata,* or *O. perspicua* on account of its much larger size. *O. filaroides* and *O. lönnbergii* being from Amphibia and also being much smaller cannot be confused with *O. marenzelleri.*

OPHIOTAENIA GRANDIS La Rue

[Figs. 38, 97-101]

1911: *Ophiotaenia grandis* La Rue 1911:481

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Body very long (fragments 200 mm.) by 2.75-4.25 mm. broad in region of ripe proglottids. Neck 5-8 mm. long. First proglottids much broader than long; proglottids with developing sexual organs quadrate or nearly so; ripe proglottids quadrate or much longer than broad. Head large, 1.0-1.2 mm. broad at base of suckers. No fifth sucker or rostellum, no hooks. Suckers nearly circular, deep and muscular, measuring about 0.34 by 0.36 mm. Genital aperture marginal, irregularly alternating, situated near middle of proglottid. No genital papilla but sometimes a deep depression about genital pore. Testes large, numerous, 200-250 in number, arranged in two broad lateral fields. Cirrus-pouch 0.24-0.26 mm. broad, 0.64-0.75 mm. long, length equal to ⅓-⅕ of proglottid width. Cirrus short and heavy. Few or no coils of ductus ejaculatorius in cirrus-pouch. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch. Sphincter vaginae heavy. Uterus with 40-60 lateral outpocketings on either side.

Ventral uterine openings 2-8 in number. Outer egg membrane ellipsoidal, 0.026 by 0.037 mm. or sometimes spherical 0.032 mm. in diameter; second egg membrane 0.021-0.023 mm. Embryos 0.015-0.016 mm. Outer membrane smooth.

Type: Specimens in collections of United States Bureau of Animal Industry, No. 14854.

Habitat: In intestine of *Ancistrodon piscivorous* Holbr. (type host), National Zoological Park. The locality from which this host came is not known. The species is found only in North America.

La Rue (1911:481) described this species in a preliminary way. Six or eight heads together with numerous pieces in a bottle bearing the U. S. Bureau of Animal Industry number 14854 were labelled "*Ichthyotaenia:* host *Ancistrodon piscivorous;* location, intestine; locality Nat. Zoo. Park, collected S. S. Shawhan. Nov. 18, 1907." These were secured for the writer's study by Professor Ward.

No complete worm was found. The largest piece was 200 mm. long and 3.5 mm. broad at the anterior end and 4.25 mm. broad at the posterior end. This piece was made up of proglottids that were well advanced in development, being nearly mature at the anterior end and nearly ripe at the posterior end. The younger proglottids were not distinctly separated by furrows but the more mature ones showed very evident segmentation. Judging from the size of the segments and their state of development it seems likely that the whole worm would attain a length of 300-400 mm. or perhaps even more. Like other species of Ophiotaenia the body is quite flattened. However, the body is thicker than has been observed by the writer in *O. calmettei, O. nattereri, O. trimeresuri, O. perspicua,* and *Crepidobothrium gerrardii.* The head (Fig. 38) is large tho not so large as in *O. marenzelleri* (Barrois) or *Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird). It measures 1.0-1.2 mm. in diameter at the base of the suckers. The scolex, measured from its tip to the base of the suckers has a length of 0.50-0.60 mm. There is no rostellum, no hooks, no fifth sucker, and from toto mounts no vestigial fifth sucker may be observed. As yet no sections of the head have been made.

The four deep suckers are borne on the broadest part of the head and these are directed outward. They are not prominent, are strongly muscular, nearly circular in outline and they lack the upward pointing projection that is always found in the suckers of *C. gerrardii.* The suckers measure 0.34-0.35 mm. in transverse axis by 0.35-0.36 mm. in longitudinal axis. The cavity of the sucker is deep. Its opening measures 0.15-0.17 mm. in longitudinal diameter by 0.20-0.22 mm. in transverse diameter. Immediately back of the head there is sometimes a large inflation (Fig. 38). Since this is not always present it must be due to the contraction of the heavy longitudinal muscles of the upper part of the neck. The neck itself is broad, thin and long, being 0.85-1.0 mm. broad by 4-8 mm. long.

The first proglottids are short, their breadth equalling several times the length. As the proglottids become older the shape changes from the rectangular to nearly quadrate or quadrate. Proglottids ranging in age from nearly mature to ripe are usually longer than broad. Those proglottids which show the beginnings of the cirrus-pouch and vagina measure 0.5 mm. long by 1.0 mm. broad while those in which the anlagen of the testes are appearing measure 0.680 mm. long by 0.850 mm. broad. Both length and breadth increase greatly as the segments near maturity. A few nearly ripe proglottids are broader than long, measuring 3.25 mm. long by 4.25 mm. broad. The longest proglottid found measured 8 mm. long by 2.75 mm. broad while many proglottids measure 3-5 mm. long by 2-3 mm. broad. The surface of the body is somewhat wrinkled, due perhaps to having lain twisted for a long time in the alcohol. There seem normally to be no furrows either longitudinal or transverse. Proglottid limits are not well defined in young proglottids but are well defined in the older ones.

The genital aperture is marginal, irregularly alternating. Frequently the aperture occurs on the same side in several successive proglottids but always in greater numbers on the left side. The greatest number of genital apertures found occurring successively on the left side was ten. No papilla marks the genital aperture. Indeed in many proglottids the muscles are so contracted about the genital aperture as to cause a deep depression (Fig. 100). In such cases it is noteworthy that the cirrus and vagina open to the exterior separately. In many cases it is doubtful if a true genital sinus exists. If present it is extremely shallow. The arrangement of the sexual organs agrees in general with that of other Proteocephalids.

The cirrus-pouch (Figs. 97, 98) is short and broad, and is broadest at the distal end. It is either straight or curved, depending upon the state of contraction of the proglottid and of the muscles in the region of the genital aperture. When the region of the genital aperture is depressed the cirrus-pouch is usually somewhat bent (Fig. 100). Its length is 0.64-0.75 mm. and its breadth 0.24-0.26 mm. The ratio of its length to the breadth of the proglottid is 1:3 or 1:5. The cirrus (Figs. 97, 98) when protruded is thick, short, straight and heavily muscled. It is continuous with the ductus ejaculatorius which forms no, or at least few, coils within the cirrus-pouch. The drawings (Figs. 97, 98) show only a slight twist or turn in this part of the ductus. This description and an examination of the figures makes it evident that the cirrus and cirrus-pouch of the present species are very different from the same organs in *O. marenzelleri* with which this species is closely allied. In that species there are many coils of the ductus ejaculatorius within the cirrus-pouch, and the cirrus when protruded is thick at the base but drawn out to a slender tip. Schwarz (1908) errs in saying that the cirrus-pouch is evaginated in *O. marenzelleri.* His own figures show the cirrus-pouch in situ. In the present species the vas deferens is thrown into numerous coils which serve as a vesicula seminalis. There are about 200-250 testes which are arranged in two lateral fields. The testes measure 0.04-0.05 mm. broad by 0.075-0.100-0.125 mm. long. The vagina opens to the exterior either anterior or posterior to the cirrus-pouch, with almost equal frequency. Out of 45 proglottids examined on this point the vagina in 21 cases opened anterior and in 24 cases posterior to the cirrus-pouch. A heavy sphincter vaginae 0.095 mm. long by 0.150 to 0.160 mm. broad, including vagina, surrounds the vagina near its opening to the exterior. The thickness of the sphincter alone is 0.040-0.070 mm. The vitellaria are follicular in structure, and lateral in position. Each follicle measures about 0.015 by 0.030-0.035 mm. A diagram (Fig. 99) made from a toto preparation shows that the arrangement of the organs of the interovarial space is similar to that figured for other Proteocephalids. The ovaries are thin dorsoventrally. The mid-piece connecting the ovarian lobes is slender and long. The uterus (Fig. 101) in maturing proglottids is a median ventral tube. From this are developed 40-60 lateral pouches on either side. These are formed by the method already described by La Rue (1909) for *O. filaroides.* No eggs are passed into the uterus until the outpocketings have attained a considerable size. Two or more preformed ventral uterine pores are developed before many eggs are present in the uterus. The largest number of the uterine pores in the material studied was eight. The eggs taken from the uterus of alcoholic specimens are usually ellipsoidal, measuring about 0.026 by 0.037 mm. over the outer membrane. Spherical eggs measure about 0.032 mm. The second membrane is spherical, 0.021-0.023 mm. in diameter. The third membrane closely invests the embryo which is 0.015-0.016 mm. in diameter. Mature eggs have smooth contours. There are no hooklets or holding organs on the egg membrane as Schwarz (1908) figures for the eggs of *O. nattereri* (Parona).

The present species differs from *O. marenzelleri* (Barrois) in having a smaller head, smaller suckers, larger proglottids, greater breadth, more numerous testes and more numerous uterine pouches. The character of the evaginated cirri of the two species is very different. It also differs from *Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird) in the size of the head and suckers, and in the character of the latter. *O. grandis* has a long unsegmented neck while *C. gerrardii* has almost no neck. *O. grandis* somewhat resembles *O. calmettei* in the size of the head and suckers. It differs from that species in the size of strobila and of proglottids, and in length of neck. *O. grandis* has a larger number of testes and a cirrus which differs greatly from that of *O. calmettei*. *O. grandis* is so much larger than *O. perspicua, O. nattereri* (Parona), *O. trimeresuri* (Parona), and *O. racemosa* (Rudolphi) that a possibility of confusing it with any of those species is precluded.

CREPIDOBOTHRIUM GERRARDII (Baird)

[Figs. 12, 13, 33, 34, 42, 111-115, 123, 124, 190, 196]

1850:	*Taenia racemosa*	Diesing	1850:511, in part
1860:	*Tetrabothrium gerrardii*	Baird	1860:446-448
1861:	*Tetrabothrium gerrardii*	Baird	1861:228-230
1864:	*Tetrabothrium gerrardii*	Diesing	1864:82
1898:	*Ichthyotaenia gerrardii*	Lühe	1898:652
1899:	*Crepidobothrium gerrardii*	Monticelli	1899:9-25
1899:	*Ichthyotaenia gerrardii*	Lühe	1899:525
1905:	*Taenia racemosa*	Shipley	1905:101
1908:	*Taenia cunectes*	Smith	1908:39-41
1911:	*Crepidobothrium gerrardii*	La Rue	1911:479-480

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Length of strobila as much as 45.6 cm. Maximum breadth 0.85-2.00 and even 6.0 mm. Strobila flat, thin and wrinkled. Proglottids closely attached. Segmentation indistinct. Head large, tetragonal, pyramidal, maximum breadth of same 0.935-1.3 or even as much as 1.75 mm., thickness a little less than breadth, length about 0.68-0.90 mm. Fifth sucker vestigial but with cavity open to exterior. Four suckers prominent, muscular, cordate, with lower margin interrupted and re-entrant into sucker cavity. Breadth of suckers 0.40-0.70 mm. Neck broad, not over 0.5-0.8 mm. long. First proglottids short, rapidly increasing in length. Mature proglottids quadrate. Ripe proglottids longer than broad. Length of same 1.7-2.3 mm. by 0.65-1.09 mm. broad.

Genital pore marginal, irregularly alternating, situated near middle of proglottid. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch. Opening of vagina dorsal to cirrus-pouch. Testes 200-400 in number, small, 0.035-0.050-0.080 mm. in diameter, situated in two lateral fields. Cirrus-pouch 0.23-0.5 mm. long by 0.10-0.15 mm. broad. Length of same 4-5-6 times into the proglottid breadth. Several coils of ductus ejaculatorius in cirrus-sheath. Lumen of vagina large near opening. Sphincter vaginae heavy. Receptaculum seminis present. Ovary posterior, bilobed, lobes thick, short, irregular. Organs of interovarial space as in Ophiotaenia. Vitellaria small, loosely follicular, lateral. Fully developed uterus provided with 20-30 lateral pouches. Eggs possessing two (?) or three membranes. Outer membrane measuring 0.085-0.100 mm., second, 0.028-0.030 mm., embryo 0.016-0.018 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: In intestine of Boidae, a family of South American snakes.

Host	Locality	Collector	Authority
(?) *Ophiomorphus miliaris*	South America	....	Diesing, 1850:511
Eunectes murinus (*scytale*)	South America	....	Diesing, 1850:511
(?) *Bothrops jararacca*	South America	....	Diesing, 1850:511
(?) *Ophis merremii*	Brazil	Natterer	Diesing, 1850:511
Boa constrictor	South America	Edward Gerrard	Baird, 1860:446-448
Eunectes murinus Wagl.	....	....	Shipley, 1905:101 (La Rue)
Eunectes murinus	....	A. J. Smith	Smith, 1908:39-41 (La Rue)

In the discussion of the hosts of *Ophiotaenia racemosa* it was stated that *Ophiomorphus miliaris* and *Ophis merremii* were to be considered as probable hosts of that species. It is doubtful if they serve as the hosts of *Crepidobothrium gerrardii*. *Bothrops jararacca* is one of the Crotalinae and would not be likely to harbor *C. gerrardii*, but in all probability it would be the host of a species resembling *O. marenzelleri*, *O. grandis*, *O. trimeresuri*, and *O. calmettei*. These form a group quite distinct from the other Ophiotaenia. It is very likely that Diesing being unable to make a careful examination of the cestodes of these snakes grouped them all under the name *Taenia racemosa*. It is desirable to re-examine the specimens to which Diesing's description and notes refer, if they still exist. The hosts of *C. gerrardii* of which there is no doubt are *Eunectes murinus* Wagl. and *Boa constrictor* L.

This species was first reported by Diesing (1850:511) who called it *Taenia racemosa* Rud. His diagnosis agrees excellently with that of *Crepidobothrium gerrardii*. He includes as a host one of those species, *Eunectes murinus (scytale)*, from which this species has been reported several times. His description and habitat data are quoted in full:

> "*Taenia racemosa* RUDOLPHI. *Caput* magnum tetragonum, acetabulis angularibus subterminalibus v. terminalibus, subovatis v. cordatis. *Collum* nullum. *Articuli* supremi brevissimi, subsequentes longiores et latiores, angulis rotundatis, ultimi longi parallelopipedi angustiores. *Penes* filiformes basi incrassati, marginales vage alterni. Longit. 2" 1½'; latit. med. 1-3"'; ultim. 1"'.
>
> "*Taenia racemosa* Rudolphi: Synops. App. 692.—Dujardin: Hist. nat. des Helminth. 610.
>
> "Habitaculum. *Ophiomorphus miliaris*, Martio.—*Eunectes Scytale*, Octobri, Februario et Junio.—*Bothrops Mararacca* (misspelling for jararacca), Martio et Aprili.—*Ophis Merremii*, Januario, in Brasilia (*Natterer*): in intestinis. M. C. V."

Baird (1860:446-448) described this species which was found by Mr. Edward Gerrard in the intestine of a *Boa constrictor* from South America. Since the name *Taenia racemosa* Rud. had already been applied to a different species of cestode the name suggested by Baird stands by reason of its priority. Baird's second description (1861:228-230) is a copy of the earlier description (1860:446-448). Because of the inaccessibility of either of these descriptions for many investigators the later diagnosis which alone was accessible is quoted:

> " . . . . . The species now to be described, however, was found by Mr. Edward Gerrard of the British Museum . . . in the intestine of a *Boa constrictor* from South America.
>
> "The head is large, tetragonal; the four bothria disposed crosswise, joined by the margins; each of them large, round, and having on one side a strong ridge. Body depressed, narrow, articulated. No distinct neck. Anterior extremity of body very narrow, and the articulations there are extremely small, becoming larger as they descend, the inferior being quadrangular and rather large. The margins of the articulations somewhat annulated, but having no appearance of genital apertures. The head is about three-fourths of a line broad; but I could not discover any mouth. Apparently only fragments of these worms were obtained; but some of these detached pieces were about 18 inches in length.
>
> "Hab. Intestines of *Boa constrictor*."

Diesing (1864:82) placed this species in the genus *Tetrabothrium* but with some reservation. His diagnosis is quoted in full in order that a comparison of it can be made with his diagnosis of *Taenia racemosa*, Diesing (1850:511):

> "*Tetrabothrium Gerrardii* Baird. *Caput* magnum tetragonum, bothriis quatuor cruciatim oppositis subcircularibus magnis, marginalibus contiguis, singulo costa

. . . . . [1].) *Os*. . . . *Collum* nullum. *Corpus* depressum augustum, articulis supremis angustissimis, posterioribus quadrangularibus. *Aperturae genitalium* . . . . Longit. fragmenti 18″, latit. capit. ¾″′.

"Habitaculum. *Boa Constrictor:* ex America meridionali, in intestinis (Gerrard)."

A comparison of the diagnosis just quoted and the earlier one by Diesing (1850:511) leaves no doubt that the two diagnoses concerned the same species, namely, *Crepidobothrium gerrardii.* Lühe (1898:652) included this species in a list of Ichthyotaenia from snakes. He gave no reason for considering it a member of this genus. Fuhrmann (1899:864) in a footnote made this statement concerning this species: "Lühe, M., Oöchoristica nov. gen. Taeniadarum. (Zoolog. Anz. 1898. No. 576) giebt an, dass T. Gerrardii (Baird) in das Genus Ichthyotaenia gehöre; dies ist aber wie mir Prof. Monticelli mitteilte und wie ich mich selbst an den von ihm nach den originalen angefertigen Zeichnungen uberzeugen konnte, keineswegs der Fall. Es gehört diese Form in ein besonderes Genus, das Prof. Monticelli demnächst unter dem Namen Crepibothrium publizieren wird." During the same year Monticelli (1899:9-25) made a report, accompanied by drawings, upon the type material of this species which was in the British Museum. He also studied some material which had been sent to him by Prof. Fr. Bell. As a result of this study he erected the genus Crepidobothrium for Baird's species alone. Lühe (1899:525) in a footnote discussed the position of this species which he still maintained belonged to the genus Ichthyotaenia. His ground for this belief was that the head and suckers which are different from those of other Ichthyotaenia constitute only a specific difference. He stated that the name Crepibothrium (Fuhrmann 1899) was a nomen nudum. He had not seen Monticelli's article and so was in no position to judge Monticelli's work.

Shipley (1905:101) made the following report of this species: "*Taenia racemosa* Rud. Diesing, Syst. Helm. I. p. 511. Many specimens from *Eunectes murinus* Wagl., it has also been described from the intestine of *Bothrops jararacca* Wagl." Thanks to Professor H. B. Ward who secured them for study, the writer has been able to examine some of Shipley's specimens from the host *Eunectes murinus.* They were probably from the same lot which Shipley recorded in the above quotation. They proved to belong to the species *Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird). Smith (1908) found some cestodes in an anaconda, *Eunectes murinus.* He described them under the name *Taenia eunectes.*

"[1]) "Each of them large, round and having on one side a strong ridge" Baird l. c." (Baird:1860 or 1861).

Upon request Doctor Smith sent some of this material to Professor Ward who has very kindly permitted the writer to study it. Study of this material has shown that it belongs to the species *Crepidobothrium gerrardii.* La Rue (1911:479-480) gave the synonymy of this species briefly and stated some of his findings.

A comparison of the diagnoses of Diesing (1850:511 and 1864:82) with the description by Baird (1861) and with the description by Monticelli (1899:9-25) leaves no ground for doubt that these investigators were reporting the same species. In certain respects the description by Smith (1908:39-41) differs radically from that of Monticelli. However an examination of Smith's material has shown that Smith made numerous misinterpretations which are the cause of the apparent discrepancies between his work and Monticelli's. In his study of this form the writer has found Monticelli correct in the main. It has already been stated that Shipley's material belonged to *C. gerrardii.*

The question concerning the correct name for this species must be settled. From a structural point of view this species cannot be retained in the genus Taenia nor in the genus Tetrabothrium. Anatomically this species is one of the Proteocephalidae. This has been recognized by Lühe (1898), Fuhrmann (1899), and Monticelli (1899). The name which Fuhrmann (1899:864) gave for it can be dismissed as a nomen nudum. Lühe (1898 and 1899) considered that the species belonged to Ichthyotaenia. It has already been shown in the discussion of the genus Proteocephalus that the name Ichthyotaenia Lönnberg (1894) is a synonym of the name Proteocephalus Weinland (1858) and hence cannot be retained. The latter name also antedates the name Crepidobothrium Monticelli (1899) and on that account should be used in place of Crepidobothrium if structurally Baird's species shows sufficient agreement with *Taenia filicollis (ambigua)* Rud. the type of Proteocephalus. An examination of Monticelli's (1899) description and figures and of the writer's description with its accompanying figures, shows conclusively that this species does not agree anatomically with the type of Proteocephalus and that this species really belongs to a different genus. The only available name is Crepidobothrium Monticelli (1899) which is not invalidated by the name Crepibothrium as used by Fuhrmann and which was unaccompanied by a diagnosis, since the word Crepibothrium is not a homonym of Crepidobothrium. Lühe's objection therefore is not valid.

One bottle of 9 specimens with heads, labelled "*Ichthyotaenia Gerrardii* (Baird) ? aus *Eunectes murinus* intestinum Berlin Aquarium" was received from Dr. Anton Collin, Berlin, in answer to a request from Professor Ward. This is now No. 10.179 in Professor Ward's collection.

One bottle of 5 specimens with heads and some pieces, labelled "*Taenia racemosa* Rud from *Eunectes murinus.*" was received from A. E. Shipley, Cambridge. The material is now No. 08.472 in Professor Ward's collection. One bottle of 3 specimens with heads, labelled "*Taenia Eunectes* from Anaconda. sm. Intestine, Phila. Zoo. G. 939. U. P. Path-Hist. 1694," was received from A. J. Smith, Philadelphia. This is now No. 10.190 in Professor Ward's collection. Seven slides, one of them containing a head, labelled "No. 1858, From *Boa Constrictor* Box 53-20, 1893," were received for examination from the Bureau of Animal Industry, Washington.

A careful study of the specimens thus brought together showed that they belonged to the same species. Since in each lot one or more heads were present a careful study of this organ could be made. For this purpose balsam mounts were made from some heads while others were cleared and examined in glycerine. On account of the small amount of material the section method was not used except in a single instance The results of this study are best shown in the accompanying table. The heads used for measurement were chosen quite at random, for an examination of the material showed that a tabulation of measurements of all heads was unnecessary. The dimensions are in millimeters.

Lot number Source Preparation		1858 B. A. I. balsam	08.472 from Shipley balsam unflattened	08.472 from Shipley glycerine	10.179 from Anton Collin glycerine	10.179 from Anton Collin glycerine	10.190 from A. J. Smith glycerine	*T. eunectes* Data from Smith (1908)
Head	breadth	1.360[a]	0.935[b]	1.090	1.190	1.090	1.190	1.300
	length	0.680±	0.680±	0.680±	0.680±	0.680±	0.850	0.900[c]
Suckers	breadth of	0.646	0.400	0.646	0.544	0.510	0.510	0.700
	two	0.646	0.459	....	0.544	....	0.544	....
Neck	breadth	1.000	0.765	0.850	0.402	0.390	1.190	0.300
	length	0.500±	0.680	0.500	0.850	0.500	0.500	1.200[c]

a) Head showed some effect of flattening in mounting. This may have some effect on measurements of suckers also.

b) Head was evidently very strongly contracted as were also the suckers.

c) Measurements were taken from Smith's drawing which was accompanied by a scale of magnification.

A study of the above table shows that in the main the measurements agree very well. Where apparent discrepancies occur they are easily accounted for by states of contraction and compression. In every case the shape of the suckers is the same, due allowances being made for contraction and relaxation. Every head of the four lots was examined in this respect and in each sucker the lower margin was turned in thus "forming an angular intrusion into the cavity of the sucker" as Smith (1908) described it. In the more contracted or in greatly expanded suckers this condition was somewhat difficult to make out but when properly cleared as in glycerine or balsam it was apparent. A study of toto preparations of the proglottids from the various lots fails to disclose any good characters for separating them. The writer therefore bases the following description upon this material so collected together, referring at times to special lots. He also uses the descriptions by Smith (1908) and by Monticelli (1899) as sources of comparative data. It was desired to study the slides upon which Monticelli based his description but unfortunately Professor Ward was unable to secure them.

This is one of the largest of the Proteocephalid species inhabiting snakes. *O. calmettei* (Barrois), *O. marenzelleri* (Parona), *O. grandis* are of about the same size. The latter even exceeds *C. gerrardii* in some measurements. Next smaller than the members of this group comes *O. racemosa* (Rud.). A comparison of the table at the end of this report shows the size relationships of these species. The strobila varies considerably in length. According to Baird (1860) it measures 45.6 cm. (18 inches). Smith (1908) reports specimens 95-100 mm. long. Diesing (1850:511) gives its length as 2 inches to 1½ feet. Monticelli gives no data on this point. The reported breadth varies from 0.85 mm. in some short specimens examined by the writer to 1.8 mm. in the B. A. I. slide 1858, to 2.0 mm. according to Smith, and 2.0-6.0 mm. (1-3 lines) in the middle to 2 mm. (1 line) at the posterior end, according to Diesing (1850). The strobila is flat and relatively thin. The surface is more or less thrown into folds. The proglottids are attached by their full width. The segmentation is indistinct in the anterior region and more distinct in the region of mature and ripe proglottids. In certain strobilas the segmentation is more distinct than in others. It is never as clearly marked as in some species of Proteocephalids.

The head is large, readily distinguishable to the naked eye. Baird describes it in these words: "The head is large, tetragonal; the four bothria disposed crosswise, joined by the margins." Smith (1908) says of it, "The head, viewed from the front presents a crucial appearance from the prominence of the suckers, measuring transversely across the two opposed suckers 1.5 mm. and laterally across two adjacent suckers 1.3 mm. . . . . . The suckers, thus prominent, form the rounded arms of the crucial frontal picture, each sucker being globose in shape and having a lateral diameter of about 0.7 mm." Smith's figures of the head are reproduced (Figs. 33, 34). Monticelli's (1899) description of the head reads: "Come si vede, il capo (Monticelli's figures of the head are reproduced in figures 123, 124) è abbastanza grande e ben distinto dal collo che anteriormente si slarga di poco come pei sostenerlo: esso ha l'aspetto grossolano di un pomo da bastone e, come si rileva dalla figura, è rigonfio e massiccio, tetragonale, a forma di piramide tronca. Anteriormente ristretto, cupuliforme, terminato nel mezzo da un indistinto cocuzzoletto apicale conoide; posteriormente slargato e troncato sporge oltre il collo per i suoi spessi margini rigonfi e presenta, nel mezzo di ciascuno dei quattro lati, una insenatura molto accentuata."

The head is always tetragonal and somewhat pyramidal. This shape may be varied to some extent by the states of contraction. A head which is relaxed and whose suckers are expanded may have a lobate appearance (Fig. 13). Here deep grooves may be seen between the suckers. In greatly contracted heads (Fig. 12) the suckers are drawn closely together, the furrows between the suckers are almost obliterated and the suckers themselves are reduced in size. In such heads the suckers are seen to be directed forward while in the relaxed head the suckers are directed outward. These figures should be compared with the drawings of heads by Smith (1908) and Monticelli (1899), which have been reproduced here (Figs. 33, 34 and Figs. 123, 124). These figures illustrate the various states of contraction which one meets in a study of considerable material. The dimensions of the head are: breadth 0.935-1.30 mm. in the writer's material, 1.75 mm. (Baird), thickness nearly equals breadth, length about 0.68-0.90 mm. The apex of the head is slightly elevated, rarely flat. There is no rostellum and no spines.

From toto preparations no trace of a fifth sucker could be found but in a series of transverse sections of the head a small sunken fifth sucker was found which had degenerated until it had lost its radial musculature (Fig. 42). The sucker still possessed its opening to the exterior which measured 0.037 by 0.026 mm. and this opening led into a cavity but a little more than 0.03 mm. deep. The sucker measured about 0.048 by 0.067 mm. on its transverse axes. From the tip it extended about 0.06 mm. into the head. This tissue was in bad condition. It took the stain poorly. Its nuclei were not visible either in the sucker or in the other parts of the head. Cell outlines were indistinct or lacking. The musculature of the sucker was irregular or perhaps no longer visible. There were however certain fibers which seemed to be muscles. A basement membrane was clearly visible and surrounding this on the outside were circular muscle fibers which could be readily distinguished by focussing on successive layers of tissue. The sucker cavity was filled with a granular mass of unknown origin. The writer noted a similar granular material in the sucker cavities of degenerating suckers of *Ophiotaenia filaroides*. This sucker stands about midway between the vestigial sucker of *O. filaroides, O. lönnbergii* and *P. ambloplitis* on the one hand and the functional fifth sucker of *P. fallax, P. pinguis* and *P. percae* on the other. Approximately the same stage of degeneration is shown in the drawing (Fig. 44) of a degenerating fifth sucker of the plerocercus of *O. filaroides* as is shown by the fifth sucker in *C. gerrardii.*

Of the suckers Monticelli (1899) writes:

> "Non vi sono botridii, ma quattro ventose, grandi ovoidali, disposte in croce, secondo il loro asse maggiore, ai quattro angoli del capo ed obliguamente e convergenti verso il cocuzzoletto apicale. Esse sono approfondate nello spessore del capo e ne occupano quasi tuta la lunghezza; fra le ventose il capo si infossa in piccoli solchi longitudinal che si terminano nelle insenature marginali innanzi ricordato. Le ventose hanno forma di ferro di cavalloe, dirò meglio, ricordano la figura di un piede di cavallo ferrato visto di sotto. Esse hanno un aspetto caratteristico proprio, che può facilmente ricavarsi dalla fig. 2 e, meglio ancora, dalla fig. 3, che mostra il capo visto di sopra ed alquanto schiacciato. Chè il margine posteriore delle ventose non è integro, ma scavato da una insenatura formata dal ripiegarsi verso il mezzo del cavo di esse delle pareti posteriori della ventosa—che non formano un tutto continuo, ma sono posteriormente interrotte—che ravvicinate fra loro, constituiscono una doppia cresta, breve, la quale si perde, divaricando in due rametti a V, nel fondo della ventosa. Ma, meglio che dalla descrizione, può intendersi la peculiare struttura di queste ventose, già adombrata dal Baird, come si rileva dalle parole innanzi citate, dall' esame delle figure 2 e 3, che danno una fedele immagine della forma del capo del cestode in esame, come l'ho ricavata dallo studio del tipo originale del Baird."

Monticelli's figures above mentioned are reproduced (Figs. 123-124). In these figures the point of the inturned margin is split and each arm of the "V" is turned out. Material examined by the writer shows no such spreading of the point of the "V". A series of transections show that the point of the "V" is closed. When the sucker is much relaxed the point is difficult to see, but it may be found if the head be cleared and examined in glycerine. Likewise in very strongly contracted suckers the inturned point may escape detection except when cleared and examined under favorable conditions. From an examination of three heads from Smith's material the writer is led to believe that the narrow slit-like opening in the suckers as shown in his figures (reproduced Figs. 33, 34) is due to foreshortening. Usually the opening is much more nearly round than here shown. The suckers are very prominent, most prominent in a relaxed head (Fig. 13). They measure 0.40-0.70 mm. in breadth. The average is about 0.55 mm.

A poorly defined neck region separates the head from the body. Baird (1860) says: "No distinct neck. Anterior extremity of the body very narrow." Monticelli (1899) says: "Il collo è relativamente lunghotto e le prime proglottidi sono come delle rughe e molto indistinte." A. J. Smith (1908) gives a width of 0.300 mm. for the neck and his drawing shows a length of 1.20 mm. Measurements of Smith's material however gives a width up to 1.190 mm. Measurements of six strobilas give 0.5-0.85 mm. for the length of the neck, and in these cases it is probable that the measurements given are too great. The region of proglottid formation begins very close to the head and here the proglottids are very short and their boundaries poorly defined. The neck is thin and flat. The first proglottids are much broader than long. As they become older they increase rapidly in length. Mature segments are nearly quadrate while ripe proglottids are longer than broad. The moniliform proglottids, mentioned by Smith (1908), are not characteristic of the species but are due to contraction states. Among the three specimens which he sent to Professor Ward was a short one which had these peculiarly contracted proglottids. The longest and most perfect specimens had no segments of this character nor were such proglottids observed among the specimens of the other lots. Ripe proglottids measure 1.70-2.30 mm. in length by 0.65-1.09 mm. in breadth.

The genital anlagen appear very early in the chain. In fact they are to be seen in all but the youngest proglottids. The genital aperture is irregularly alternating. It is situated near the middle of the margin of the segment. The vagina and the cirrus open into the common genital sinus, the vagina being either anterior or posterior to the cirrus-pouch. A genital sinus can scarcely be said to exist. Under favorable circumstances the sinus may be seen to be 0.020-0.030 mm. deep. This shallow sinus is easily overlooked. The opening of the vagina is sometimes dorsal to the cirrus-pouch but the writer is not prepared to say that it always occupies that position. Monticelli (1899) thinks that the vagina normally lies above the cirrus-pouch and that when it is found anterior or posterior to the same it has been displaced by pressure. From work on this species and also on several other species in which the vagina may lie in either the anterior or posterior position the writer is convinced that pressure has nothing to do with this variation. The preparations studied have all been made without compression, yet the vaginae alternate irregularly in position. Sections in a frontal plane through developing proglottids of *O. lönnbergii* show the vaginae sometimes anterior and sometimes posterior to the cirrus-pouch. They develop in those positions which they hold in mature and ripe proglottids. A careful examination of toto preparations of *C. gerrardii* and of several species of Ophiotaenia shows that if the vagina is in an anterior position it extends farther anteriad before bending toward the genital pore than it does when it occupies the posterior position. If these vaginae are displaced by pressure then they ought to show evidence of such displacement by their form or structure. This they do not do, as an examination of the drawings (Figs. 111-115) will show. It will be noted that when the vagina is anterior to the cirrus-pouch it usually crosses the coils of vas deferens. In *C. gerrardii* the vagina, when anterior, never crosses the cirrus-pouch.

The testes lie in two broad fields which tend to coalesce in the anterior region of the proglottid. Testes number about 240 in the specimens from the Bureau of Animal Industry. Monticelli (1899) found about 200 or more in each proglottid. Smith (1908) figures but 87 testes. There must be an enormous variation in the number of testes or else Smith overlooked some of them, for in a preparation of an immature proglottid from one of his specimens the writer counted nearly 400 testes. In this proglottid, delineated (Fig. 113), the testes are very small. In maturity many of them might be crowded down to a lower plane and so might be overlooked. However, in his preparations the writer has not found evidence for this crowding. There is no evidence that any of the testicular anlagen fuse together or degenerate. As a rule the testes in this species measure 0.030-0.050 mm. in diameter but in a specimen from lot 10.179 the testes measured as much as 0.080 mm. Monticelli (1899) says that they are about twice the size of the vitelline follicles. The vas deferens (Fig. 112) in ripe proglottids is thrown into numerous, heavy, spermatozoa-laden coils which extend in a mass nearly to the middle of the proglottid. Within the cirrus-pouch the ductus ejaculatorius makes a few coils before passing over into the cirrus. The latter when protruded (Fig. 114), is short, thick and very muscular. Monticelli's description agrees with this statement. The cirrus-pouch is short, broad and heavily muscled. Its length is about 0.23-0.25 mm. and its breadth about 0.10-0.15 mm. In maturing proglottids the ratio of its length to the segment breadth is 1:5 or 1:6 while in ripe proglottids it is about 1:4.

These organs (Figs. 112-115) are arranged as in the Ophiotaenia. The lumen of the vagina (Figs. 114, 190) near its opening to the exterior is large. The vagina is here surrounded by a strong sphincter vaginae. There are no coils of vagina anterior to the ovary. A receptaculum seminis has not been seen. Monticelli (1899) states that it is present. The ovary is bilobed and it is situated in the posterior part of the proglottid. The lobes are thick, broad and short. Their outlines are more or less irregular. An oöcapt, oötype, shell-gland, oviduct, unpaired vitelline duct, lower vagina, and a uterine passage are present and these organs are arranged in the manner common to the Proteocephalidae. Monticelli (1899) gives a long and detailed description of the arrangement of these organs upon which no comments are necessary. Smith (1908) mistook these organs of the interovarial space for vitellaria.

The vitellaria are lateral loosely follicular masses which extend throughout the length of the proglottid. The follicles are small, and in some preparations they took the stain poorly. It is probable that Smith had the same difficulty in staining the vitellaria and for that reason he entirely overlooked them. Monticelli states that the vitellaria lie outside of the inner longitudinal muscle layer. The writer has examined nothing but toto preparations which perhaps are not trustworthy for the determination of this point. Nevertheless it seems that Monticelli's statement is the result of a misinterpretation of the facts. The uterus (Figs. 111, 113, 114, 115) is a median tube which in ripe proglottids (Fig. 112) has from 20 to 30 lateral outpocketings on either side. As these pouches fill with eggs they become rounded and the septa become indistinct. The uterus is not connected with the lobes of the ovary as Smith (1908) figures it. His figure is incorrect. The pouches are more numerous and more closely applied to each other and less slender than he shows them. Uterine pores were not visible in material examined by the writer. As to the method of discharging the eggs Monticelli (1899) writes: "Nelle ultime proglottidi l'utero, pregno a rimpinzato d'uova, sporge alquanto, facendo ernia contro le pareti, sulla faccia ventrale delle proglottidi. Non ho osservato orifizio esterno permante dell'utero, come quelle che Krämer ha visto in alcuni *Tetracotylus* (p. e. *T. filicollis*) [Monticelli here cites Pl. 28 fig. 42 in Krämer's paper of 1892] e neppure ho constato determinarsi uno sbocca provvisorio per dar uscita alle uova: penso, forse, l'uscita delle uova all'esterno avvenga, come in altri casi, per deiscenza."

According to Smith (1908) the six-hooked embryo measures 0.016-0.018 mm. in diameter, the two membranes 0.028-0.030 mm. and 0.085-0.100 mm. respectively. The writer was unable to measure or to study any eggs of this species hence he is not able to comment on Smith's data nor upon Monticelli's description of the eggs which is here quoted:

> "Le uova uterine, relativemente piccole, hanno un guscio spesso e molto evidente: contengono già l'oncosfera involta in una veste, od invoglio, che come una teca a netto contorno e non molte spessa, per quanto ben distinta, circonda, come in altri teniadi, l'embrione. Ciascun uovo, con il relativo guscio, è racchiuso in una capsula grande, sferoidale e di diametro assai più del doppio del guscio,

omologa analoga a quella che si osserva in altri cestodi (capsule uterine, od ovariche Auct.). La quale, come altrove ho dimostrato [*Monticelli, Fr. Sav.*—Sulla cosidetta subcuticola dei Cestodi, in: Rend R. Acc. Sc. Napoli, Fasc. 7-12, Luglio-Decembre 1892 (sul princpio dove parlo dell'uovo dei Cestodi e ne riassumo lo sviluppo).] nulla ha da vedere col guscio delle uova essendo essa una formazione molto diverso, secondaria e posteriore: circa l'origine di questa, oltre quanto ho espresso nel citato mio lavoro, veggasi pure il Diamare [*Diamare, V.*—Il genere *Dipylidium,* in: Atti R. Accad. Sc. Nap. (2) Vol. VI. N. 7, 31 pp, 3 Tavole]. Capsula queste che ritengo possa e debba venir interpretata come una sorte di *cocon* e corrispondente a formazioni del genere che si osservano nelle uova di altri animali e ne circondona il guscio."

Since Smith's specimens of *Taenia eunectes* Smith came from a host which is not uncommon in American zoölogical gardens and since his paper will probably be widely referred to by American investigators more specific attention is called to certain misinterpretations in the description and delineation of this species by Smith (1908). His figure 4, Pl. III which has not been reproduced shows very clearly that he considered this form to be a species of Taenia. His attempt to make the organs of this species agree with those of Taenia has resulted in considerable confusion. The organ which he has labelled the vagina is the cirrus-pouch and vice-versa. The receptaculum seminis of his labelling is the mass of coils of the vas deferens. The lateral vitellaria he has omitted entirely. He labels vitellaria that mass of coils comprising the organs of the interovarial space. The ovary in this species is never connected with the uterus as he figures it, but always through the single oviduct, oötype, and uterine passage as has been figured (Figs. 99, 104). The writer has never seen the lateral pouches of the uterus in this species or in any species of Ophiotaenia as Smith figures them. The uterus which he figures is characteristic of Taenia, not of a Proteocephalid. Unfortunately the writer has not been able to examine a proglottid from the material received from Smith that was in the same state of development as that shown in his figure. The writer has, however, examined younger proglottids and these in every case and in every essential particular agreed with the specimens received from other sources.

There can be no doubt that Smith's specimens belong to the species *Crepidobothrium gerrardii* Monticelli, hence the name *Taenia eunectes* is a synonym of *C. gerrardii* and should be suppressed.

This is the only known species in the genus Crepidobothrium. It finds its nearest congeners among the larger species of Ophiotaenia. While in the structure of the proglottids and in the arrangement of the genital organs this species agrees almost perfectly with the Ophiotaenia there remain two characters which are deemed of sufficient value to warrant a separation of the snake Proteocephalids into two genera. These characters are the structure of the suckers and the length of the neck. The first character has already been discussed. All the known species of Ophiotaenia have relatively long necks, i. e., 2-8 mm. long while *C. gerrardii* has a short neck. Monticelli was amply justified in separating this species from his genus Tetracotylus, sensu latu. However since it has been shown that his type species of Tetracotylus should be separated from the genus Proteocephalus and even from the family Proteocephalidae, his arguments in justification of his action are not repeated.

Monticelli's (1899) diagnosis of the genus Crepidobothrium reads:

> "Capo inerme, grande, rigonfio, piramidato: ventose ellittiche, posteriormente a margine interrotto e rientrante nel cavo della ventosa. Aperture genitali marginali, irregolarmente alternanti. Orifizio dell'antro genitale subventrale, largo: sbocco della vagina dorsalmente e disotto alla tasca del pene: ovario piccolo: testicoli piccolissimi, numerosi, collocati nel mezzo della proglottide, internamente alla musculatura longitudinale interna, in unica serie. Utero allungato, tubolare, sacciforme. Vitellogeni piccoli, numerosi, periferici. Uova ravvolte in una capsula gelatinosa."

His diagnosis does not require much amplification but may be restated thus. *Crepidobothrium* Monticelli: Head large, swollen, pyramidal, tetragonal, unarmed. Fifth sucker vestigial. Suckers inversely cordate, posterior margin interrupted and re-entrant into sucker cavity. Genital aperture marginal, irregularly alternating. Vaginal opening dorsal to cirrus-pouch. Vagina anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch. Testes in two lateral fields anterior to the ovary. Ovary bilobed, lobes irregular, relatively small. Uterus tubular, median, possessing in ripe proglottids numerous lateral outpocketings. All genital organs within inner longitudinal musculature except portion of cirrus-pouch and vagina. Eggs small, provided with three(?) membranes, outer one gelatinous.

Type of genus:—Crepidobothrium gerrardii (Baird) from *Boa constrictor* L. (type host); So. America (Brazil) (type locality).

In the following table (pages 260-267) the principal data relating to the species of Ophiotaenia and Crepidobothrium are brought together:

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Species of *Ophiotaenia* and *Crepidobothrium*

Characters	*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue	*O. lönnbergii* (Fuhrmann)	*O. nattereri* (Parona)	*O. perspicua* La Rue
Strobila	8.0-11.0 cm. long x 0.8-0.9 mm. broad at widest part	17-19 cm. long x 1.35 mm. broad at widest part	7.5-25 cm. long x 1 mm. broad at widest part	36 cm. long x 2.0 mm. broad at widest part
Neck	3-4 mm. long, narrow	2 mm. long x 0.375-0.54 mm. broad	Long, 7-8 times the length of head, breadth not known	5-7 mm. long x 0.17-0.425 mm. broad
First proglottids	0.1-0.17 mm. long x 0.3-0.36 mm. broad	0.05 mm. long x 0.5 mm. broad	Broader than long	Much broader than long.
Mature proglottids	Quadrate or longer than broad	0.85-1.0 mm. square or more elongated. May be as much as 2.5 mm. long x 0.45-0.5 mm. broad	Length about equal to breadth	2 mm. square or longer than broad
Ripe proglottids	1.6 mm. long x 0.8 mm. broad to 4.0 mm. long x 0.75 mm. broad	....	2.0 mm. long x 0.33-0.50 mm. broad	Largest proglottid measured 3.8 mm. long x 1.2 mm. broad
Head	Globose, flattened dorsoventrally, apex conical 0.366-0.46 mm. broad	Globose, flattened dorsoventrally. 0.5-0.6 mm. broad	Rounded but not spheroidal. 0.24-0.25 mm. broad	Conical, flattened dorsoventrally, cut by deep grooves. 0.355-0.408 mm. broad
Suckers (the four)	Oval, with deep cavities. Max. dimension 0.165-0.184 mm.	Prominent, oval, cavities deep. Dimensions 0.24-0.26 mm. long x 0.14-0.22 mm. broad	Circular, cavities deep, margins heavy. Diameter 0.12-0.15 mm.	Circular, oval or somewhat triangular. 0.105-0.17 mm. in maximum dimension
Fifth Sucker	Vestigial, deep set in tissues of head	Vestigial, deep set in tissues of head	Not present? If present must be vestigial	Vestigial, deep set in tissues of head
Genital pore, location on margin of segment	At end of first fifth of proglottid	At end of first third to two-fifths of proglottid	A little anterior to middle of proglottid	At end of first third to half
Cirrus-pouch, size	0.22 mm. long x 0.11 mm. broad	0.185-0.28 mm. long x 0.05-0.085-0.1 mm. broad	0.265-0.28 mm. long	0.255-0.32 mm. long x 0.08-0.09 mm. broad
Cirrus-pouch, length into breadth of segment	3-4 times	3-5 times	3-3½ times	3-4 times

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Species of *Ophiotaenia* and *Crepidobothrium* (Continued)

Characters	*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue	*O. lönnbergii* (Fuhrmann)	*O. nattereri* (Parona)	*O. perspicua* La Rue
Ductus ejaculatorius	With few coils	In several coils	In many coils	In several coils
Testes, number	70-114	90-160	80-100	150-215
Testes, size	0.05-0.06 mm. in diameter	0.05-0.08-0.12 mm. in diameter	0.042 mm. in diameter	0.053-0.106 mm. in diameter
Testes, arrangement	In 2 lateral fields	In 2 lateral fields, reaching laterad of excretory vessels	In 2 lateral fields	In 2 lateral fields
Vagina, relation of, to cirrus pouch	Anterior to cirrus-pouch, never crossing latter	Anterior or posterior, never crossing cirrus-pouch	Anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch, frequently crossing or lying dorsal to latter	Anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch, never crossing latter
Uterus, pouches on either side	20-35	25-40	15-20	20-30
Embryo, size	Diameter 0.21 mm.	Yolk-mass 0.01-0.012 mm. in diameter	Egg 0.024 mm. in diameter	0.018-0.021 mm. in diameter
Eggs, number and size of membranes	Three membranes, outer one 0.035-0.1 mm., second 0.03 mm. in diameter	....	....	Three membranes, outer one 0.045-0.1 mm. in diameter
Additional data	8-12 uterine pores. Excretory ducts straight	Main excretory ducts pass through the segments as spirals	Protruded cirrus heavy at base, slender at tip, 0.2-0.21 mm. long	Protruded cirrus slender, 0.2 mm. long
Hosts	*Amblystoma tigrinum*	*Necturus maculosus*	*Coluber* sp.	*Natrix rhombifer*
Locality	Nebraska, Kansas	Ohio, Indiana	Liguria, Italy	Illinois, Oklahoma
Chief sources of data	LaRue (1909)	Fuhrmann (1895), the present paper	Parona (1901), Schwarz (1908), the present paper	The present paper

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Species of *Ophiotaenia* and *Crepidobothrium* (Continued)

Characters	*O. lactea* (Leidy)	*O racemosa* (Rudolphi)	*O. pigmentata* (von Linstow)
Strobila	38.6 cm. long x 1.4 mm. broad when alive, 19.3 cm. long x 2.1 mm. broad when preserved	16 cm. long x 1 mm. broad	32 mm. long x 1.78 mm. broad
Neck	Moderately long	....	Very long
First proglottids	Broader than long	....	0.13 mm. long x 1.1 mm. broad
Mature proglottids	Longer than broad	....	....
Ripe proglottids	....	2 mm. long x 1 mm. broad	....
Head	Small	0.54 mm. broad	Rounded, about 0.5 mm. broad x 0.57 mm. long
Suckers (the four)	Hemispherical	Circular, 0.27-0.3 mm. in diameter	Circular. 0.18 mm. in diameter
Fifth Sucker	....	No functional fifth sucker present	Apparently not present
Genital pore, location on margin of segment	Marginal	At end of first third	....
Cirrus-pouch, size	....	....	....
Cirrus-pouch, length into breadth of seg-	....	About 2 times	....

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Species of *Ophiotaenia* and *Crepidobothrium* (Continued)

Characters	*O. lactea* (Leidy)	*O. racemosa* (Rudolphi)	*O. pigmentata* (von Linstow)
Ductus ejaculatorius	....	Sinuous	....
Testes, number	....	100-120	....
Testes, size	....	0.072-0.078 mm. in diameter	....
Testes, arrangement	....	In 2 lateral fields, not completely separated	....
Vagina, relation of, to cirrus-pouch	....	Anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch	....
Uterus, pouches on either side	....	20	....
Embryo, size	....	Egg 0.024 mm. in diameter	....
Eggs, number and size of membranes	....	Three membranes	....
Additional data	....	Testicular field not interrupted at either end of segment	Tissue filled with darkly pigmented chalk-bodies
Hosts	*Natrix (Tropidonotus) sipedon*	*Coluber* sp., *Ophiomorphus miliaris, Ophis merremii*	*Psammodynastes pulverulentus*
Locality	Locality not stated; probably eastern United States	Brazil	Java (Semarang)
Chief sources of data	Leidy (1855)	Schwarz (1908)	von Linstow (1908)

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Species of *Ophiotaenia* and *Crepidobothrium* (Continued)

Characters	*Ophiotaenia trimeresuri* (Parona)	*O. calmettei* (Barrois)	*O. punica* (Cholodkovski)
Strobila	10.5 cm. long x 0.75-1.5 mm. broad at widest part	27-40-80 cm. long x 0.97-1.2-2.0 mm. broad	10.0 cm. long x 2-2.75 mm. broad
Neck	3 times length of head, 0.25-0.5 mm. broad	4-5-8 mm. long x 0.58-0.98 mm. broad	Very short
First proglottids	Broader than long	Much broader than long	Broader than long
Mature proglottids	About square or longer than broad	About 0.85 mm. square	Quadrate or longer than broad
Ripe proglottids	No fully ripe proglottids seen. (La Rue)	2-3-4 mm. long x 1-1.2 mm. broad	....
Head	0.75 mm. broad	Somewhat spheroidal or tetragonal, 1.0-1.3 mm. broad, 0.6 mm. long, 0.935 mm. thick	Somewhat tetragonal, 1.5 mm. broad
Suckers (the four)	Prominent, hemispherical, muscular, 0.16-0.25 mm. in diameter	Globular, 0.27-0.3-0.408 mm. in diameter. Cavity deep, 0.12-0.17-0.185 mm. in diameter	Round, muscular, 0.7 mm. in diameter. Inner wall thicker than outer
Fifth Sucker	Not present. Vestigial?	Not present. Vestigial?	Not present. Vestigial?
Genital pore, location on margin of segment	At end of first third	At or near middle	Near middle
Cirrus-pouch, size	0.27-0.33 mm. long x 0.136 mm. broad	0.25-0.29-0.33 mm. long x 0.1-0.136 mm. broad	....
Cirrus-pouch, length into breadth of segment	2½-4 times	5-6 times in mature and 4 times in ripe segments	3-4 times

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Species of *Ophiotaenia* and *Crepidobothrium* (Continued)

Characters	*Ophiotaenia trimeresuri* (Parona)	*O. calmettei* (Barrois)	*O. punica* (Cholodkovski)
Ductus ejaculatorius	In many coils	With numerous coils	....
Testes, number	100-108	130-160	200
Testes, size	0.063-0.08 x 0.027 mm.	0.026-0.04-0.06 x 0.053 mm.	....
Testes, arrangement	In 2 lateral fields, not near vitellaria	In 2 lateral fields	In 2 well defined lateral fields
Vagina, relation of, to cirrus-pouch	Anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch, not crossing latter	Anterior or posterior, sometimes opening dorsal to cirrus-pouch, not crossing latter	....
Uterus, pouches on either side	20-30?	24-35	Not seen
Embryo, size	....	0.212 mm.	....
Eggs, number and size of membranes	....	Three membranes, second one 0.022-0.024 mm., inner 0.014 mm. in diameter	....
Additional data	Many coils of ductus ejaculatorius in base of protruded cirrus	Genital sinus shallow. Vagina broad near genital sinus	Vitellaria appearing granular in toto preparations
Hosts	*Trimeresurus formosus*	*Lachesis (Bothrops) lanceolatus*	Dog (?). Probably a snake
Locality	Island of Mentawei, East Indies	Martinique; São Paulo, Brazil	Island of Dscherba, Tunis
Chief sources of data	Parona (1898), Schwarz (1908), the present paper	Barrois (1898), Marotel (1898), Schwarz (1908), the present paper	Cholodkovski (1908)

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Species of *Ophiotaenia* and *Crepidobothrium* (Continued)

Characters	*O. marenzelleri* (Barrois)	*O. grandis* La Rue	*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird)
Strobila	40 cm. long x 1.2-2.0 mm. broad	Fragments measured 2.75-4.25 mm. broad at widest part and 20 cm. long.	9.5-45.6 cm. long x 0.85-2.0-6.0 mm. broad
Neck	....	4-8 mm. long x 0.85-1.0 mm. broad	Very short and broad. 0.5-0.8 mm. long x 0.3-1.19 mm. broad
First proglottids	....	Much broader than long	Much broader than long
Mature proglottids	....	Usually longer than broad	Nearly square
Ripe proglottids	Largest ripe segments 5.5 mm. long x 1.5-2.0 mm. broad	Usually longer than broad. 3-5 mm. long x 2-3 mm. broad. Longest segment measured 8 x 2.75 mm.	1.7-2.3 mm. long x 0.65-1.9 mm. broad
Head	Round, muscular, 1.5-2 mm. broad	Somewhat conical, base swollen and merged with neck, 1-1.2 mm. broad, 0.5-0.6 mm. long.	Somewhat tetragonal and pyramidal, 0.93-1.3-1.75 mm. broad, flattened dorsoventrally, 0.68-0.9 mm. long
Suckers (the four)	Round, prominent, 0.6-0.7 mm. in diameter	Circular, not prominent, 0.34-0.35 mm. broad x 0.35-0.36 mm. long	Prominent, muscular, cordate with lower margin interrupted and re-entrant into cavity. 0.4-0.7 mm. broad
Fifth Sucker	Not present. Vestigial?	Not present. Vestigial?	Small, degenerate and sunken, still possessing an opening to exterior
Genital pore, location on margin of segment	Near middle	Near middle	Near middle
Cirrus-pouch, size	Large	0.64-0.75 mm. long x 0.24-0.26 mm. broad	0.23-0.5 mm. long x 0.1-0.15 mm. broad
Cirrus-pouch, length into breadth of segment	3 times	3-5 times	4-5-6 times

Comparative Table of Selected Characters of Species of *Ophiotaenia* and *Crepidobothrium* (Continued)

Characters	*O. marenzelleri* (Barrois)	*O. grandis* La Rue	*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird)
Ductus ejaculatorius	Somewhat coiled	Nearly straight	With several coils
Testes, number	150-200-240	200-250	200-400
Testes, size	0.06-0.07 mm. in diameter	0.04-0.05 x 0.075-0.1-0.125 mm.	0.035-0.05-0.08 mm. in diameter
Testes, arrangement	In 2 lateral fields	In 2 broad lateral fields	In 2 lateral fields
Vagina, relation of, to cirrus-pouch	Usually posterior to cirrus-pouch	Anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch	Anterior or posterior to cirrus-pouch, vagina opens dorsal to latter
Uterus, pouches on either side	20-25	40-60	20-30
Embryo, size	....	0.015-0.016 mm. in diameter	0.016-0.018 mm. in diameter
Eggs, number and size of membranes	Two membranes	Three membranes, outer one 0.026 x 0.037 or 0.032 mm. in diameter, second 0.021-0.023 mm.	Three membranes, outer one 0.085-0.1 mm., second 0.028-0.03 mm. in diameter
Additional data	Protruded cirrus long and slender, swollen at base, filiform at tip, 1 mm. long. Some coils of ductus in swollen base	Protruded cirrus short and heavy, containing no coils of ductus ejaculatorius in base	Fifth sucker visible only in sections
Hosts	*Ancistrodon piscivorus*	*Ancistrodon piscivorus*	*Eunectes murinus*, *Boa constrictor*
Locality	Southern United States	Southern United States	Brazil
Chief sources of data	Barrois (1898), Schwarz (1908)	The present paper	Baird (1861), Diesing (1850, also 1864), Monticelli (1899), Smith (1908), the present paper

OÖCHORISTICA CRYPTOBOTHRIUM (von Linstow) La Rue

1906:	*Ichthyotaenia cryptobothrium*	von Linstow	1906:185
1911:	*Oöchoristica cryptobothria*	La Rue	1911:481

Under the name of *Ichthyotaenia cryptobothrium* von Linstow (1906) described a cestode from the intestine of a tree-snake, *Chrysopelea ornata* Russell, Kurunegala. La Rue (1911) stated, but without assigning reasons therefor, that this species did not belong in the genus Ichthyotaenia or Proteocephalus but in the genus Oöchoristica Lühe.

A careful examination of von Linstow's description and figures shows that this species cannot belong to the Proteocephalidae. The position of the vitellaria in the Proteocephalidae as, indeed, in the whole order of the Tetraphyllidea is lateral, the vitellaria extending nearly the full length of the proglottid. In this species the vitellaria are globular masses lying just posterior to the ovary. This relation occurs in the Cyclophyllidea. Species of *Oöchoristica* Lühe show this relation and since this genus includes other species of cestodes parasitic in snakes it seems probable that von Linstow's species belongs here. La Rue (1911) therefore proposed for it the specific name *Oöchoristica cryptobothria* (von Linstow) La Rue. The specific name should end in "ium" not "ia" as he made it.

Unless the invaginated or infolded condition of the head is a constant feature it can have neither generic nor specific importance. Apparently not enough is known concerning the heads of this species to establish the value of this condition as a diagnostic character.

MONTICELLIA CORYPHICEPHALA (Monticelli) La Rue

[Figs. 94-96, 132, 181, 186]

1891:	*Tetracotylus coryphicephala*	Monticelli	1891:151-174
1894:	*Ichthyotaenia coryphicephala*	Lönnberg	1894:803
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia coryphicephala*	Riggenbach	1896:267
1900:	*Ichthyotaenia coryphicephala*	Braun	1894-1900:1680-1681
1911:	*Monticellia coryphicephala*	La Rue	1911:474

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Head dilated, somewhat triangular or globose, when seen from the front swollen, sub-quadrate, anteriorly elongated to form a conical elevation, unarmed and not very prominent, distinct from neck. Breadth of head perhaps 0.35 mm. Suckers four, fairly prominent, oval in shape, about 0.15 mm. long, situated at four angles of head. No fifth sucker. Neck very long, narrow. First proglottids short, middle ones almost rectangular, posterior and last ones decidedly rectangular, swollen in the middle. Ripe proglottids about 1.80-2.0 mm. broad by 0.68-1.0 mm. long by about 0.34 mm. thick. Proglottids attached by full width. Intersegmental furrows shallow. Corners of proglottids not marked. Segmentation indistinct.

Genital organs as in genus. Genital pore marginal, irregularly alternating in first one-fourth or one-fifth of proglottid length. No genital papilla. Testes spheroidal, 0.05-0.085 mm. in maximum dimension, numerous, 100 or more, situated in single dorsal field outside of inner longitudinal muscle sheath. Vas deferens a mass of coils inside the inner muscle sheath. Cirrus-pouch 0.286-0.315 mm. long by 0.094-0.105 mm. broad. Length of cirrus-pouch 5 to 6 to 6½ times into proglottid breadth. Ductus ejaculatorius describing numerous coils. Cirrus slender, unarmed. Vagina and vaginal opening always anterior to cirrus-pouch. Vagina never crossing latter. Sphincter vaginae and receptaculum seminis present. Vagina within inner muscle sheath. Vitellaria lateral, extensive, ventral, follicles forming a single layer in cortical parenchyma. Ovary posterior, bilobed, augmented by large protuberances, projecting dorsally, partially outside of inner muscle sheath. Organs of interovarial space as in Proteocephalidae. Uterus with many long slender lateral outpocketings, ventral, and outside of inner muscle-sheath. Egg-membranes not observed. Embryos 0.015-0.017 mm. in diameter.

Habitat: Intestine of Silurus sp., locality not stated.

Type: Slides in collection of Monticelli, from specimen No. 571 in the Zoological Museum at Vienna.

This species was first described from Silurus sp. by Monticelli (1891:151-174). For this and nineteen other species of cestodes from fish he proposed the genus Tetracotylus, without, however, naming a type species. That genus was based on *Taenia coryphicephala,* the species which he described most completely. Lönnberg (1894:803) listed this as one of the species of Ichthyotaenia without entering into a discussion of its anatomy. Riggenbach (1896:267) listed this among the species of Ichthyotaenia. Braun (1894-1900:1680-1681) states that Monticelli's genus Tetracotylus is based on the species *Taenia coryphicephala* but that the generic name suggested by Monticelli is a homonym of the name Tetracotyle suggested by Filippi (1854). He said moreover that

Tetracotylus had been used in place of Tetracotyle. For these reasons the name Ichthyotaenia should be used in place of it.

La Rue (1911:474) established for this and certain other species the genus Monticellia and the family Monticellidae.

This study is based upon data secured from Monticelli's (1891) paper and also upon Monticelli's type slides which Professor H. B. Ward very kindly secured for study. This material originally came from the zoological museum at Vienna. The host of the species is Silurus sp.

Monticelli (1891) is quoted on the external characters for the writer has examined only prepared slides of this species:

> "Capo slargato subtriangolare, visto di fronte, rigonfiato, subquadrato, anteriormente allunganto a formare un cocuzzolo conico, inerme, non molto proeminente, ben distinto dal collo. Le quattro ventose mediocri non molto proeminenti, disposte ai quattro angoli del capo. Collo lunghissimo, ristretto. Prime proglottidi brevi, mediane subrettangolari, posteriori ed ultime decisamente rettangolari, rigonfie nel mezzo. Aperture genitali marginali irregolarmente alternanti."

Monticelli (1891) gives no dimensions of the head, neck and strobila.

One of Monticelli's slides showed the head of *Tetracotylus coryphicephala* in toto. This head which had been badly compressed and somewhat broken by that treatment measured 0.459 mm. broad. This dimension, however, is too great and it seems that the normal head would not measure over 0.35 or perhaps 0.375 mm. The head should be described as somewhat globose rather than sub-triangular. The suckers could not be measured except in length which was about 0.150 mm. Monticelli's drawing of the head (Fig. 132) shows that the suckers are irregularly oval in shape, the longitudinal axis of the suckers being the greater. The neck in this slide was too badly crushed to permit accurate measurement. It was cut off 3 or 4 mm. from the head and showed no traces of segmentation. No young proglottids were to be found in the slides examined by the writer. Four representative ripe proglottids were measured. Their dimensions were 1.95 mm. broad by 0.68 mm. long, 1.85 by 0.75 mm., 2.0 by 0.75 mm., 1.80 by 1.0 mm. Transections of ripe proglottids are about 0.34 mm. thick. The proglottids are attached by their full width. The angles of the segments can scarcely be seen and the intersegmental furrows are very shallow. These observations were made on a limited amount of material so it is possible that some of the statements just made would require modification if considerable good material could be examined.

The genital pore (Figs. 95, 186) is marginal, irregularly alternating and situated at the end of the first one-fifth to one-fourth of the proglottid. There is no genital papilla. The genital pore (Fig. 181) leads into a small genital atrium into which both cirrus-pouch and vagina open. The vagina always lies anterior to the cirrus-pouch and its opening is also clearly anterior to the opening of the male ducts. Monticelli notes a sort of sphincter muscle about the genital atrium (Fig. 181). He states that this sphincter draws the opening of the vagina and cirrus-pouch very closely together.

The testes are spheroidal. They measure from 0.05 by 0.05 to 0.05 by 0.06 to 0.07 by 0.085 mm., number about 100 or even more, and are situated (Fig. 95) dorsally in a field which covers the entire proglottid except that region occupied by the ovary and by a narrow strip on either lateral margin of the segment. There is no free median zone as Monticelli states and as he shows in his figure, reproduced (Fig. 186). His statement that they are not numerous is incorrect. The testes (Fig. 94) are outside of the inner longitudinal muscle layer, a condition which does not occur in any species of the Proteocephalidae nor, so far as can be ascertained by the writer, in any family of Tetraphyllidea. A careful study of Braun's (1894-1900) great monograph on the cestodes gives no reason for believing that this condition has been observed heretofore in any *order* of cestodes. In the Pseudophyllidea he states definitely that the testes occur in the medullary layer. He makes the same statement for the Tetraphyllidea and the Cyclophyllidea. And as for the genital organs in the Diphyllidea and the Trypanorhyncha he states that they are as in the Tetraphyllidea. The location of the testes in this species then is unique.

The vasa efferentia have not been observed. The vas deferens forms an elongated mass of coils which extends from the cirrus-pouch toward, but not reaching, the mid-field. This mass of coils lies just a little posterior to the cirrus-pouch and within the layer of inner longitudinal muscles. The duct of the vas deferens is not of uniform diameter throughout but is narrow in its beginning region then dilated and again constricted just before entering the cirrus-pouch. With its swollen coils the vas deferens functions as a vesicula seminalis. The cirrus-pouch (Fig. 181) is somewhat muscular, its muscles best developed near the outer end. Its length varies from 0.286 to 0.315 and even to 0.340 mm. and its breadth from 0.094 mm. in the longer pouches to 0.105 mm. in the shorter ones. The pouch tapers frequently to a blunt point at the inner end and is usually broadest near its opening. Its length goes 5 to 6 or 6½ times into the proglottid breadth. The ductus ejaculatorius (Fig. 181) is slender and has thin walls. It makes numerous intricate coils before passing over into the cirrus. The protruded cirrus has not been observed. As the cirrus appears in the cirrus-pouch it is weakly muscular, not large, and is not armed. Probably when evaginated it would be long, slender, and without a thick base.

As seen in a toto preparation the female organs appear to be arranged in general as in the Proteocephalidae but a careful comparison of this species with any Proteocephalid reveals striking differences. The vagina (Fig. 95) always lies anterior to the cirrus-pouch and it never crosses the latter nor does it cross the mass of coils of vas deferens except in rare cases and then it crosses on the ventral side of only the most anterior coils. Monticelli states that it crosses the coils of vas deferens dorsally. The opening of the vagina is always clearly anterior to the opening of the cirrus-pouch. In a number of species of Proteocephalus and also of Ophiotaenia the opening is dorsal to the cirrus-pouch, and the writer is inclined to believe that if the remaining species of these genera were carefully investigated with that point in view many of these too would show the opening of the vagina dorsal to the cirrus-pouch. Near the vaginal opening is a weak sphincter vaginae. Beyond the sphincter the lumen of the vagina dilates slightly for a distance, perhaps 0.3 mm., then it is constricted. After arriving in the mid-field of the proglottid the vagina again dilates considerably. This dilatation persists through several curves and coils until the vagina is just about to enter the interovarial space when the vagina is greatly constricted. The dilated region is the receptaculum seminis which is much more marked than in any species of Proteocephalus observed by the writer. As shown in transections (Fig. 94) the vagina, except its beginning portion, lies within the internal layer of longitudinal muscles. In this respect *Monticellia coryphicephala* agrees with the Proteocephalidae.

The ovary (Fig. 95) is posterior and bilobed. A narrow, thin midpiece connects the lobes which are long and broad. From the dorsal surface of the distal region of each lobe rounded prominences arise and extend toward the dorsal surface of the proglottid. Many of these prominences when observed in toto preparations seem to have no connection with the ovary and are readily mistaken for testes but when studied in transections they are seen to be a part of it. Monticelli's drawing which is reproduced (Fig. 186) does not truly represent this appearance. These dorsal prominences and the greater part of the ovarian lobes (Fig. 96) from which they arise lie in the cortical parenchyma outside of the internal layer of longitudinal muscles. The midpiece and part of the lobes of the ovary lie within the muscular sheath. Monticelli (1891) failed to point out this condition. Here is a relation the like of which the writer has been unable to find elsewhere in all the cestodes. So far as he is able to determine the ovary of other cestodes always lies in the medullary parenchyma.

At the posterior margin of the ovarian mid-piece is situated the oöcapt. This organ was called the ''sfintere ovarico'' by Monticelli. The oöcapt leads into the oviduct which after making several coils receives the vagina. Then as a fertilization passage the oviduct continues until it reaches the oötype which is surrounded by the shell glands. Just before entering the oötype the oviduct receives the unpaired vitelline duct. The oötype discharges into the uterine passage which passes ventral to the ovary and then discharges into the uterus. It will be seen from a comparison of the above description with drawings and descriptions of similar organs in the Proteocephalidae that there is marked agreement between Monticellia and the Proteocephalidae in these relations. However this is no more than can be reasonably expected when it is remembered that practically the same plan for these organs is followed throughout the whole order of Tetraphyllidea to which Monticellia and Proteocephalus belong. Monticelli (1891) takes occasion to point out what seems to be misinterpretations on the part of von Linstow (1891) in describing these organs in *Taenia longicollis.* The writer agrees with Monticelli in considering that von Linstow has mistaken the oöcapt for the oötype. A farther discussion of this point will be found in the description of *Proteocephalus longicollis.*

The vitellaria, which in the Proteocephalidae are lateral, follicular masses, the individual follicles of which are closely grouped about a central conducting tubule, are in *Monticellia coryphicephala* (Fig. 95) widely scattered lateral follicular masses which lie in a single ventral layer. In Proteocephalids the vitellaria are within the inner longitudinal muscle layer while in *M. coryphicephala* they lie outside of those muscles. The vitellaria are not only ventral but at the margin of the proglottid they turn up toward the dorsal surface, hence when viewed in a toto preparation the vitellaria at the margins seem greatly compacted. Transections (Fig. 94) of the proglottids show the method of arrangement of the vitellaria. The lateral longitudinal vitelline ducts have not been seen on account of the poor histological condition of the material. The paired vitelline ducts however, may be seen to arise in the lateral fields and to pass toward the middle of the proglottid outside of the longitudinal muscle layer. After running for some distance in this relation the ducts turn dorsally and enter within the muscle layer and then they pass on to the middle of the proglottid where the paired vitelline ducts unite to form the unpaired vitelline duct which discharges into the oötype. In all the known species of Proteocephalidae the vitelline ducts are always within the longitudinal muscle layer. Monticelli failed to note the position of the vitelline ducts in *M. coryphicephala.*

The uterus (Fig. 95) in ripe proglottids is made up of about 18-30 slender out-pocketings, which extend well toward the margins of the proglottids but not as far laterad as in certain species of Proteocephalus such as *P. fallax, P. dubius,* and *P. ambloplitis.* Monticelli (1891) states that the uterus when filled with eggs causes the ventral surface of the proglottid to belly out. Unfortunately his drawing showing that condition has not been reproduced. The uterus (Fig. 94) is ventral and it too lies outside of the internal layer of longitudinal muscles. Uterine pores have not been observed. Monticelli says that they do not exist but that when the uterus is full the ventral body wall ruptures, thus discharging the eggs. A careful study of good material would probably show the presence of one or more uterine pores such as was found by Krämer (1892), Schneider (1905) and by the writer in a large number of Proteocephalids. Monticelli gives no measurements of the uterine eggs. He states that the membranes are quite delicate and that the uterine eggs are very small. In one of Monticelli's slides a broken proglottid rendered some of the eggs visible. The spheroidal embryos measured about 0.015 to 0.017 mm. in diameter. The membranes had become so transparent that they could not be measured.

In the above description and in the drawings mentioned it has been shown that *Monticellia coryphicephala* differs from the Proteocephalidae and especially from the typical species of Proteocephalus in a number of characters which concern the position of the genital organs and their relation to the inner longitudinal muscle layer. In all the Proteocephalids which have been sufficiently investigated to determine these points the entire genital apparatus with the exception of a portion of the cirrus-pouch and vagina lies within the inner longitudinal muscle layer. Moreover, the follicles of the vitellaria are closely packed about a central duct. In Monticellia the vitellaria are in two broad lateral fields the follicles of which are scattered in a broad single layer. The paired vitelline ducts for a considerable distance lie outside the inner muscle layer. The testes and uterus are entirely outside this muscle layer and the ovary is partly outside of it. As in the Proteocephalidae the vagina, vas deferens and part of the cirrus-pouch are within the inner muscle layer. On account of these marked differences between the Proteocephalidae and the form which Monticelli named *Tetracotylus coryphicephala* the writer (1911:474) has seen fit to establish a new genus Monticellia in honor of Professor Monticelli.

The status of Monticelli's generic name Tetracotylus has already been reviewed in the introductory section where the new genus has been defined as has also the new family Monticellidae. In this genus and family probably belong *Tetracotylus diesingii* Monticelli, *T. macrocotylea* Monticelli and *Taenia malopteruri* Fritsch. These three species are all parasitic in the Siluridae. Perhaps *Taenia osculata* Goeze belongs here also. It seems preferable, however, for the present to consider this last as a species inquirenda in the genus Proteocephalus in which it has long been considered to belong. More careful study into the morphology of *Taenia osculata* is desirable in order that its systematic position may be determined.

MONTICELLIA MACROCOTYLEA (Monticelli)

[Fig. 125]

1891:	*Tetracotylus macrocotylea*	Monticelli	1891
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia macrocotylea*	Riggenbach	1896 :267
1911:	*Monticellia macrocotylea*	La Rue	1911 :474

This species was described by Monticelli (1891). Lack of sufficient good material prevented a careful study of it. Riggenbach (1896 :267) listed this form in the genus Ichthyotaenia. La Rue (1911 :474) included this species in the genus Monticellia. Monticelli's original description is here quoted: "TAENIA MACROCOTYLEA.—Questa *Taenia,* che indico cosi dalle sue proeminenti ventose, ha molte rassomiglianze con le due seguenti, ma da entrambe si distingue per la caratteristica forma del capo che è nettamente distinto dal collo e per il collo più breve. Le aperture genitali sono marginali irregolarmente alternanti. Lo stato dell' individuo che posseggo non mi ha permesso uno studio più minuzioso di questa *Taenia.* * * * * *

Habitat: intestino del *Silurus megacephalus.*"

Professor H. B. Ward very kindly secured Monticelli's type slides of this species for examination. As a result of this examination the writer is able to add the following data to the original description.

The head of this specimen is slightly crushed. In this condition it measures 1.10 mm. broad, a figure which is probably too large by 0.10-0.20 mm. Its length is about 0.90 mm. The suckers are prominent and heavily muscled. They measure 0.45 to 0.50 mm. in diameter. The sucker cavity could not be measured. The neck is about 0.50 mm. broad just back of the head. Its length could not be ascertained for it was broken off. Mature proglottids measure about 0.75 mm. square. No young or ripe proglottids could be seen. The segmentation is distinct.

The genital pore is marginal, irregularly alternating, and situated in the first one-fourth of the proglottid. It is rendered prominent by a small genital papilla. The length of the cirrus-pouch and the relations of the latter with the vagina could not be ascertained. The testes number about 100 or more, and they are situated in a single field between the vitellaria. The vitellaria are lateral follicular organs, the follicles of which are scattered much as they are in *Monticellia coryphicephala.* The ovary is bilobed, and it occupies a posterior position on the proglottid. The material, however, is too poor to permit of a careful study of this organ. The uterus could not be seen.

This species belongs in the genus Monticellia La Rue. It is differentiated from the other species of the genus by reason of its larger head and suckers, its prominent genital pore and its evident segmentation. Unfortunately the material is so poor that a careful study is not permitted and as a consequence its exact relation to the other species of the genus cannot be determined.

MONTICELLIA DIESINGII (Monticelli)

[Fig. 157]

1891:	*Tetracotylus diesingii*	Monticelli	1891
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia diesingii*	Riggenbach	1896:267
1911:	*Monticellia diesingii*	La Rue	1911:474

This species was very inadequately described by Monticelli (1891) whose material was too limited and too poor to permit a careful study. Riggenbach (1896:267) listed it as one of the species of Ichthyotaenia but gave no description of it. La Rue (1911:474) included this form among the species of Monticellia. Monticelli's original description is here quoted: "TAENIA DIESINGII.—Capo subgloboso anteriormente rotendato appena distinto dal collo. Le quattro ventose grandi, proeminente e molto muscolari. Collo assai lungo: prime proglottidi brevissime appiattite, proglottidi mediane alquanto rigonfie all' aspetto cerciniformi, posteriori rettangolari, ultime subquadrate. Aperture genitali irregolarmente alternanti. L'apparato genitale, da quanto mi è riuscito vedere, si assomiglia a quello della *T. coryphicephala.*

Habitat: intestino del *Silurus dargado.*"

Thanks to Professor H. B. Ward the writer has been able to examine Monticelli's type slides of this species and can add the following data to the original description.

The head is about 0.30 mm. in breadth. Suckers measure about 0.17 mm. in their maximum dimension while the opening of the same is about 0.08 mm. long. The musculature of the sucker is thick. Altho the neck of this specimen is broken into several pieces, its pieces total about 3-5 mm. in length. The young proglottids are about 0.05 mm. long by 0.34 mm. broad. Fully mature proglottids are about 0.80 mm. broad by 0.45-0.50 mm. long. Since the proglottids are attached by their full width the segmentation is not distinct.

The genital pore is marginal, situated within the first one-third or one-fourth of the proglottid. Its position alternates irregularly. The cirrus-pouch is about 0.265 mm. long by 0.105 mm. broad. The ductus ejaculatorius forms numerous coils within the cirrus-pouch. The cirrus is slender and not strongly muscular. It was not seen protruded. Testes numbering about 100 are situated in the whole dorsal field between the vitellaria. The coils of vas deferens could not be seen. The vitellaria are lateral and the follicles seem to be scattered much as in *Monticellia coryphicephala.* It was not difficult to determine that the bilobed ovary is situated in the posterior part of the proglottid but it was impossible to determine its true character. The vagina lies anterior or posterior (?) to the cirrus-pouch. Uterus and eggs could not be observed in this material.

It is unfortunate that it is impossible to give a more complete description than is here given. The specimens were stained with carmine which at its best, does not yield good outlines of organs in the cestodes. In this case the differentiation was poor making a careful study out of the question. Altho much to be desired it did not seem advisable on account of the extremely fragile condition of the specimens to attempt a restaining of the material, even had Professor Monticelli been willing that the attempt be made.

The study of this material has convinced the writer that this species belongs to the genus Monticellia La Rue. It is closely related to *M. coryphicephala* but seems to be quite distinct from that species. Without an examination of more material many points must remain in doubt.

MONTICELLIA MALOPTERURI (Fritsch) La Rue

[Figs. 154, 166]

1886:	*Taenia malopteruri*	Fritsch	1886:103-108
1891:	*Taenia malopteruri*	Monticelli	1891
1896:	*Ichthyotaenia malopteruri*	Riggenbach	1896:267
1911:	*Monticellia malopteruri*	La Rue	1911:474

Specific Diagnosis: Characters of genus. Length unknown. Breadth as much as 1.5 mm. Scolex fairly large, 0.45 mm. in breadth, quadrangular, bearing four prominent suckers. Rostellum (?) hemispherical, armed with many small hooks. Suckers large, heavily muscled, protuberant, 0.25 mm. in diameter. Neck short, nearly broad as head. Mature proglottids nearly quadrate. Ripe proglottids broader than long, 1.46 mm. broad by 1.1 mm. long. Genital organs as in genus. Genital pore marginal, irregularly alternating, near middle of proglottid. Pore large, marked by a thickening of margin. Cirrus, when protruded, short and filiform. Cirrus-pouch short and relatively small, extending about one-fifth across proglottid breadth. No coils of ductus ejaculatorius in pouch. Vas deferens, a mass of coils extending to middle of proglottid. Testes filling up field between vitellaria, about 120 in number. Vagina posterior to cirrus-pouch. No coils of vagina anterior to ovary. Ovary a bilobed, winglike structure. Vitellaria follicular, situated in lateral fields. Uterus, a median tube with 18-20 lateral pouches. Eggs, not described.

Habitat: In the intestine of *Malopterurus electricus* (?), Egypt.

Fritsch described and figured this form in 1886 as *Taenia malopteruri.* Monticelli (1891) included this species in his group of fish cestodes. He did not describe it. Riggenbach (1896) considered this a species of Ichthyotaenia. La Rue (1911:474) considered this to be a species of Monticellia.

Fritsch's diagnosis reads: "*Taenia malopteruri,* Caput quadrangulare, acetabulis quattuor, angulariter positis robustis. Rostellum hemisphaericum, spinis obtusis vel tuberculis minimus ornatum. Collum mediocre. Aperturae genitalium marginales leviter circumvallatae. Corpus sulco profundo per medium impressum. Articuli adulti dimido fere longiores quam lati, proglottides breves, contracti. Habitat in intestino Malopteruri." The description given by Fritsch is very incomplete. However, he figures the head and a nearly ripe proglottid, stating the magnification of the same. Since this is true one can secure some size relations by measuring the organs portrayed in the drawings. In doing this care must be used because in some respects the drawings are not altogether clear, nor can one always determine the character of certain organs. Nevertheless the writer has used these measurements in the full realization that they were inaccurate but believing that they probably are correct within the limits of variation of the species. Besides the study of these drawings the description is based upon Fritsch's (1886) description.

The length is not stated. In breadth the worm may measure as much as 1.5 mm. The scolex (Fig. 154) is of fair size, 0.45 mm. in diameter. It is quadrangular and bears four prominent suckers and an hemispherical rostellum (?), armed with many small hooks. Suckers are large, 0.25 mm. in diameter, heavily muscled, and protuberant from the angles of the head. The neck is short but nearly as broad as the head. Fritsch failed to state the proportions of the young proglottids, nor are the latter figured. Mature proglottids are nearly quadrate while ripe ones are somewhat broader than long. Ripe proglottids measure about 1.46 mm. broad by 1.1 mm. long. The genital organs are arranged according to the type of the genus. The marginal genital pore alternates from side to side. It is situated near the middle of the proglottid, is of large size and is marked by a considerable thickening of the margin. The cirrus is sometimes protruded and is then short and slender. The cirrus-pouch is relatively small and short. Its length goes into the proglottid breadth about five times. The ductus ejaculatorius forms no coils within the cirrus-pouch. The coils of the vas deferens form a knot extending from the cirrus-pouch to the middle of the proglottid. Testes are irregularly scattered between the vitellaria and the ovary. Fritsch figures about 120 of them. His drawing which is reproduced here (Fig. 166) does not show them in the mid-field. This may be explained in three ways: first, that the testes occupy only the lateral fields of the proglottid, second, that the preparation was of such a nature that the testes if present could not be seen on account of the eggs in the uterus, or third, that they were poorly stained. Without having seen the specimens on which Fritsch's description is based or specimens known to belong to the same lot or from the same host species one is unable to judge on this point. It is well, perhaps, to call attention to the fact that testes in ripe proglottids do not take the stain well nor are they easily seen through the mass of eggs in the uterus.

The vagina opens posterior to the cirrus-pouch. Whether it ever opens anterior to the pouch is not stated. There are no coils of the vagina anterior to the ovary. The ovary is bilobed, each lobe being somewhat winglike. It is in the posterior part of the proglottid. The lobes are apparently follicular at the outer ends, tho this feature could not be determined with any accuracy unless an examination of Fritsch's specimens were made. The vitellaria are long follicular masses in either lateral field. From the drawing the follicles of the vitellaria seem to be compacted rather than widely scattered as in the type of the genus. The uterus is a median tube from which, apparently, many lateral pouches arise. In the drawing by Fritsch these pouches are rather indistinct, but about 18-20 on either side can be seen with some degree of certainty. In the drawing, reproduced (Fig. 166) these structures are made more distinct than in the original. The eggs were not described by Fritsch.

The systematic position of this species is not readily determined from the data at hand. Fritsch's description leaves much to be desired and his figures are not sufficiently definite to render possible a positive determination. Nevertheless, certain features of the anatomy of the worm are so well delineated that some definite conclusions can be reached. The rounded summit of the head covered with numerous spines and the very prominent suckers which seem to be set on the surface of the head are not typical of Proteocephalus. Species of that genus lack spines on the head and their suckers are never as prominent as in the species under consideration. The head agrees very well with that of *Monticellia coryphicephala* Monticelli in regard to the suckers. The latter species has no spines. In the genital organs there seems, in the main, to be a good agreement with the members of the genus Proteocephalus. Nevertheless, a careful examination and comparison of Fritsch's figure of the proglottid (Fig. 166) with the writer's figure of *Monticellia coryphicephala* (Fig. 95) shows a certain resemblance that cannot be overlooked. In both species there is the more or less indefinitely outlined ovary made up of follicles at the outer regions. These follicles seem to be smaller in this species than in *M. coryphicephala*. The vitellaria, apparently, are not as extensive in the former as in the latter, yet they are different from those in Proteocephalus. The lips of the genital sinus are much more prominent in this species than in Proteocephalus. This character was not mentioned by Monticelli as being present in Tetracotylus nor has the writer noted it in his specimens.

In view of the facts above presented the writer must conclude that the species does not belong to the genus Proteocephalus Weinland. With some reservation this species is assigned to the genus Monticellia La Rue. The species is then to be known as *Monticellia malopteruri* (Fritsch) La Rue. The species of cestodes infesting the Siluridae merit much more careful study by modern methods. As yet their structure and relationships are almost unknown. The literature contains but few references to cestodes of this group. The writer has noted the descriptions of the species *Tetracampos ciliotheca* Wedl and *Marsypocephalus rectangulus* Wedl reported by Wedl (1861) from *Heterobranchus anguillaris*. The former because of its ventral genital pore, ciliated embryo and two bothria evidently belongs to the order Pseudophyllidea. The latter species may belong somewhere in the Proteocephalidae or Monticellidae but not sufficient data are given to warrant a positive determination. Wedl (1861) also reported *Scolex syndontis* from *Syndontis schal* and from *Heterobranchus anguillaris*. These specimens, likewise, cannot be definitely placed.

DISTRIBUTION OF PROTEOCEPHALIDAE

The data upon which this section is based are meager yet it has seemed desirable to work over the available records to find out if there be any principles governing the distribution of the group, to find out the relation of the parasite to the primary hosts and perhaps to the secondary hosts. This section falls naturally into two parts: A, concerning the Proteocephalids infesting the amphibians and reptiles, and B, concerning those species which infest fish. The data under the first heading are grouped into certain tables and a discussion of the contents of the tables. The data bearing on the second heading, i. e., on the fish Proteocephalids are grouped under the following rubrics: List of cestode species with hosts and locality of finding; Distribution according to continents; Host species harboring two or more Proteocephalid species, with the distribution of the latter; Proteocephalids found in more than one host species, a discussion of each case including notes on the distribution and food habits of the hosts; Families of fish from which Proeocephalids are known and the species of the latter.

Distribution of Proteocephalids of Amphibia and Reptilia

Amphibian Proteocephalids are known from but two continents, North America and Australia. These species are *Ophiotaenia filaroides* and *O. lönnbergii* for North America and *O. hylae* for Australia. The North American species are from the Caudata while the Australian species is from one of the Salientia. Reptilian Proteocephalids are known from all the continents. In North America are found *Ophiotaenia marenzelleri, O. grandis, O. perspicua,* and *O. lactea* sp. inq. In South America are found *O. calmettei, O. racemosa,* and *Crepidobothrium gerrardii.* In Europe a single species is known, namely *O. nattereri.* Africa, likewise, has yielded but a single species, *O. punica.* Asia including the tropical islands usually included in the Oriental region has three species, *Acanthotaenia shipleyi, Ophiotaenia pigmentata,* and *O. trimeresuri.* In Australia, i. e., in the Australian region which includes new Guinea, there are no species of Ophiotaenia from reptiles but there are four species of Acanthotaenia from reptiles, viz. *A biròi, A. saccifera, A. tidswelli,* and *A. gallardi.*

To sum up this data there are species of Ophiotaenia from each continent, species of Acanthotaenia from two continents, Asia and Australia, and one species of Crepidobothrium from South America. As yet none of these species are known to occur in more than one continent. The distribution of each species seems narrow. There are, however, but few records and the data are incomplete. When more records are available it may be found that some of these species may have a far wider distribution. In all the cases known the hosts are aquatic or semiaquatic animals and they feed in part at least upon animals which like themselves are aquatic or semiaquatic in their habits. It has not been possible to work over the rather scant literature on the food habits of these animals hence no data on that topic are here presented. It is to be hoped that someone may make a study of the food of these hosts and thus enable to be made a determination of the relationships of these parasites to each other and to their hosts.

An accompanying table gives the names of the parasites, their hosts and the locality of the collection. In another table the parasites have been grouped according to the order and family of the host. The latter table shows that there are Proteocephalid species from Caudate and Salientian Amphibians, from the Varanidae, a family of Lacertilian Reptiles, and from three families of Serpentes (Ophidia). These families are Boidae, Colubridae, and Viperidae. A single subfamily in each of the Boidae and Viperidae furnish hosts for Proteocephalids while in the family Colubridae one subfamily belonging to each of the three series furnishes host species. At the bottom of the table is placed a species which tho reported to have been taken from a dog is certainly not a dog cestode. Its nearest relatives, anatomically, are the Proteocephalids of snakes and particularly the Proteocephalids of the Crotalinae. It has been suggested elsewhere that the real host was probably one of the Crotalinae. Because of the small amount of data it has not seemed justifiable to draw many general conclusions in regard to these parasites.

Hosts and Localities Reported for Proteocephalids of Amphibia and Reptilia

Cestode species	Hosts	Locality
Acanthotaenia birói (von Rátz)	*Varanus* sp.	New Guinea
A. gallardi Johnston	*Pseudechis porphyriacus* Shaw	New South Wales
	P. australis Gray	New South Wales
	Notechis scutatus Peters	New South Wales
	Denisonia superba Gunther	New South Wales
A. saccifera (von Rátz)	*Varanus* sp.	New Guinea
A. shipleyi von Linstow	*Varanus* (*Hydrosaurus*) *salvator*	Ceylon
A. tidswelli Johnston	*Varanus varius* Shaw	New South Wales
	V. gouldii Gray	Burnett River, Australia
	V. bellii (a variety of *V. varius*)	Burnett River, Australia
Crepidobothrium gerrardii (Baird)	*Eunectes murinus* Wagl.	South America
	Boa constrictor L.	South America
Ophiotaenia calmetti (Barrois)	*Lachesis* (*Bothrops*) *lanceolatus* L.	Martinique, West Indies São Paulo, Brazil
O. filaroides La Rue	*Amblystoma tigrinum* (Green)	Nebraska and Kansas
O. grandis La Rue	*Ancistrodon piscivorus* Holbr.	Southern United States
O. hylae Johnston	*Hyla aurea*	New South Wales
O. lactea (Leidy) sp. inq.	*Natrix sipedon*	(?) Eastern United States
O. lönnbergii (Fuhrmann)	*Necturus maculosus*	Ohio and Indiana
O. marenzelleri (Barrois)	*Ancistrodon piscivorus* Holbr.	(?) Southern United States
O. nattereri (Parona)	*Coluber* sp.	Liguria, an Italian province
O. perspicua La Rue	*Natrix rhombifer* Hallowell	Illinois and Oklahoma
O. pigmentata (von Linstow)	*Psammodynastes pulverulentus* Boie	Java (Semarang)
O. punica (Cholodkovski)	Dog (?), probably a snake	Island of Dscherba, Tunis
C. racemosa (Rudolphi)	*Coluber* sp., *Ophiomorphus miliaris*, *Ophis merremii*	Brazil Brazil
O. trimeresurus (Parona)	*Trimeresurus formosus*	Island of Mentawei, East Indies

Families of Amphibia and Reptilia Harboring Proteocephalid Parasites

AMPHIBIA

Order	Caudata (Urodela)
Family Proteidae	
Necturus maculosus Raf.	*Ophiotaenia lönnbergii* (Fuhrmann)
Family Amblystomidae	
Amblystoma tigrinum (Green)	*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue
Order	Salientia (Anura)
Family Hylidae	
Hyla aurea	*Ophiotaenia hylae* Johnston

REPTILIA

Order	Squamata (Sauria)
Suborder	Sauria (Lacertilia)
Family Varanidae	
Varanus (Hydrosaurus) salvator	*Acanthotaenia shipleyi* v. Linstow
Varanus varius Shaw	*Acanthotaenia tidswelli* Johnston
Varanus gouldii Gray	*Acanthotaenia tidswelli* Johnston
Varanus bellii	*Acanthotaenia tidswelli* Johnston
Varanus sp.	*Acanthotaenia birói* (von Rátz)
Varanus sp.	*Acanthotaenia saccifera* (von Rátz)
Suborder	Serpentes (Ophidia)
Family Boidae	
Subfamily Boinae	
Eunectes murinus Wagl.	*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird)
Boa constrictor	*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird)
Family Colubridae	
Series A. Aglypha	
Subfamily Colubrinae	
Natrix rhombifer Hallowell	*Ophiotaenia perspicua* La Rue
Natrix sipedon	*Ophiotaenia lactea* (Leidy) sp. inq.
Coluber sp.	*Ophiotaenia nattereri* (Parona) ✓
Coluber sp.	*Ophiotaenia racemosa* (Rudolphi)
Ophiomorphus miliaris	*Ophiotaenia racemosa* (Rudolphi)
Ophis merremii	*Ophiotaenia racemosa* (Rudolphi)
Series B. Opisthoglypha	
Subfamily Homalopsinae	
Psammodynastes pulverulentus Boie	*Ophiotaenia pigmentata* (von Linstow)
Series C. Proteroglypha	
Subfamily Elapinae	
Pseudechis porphyriacus Shaw	*Acanthotaenia gallardi* Johnston
Pseudechis australis Gray	*Acanthotaenia gallardi* Johnston
Notechis scutatus Peters	*Acanthotaenia gallardi* Johnston
Denisonia superba Gunther	*Acanthotaenia gallardi* Johnston

REPTILIA

Suborder Serpentes (Ophidia)

Family Viperidae

Subfamily Crotalinae

Trimeresurus formosus	*Ophiotaenia trimeresuri* (Parona)
Lachesis (*Bothrops*) *lanceolatus* L.	*Ophiotaenia calmettei* (Barrois)
Ancistrodon piscivorus Holbr.	*Ophiotaenia marenzelleri* (Barrois)
Ancistrodon piscivorus Holbr.	*Ophiotaenia grandis* La Rue
Host unknown, reported to have come from a dog but probably from a snake	*Ophiotaenia punica* (Cholodkovski)

PROTEOCEPHALIDS OF FISH

Hosts and Localities of Collection of Proteocephalid Species infesting Fish and the Species of Fish infested

Cestode Species	Hosts	Locality
Proteocephalus agonis	*Alosa finta* var. *lucustris*	Lake Como, Italy
P. ambloplitis	*Ambloplites rupestris*	Lake George, New York
	Micropterus salmoides	Lake George, New York; Walnut Lake, Mich.; Pelican Lake, Minn.
	Micropterus dolomieu	Lake St. Clair, Mich.; Lake Mendota, Wis.
	Amia calva	Lake St. Clair, Mich.; Lake Erie
P. cernuae	*Acerina cernua*	Königsberg, Prussia; Lake Balaton, Hungary
	(?)*Acerina schraetzer*	Lake Balaton, Hungary
P. cyclops sp. inq.	*Coregonus maraena*	Schallsee, Germany
P. dubius	*Perca fluviatilis*	Lake Geneva, Switzerland
P. esocis	*Esox lucius*	Reval, Esthonia (a Baltic province)
P. exiguus	*Coregonus nigripinnis, C. prognathus, C. artedi*	Lake Michigan, near Charlevoix, Mich.
P. fallax	*Coregonus maraena*=(*fera*)	Lake Lucerne, Switzerland
P. filicollis	*Gasterosteus aculeatus*	Greifswald; Berlin; Paris; Ireland
	G. pungitius	Rennes; Halle; Greifswald; Reval, Esthonia; Porkhala, Finland; Upsala, Sweden.
P. fossatus	*Pimelodus pati*	Rio Paraguay, S. A.
P. hemisphericus sp. inq.	*Anguilla vulgaris*	Padua, Italy
P. longicollis	*Coregonus wartmanni* ✓	?
	C. wartmanni nobilis	Lake Lucerne

Cestode Species	Hosts	Locality
P. longicollis	*C. maraena*=(*C. lavaretus* and *C. fera*)	Greifswald; Rositten, E. Prussia; Lake Geneva
	C. maraenula	Berlin
	C. albula	Nikolaiken, E. Prussia
	C. schinzii helveticus	Lake Lucerne
	C. exiguus albellus	Lake Lucerne
	Osmerus eperlanus	Berlin; locality not recorded several times
	Trutta trutta	Germany (?)
	T. fario	?
	Salmo thymallus	?
	S. salvelinus=*S. alpinus* and *S. umbla*	Lake Lucerne; Lake Geneva; locality not stated
	Very doubtful hosts!!!!	
	(?) *Esox lucius*	Lake Lucerne; Lake Balaton, Hungary
	(?)*Perca fluviatilis*	Lake Lucerne
	(?) *Alburnus lucidus*	Lake Lucerne
	(?)*Squalius cephalus*	Lake Lucerne
	(?)*Leuciscus leuciscus*	Lake Lucerne
P. macrocephalus	*Anguilla vulgaris*	Greifswald; Memel, East Prussia; Rennes; Padua; Narenta; Trieste; Lake Lucerne; Finland
	Anguilla chrysypa	Wood's Hole, Mass.; Sebago Lake, Maine
P. macrophallus sp. inq.	*Cichla monoculus* Spix	Brazil
P. neglectus	"Forelle", *Trutta fario*	Lake Lucerne and Lake Geneva, probably
P. nematosoma sp. inq.	*Esox reticulatus*	Locality not known, probably eastern United States
P. osculatus sp. inq.	*Silurus glanis*	Magdeburg and Greifswald
P. pentastoma	*Polypterus bichir*	Khor Attar on White Nile
P. percae	*Perca norvegica*	?
	Perca fluviatilis	Greifswald; Rositten, East Prussia; Finland; Upsala
	Coregonus maraena=(*C. lavaretus*)	Finland
	(?)*Acerina cernua*	?
	(?)*Cottus quadricornis*	Finland
	(?)*Gasterosteus aculeatus*	Rositten, East Prussia
P. perplexus	*Amia calva* and *Lepisosteus platostomus*	Illinois River, Havana, Illinois

Cestode Species	Hosts	Locality
P. pinguis	*Esox reticulatus*	Sebago Lake, Maine
	Esox lucius	Walnut Lake, Michigan
		Lake Geneva, Wisconsin
P. pusillus	*Salmo sebago*	Sebago Lake, Maine
	Cristivomer namaycush	Lake Temagami, Ontario
Proteocephalus sagittus sp. inq.	*Cobitis barbatula*	Petersburg and Province of Novgorod, Russia
P. salmonis-umblae sp. inq.	*Salmo salvelinus*=(*S. umbla*)	Lake Geneva, Switzerland, Germany
P. salvelini sp. inq.	*Cristivomer namaycush*	Outer Island, Lake Superior
P. singularis	*Lepisosteus platostomus*	Illinois River, Havana, Ill.
P. skorikowi	*Acipenser stellatus*	River Gürgen, Caspian Sea
P. sulcatus	*Polypterus endlicheri*	Duem on the White Nile
	Clarotes laticeps	Khartoum on the White Nile
P. torulosus	*Idus melanotus*=(*Cyprinus jeses* and *Leuciscus idus*)	Berlin; Greifswald; Finland
	Leuciscus leuciscus=(*Squalius leuciscus*)	Germany (?); Podiebrad, Bohemia; Lake Lucerne
	L. grislagine	Locality (?)
	Alburnus bipunctatus	? (Material in M. C. V.)
	A. sp.	Germany (?) (from context of report)
	A. lucidus	Lakes Geneva and Lucerne
		Germany (?) (from context of report)
	Aspius rapax	Germany (?) (from context of report)
	Abramis brama	Lake Balaton, Hungary
	Pelecus cultratus	Lake Balaton, Hungary
	Gobio fluviatilis	Lake Lucerne
	Blicca bjoerkna	Lake Lucerne
	Very doubtful hosts!!!!!	
	(?)*Coregonus maraena (fera)*	Lake Lucerne
	(?)*C. exiguus albellus*	Lake Lucerne
	(?)*C. schinzii helveticus*	Lake Lucerne
	(?)*Salmo salvelinus*	Lake Lucerne
	(?)*Perca fluviatilis*	Lake Lucerne and Podiebrad, Bohemia
	(?)*Lota vulgaris*	Lake Geneva
Corallobothrium solidum	*Malopterurus electricus*	Egypt
C. lobosum	*Silurus* sp.	Rio Paraguay, South America
Choanoscolex abscisa	*Silurus* sp.	Rio Paraguay, South America

Distribution of the Proteocephalids of Fish According to Continents

The accompanying table lists the Proteocephalid species which are found on each continent. An examination of the table shows that in Europe there are 12 well known and 5 doubtful species. All are peculiar to Europe with the exception of *P. macrocephalus* which occurs also in North America along the Atlantic coast. In North America 9 species are known. Of these 2 are doubtful. All are peculiar except *P. macrocephalus.* From South America 4 species are recorded. All are peculiar. Likewise from Africa 3 species are recorded and all are peculiar. No species of this group have yet been reported from Asia or Australia, probably because but little parasitological work has been done on the fish of those continents. Nor are there any records as yet from the West or East Indies, Greenland, or Iceland. There has been but a singe collection record from Great Britain, where the parasites of fresh-water fishes seem to have had little attention.

The records are as yet too meager to justify any broad conclusions as to the distribution of these cestodes over the larger land areas. One may expect to find the same Proteocephalid species in the hosts which are common to Europe and North America. One such case is known, namely, that of *P. macrocephalus* in Anguilla. *Esox lucius* which is common to both of these continents harbors one species in Europe and another one in North America. Other fish species occurring in both continents have apparently yielded no records in the literature.

Distribution of Fish Proteocephalids according to Continents

Continent	Species of Proteocephalid found
Europe	*Proteocephalus agonis, P. cernuae, P. cyclops* sp. inq., *P. dubius, P. esocis, P. fallax, P. filicollis, P. hemisphericus* sp. inq., *P. longicollis, P. macrocephalus, P. neglectus, P. osculatus* sp. inq., *P. percae, P. sagittus* sp. inq., *P. salmonis-umblae* sp. inq., *P. skorikowi, P. torulosus.*
North America	*P. ambloplitis, P. exiguus, P. macrocephalus, P. nematosoma* sp. inq., *P. perplexus, P. pinguis, P. pusillus, P. salvelini* sp inq., *P. singularis.*
South America	*P. fossatus, P. macrophallus* sp. inq., *Corallobothrium lobosum, Choanoscolex abscisa.*
Africa	*P. pentastoma, P. sulcatus, Corallobothrium solidum.*
Asia	No species reported.
Australia	No species reported.

Fish Harboring Two or More Proteocephalid Species

An examination of the complete list of fish Proteocephalids shows that there are fourteen host species which are reported to harbor more than one species of Proteocephalus. The data relating to these cases are presented in the accompanying table which names the hosts, their parasites and the distribution of the latter. A brief study of the table shows that of the fourteen host species ten are accredited with two species of Proteocephalus each, three with three, and one host with four. If from these numbers are deducted the instances of doubtfully identified species and the species inquirenda there follow these results: Five host species each harbor two well described Proteocephalus species while one host harbors three. There are then but six undoubted cases of two or more species of Proteocephalus occurring in the same host species.

An analysis of these six cases shows that in *Lepisosteus platostomus* the two parasitic species occur in the same locality, while in the cases of *Amia calva, Esox lucius,* and *Perca fluviatilis* the two parasitic species each occur in different localities. Likewise the three species of Proteocephalus found in *Coregonus maraena* occur each in different localities. The distribution of the parasites of *Trutta fario* is not known. One may conclude that two or more species of Proteocephalus may inhabit the same host species in the same locality or in different localities. The factors which determine this are not here shown.

Species of Fish which harbor More than One species of Proteocephalus

Host	Proteocephalus species harbored	Distribution of latter
Amia calva	*Proteocephalus perplexus*	Illinois River
	P. ambloplitis	Lake St. Clair; Lake Erie
Lepisosteus platostomus	*P. singularis*	Illinois River
	P. perplexus	Illinois River
Salmo salvelinus	*P. longicollis*	Lakes Geneva and Lucerne, and locality not known
	P. salmonis-umblae sp. inq.	Lake Geneva; Germany
	P. torulosus (?)	Lake Lucerne
Trutta fario	*P. longicollis*	Locality not known
	P. neglectus	Lake Geneva and Lake Lucerne
Cristivomer namaycush	*P. pusillus*	Lake Temagami, Ontario
	P. salvelini sp. inq.	Lake Superior

Species of Fish which harbor More than One species of Proteocephalus (Continued)

Host	Proteocephalus species harbored	Distribution of latter
Coregonus maraena (*C. lavaretus* and *C. fera*)	*P. longicollis*	Greifswald; Rositten, East Prussia; Lake Geneva
	P. fallax	Lake Lucerne
	P. percae	Finland
	P. torulosus (?)	Lake Lucerne
Alburnus lucidus	*P. torulosus*	Germany (?); Lake Lucerne and Lake Geneva
	P. longicollis (?)	Lake Lucerne
Leuciscus leuciscus	*P. torulosus*	Germany (?); Bohemia; Lake Lucerne
	P. longicollis (?)	Lake Lucerne
Anguilla vulgaris	*P. macrocephalus*	Finland; Germany; France; Switzerland; Hungary; Italy (Padua)
	P. hemisphericus sp. inq.	Padua, Italy
Esox lucius	*P. esocis*	Finland
	P. pinguis	Maine; Michigan; Wisconsin
	P. longicollis (?)	Lake Lucerne; Lake Balaton, Hungary
Esox reticulatus	*P. pinguis*	Sebago Lake, Maine
	P. nematosoma sp. inq.	Eastern (?) United States
Gasterosteus aculeatus	*P. filicollis*	Greifswald and Berlin, Germany; Paris; Ireland
	P. percae (?)	East Prussia
Perca fluviatilis	*P. percae*	Germany; Finland; Sweden
	P. dubius	Lake Geneva
	P. longicollis (?)	Lake Lucerne
Acerina cernua	*P. cernuae*	Prussia; Lake Balaton, Hungary
	P percae (?)	Locality unknown

PROTEOCEPHALIDS OCCURRING IN TWO OR MORE SPECIES OF FISH

Twelve Proteocephalid species have been found to occur in more than one host of more or less close relationship. These species are as follows: *Proteocephalus ambloplitis, P. cernuae, P. exiguus, P. filicollis, P. longicollis, P. macrocephalus, P. percae, P. perplexus, P. pinguis, P. pusillus, P. sulcatus, P. torulosus.* In the following pages each of these species is discussed separately. The hosts have been investigated as to distribution and as to food habits in the hope that some clue might be found to the relations existing between host and parasite, a relation which in some cases is far different from that expected if the idea of the specificity of hosts be strictly adhered to. The data on distribution and food habits of the hosts have been abstracted and combined from several sources, chief of which are Nitsche (1909), Leunis (1883), Bridge (1904) and Boulenger (1904) in Cambridge Natural History, volume VII, Jordan and Evermann (1896-1900), and Forbes and Richardson (1909).

Proteocephalus ambloplitis

This species is known at present only from waters which drain into the St. Lawrence and the Red River of the North. Altho Professor Ward examined the proper host species from the Illinois River at Havana, Ill., during the summer of 1909 his collections show that this parasite was not present there. The reported hosts are *Ambloplitis rupestris, Micropterus salmoides, M. dolomieu,* and *Amia calva.* The first three hosts have much the same distribution over eastern and central North America to the Gulf of Mexico, while *Amia calva* occurs over a somewhat more restricted area. It is abundant, however, over a large part of the area in which the other hosts are found. In many localities two, three, or even four of the hosts live in the same waters. All four hosts are carnivorous, eating other fish and crawfish. Some of them eat other food in addition. These four hosts live in the same general region, frequently in the same waters, and have certain food habits in common. Such are the conditions to be expected if the hosts are to harbor a common parasitic species. One question remaining to be solved is this: why is this species not found in the Illinois River where these hosts live in large numbers? Since the Illinois River was connected with the Great Lakes in the recent geological period the failure to find this species in this river seems the more remarkable.

Proteocephalus cernuae

P. cernuae is known at present only from Prussia and from Lake Balaton, Hungary. The waters of Prussia drain to the Baltic Sea while those of Lake Balaton drain into the Danube and so to the Black Sea. The hosts of this species are *Acerina cernua* and *A. schraetzer.* The former has a wide distribution in north and central Europe and is also found in the Danube. *A. schraetzer* is confined to the Danube and its tributaries. Thus in the Danube the ranges of the two species overlap. Both species are carnivorous and both take bottom fauna. Under these conditions of distribution and food habits one would expect to find both species harboring the same cestode species. With further study of the collections this parasite will probably be found to have a much wider and a continuous distribution.

Proteocephalus exiguus

P. *exiguus* has been found only in the Great Lakes. Its hosts, *Coregonus (Argyrosomus) artedi, C. nigripinnis,* and *C. prognathus* are all found in certain of the Great Lakes. *C. artedi* is in all the Great Lakes and neighboring waters, and north to the Arctic regions, exclusive of Alaska. *C. nigripinnis* is found in the small lakes of Wisconsin and Minnesota and in Lake Michigan. *C. prognathus* occurs probably in all the Great Lakes in deep water. Thus in Lake Michigan, at least, these species are all found. As to food habits but few data may be found for *C. prognathus* and *C. nigripinnis*. *C. artedi* feeds on Entomostraca, small molluscs and crustaceans, with larvae of insects and other animal forms including bottom fauna and spawn of the common white fish. It seems probable that the other species take many of these same food elements. Under these conditions the finding of this species in three closely related hosts is not remarkable but is to be expected.

Proteocephalus filicollis

This species is known only from the lower reaches of the streams flowing into the Baltic Sea, into the North Sea and the waters adjacent thereto. It apparently does not occur in the Alpine lakes. Its hosts are *Gasterosteus aculeatus* and *G. pungitius*. The latter is found all over north Europe (not in south Germany) and in North America as far southward as the Great Lakes region. *G. aculeatus* has a more restricted distribution being confined to the fresh and brackish or saline waters of Europe with the exception of the Danube drainage system. Thus the two species occupy north Europe in common. In food habits the species are much alike. Both are voracious plankton feeders; both take also fish-eggs and fry, and bottom fauna. These are the conditions which one would expect to find if the two species harbor the same parasite species. Specimens of *G. pungitius* from North America should be examined in order to learn whether they harbor the same species of parasite as the European specimens.

Proteocephalus longicollis

This species has a wide distribution in Germany and is known also from Switzerland. It is unknown in southern Europe, Russia or in France. It has been reported from Hungary, probably as the result of a misdetermination. Its reported hosts are mainly salmonids tho the list includes one esocid, one percid, and three cyprinids. The accompanying table names the hosts and shows the distribution and food of each. An examination of the table shows that in a general way these host species overlap greatly in their distribution. Several of the species occur in the same waters. Some live only in the depths of deep lakes while others live only on the surface; others live in brooks and streams, still others as *Perca fluviatilis* are ubiquitous. One host, *Trutta trutta,* lives in salt water and enters fresh water to spawn. Thus tho a species may have a wide distribution its habitat may be narrowly restricted. In the restricted habitat the food of the species is likely to be more or less restricted. Surface fish do not get the same kinds of food as do those that live on the bottom.

A survey of the table shows that all the hosts of *P. longicollis* depend on animal food tho some of them may take plant food on occasion. Certain species are much restricted as to food, so much restricted, indeed, that it may be said with some degree of certainty that some of the plankton forms must be carriers of this infection. *Coregonus wartmanni* and *C. wartmanni nobilis* are referred to as hosts which eat plankton exclusively. It is also apparent that if the records be true some other invertebrates must be carriers of the infection for several species are found which do not feed on plankton. Some molluscs may play a part as carriers. Still other fish of the list eat fish almost exclusively hence it must be that fish can serve as intermediate hosts for this parasite. It has already been shown in numerous instances that the primary host may also serve as the intermediate host for Proteocephalid species. If one considers now the different habitats and the various kinds of food taken in them it must be admitted that the evidence points toward a confusion of several species under the one name. In the opinion of the writer the validity of many of the records is doubtful. A comparative study of Proteocephalids taken from all these hosts of different localities would perhaps settle these questions as to hosts of *P. longicollis*.

Granting that all the salmonids of the list are hosts of this parasite then on the basis of food, distribution, and habitats it seems that the non-salmonids might likewise be its hosts. However, it has seemed that these records relating to the non-salmonids rest on too slender a foundation. Without an exception these records are those of Nufer (1905) whose work has been discussed in the section dealing with the description of *P. longicollis*. Parasites of these non-salmonids, especially from Lake Lucerne where Nufer was at work, ought to be subjected to a careful comparative study. This would doubtless establish or overthrow the validity of the records.

Hosts of *P. longicollis,* their Distribution and Food

Hosts	Distribution	FOOD								
		Fish	Spawn and fry	Plankton	Bottom fauna	Molluscs	Crustacea	Worms	Insect larvae	Other food
Salmonids										
Salmo salvelinus=(*S. alpinus* and *S. umbla.*)	Deep water of cold lakes of northern part of Alps; lakes of Siberia, Russia, Finland, Scandinavia, north-eastern N. America.	×		×		×				
Thymallus vulgaris=(*Salmo thymallus*)	Rapid streams of northern and central Europe, not in lakes but in inlets and outlets. Partly migratory.		×		×	×				Air-borne insects
Trutta fario	Clear streams, brooks, ponds, lakes of Europe, especially clear mountain streams over rocky bottoms.	×					×	×	×	Air-borne insects
Trutta trutta	North and Baltic Seas. Migrates into fresh water to spawn.									Does not feed in fresh water (?)
Osmerus eperlanus	North and Baltic Seas, also in bays and mouths of streams and in many lakes along Baltic coast.	×		×	×					
Coregonus albula=(*C. maraenula*)	Deep waters of lakes along Baltic coast, Russia to Holstein, also in Baltic sea and its arms. Migratory.			×		×		×		
Coregonus maraena=(*C. lavaretus* and *C. fera*)	At bottom of deep large lakes of Alps, some deep lakes along Baltic coast; Baltic Sea and in certain Bavarian lakes.	×		×	×	×	×			
Coregonus wartmanni	Deep waters of large lakes on north side of Alps.			×						

Hosts of *P. longicollis*, their Distribution and Food (Continued)

Hosts	Distribution	FOOD								
		Fish	Spawn and fry	Plankton	Bottom fauna	Molluscs	Crustacea	Worms	Insect larvae	Other food
Salmonids										
Coregonus wartmanni nobilis	Lake Lucerne. Probably a local variety. Limits not known.			×?						No exact data
Coregonus exiguus albellus	Lake Lucerne. Limits not known.									No data as to food
Coregonus schinzii helveticus	Lake Lucerne. Limits not known.									No data as to food
Non-salmonids										
Esox lucius	Cosmopolitan, northern hemisphere. Fresh waters of Europe, Asia and North America.	×					×			Mice, reptiles, amphibia, etc.
Perca fluviatilis	Lakes and rivers of all Europe and northern Asia.	×				×		×	×	Insects and amphibia
Alburnus lucidus	Fresh and brackish waters of all Europe north of Alps. Not in high cold mountain streams.		×							Insects
Squalius cephalus = (*Leuciscus cephalus*)	Surface waters of brooks, rivers, lakes of central Europe up to altitude of 1450 M. Rare in brackish water of Baltic.	×	×			×				Plants
Leuciscus leuciscus = (*Squalius leuciscus*)	Common in cold brooks, rivers and lakes and bays of northern and central Europe.					×				Plants and insects

Proteocephalus macrocephalus

This species has the widest distribution of any known Proteocephalid. It has been taken in many localities of northern, central and southern Europe and from two localities in eastern North America. Its hosts are *Anguilla vulgaris* and *A. chrysypa.* The former has a wide range over most of Europe, north Africa and temperate Asia. It is lacking in the Black and Caspian Seas. By some authorities this species is thought to be found also in North America. Others, including Jordan and Evermann (1896-1900) make the American form a separate species, calling it *A. chrysypa.* This species occurs over the most of North America east of the Rocky Mountains, including Mexico and the West Indies. The food habits of the two species are alike. They are voracious, and act as scavengers, preferring dead fish or other animal refuse. In view of their close relations and their similar habits it is not surprising that these species harbor the same species of parasite.

Proteocephalus percae

The geographical distribution of this species is imperfectly known. Up to the present time it has been taken only in East Prussia, Finland and Sweden. It has not yet been reported from the Swiss lakes tho two of its host species are abundant in that region. These hosts are *Perca fluviatilis* and *Coregonus maraena (fera).* The appended table gives the data as to hosts, their distribution and food habits. An examination of the table shows certain points of interest. *Perca marina* which Müller gave as a host of this parasite is little known, nor is *Perca norvegica* which Rudolphi said was the host of Müller's parasite. The remaining host species overlap in their distribution. Likewise their food habits overlap to a certain extent. All eat bottom fauna tho *Perca fluviatilis* preys more on other fishes than do the other hosts. The food habits of *P. fluviatilis* and *Coregonus maraena* show close resemblance. These two species are known to harbor the species which Schneider (1905) describes as *Ichthyotaenia percae* (Müller). *Acerina cernua* and *Gasterosteus aculeatus* may harbor this parasite but it seems doubtful. These two species are known to harbor *Proteocephalus cernuae* and *P. filicollis* respectively. It seems that there may have been a misdetermination. The parasites of these two hosts and of *Cottus quadricornis* should be subjected to a re-examination.

Hosts of *Proteocephalus percae,* their Food and Distribution

Host species	Distribution	Food habits
Perca norvegica=Perca marina	No data	No data
Perca fluviatilis	Lakes and rivers of all Europe and northern Asia	Carnivorous, eats fish (largely species of Alburnus), amphibia, snails, insects and worms
Coregonus maraena=(C. lavaretus and *C. fera)*	In deep water of large lakes of Alps, Bavaria, and near Baltic coast; Baltic Sea	Small fish, bottom fauna, Plankton, small crustacea and molluscs
Acerina cernua	In brackish and fresh waters of north and central Europe to Siberia; in Danube. Prefers deep water with sandy bottom	Fish eggs and fry, bottom fauna, rooting in the bottom for last
Gasterosteus aculeatus	In fresh and brackish or salt water of all Europe except Danube	A plankton feeder; eats fish-eggs and fry, also bottom fauna
Cottus quadricornis	Arctic regions south to Baltic Sea, westward to Greenland	No data on food. A close relative, *C. gobio,* eats molluscs and spawn.

Proteocephalus perplexus

This species is known at present only from the Illinois River at Havana, Ill. Leidy's notice (1886) of *Taenia filicollis* found in *Amia calva* from North Carolina may refer to this species. The hosts are *Amia calva* and *Lepisosteus platostomus.* The former is common in rivers and lakes of central and southern North America, including lakes Huron and Erie. The latter host, *Lepisosteus platostomus,* occurs throughout the Mississippi valley but is said to avoid the smaller streams. Thus in the Mississippi valley the two hosts have a common range. Amia feeds on fish, crawfish and molluscs while the other host takes fish almost exclusively. If Lepisosteus includes Amia in its diet it may secure its infection from Amia which may perhaps serve as both primary and secondary host. On account of its armor it is not at all likely that the gar serves as food for Amia. It has seemed rather remarkable that this parasite was not found in *Amia calva* of the Great Lakes. A most careful examination of the material collected by Professor Ward in the course of his work on the Great Lakes has shown that it does not exist there. Likewise it seems remarkable that the other carnivorous fish of the Illinois River, such as the bass, do not have this infection. Some of these fish eat very much the same food as Amia.

Proteocephalus pinguis

P. pinguis is a North American species found in widely separated localities. It has been found in small lakes of Maine, Michigan and Wisconsin. It has not yet been found in the Great Lakes. Further collections may show a much wider distribution for this species. The hosts, *Esox lucius* and *E. reticulatus,* have a wide distribution. *Esox lucius* is a cosmopolitan species of the northern hemisphere, occurring in the fresh waters of Europe, Asia and North America. In North America its range is from Alaska south to the upper Mississippi valley, east of the Rockies to the Potomac. *Esox reticulatus* is common east and south of the Alleghanies to Louisiana, and Arkansas. The ranges of these hosts are common east of the Alleghanies to the Potomac river.

Both hosts are voracious carnivores, eating fish, frogs, crawfish, mice, reptiles, water birds, and larger insects. That these two host species harbor the same species of Proteocephalus is then not remarkable. Since, however, *Esox lucius* is common to Europe and North America one might expect to find it harboring the same species of Protecephalus in both continents. Such does not seem to be the case. This fact suggests that these two species, *P. pinguis* from North America and *P. esocis* found in the European Esox, have arisen as varieties of the same species or that the host upon going into the new region, either Europe or North America, has acquired a new species of parasite.

Proteocephalus pusillus

This species occurs in Sebago Lake, Maine, and Lake Temagami Ontario. Its hosts are *Salmo sebago* and *Cristivomer namaycush.* These two salmonids live only in fresh water and their habitats overlap to a certain extent. *Salmo sebago,* according to Jordan and Evermann (1896), occurs in Sebago Lake and northward, in lakes, rarely entering streams. *Cristivomer namaycush* lives in the lakes of New York, New Hampshire and Maine and westward to the headwaters of the Columbia and north to the Arctic circle (Forbes and Richardson, 1909). Both fish are predaceous, living largely on fish. Professor Ward's records show that in Sebago Lake *Salmo sebago* was feeding on *Osmerus mordax.* Records are too meager to allow the making of any general conclusions.

Proteocephalus sulcatus

This species is known only from the Nile River, from two widely separated hosts, namely *Polypterus endlicheri* and *Clarotes laticeps.* The former is one of the Polypteridae, a Crossopterygian, while the latter named host is a Silurid, of the order Teleostei. Both hosts occur in the Nile. The food habits of *Polypterus endlicheri* are not well known. One species of Polypterus feeds on small teleosts while others take batrachians and crustaceans in addition to the fish. This species is no doubt carnivorous in habits. The writer is unable to find anything about the food of *Clarotes laticeps.* It seems probable, however, that it is a carnivorous species as are many others of the Siluridae. That this parasite is able to find the proper conditions of life in two species as widely separated as are these seems remarkable altho not more remarkable than that *Amia calva* may serve as the host of a species characteristic of the Centrarchidae. It has, however, been pointed out in the descriptive part of the work on this species that there seems to be some reason for believing that two species have been confused under the one name.

Proteocephalus torulosus

This species is known only from northern and central Europe, chiefly from the Baltic drainage. Its hosts are chiefly cyprinids. All the earlier reports record it only from cyprinids. During the last few years it has been reported from Salmonids, four species, and from *Perca fluviatilis* and *Lota vulgaris.* A table is appended showing the names of the hosts as reported, their distribution, and their food. As to food the data are very fragmentary and not specific yet they are of interest. An examination of the table shows that the hosts are common in the waters of the lakes and streams of central and northern Europe. Some hosts are of wide distribution, others more or less restricted. Many of these hosts occupy the same waters, some as surface dwellers, others as bottom dwellers, some in the deep lakes, others in the small streams, while still others occur in the brackish bays and inlets of the Baltic coast. Thus the habitats are quite varied.

The food taken by the host varies to a large degree with the habitat which the host prefers. Thus from the table it is found that the food habits of the hosts listed are very different. Some are exclusively plankton feeders, others feed largely on bottom fauna or bottom fauna with plankton. Still other hosts are largely eaters of fish. If the food of the two groups of hosts, cyprinids and non-cyprinids, be considered it is noted that each of the latter group takes several kinds of food. This statement applies only to those non-cyprinids the food of which is known. Each of the cyprinids, however, takes but few kinds of food. The table speaks for itself. The cyprinids of the table are chiefly feeders on bottom fauna. Plankton forms a large part of the food of three, and fish of two of these hosts. Certain elements in the food such as air-borne insects, birds, plants and probably also amphibians (semi-terrestrial forms especially) do not function as intermediate hosts for Proteocephalus species. For this reason they need not enter into this discussion.

Hosts of *P. torulosus*, their Distribution and Food.

Hosts	Distribution	FOOD								
		Fish	Spawn and fry	Plankton	Bottom fauna*	Molluscs	Crustacea	Worms	Insect larvae	Other food.
Cyprinids										
Idus melanotus	Clear pure water of rivers, lakes and bays from France to Siberia, from Lapland to the Alps. Not in British Isles.		×	×		×			×	
Leuciscus leuciscus	Common in cold brooks and rivers, lakes and bays of north and central Europe.					×				Plants and air-borne insects
Leuciscus grislagine	Only in deep waters of Truan-, Atter-, Mond-, and Chiem-sees. For spawning goes to the inlet and outlet streams of lakes.	×								Air-borne insects. Data true for migrating fish
Alburnus bipunctatus	In rapid streams of middle Europe; very common in southern and western Germany; limited by altitude of 700 M.				×					
Alburnus sp.										
Alburnus lucidus	In waters of all Europe north of the Alps; not in high cold mountain streams; present in brackish waters.									No data on food
Aspius rapax	In shallow depths of large lakes, bays and streams of eastern and central Europe; not in Switzerland, France or the Netherlands.			×						Air borne insects
Abramis brama	At bottom of large lakes and slowly flowing streams of central Europe.	×								Amphibia, birds
Pelecus cultratus	Surface fish of brackish bays and lagoons along the Baltic, Caspian and Black Seas, whence it enters the rivers.			×	×					Bank fauna

*Elements not defined.

Hosts of *P. torulosus*, their Distribution and Food (Continued)

Hosts	Distribution	FOOD								
		Fish	Spawn and fry	Plankton	Bottom fauna*	Molluscs	Crustacea	Worms	Insect larvae	Other food.
Cyprinids										
Gobio fluviatilis	In central Europe and central Asia; on bottom of flowing brooks over stony ground; also in brackish waters of the Gulfs of Finland and Bothnia.									Non-predaceous. Food of animal origin
Blicca bjoerkna	Common gregarious fish of all streams and lakes of Central Europe up to an altitude of 600 M.; lacking in the Alpine lakes; also found in brackish water of the Baltic Sea.				×					Plants
Non-cyprinids										
Coregonus maraena	At bottom of deep large lakes of Alps, some deep lakes along the Baltic coast; Baltic Sea and certain Bavarian lakes.	×		×	×	×	×			
C. exiguus albellus	Lake Lucerne. Limits not known.									No data on food
C. schinzii helveticus	Lake Lucerne. Limits not known.									No data on food
Salmo salvelinus	Deep water of cold lakes of northern part of Alps, lakes of Siberia, Russia, Finland, Scandinavia, north-eastern North America.	×		×		×				
Perca fluviatilis	Lakes and rivers of all Europe and northern Asia.	×				×		×		Amphibia
Lota vulgaris	Fresh waters, flowing or standing, or northern Europe, Asia and America.	×	×		×					

*Elements not defined

How can the infection of so many species of fish with this parasite be explained? For the sake of the argument it is granted that all these species are suitable hosts for this parasite. If all these species are to be infected it is necessary that some of the invertebrates included among plankton forms, bottom fauna, and molluscs must be able to serve as intermediate hosts of this parasite. The fish which become infected from eating these invertebrate hosts may likewise become self-infected and so serve as intermediate hosts. If such fish are then eaten by the predaceous fish of the list then the latter may become infected. Thus it is possible to offer explanations that will cover the whole question of the source of infection for these hosts which have such different food habits. The analysis, however, is not very convincing. The more plausible explanation is that several species of parasite are being dealt with under this one name. It seems not improbable that the parasites of the cyprinids may belong to at least two or probably three species while the non-cyprinids may or may not harbor any of these. Further investigations on the parasites of the hosts of this list should be undertaken with the view of settling some of these questions.

CONCLUSIONS

A careful analysis of the extensive data presented in this section shows that species of Proteocephalus may occur in multiple hosts in the following combinations:

1) A species of Proteocephalus may occur in different host species of the same genus. Five species are limited exclusively to various species within the same host genus.

2) A species may occur in the different genera of the same family. One case.

3) A species may occur in the members of closely allied genera, i. e. of the same order. Four cases are known.

4) A species may occur in families of very wide relationships, i. e. of different orders. There are two cases of which one is doubtful.

It is further shown in this section that when a species of Proteocephalus occurs in multiple hosts under any of the combinations above suggested the host species have a continuous distribution. The only apparent exception to this rule is in the case of *Anguilla vulgaris* of Europe and *A. chrysypa* of North America, both harboring *P. macrocephalus*. In this case, however, the exception is apparent and not real for these two forms are perhaps no more than varieties of a cosmopolitan species. Analysis of the data presented in this section also shows that in many cases of multiple hosts the food of the different host species is alike in some or all of its elements tho the proportions of these elements may differ widely. Since the infection of the host is only accomplished through the food eaten a general statement may be made thus: *The parasitic infestation of the host is determined by the food eaten.* The character of the food is determined by the environment and largely by the habitat preferred by the host. The apparent exceptions to the above conclusion are found in those cases where a group of species acting as hosts to a single species of parasite feed on very different elements of food. In each of these cases the probability has been pointed out that there has been a confusion of species under one name. If such a confusion does not exist then there is evidence of the remarkable adaptability of the larval stages of the parasite to the life conditions of a large series of invertebrates and fish. Both of these possibilities should be investigated.

The evidence as presented in this section is not in favor of a strict adherence to the idea of the specificity of parasites. This idea certainly does not hold for any of the better known species of Proteocephalus for which quite a number of collection records are available, but it seems to hold for a number of species for which there are but one or two collection records and for species which are imperfectly known. To apply the idea to these cases is not justified. To break away entirely, however, from the idea of specificity of parasites would be a rash step, indeed, for certain cases have been well established which show conclusively that for certain hosts, other than those considered in this paper, certain species of parasites are specific. Such cases can only be determined by many records of collection and then are to be relied upon only after careful infection experiments have been worked out. To apply the idea of specificity of parasites without having taken these precautions is not justifiable. In working through the data on distribution of Proteocephalus species which have multiple hosts one notes that in many cases the range of the parasite may be greater than the range of some of its hosts. This is well illustrated by the range of *P. longicollis* which has a far wider distribution than some of the species of Coregonus in which it occurs. Some of these species occur in just a few lakes while *P. longicollis* is known from many localities of northern and central Europe. So also the range of *P. macrocephalus* is greater than that of either of its hosts.

An explanation for this wide distribution of the parasite may be stated thus: The parasite may have a better means of dispersal than the host. The distribution of fish Proteocephalids may be widened in the following ways: They are carried by the primary host which voiding feces as it wanders about scatters the eggs of the parasite that may infect suitable intermediate hosts. The latter, vertebrates or invertebrates, may migrate or be carried by currents to farther limits. New hosts may be infected and these on their migrations may carry the infection beyond the original limits of distribution of the parasite. The introduction by man of fish into new regions is a method of widening the range of a parasite. It is suggested as a further possibility that fish-eating birds at the time of migration may carry the eggs of the parasites ingested with the fish for some distance and these eggs being discharged with the feces into the water may infect suitable intermediate hosts. This method of dispersal could be possible only if the eggs of the parasite thus eaten are capable of withstanding the vigorous digestive action of the bird. Experiments would be required to determine the value of this method.

Families of Fish from Which Proteocephalids are Known

The data on this subject are collected in the accompanying table. It is there shown that Proteocephalids are known from fifteen families of fish of the group Teleostomi and representing the orders Crossopterygii, Chondrostei, Holostei, and Teleostei. The writer follows the classification of Bridge (1904) and Boulenger (1904), Vol. VII, Cambridge Natural History. Of the fifteen families ten belong to the order Teleostei. The latter contains by far the larger number of fresh water fishes. Proteocephalid species are as yet unknown from the Dipnoi. A comparison of the collection records and of the accompanying table shows that certain species of parasite seem to be characteristic of certain families of fish. Those cases in which the parasite has been recorded but once or twice probably should not be considered as characteristic of their host families. Among such cases are to be mentioned the occurrence of *P. pentastoma* in the Polypteridae, *P. skorikowi* in the Acipenseridae, *P. singularis* in Lepisosteidae, etc. There are certain species which have been recorded several times and the majority of these times from a certain family of fish. Such species are to be considered as being characteristic for the family. The species which fall in this group are: *P. longicollis* and *P. exiguus*, characteristic of Salmonidae; *P. torulosus* of the Cyprinidae; *P. macrocephalus* of Anguillidae; *P. pinguis* of the Esocidae; *P. filicollis* of Gasterosteidae; *P. ambloplitis* of Centrarchidae; *P. percae* and *P. cernuae* of the Percidae. The remaining species are too little known to justify an attempt to determine this relationship.

Families of Fish from which Proteocephalids are Known, the Species of the Latter and the Frequency of their Occurrence

Order	Family	Species of parasite	Frequence of occurrence
Crossopterygii	Polypteridae	*Proteocephalus sulcatus*	1
		P. pentastoma	2
Chondrostei	Acipenseridae	*P. skorikowi*	1
Holostei	Amiidae	*P. perplexus*	1
		P. ambloplitis	2
Teleostei	Lepisosteidae	*P. singularis*	1
		P. perplexus	1
	Clupeidae	*P. agonis*	1
	Salmonidae	*P. longicollis*	20 or more
		P. fallax	1
		P. neglectus	2(?)
		P. pusillus	2
		P. exiguus	3
		P. percae	1
		P. salmonis-umblae (sp. inq.)	2
		P. cyclops (Immature and poorly known)	1
		P. salvelini (Immature and poorly known)	1
		P. torulosus (Doubtful determination)	4
	Cyprinidae	*P. torulosus*	18 or more
		P. sagittus (sp. inq.)	1
		P. longicollis. (Doubtful determination	3
	Siluridae	*P. sulcatus*	1
		P. fossatus	1
		P. osculatus (sp. inq.)	2
		Corallobothrium solidum	1
		C. lobosum	1
		Choanoscolex abscisa	1
	Anguillidae	*Proteocephalus macrocephalus*	10
		P. hemisphaericus (sp. inq.)	1
	Esocidae	*P. esocis*	1
		P. pinguis	3
		P. nematosoma (sp. inq.)	2
		P. longicollis (Doubtful determination)	1
	Gastrosteidae	*Proteocephalus filicollis*	10

Order	Family	Species of parasite	Frequence of occurrence
	Gadidae	*P. torulosus* (Doubtful determination)	1
	Centrarchidae	*P. ambloplitis*	4
	Percidae	*P. percae*	5
		P. cernuae	3
		P. dubius	1
		P. longicollis (Doubtful determination)	1
	Cottidae	*P. percae* (needs confirmation)	1
	Cichlidae	*P. macrophallus*	1

Frequency of occurrence in the above table has been determined by taking the sum of the number of localities from which the species has been reported (including times from same locality) or in case it occurs in more than one host in one locality the number of host species is added. Numbers are approximate.

LIFE HISTORY OF THE PROTEOCEPHALIDAE

Since the appearance of a previous paper by the writer (La Rue 1909) in which he reviewed the literature on thus subject and in which he outlined certain feeding experiments which had for their object the solution of the problem of the life history of the cestode infesting *Amblystoma tigrinum* but little additional data have appeared on the life history of Proteocephalids. Barbieri (1909) found that Bythrotrephes and Leptodora act as intermediate hosts for *Proteocephalus agonis*. So far as can be ascertained there are no other data in the recent literature of this subject. Fuhrmann (1903) outlined the life history of Proteocephalids. Several of the older investigators have mentioned the finding of plerocercoids in the livers of fish which harbored species of Proteocephalus in the intestine. The writer (La Rue 1909) recorded the finding of many plerocercoids in the tissues of the salamander, *Amblystoma tigrinum,* and the positive results obtained from feeding these plerocercoids to uninfected hosts. The probability was there suggested that salamanders might become infected through the eating of infected salamanders. There is at present no reason for changing this view. While making an examination of a specimen of *Natrix rhombifer* the writer found numerous encysted plerocercoids in the tissues of the alimentary tract and of the liver. Since many snakes are accidental or intentional cannibals it is possible that the eating of one snake by another may be one method of infection. Since also this species of snake lives largely upon fish and frogs one should look to these food animals for the intermediate host of its cestodes. No available records deal with this method of infection.

From the data presented by various workers it seems probable that the life history of the Proteocephalids is essentially as follows: The eggs and some of the ripe proglottids bearing eggs are voided by the host into the water where they are eaten by an invertebrate, perhaps a worm, an insect larva, or a crustacean, or possibly the eater is a vertebrate, fish, snake or an amphibian of the same species as the host or different. If the invertebrate or vertebrate furnishes a suitable habitat for the development of the parasite the six-hooked embryo establishes itself and from it develops a plerocercoid about which the host produces a cyst. If the intermediate host be eaten by a vertebrate which furnishes a proper habitat for the adult parasite the plerocercoid when it is released by the action of the digestive juices from its intermediate host and from its cyst passes to the intestine and develops into the adult tapeworm. If the final host engulfs material containing eggs of the cestode harbored by itself or its congeners or perhaps by members of other species the host becomes infected with the plerocercoids and so it may function as a secondary as well as a primary host for its parasitic species. Cannibalism may be a means in the spread of the parasites harbored. The problems connected with the life-history of these parasites must ultimately be settled by experimental methods.

ORIGIN OF THE PROTEOCEPHALIDAE

This work has thrown some light on the relations of the genera and species of Proteocephalidae to one another. It is of interest to attempt to point out the probable relations of the family to other cestodes. Like many other cestodes the members of this family were once included in the great genus Taenia. That, however, was before the internal structures of these cestodes were well known and it seems that the basis for this classification was the apparent similarity of the suckers. It is now evident that the Proteocephalids are most closely related structurally to the cestodes of the order Tetraphyllidea. A marked agreement in the general arrangement of all the internal organs of Tetraphyllidean cestodes is to be noted. In these respects the Proteocephalids do not agree with the Cyclophyllidea. In external features alone the Proteocephalids resemble the Cyclophyllidea more than the Tetraphyllidea. This is due to the marked simplicity of the heads and suckers of the Proteocephalids and the usual hemispherical form of the suckers.

The resemblance of the heads and suckers of Proteocephalids to those of Cyclophyllideans is, however, more apparent than real. The heads of the latter group are not readily mobile. Except in those cases where a protrusible rostellum is present the heads do not change shape much. The suckers, moreover, are strongly cupped at all times and are not much moved by the muscles of the head. The heads of the Proteocephadids are, as the name implies, ever changing. They undergo a multitude of changes of form and appearance. The suckers may be strongly cupped when contracted or the cavity may be entirely obliterated by the stretching of the sucker. The suckers are very mobile, being capable of being pushed out, forward or backward or laterally, and retracted. This movement of the suckers adds greatly to the protean character of the head. The whole anterior part of the head may in some species be retracted within the swollen bulbous basal part of the head. In respect to the movement of the head and the action of the suckers the Proteocephalids resemble the other Tetraphyllideans.

Another point of resemblance between the Proteocephalids and the other Tetraphyllideans is the presence of a degenerate fifth sucker in many species of the two groups. In the Cyclophyllidea a somewhat similar appearing structure is to be found in certain species. Here it is probably a degenerate rostellum and not a degenerate fifth sucker. Structurally the Proteocephalidae are to be considered as being closely allied to the Tetraphyllidea while their relationship to the Cyclophyllidea is distant. The relation of the Proteocephalidae to the other groups of cestodes is remote. The members of the order Tetraphyllidea other than the Proteocephalidae and Monticellidae are characteristic parasites of marine fishes, mostly selachians. The freshwater fishes have arisen from the marine fishes and their parasites have doubtless arisen from the parasites of the marine fishes, the Proteocephalids coming from a Tetraphyllidean ancestry.

Just which fish species was responsible for the bringing of this Proteocephalid stock into fresh water is a matter of conjecture. Since this group of parasites is found to be parasitic in members of so many families of freshwater fish it may be inferred that some of the older and more primitive Teleostomi, a Ganoid, brought into the freshwater environment a Tetraphyllidean with a simple type of head and suckers, altho this simplicity may have been a later evolution. Another hypothesis is that these parasites were introduced into fresh water by representatives of several families of fish such as the Salmonidae, the Gadidae, or the Anguillidae. Among the Salmonidae certain species have acquired the permanent habit of remaining in fresh water while others enter fresh water only to breed. The species of Anguilla go back to salt water to breed and hence each individual spends part of its life in a marine environment. The Gadidae are characteristic salt water fish altho the members of one genus, Lota, have permanently established themselves in the freshwater environment. At the present time there is no evidence to show that species of Salmonidae or of Anguilla at the time of their migrations introduce into freshwater parasitic species which are capable of establishing and maintaining themselves in the new environment. Ward (1910) has pointed out that *Salmo sebago,* landlocked in lakes of eastern North America, has a parasitic fauna that is characteristic of its freshwater environment. It is evident then that whatever parasites this species brought with it from its former marine environment were unable to establish themselves. This failure may have been due to a lack of proper intermediate hosts.

These considerations lead to a possible explanation which depends on the possibility that the intermediate host species (a fish or perhaps an invertebrate) of a Tetraphyllidean acquired the habit of living in brackish and finally in fresh water. The fish species which normally made this intermediate host its food may also have made this change of environment or perhaps other fish began to prey on the intermediate host. Under these conditions the parasite might have become established in the new environment. It also seems probable that new intermediate hosts might have been acquired. The acquiring of a new host depends not on the anatomical structures of the host but upon its physiological properties and upon its habits of feeding and its environment. The fact that the head and the suckers of *Proteocephalus singularis* strongly resemble these structures in certain species of other families of Tetraphyllidea is suggestive. The host, *Lepisosteus platostomus,* is moreover a primitive fish of strong carnivorous habits. The Lepisosteidae have long been established in fresh water. It is here suggested that some member of this family may have been responsible for the introduction of Proteocephalids into the fresh water environment. The Proteocephalids of amphibians and aquatic reptiles may have been acquired in the course of development from some fish-like ancestor or it is more probable that they have acquired their Proteocephalid fauna from eating intermediate hosts (invertebrates or fish) infected with larval Proteocephalids. In this connection it is of interest to note that the head of Crepidobothrium, found in the Boidae, is the most primitive of all the heads of Proteocephalids of amphibians and reptiles. That is to say, it is more like the heads of other Tetraphyllideans than are the heads of its congeners. The Boidae are considered to be somewhat primitive snakes.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ACHARIUS, E.

1780. Anmärkningar vid Herr Martins Rön, rörande en besynnerlig mask hos norsen. K. svenska vetensk. akad., n. Handl., 1:49-55, 2 pl. 9 fig.

BAIRD, W.

1860. Description of some new species of intestinal worms (entozoa) in the collection of the British Museum. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., 1860:446-448.

1861. Idem. Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist., (3) 7:228-230.

BARBIERI, C.

1909. Ueber eine neue Species der Gattung Ichthyotaenia und ihre Verbreitungsweise. Centrbl. Bakt. u. Parasit. (1) Originale, 49:334-341, 8 fig.

BARROIS, T.

1898. Sur quelque ichthoténias parasites des serpents. Bull. soc. sci. agric. et arts, Lille, 2:4.

BATSCH, A. J. G. C.

1786. Naturgeschichte der Bandwurmgattung überhaupt und ihrer Arten insbesondere, nach den neuern Beobachtungen in einem systematischen Auszuge. 298 pp., 5 pl. 169 fig. Halle.

BELLINGHAM, O'B.

1844. Catalogue of Irish entozoa, with observations. Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist., 14:317-323.

BENEDEN, P. J. VAN.

1861. Mémoire sur les vers intestinaux. Compt. rend. Acad. sci., Paris. (Supplement), 2, 376 pp., 27 pl.

BENEDICT, H. M.

1900. On the structure of two fish tapeworms from the genus *Proteocephalus* Weinland, 1858. J. Morph., 16:337-368, pl. 16, 35 fig.

DE BLAINVILLE, M. H. D.

1828. Vers. Dict. sci. nat., 52:365-625, pl. 27-28.

BLOCH, M. E.

1782. Abhandlung von der Erzeugung der Eingeweidewürmer und den Mitteln wider dieselben. 54 pp. 10 pl., Berlin.

BOULENGER, G. A.

1904. Systematic account of Teleostei. Cambr. Nat. Hist., 7:541-727.

BRAUN, M.

1894-1900. Vermes: Cestodes. Bronn's Klassen und Ordnungen des Thierreichs, 4, Abt. 1, b.

BRIDGE, T. W.

1904. Fishes. Cambr. Nat. Hist., 7:141-537.

CARUS, J. V.

1857. Icones zootomicae. Erste Hälfte: Die wirbellosen Thiere. 32 pp. 37 fig. 23 pl. Leipzig.

CHOLODKOVSKI, N. A.

1908. Ueber eine neue Tänie des Hundes. Zool. Anz., 33:418-420, 4 fig.

COBBOLD, T. S.

1858. Observations on entozoa, with notices of several new species, including an account of two experiments in regard to the breeding of *Taenia serrata* and *T. cucumerina*. Trans. Linn. Soc. London, 22:155-172, pl. 31-33, 85 fig.

CREPLIN, F. C. H.

1825. Observationes de entozois. 86 pp. 1 pl. 17 fig. Gryphiswaldiae.

DIESING, K. M.

1850. Systema helminthum. 1, 679 pp. Vindobonae.

1851. Idem. 2, 588 pp. Vindobonae.

1856. Zwanzig Arten von Cephalocotyleen. Denkschr. k. Akad. Wissensch., math.-naturw. Cl., Wien, (1), 12:23-38, pl. 1-4.

1864. Revision der Cephalocotyleen. Abtheilung Cyclocotyleen. Sitzungsber. k. Akad. Wissensch., math.-naturw. Cl. Wien, (1), 49:357-430.

DUJARDIN, F.

1845. Histoire naturelle des helminthes ou vers intestinaux. 654+15 pp., 12 pl. Paris.

FORBES, S. A. and RICHARDSON, R. E.

1909. The fishes of Illinois. cxxxi + 357 pp.

FRIČ, A., and VAVRA, V.

1901. Ueber Lebensweise, Nahrung und Parasiten der Fische der Elbe. Untersuchungen über die Fauna der Gewässer Böhmens. V. Untersuchung des Elbeflusses und seiner Altwässer durchgeführt auf der übertragbaren zoologischen Station. Arch. naturw. Landesdurchforsch. von Böhmen, 11:111-118.

FRITSCH, G.

1886. Die Parasiten des Zitterwelses. Sitzunsber. k. preuss. Akad. Wissensch., Berlin, 6:99-108, pl. 1, 8 fig.

FRÖLICH, J. A. VON

1789. Beschreibungen einiger neuen Eingeweidewürmer. Der Naturforscher, Halle, 24:101-162, pl. 4, 31 fig.

1791. Beyträge zur Naturgeschichte der Eingeweidewürmer. Der Naturforscher, Halle, 25:52-113, pl. 3, figs. 1-17.

FUHRMANN, O.

1895. Die Tänien der Amphibien. Zool. Jahrb., Abt. Anat., 9:207-226, pl. 16, 19 fig.

1899. Das Genus *Prosthecocotyle*. Vorläufige Mitteilung. Centrbl. Bakt. u. Parasit., (1) 25:863-867, 3 fig.

1903. L'évolution des Ténias et en particulier de la larve de Ichthyotenias. Arch. sci. phys. nat. Genève, (4), 16:335-337.

1903a. Idem. Bull. soc. sci. nat. Neuchâtel, 31:386-388.

Gmelin, J. F.

1790. Caroli a Linne . . . . . Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes ordines, genera, species cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. 1:3021-3910, (vermes). Lipsiae.

Goeze, J. A. E.

1782. Versuch einer Naturgeschichte der Eingeweidewürmer thierischer Körper. 471 pp., 44 pl., Blankenburg.

1800. Einige Beobachtungen über die Erzeugung der Eingeweidewürumer. (*In* Zeder, J. G. H. Erster Nachtrag zur Naturgeschichte der Eingeweidewürmer. Leipzig, pp. 317-320.)

Grimm, O. A.

1872. Zur Kenntnis einiger wenig bekannten Binnenwürmer. Nachr. v. k. Gesellsch. Wissensch. u. Georg-Aug. Univ., Göttingen. 12:240-250.

Gruber, A.

1878. Ein neuer Cestoden-Wirth. Zool. Anz., 1:74-75.

Hall, M. C.

1910. A new species of cestode parasite (Taenia **balaniceps**) of the dog and of the lynx, with a note on Proteocephalus **punicus**. Proc. U. S. Nat. Museum, 39:139-151, 9 fig.

Johnston, T. H.

1909. On a new reptilian cestode. Jour. and Proc. Roy. Soc. N. S. Wales, 43:103-116, pl. 6, fig. 1-6.

1911. Proteocephalus Gallardi. A new cestode from the black snake. Annals of the Queensland Museum. 10:175-182, pl. 7, fig. 1-3.

1912. A census of Australian reptilian entozoa. Proc. Roy. Soc. Queensland, 23:233-249.

1912a. Notes on some entozoa. Proc. Roy. Soc. Queensland, 24:63-91, pl. 2-5, fig. 1-45.

Jordan, D. S. and Evermann, B. W.

1896-1900. The fishes of North and Middle America. Bull. U. S. Nat. Museum, 47, 4 pts.

Kessler, K. T.

1868. [Beiträge zur zoologischen Kenntniss des Onegasee's und dessen Umgebung.] [Russian text.] (Beilage z. d. Arb. d. 1. russ. naturf. Versamml. St. Petersburg. 183 pp., 8 pl.)

Klaptocz, B.

1906. Cestoden aus Fischen, aus Varanus und Hyrax. Sitzungsber. d. k. Akad. d. Wiss., math.-naturwiss. Cl. Wien, 115:121-144, 1 pl., 21 fig.

Kraemer, A.

1892. Beiträge zur Anatomie und Histologie der Cestoden der Süsswasserfische. Zeit. wiss. Zool., 53:647-722, pl. 27-28, 42 fig.

1892a. Idem. Reprinted as dissertation. pp. 5-80, pl. 27-28, 42 fig. Leipzig.

Lang, A.

1881. Untersuchungen zur vergleichenden Anatomie und Histologie des Nerven-systems der Plathelminthen. III. Mitth. zool. Stat. zu Neapel, 2:372-400, pl. 15-16, 8 fig.

LA RUE, G. R.

1909. On the morphology and development of a new cestode of the genus

LA RUE, G. R.

Proteocephalus Weinland. Trans. Amer. Micros. Soc., 28:17-49, pl. 1-4, 40 fig.

1911. A revision of the cestode family Proteocephalidae. Zool. Anz., 38: 473-482.

LEIDY, J.

1855. Notices of some tapeworms. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 7:443-444.

1886. On *Amia* and its probable *Taenia*. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 38:62-63.

1887. Notice of some parasitic worms. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 39:20-24, 8 fig.

1888. Parasites of the pickerel. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 40:169.

1891. Notices of entozoa. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 42:410-418.

LEUCKART, R.

1886. Die Parasiten des Menschen und die von ihnen herrürenden Krankheiten. Ein Hand- und Lehrbuch für Naturforscher und Aerzte. 2 Aufl., 827 pp., Leipzig & Heidelberg.

LEUNIS, J.

1883. Synopsis der Thierkunde. 3. Auflage, 1, xv + 1083 pp. Hannover.

LINSTOW, O. VON.

1875. Beobachtungen an neuen und bekannten Helminthen. Arch. f. Naturg., 41 J., 1:183-207, pl. 2-4, 32 fig.

1877. Helminthologica. Arch. f. Naturg., Berlin, 43 J., 1:1-18, pl. 1, 26 fig.

1878. Compendium der Helminthologie. Ein Verzeichniss der bekannten Helminthen, (etc.). 382 pp. Hannover.

1889. Compendium der Helminthologie. Nachtrag. Die Litteratur der Jahre 1878-1889. 151 pp. Hannover.

1891. Ueber den Bau und die Entwickelung von *Taenia longicollis* Rud. Ein Beitrag zur Kenntniss der Fischtänien. Jena. Ztschr. f. Naturw., 25:565-576, pl. 25, 15 fig.

1903. Drei neue Tänien aus Ceylon. Centrbl. Bakt. u. Parasit. (1) Originale, 33:532-535, 7 fig.

1904. Ueber zwei neue Entozoa aus Acipenseriden. Ann. Mus. zool., Acad. imp. sci. St. Petérsb., 9:17-19, 6 fig.

1904a. Neue Beobachtungen an Helminthen. (Same as above). Arch. Mikr. Anat., 69:494-496.

1906. Helminthes from the collection of the Colombo museum. Spolia Zeylanica, 3:185, 2 fig.

1908. Helminthen von Herrn Edward Jacobson in Java (Semarang) gesammelt. Notes from the Leyden Museum, 29, note 10.

LINTON, E.

1889. Notes on entozoa of marine fishes of New England, with descriptions of several new species. Rep. Comr., U. S. Com. Fish & Fisheries, (1886), 14:453-511, 6 pl.

LINTON, E.

1897. Notes on cestode parasites of fishes. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., 20:423-456, pl. 27-34.

1901. Parasites of fishes of the Woods Hole region. Bull. U. S. Fish Com., (1899), 19:405-492, pl. 1-34, fig. 1-379.

LÖNNBERG, E.

1889. Bidrag till Kännedomen om i Sverige förekommande Cestoder. Bihang K. Svenska Vetensk. Akad. Handl., 14,1-69 pp., 2 pl., 22 fig.

1894. Ueber eine neue *Tetrabothrium* Species und die Verwandtschaftsverhältnisse der Ichthyotänien. Centrbl. Bakt. u. Parasit., 15:801-803.

LÜHE, M.

1898. *Oochoristica* nov. gen. Taeniadarum. (Vorläufige Mitteilung). Zool. Anz., 21:650-652.

1899. Zur Kenntnis einiger Distomen. Zool. Anz., 22:524-539.

1909. Parasitischer Plattwürmer. II. Cestodes. Brauer's Die Süsswasserfauna Deutschlands, Heft 18.

MAROTEL, G.

1898. Sur une téniadé du *Bothrops lanceolatus.* (Note préliminaire.) Compt. rend. soc. biol., 50:99-101.

1899. Étude zoologique de l' *Ichthyotaenia Calmettei* Barrois. Arch. Parasit., 2:34-42, 4 fig.

MARSHALL, W. S. and GILBERT, N. C.

1905. Notes on the food and parasites of some fresh-water fishes from the lakes at Madison, Wis. Rep. U. S. Bur. of Fisheries, 1904:513-522.

MOLIN, R.

1859. Cephalocotylea e nematoidea. Sitzungsber. k. Akad. Wissensch., math.-naturw. Cl., Wien, 38:7-38, 1 pl., 7 fig.

1861. Prodromus faunae helminthologicae venetae adjectis disquisitionibus anatomicis et criticis. Denkschr. k. Akad. Wissensch., Wien, math.-naturw. Cl., (2) 19:189-338, pl. 1-15.

MONTICELLI, FR. SAV.

1888. Contribuzione allo studio dell fauna elmintologica del golfo Napoli. I. Richerche sullo *Scolex polymorphus* Rud. Mitth. zool. Stat. zu Neapel, 8:85-153, pl. 6-7, 48 fig.

1891. Notizie su di alcune specie di *Taenia.* Boll. Soc. di Natural. in Napoli, (1) 5:151-174, pl. 8, 31 fig.

1899. Sul *Tetrabothrium Gerrardii* Baird. Atti. Soc. nat. e mat. di Modena, (4) 32, 1:9-26, pl. 1, fig. 1-12.

MÜHLING, P.

1898. Die Helminthen-Fauna der Wirbeltiere Ostpreussens. Arch. f. Naturg., 64 J., 1:1-118, pl. 1-4, 28 fig.

MÜLLER, O. F.

1780. Von Bandwürmern. Naturforscher, Halle, 14:129-203.

1782. Vom Bandwurme des Stichlings und vom milchigten Plattwurme. Naturforscher, Halle, 18:21-37, pl. 3, 11 fig.

1788. Zoologia Danica seu animalium Daniae et Norwegiae rariorum ac minus notorum descriptiones et historia. 2, 56 pp., pl. 41-80. Havniae.

NITSCHE, H.

1909. Die Süsswasserfische Deutschlands, ihre Kennzeichen, Fortpflanzung, Verbreitung und wirtschaftliche Bedeutung. Im Auftrage des Deutschen Fischereivereins gemeinfasslich kurz zusammengestellt. 4 . . . . neubearb. u. verm. Aufl. V. Berlin (D. Fischereiverein) 83 pp.

NUFER, W.

1905. Die Fische des Vierwaldstättersees und ihre Parasiten. 223 pp., 4 pl., 29 fig. Luzern.

OLSSON, P.

1893. Bidrag till skandinaviens helminthfauna. 2. K. Svenska Vetensk.-Akad. Handl., 25, Art. 12, :1-41, pl. 5, 81 fig.

PALLAS, P. S.

1766. Elenchus zoophytorum sistens generum adumbrationes generaliores et specierum cognitarum succinctas descriptiones cum selectis auctorum synonymis. xvi + 17-28 + 451 pp. Hagae Comitum.

1781. Bemerkungen über die Bandwürmer in Menschen und Thieren. N. nord. Beytr. z. phys. u. geogr. Erd-u. Völkerbeschr. (etc.), 1:39-112, pl. 2-3, 38 fig.

1811-12. Fauna Asiatico-Rossica. 2 v. Petropolis.

PARONA, C.

1898. Elminti raccolti dal Dott. Elio Modigliani alle isole Mentawei, Engano e Sumatra. Ann. Mus. Civ. di Storia Nat. di Genova (2), 19:102-124, pl. 1, 19 fig.

1901. Di alcuni cestodi brasiliani, raccolti dal Dott. Adolfo Lutz. Boll. mus. di zool. (etc.), Genova, no. 102, pp. 1-10.

1901a. Idem. Atti Soc. Ligust. sci. nat. e geogr., Genova, 11:269-276.

PRENANT, A.

1885. Recherches sur les vers parasites des poissons. Bull. Soc. sci. Nancy, (2) 7:206-230, pl. 1-2.

RAILLIET, A.

1899. Sur la classification des téniadés. Centrbl. Bakt. u. Parasit. (1) 26:32-34.

RÁTZ, S. VON

1897. Beiträge zur Parasitenfauna der Balatonfische. Centrbl. Bakt. u. Parasit. (1) 22:443-453, 1 fig.

1900. Drei neue Cestoden aus Neu-Guinea. Vorläufige Mitteilung. Centrbl. Bakt. u. Parasit. (1) 28:657-660.

1900a. Trois nouveaux cestodes de reptiles. Compt. rend. soc. biol., 52:980-981.

RIGGENBACH, E.

1895. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Tänien der Süsswasserfische. Vorläufige Mitteilung. Centrbl. Bakt. u. Parasit., (1) 18:609-613, pl. 7, fig. 1-3.

1896. Das Genus *Ichthyotaenia*. Rev. suisse de zool., 4:165-275, pl. 7-9, 44 fig.

RUDOLPHI, C. A.

1801. Beobachtungen über die Eingeweidewürmer. Arch. f. Zool. u. Zoot., 2, :1-65.

1802. Fortsetzung der Beobachtungen über die Eingeweidewürmer. (Continuation of 1801.) Ibidem 2, :1-67, pl. 1.

1802a. Idem (continued). Ibidem, 3:61-125, pl. 2.

1803. Neue Beobachtungen über die Eingeweidewürmer. Arch. f. Zool. und Zoot. 3:1-32.

1808. Entozoorum sive vermium intestinalium historia naturalis. v. 1, pp. xxvi + 527 + xxvi pp., 6 pl. Amstelaedami.

1809. Idem. v. 2 (1), 457 pp., pl. 7-12. Amstelaedami.

1810. Idem. v. 2 (2), xii + 386 pp. Amstelaedami.

1819. Entozoorum Synopsis cui accedunt mantissa duplex et indices locupletissimi. x + 811 pp., 3 pl. Berolini.

SCHNEIDER, G.

1902. Ueber die in Fischen des finnischen Meerbusens vorkommenden Endoparasiten. Ichthyologische Beiträge. 3. Acta Soc. pro Fauna et Flora Fenn., 22, Art. 2, 87 pp., 2 fig.

1903. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Helminthenfauna des finnischen Meerbusens. 34 pp., 1 pl., 10 fig. Helsingfors.

1904. Idem. Acta Soc. pro Fauna et Flora Fenn., 26, Art. 3, 34 pp., 1 pl., 10 fig.

1905. Die Ichthyotaenian des finnischen Meerbusens. Festschrift für Palmén, Helsingfors, 1, Art. 8, 31 pp., 1 pl., 10 fig.

SCHRANK, F. v. P.

1788. Verzeichniss der bisher hinlänglich bekannten Eingeweidewürmer, nebst einer Abhandlung über ihre Anverwandtschaften. 116 pp. München.

1803. Fauna Boica: Durchgedachte Geschichte der in Baiern einheimschen und zahmen Thiere. 3, 1 Abt., 272 pp. Landshut.

SCHWARZ, R.

1908. Die Ichthyotaenien der Reptilien und Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Bothriocephalen. Diss. 50 pp., 7 pl., 24 fig. Basel.

SHIPLEY, A. E.

1905. Notes on a collection of parasites belonging to the Museum of University College, Dundee. Proc. Cambr. (Eng.) Phil. Soc., 13:95-102, 2 fig.

SIEBOLD, C. T. E. VON.

1835. Helminthologische Beiträge. Arch. f. Naturg., 1 J., 1:45-84, pl. 1.

1854. Ueber die Band-und Blasenwürmer, nebst einer Einleitung über die Entstehung der Eingeweidewürmer. 115 pp., 36 fig. Leipzig.

SMITH, A. J.

1908. Contributions to systematic helminthology. Univ. Penn. Med. Bull., 20:283-294, pl. 2-10.

1908a. Idem. Reprint. pp. 37-68, pl. 2-10.

SRÁMEK, A.

1901. Helminthen der an der zoologischen Station in Podiebrad (Böhmen) untersuchten Fische. Arch. d. naturw. Landesdurchforsch. v. Böhmen, 11:94-118, fig. 50-71.

STILES, C. W.

1893. Ueber die topographische Anatomie des Gefässsystems in der Familie Taeniadae. (Bemerkungen über Parasiten. 17), Centrbl. Bakt. u. Parasit. 13:457-465, fig. 1-12.

STILES, C. W. and HASSALL, A.

1894. A preliminary catalogue of the parasites contained in the collections of the United States Bureau of Animal Industry, United States Army Medical Museum, Biological Department of the University of Pennsylvania (Coll. Leidy) and in Coll. Stiles and Coll. Hassall. Vet. Mag., Phila. 1:245-253, 331-354.

STOSSICH, M.

1897. Note Parassitologiche. 10 pp., 2 pl., 17 figs. Trieste.

1898. Idem. Boll. Soc. adriat. sci. nat. in Trieste, 18:1-10, pl. 1-2, fig. 1-17.

1898a. Saggio di una fauna elmintologica di Trieste e provincie contermini. Programma della Civica Scuola R. Superiore, Trieste, 162 pp.

VIBORG, E. N.

1795. Sammlung von Abhandlungen für Thierärzte un Oekonomen. Aus dem Dänischen. 1. Bändchen. 324 + 2 pp. Copenhagen.

VOIGT, M.

1903. Beiträge zur Kenntnis des Vorkommens von Fischparasiten in den Plöner Gewässern. Forschungsber. biol. Stat. zu Plön, 10:94-99.

WAGENER, G. R.

1854. Die Entwicklung der Cestoden. Nova acta Acad. nat. curios, 24, Suppl. :21-91, pl. 1-12.

WARD, H. B.

1894. A preliminary report on the worms (mostly parasitic) collected in Lake St. Clair, in the summer of 1893. Mich. Fish Comm., Bull. 4:49-54.

1910. Internal parasites of the Sebago salmon. Bull. U. S. Bur. Fisheries, (1908) 28:1151-1194, pl. 121, 10 fig.

WEDL, K.

1861. Zur Helminthenfauna Aegyptens. (2. Abt.) Sitzungsber. k. Akad. Wissensch., math.-naturw. Cl., Wien, 44:463-482, 3 pl., 42 fig.

WEINLAND, D. F.

1858. Human cestoides. x + 93 pp., 12 fig. Cambridge, Mass.

WRIGHT, R. R.

1879. Contributions to American Helminthology. No. 1. Proc. Canad. Institute, Toronto, n. s., 1:54-75, 2 pl., 23 fig. (Reprint repaged 3-23.)

ZEDER, J. G. H.

1800. Erster Nachtrag zur Naturgeschichte der Eingeweidewürmer, mit Zufässen und Anmerkungen herausgegeben. xx + 320 pp., 6 pl. Leipzig.

1803. Anleitung zur Naturgeschichte der Eingeweidewürmer. xvi + 432 pp., 4 pl. Bamberg.

Zschokke, F.

1884. Recherches sur l'organisation et la distribution zoologique des vers parasites des poissons d'eau douce. Arch. Biol., 5:153-241, pl. 9-10, 16 fig. (reprint repaged 1-89.)

1888. Recherches sur la structure anatomique et histologique des cestodes. 396 pp. 9 pl. Genève.

1891. Die Parasitenfauna von *Trutta salar*. Centrbl. Bakt. u. Parasit., 10:694-699, 1 pl.; 738-745; 792-801; 829-838.

1896. Zur Faunistik der parasitischen Würmer von Süsswasserfischen. Centrbl. Bakt. u. Parasit., (1) 19:772-784; 815-825.

1903. Marine Schmarotzer in Süsswasserfischen. Verhandl. naturf. Gesellsch. in Basel, 16:118-157, pl. 1, 5 fig.

EXPLANATION OF PLATES

All original drawings, unless otherwise stated, were drawn with the aid of the camera lucida or the Edinger drawing apparatus and details have been filled in at the same magnification. Drawings are uniformly arranged with the anterior end or the dorsal surface toward the upper end of the plate unless there is a statement to the contrary.

ABBREVIATIONS USED

bm	Basement membrane	*od*	Oviduct
ci	Cirrus	*oot*	Oötype
cip	Cirrus-pouch	*ov*	Ovary
dj	Ductus ejaculatorius	*ooc*	Oöcapt
def	Vas deferens	*rs*	Receptaculum seminis
ef	Vasa efferentia	*sh*	Shell gland
ep	Epithelium	*tt*	Testes
exa	Excretory anastomosis	*su*	Suckers
exd	Excretory vessel, dorsal	*suc*	Sucker cavity
exv	Excretory vessel, ventral	*suf*	Sucker, fifth
exp	Excretory pore	*ut*	Uterus
glc	Gland cells	*utl*	Lateral uterine pouches
mc	Circular muscles	*utv*	Ventral uterine outpocketings
mf	Muscle fibers	*utp*	Uterine passage
ml	Longitudinal muscles	*utvp*	Ventral uterine pores
mr	Muscle rhomboid	*va*	Vagina
ms	Muscle star	*vas*	Sphincter vaginae
mtr	Transverse muscles	*vi*	Vitellaria
mx	Muscle cross	*vid*	Vitelline ducts
nl	Lateral nerve	*vidc*	Vitelline duct, common
nr	Nerve ring		

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 1.—*Proteocephalus macrocephalus* (Creplin), head, toto. Lot 71, Sebago Lake. Ward Collection.

Fig. 2.—*Proteocephalus pusillus* Ward, head, toto, showing fifth sucker. From *Cristivomer namaycush,* Lake Temagami.

Fig. 3.—*Proteocephalus pusillus* Ward, head, toto, showing fifth sucker. From *Salmo sebago,* Sebago Lake.

Fig. 4.—*Proteocephalus pusillus* Ward, head, toto, showing fifth sucker. From *Cristivomer namaycush,* Lake Temagami.

Fig. 5.—*Proteocephalus cernuae* (Gmelin), head, toto. From lot 09.26 Ward Collection.

Fig. 6.—*Proteocephalus cernuae* (Gmelin), head, toto. From lot 09.26 Ward Collection.

Fig. 7.—*Proteocephalus torulosus* (Batsch), head, toto, a characteristic shape.

Fig. 8.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), head, toto. From lot 10.122 Ward Collection.

Fig. 9.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), head, toto. From lot 10.123 Ward Collection.

Fig. 10.—*Ophiotaenia perspicua* La Rue, head, toto.

Fig. 11.—*Ophiotaenia calmettei* (Barrois), head, toto.

Fig. 12.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), head, toto. From lot 08.472 Ward Collection.

Fig. 13.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), head, toto. From lot 10.190 Ward Collection.


1
0.3mm
3
0.3mm
6
0.5mm
suf
2
0.2mm
suf
4
0.3mm
suf
5
0.3mm
suf
9
0.1mm
7
0.5mm
suf
8
0.2mm
10
0.3mm
suc
11
0.3mm
12
0.3mm
13
0.3mm

PLATE I

PLATE II

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 14.—*Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue, head, toto.

Fig. 15.—*Proteocephalus filicollis* (Rud).= *ambiguus* (Dujardin), head drawn from Schneider's in toto preparation.

Fig. 16.—*Proteocephalus esocis* (Schneider), head, drawn from a specimen cleared in glycerine.

Fig. 17.—*Proteocephalus perplexus* La Rue, head, toto.

Fig. 18.—*Proteocephalus ambloplitis* (Leidy), head, toto. From material collected at Walnut Lake, Mich.

Fig. 19.—*Proteocephalus ambloplitis* (Leidy), head, toto. From material collected at Walnut Lake, Mich.

Figs. 20, 21 and 22.—*Proteocephalus dubius* La Rue, heads, toto, showing fifth sucker and contraction states of the suckers.

Fig. 23.—*Proteocephalus fallax* La Rue, head, toto, showing fifth sucker.

Fig. 24.—*Proteocephalus singularis* La Rue, head, toto. Apical prominence contracted.

Fig. 25.—*Proteocephalus singularis* La Rue, head, toto. Apical prominence extended.

Fig. 26.—*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue, head of adult, toto. After La Rue 1909.

Fig. 27.—*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue, head of plerocercus, toto, showing vestigial fifth sucker after atrophy is well under way. After La Rue 1909.

Fig. 28.—*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue, head of plerocercus, toto, showing outline of hypertrophied fifth sucker. After La Rue 1909.

Fig. 29.—*Proteocephalus pinguis* La Rue, head, toto, dorsal or ventral view.

Fig. 30.—*Proteocephalus pinguis* La Rue, head, toto, dorsal or ventral view.

Fig. 31.—*Proteocephalus pinguis* La Rue, head, toto, lateral view. Figs. 29, 30, and 31 are from lot 8, Sebago Lake. Ward Collection.

Fig. 32.—*Proteocephalus pinguis* La Rue, head and regions of strobila, toto. From material collected at Walnut Lake, Mich.

Fig. 33.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), head, toto. After Smith 1908.

Fig. 34.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), head, toto. After Smith 1908.

Figs. 35 and 36.—*Proteocephalus pinguis* La Rue, heads, toto, showing variation in contraction states. From material collected at Walnut Lake, Mich.

Fig. 37.—*Ophiotaenia marenzelleri* (Barrois), head, toto, after Schwarz.

Fig. 38.—*Ophiotaenia grandis* La Rue, head, toto, showing swollen region back of head.


suf
0.1 mm
0.5 mm
su
suf
exp
suf
1 mm

PLATE II

PLATE III

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 39.—*Proteocephalus singularis* La Rue, head, cross-section about 0.10 mm. from the tip of the head, near the upper end of suckers. This section shows the beginning of the muscle cross, *mx*, and the heavy muscles connecting the sucker wall with the surface of the head. Figs. 39 and 40 are drawn to the same scale as Fig. 41.

Fig. 40.—*Proteocephalus singularis* La Rue, head, cross-section about 0.135 mm. below tip of head and about middle of suckers. Shows heavy muscle across, *mx*, with some fibers reaching out to the surface of the head between the suckers.

Fig. 41.—*Proteocephalus singularis* La Rue, head, cross-section near lower edge of suckers, showing muscle star, *ms*, with broadly flared ends. Nuclei are shown as the larger black spots. The closely packed groups of short heavy lines are tangentially cut ends of muscle fibers which attach to the suckers.

Fig. 42.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), vestigial fifth sucker, cross-section. Note sucker cavity and musculature. Nuclei could not be seen in this tissue.

Fig. 43.—*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue, vestigial fifth sucker, from a frontal section of a plerocercus. Note the sucker cavity filled with granules, basement membrane, nuclei and muscle fibers in and around sucker. Compare structure of vestigial sucker with that of the normal sucker beside it.

Fig. 44.—*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue, vestigial fifth sucker, frontal section of head of plerocercus. Compare structures with those of Fig. 43. Scale should read 0.05 mm.

Fig. 45.—*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue, vestigial fifth sucker from a cross-section of head of a plerocercus. Note the sucker cavity filled with granules, the basement membrane, the muscles, and nuclei.

Fig. 46.—*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue, vestigial fifth sucker, cross-section of head of plerocercus. Note points mentioned for Fig. 45. Sucker cavity only partly filled with granules. Scale should read 0.05 mm.


mx
40
mx
39
bm
suc
mf
44
0.5mm
suc
suc
43
0.05mm
ms
0.1mm
41
suc
bm
0.025mm
mf
mc
42
suc
bm
45
0.05mm
bm
suc
0.5mm
46

PLATE III

PLATE IV

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 47.—*Proteocephalus macrocephalus* (Creplin), ripe proglottid showing female reproductive organs. From Sebago Lake material.

Fig. 48.—*Proteocephalus macrocephalus* (Creplin), ripe proglottid, cross-section in region of cirrus-pouch. Partial reconstruction. From Sebago Lake material.

Fig. 49.—*Proteocephalus macrocephalus* (Creplin), ripe proglottid showing male organs. From Sebago Lake material.

Fig. 50.—*Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue, mature proglottid, toto.

Fig. 51.—*Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue, end-proglottid, ventral view of toto preparation. Note the excretory pore and the shape of the ovary.

Fig. 52.—*Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue, ripe proglottid, toto, as seen from dorsal side, testes omitted.

Fig. 53.—*Proteocephalus pusillus* Ward, ripe proglottid, toto, showing uterine pouches and a rare abnormality in the position of the vagina which is here posterior to the cirrus-pouch. From *Salmo sebago.*

Fig. 54.—*Proteocephalus pusillus* Ward, mature proglottid, toto. From *Salmo sebago.*

Fig. 55.—*Proteocephalus pusillus* Ward, mature proglottid, toto, showing male reproductive organs and the usual relation of vagina and cirrus-pouch. From *Cristivomer namaycush.*

Fig. 56.—*Proteocephalus fallax* La Rue, ripe proglottid, toto. Shows two ventral uterine pores. This figure is inverted.

Fig. 57.—*Proteocephalus fallax* La Rue, mature proglottid, toto, ventral view. Note musculature of cirrus-pouch.


vi
utl
vas
ci
cip
ov
47
0.5 mm
ml
tt
def
n
vi
va
48
0.5 mm
vi
ov
51
0.3 mm
tt
dj
ci
cip
49
0.5 mm
50
0.5 mm
0.4 mm
52
0.3 mm
53
0.2 mm
dj
54
0.2 mm
55
ci
mc
57
0.2 mm
utvp
56
0.5 mm

PLATE IV

PLATE V

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 58.—*Proteocephalus filicollis* (Rud.)=*ambiguus* (Dujardin), whole worm. This figure and Figs. 59 and 60 were drawn from Schneider's toto preparation.

Fig. 59.—*Proteocephalus filicollis* (Rud.)=*ambiguus* (Dujardin), mature proglottid, toto.

Fig. 60.—*Proteocephalus filicollis* (Rud.)=*ambiguus* (Dujardin), ripe proglottid, toto.

Fig. 61.—*Proteocephalus esocis* (Schneider), young proglottid, toto. Vagina and cirrus-pouch could not be traced to margin of proglottid.

Fig. 62.—*Proteocephalus esocis* (Schneider), mature proglottid, toto.

Fig. 63.—*Proteocephalus esocis* (Schneider), outline of anterior end, posterior end and middle of strobila.

Fig. 64.—*Proteocephalus perplexus* La Rue, ripe proglottid, reconstruction showing female reproductive organs, especially lateral pouches of uterus.

Fig. 65.—*Proteocephalus perplexus* La Rue, ripe proglottids, reconstruction showing male reproductive organs and the ovary. Note also the position of the sphincter vaginae. Figs. 64 and 65 are drawn from the same series of sections. Scale should read 0.5 mm.

Fig. 66.—*Proteocephalus cernuae* (Gmelin), ripe proglottid, toto, showing especially the uterine pouches and the slender ovarian lobes.

Fig. 67.—*Proteocephalus cernuae* (Gmelin), mature proglottid, toto.

Fig. 68.—*Proteocephalus cernuae* (Gmelin), old proglottid, toto.


vi
ov
1mm
58
va
cip
59
0.2 mm
va
cip
61
0.2 mm
utl
exd
exv
64
0.5 mm
0.5 mm
60
0.3 mm
ut
62
tt
vas
di
vi
65
a
b
c
63
1mm
utl
1mm
66
0.5 mm
67
0.4 mm
68

PLATE V

PLATE VI

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 69.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), ripe proglottid, toto, ventral view. From one of Schneider's slides.

Fig. 70.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), ripe proglottid, toto, dorsal view. Testes omitted to show uterine pouches. From one of Schneider's slides.

Fig. 71.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), ripe proglottid, partial reconstruction from ventral side showing relation of reproductive organs. From lot 10.123 Ward Collection.

Fig. 72.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), ripe proglottid, toto. Uterine pouches omitted. From lot 10.123 Ward Collection.

Fig. 73.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), fifth sucker, section showing structure. From lot 10.122 Ward Collection.

Fig. 74.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), ripe proglottid, partial reconstruction of cross-sections to show relationship of organs in region of cirrus-pouch as seen from anterior end. From lot 10.122 Ward Collection. The letters va at the extreme right of the figure should read vas.

Fig. 75.—*Proteocephalus dubius* La Rue, ripe proglottid, toto showing uterine pouches and shape of decadent ovary. Scale is in error, each unit equals 0.1 mm.

Fig. 77.—*Proteocephalus dubius* La Rue, mature proglottid, toto.


0.5 mm
tt
69
70
0.5 mm
suf
0.5 mm
utl
73
71
0.5 mm
mc
ml
vi
75
0.3 mm
72
0.5 mm
vi
tt
def
va
nl
utl
ut
va
74
0.5 mm
0.3 mm
0.5 mm
76
77

PLATE VI

PLATE VII

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 78.—*Proteocephalus torulosus* (Batsch), ripe proglottid, frontal section showing small part of uterus and ovary, testes covering almost the entire area between vitellaria.

Fig. 79.—*Proteocephalus torulosus* (Batsch), ripe proglottid, frontal section showing relatively large size of ovary and the small number of uterine pouches.

Fig. 80.—*Proteocephalus torulosus* (Batsch), ripe proglottid, cross-section in region of cirrus-pouch.

Fig. 81.—*Proteocephalus neglectus* La Rue, ripe proglottids, toto, showing reproductive organs. The cirrus-pouch is much shortened, some ducts omitted. Ventral view.

Fig. 82.—*Proteocephalus neglectus* La Rue, cirrus-pouch and vagina, normal position and shape. Funnel-like atrium possibly a little exaggerated. Note the muscle fibers at end of cirrus-pouch.

Fig. 83.—*Proteocephalus singularis* La Rue, ripe proglottid, frontal section showing uterine pouches and the cut parts of the much coiled excretory ducts.

Fig. 84.—*Proteocephalus singularis* La Rue, cirrus-pouch and vagina, reconstruction. Note position of vaginal sphincter and of the dilated portion of the vagina.

Fig. 85.—*Proteocephalus singularis* La Rue, ripe proglottid, frontal section showing arrangement of testes, vitellaria and ovary. Vagina and cirrus-pouch not shown.

Fig. 86.—*Proteocephalus singularis* La Rue, head, frontal section showing appearance and position of transverse and longitudinal muscles.

Fig. 87.—*Proteocephalus singularis* La Rue, head, frontal section showing attachments of longitudinal muscles to the suckers. Scale should read 0.2 mm.

Fig. 88.—*Proteocephalus pinguis* La Rue, proglottid, portion of cross-section showing relation of vagina, cirrus-pouch and excretory ducts.

Fig. 89.—*Proteocephalus pinguis* La Rue, ventral uterine pores leading to exterior. Shown in frontal section of proglottid just below cuticula.


tt
ut
ov
78
0.5 mm
ut
ml
79
0.5 mm
vi
ml
ut
80
0.5 mm
vas
0.5 mm
utl
tt
81
vas
ci
0.3 mm
82
vas
dj
0.1 mm
84
exv
utl
vi
ml
83
0.5 mm
ut
tt
85
0.5 mm
exd
va
cip
exv
88
mtr
ml
86
0.2 mm
ml
87
89
utvp

PLATE VII

PLATE VIII

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 90.—*Proteocephalus pinguis* La Rue, cirrus, vagina, vas deferens and portion of vasa efferentia. From a reconstruction. Scale should read 0.1 mm.

Fig. 91.—*Proteocephalus pinguis* La Rue, complex of excretory ducts leading to the dorsal and ventral surfaces of the proglottid.

Fig. 92.—*Proteocephalus pinguis* La Rue, ripe proglottid, toto, ventral view. From lot 8, Sebago Lake material.

Fig. 93.—*Proteocephalus pinguis* La Rue, head, longitudinal section showing structure of suckers, etc.

Fig. 94.—*Monticellia coryphicephala* (Monticelli), ripe proglottid, cross-section showing relations of organs to the muscle-sheath. From one of Monticelli's preparations.

Fig. 95.—*Monticellia coryphicephala* (Monticelli), ripe proglottid, toto, showing peculiar appearance of ovary, distribution of testes over entire field between vitellaria, and the scattered vitelline follicles. From one of Monticelli's preparations. Cf. Fig. 186.

Fig. 96.—*Monticellia coryphicephala* (Monticelli), ripe proglottid, cross-section through region of ovary showing peculiar relation of organs to muscle-sheath. Note that the ovary is partly outside and partly inside this sheath. From one of Monticelli's preparations.



90


tt
vi
nl
exa
0.2mm

91


utl
vi
tt
0.3mm

92



93


tt
va
vi
ml
ut
0.5mm.

94


utl
tt
vi
ov
ooc
rs
1mm

95


va
ov
tt
vi
ml
utl
vi
0.5mm

96

PLATE VIII

PLATE IX

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 97.—*Ophiotaenia grandis* La Rue, cirrus-pouch and vagina, cirrus partly protruded.

Fig. 98.—*Ophiotaenia grandis* La Rue, cirrus-pouch and vagina, cirrus partly protruded.

Fig. 99.—*Ophiotaenia grandis* La Rue, organs of interovarial space as seen in an in toto preparation.

Fig. 100.—*Ophiotaenia grandis* La Rue, two proglottids showing indentation at the genital pore. No genital atrium visible.

Fig. 101.—*Ophiotaenia grandis* La Rue, mature proglottid, toto, ventral view showing reproductive organs and ventral uterine pores.

Fig. 102.—*Ophiotaenia perspicua* La Rue, ripe proglottid, toto showing uterine pouches.

Fig. 103.—*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue, ripe proglottid, showing uterine pouches and protruded cirrus.

Fig. 104.—*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue, organs of interovarial space from a reconstruction. After La Rue 1909. Through an error in lettering the lower part of the vagina is marked 'od' whereas the fertilization passage beneath the vagina should be marked 'od'. 'Ys' should read 'rs'.

Fig. 105.—*Ophiotaenia filaroides* La Rue, mature proglottid, toto, unflattened, showing relationships of organs. After La Rue 1909.


va
utp
ooc
vid
sh
ov
0.5 mm

99


dj
ci
va
0.5 mm

97



103



98



100


va
ut
vid
ooc
ys
vido
utp
oot
sh
ov
va
od

104


utvp
2 mm
utvp

101


nt
exv
dj
def
tt
nt
vi
va
ci
cip
exv
ef
ut
vid
ooc
vid
ov

105



102

PLATE IX

PLATE X

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 106.—*Ophiotaenia trimeresuri* (Parona), evaginated cirrus, cirrus-pouch and vagina. Note coils of ductus ejaculatorius in the swollen cirrus. Scale should read 0.3 mm.

Fig. 107.—*Ophiotaenia trimeresuri* (Parona), cirrus-pouch and vagina, cirrus unprotruded. Note coils of ductus ejaculatorius in the cirrus-pouch. Scale should read 0.3 mm.

Fig. 108.—*Ophiotaenia trimeresuri* (Parona), mature proglottid, toto. Uterine pouches just beginning to form.

Fig. 109.—*Ophiotaenia calmettei* (Barrois), mature proglottid, toto. Uterine pouches more advanced than in Fig. 110.

Fig. 110.—*Ophiotaenia calmettei* (Barrois), mature proglottid, toto. Beginnings of uterine pouches may be seen.

Fig. 111.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), mature proglottid, toto. From lot 10.179 Ward Collection.

Fig. 112.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), ripe proglottid, toto. From lot 08.472 Ward Collection.

Fig. 113.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), nearly mature proglottid, toto. Note the great number of testes. From lot 10.190 Ward Collection.

Fig. 114.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), mature proglottid, toto. Cirrus protruded. Drawn from slide No. 1858, Bureau of Animal Industry, Washington.

Fig. 115.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), mature proglottid, toto.


vi
vas
va
ci
cip
dj
tt
0.3 mm
106
utl
0.3 mm
109
vas
dj
107
ut
1 mm
ci
cip
108
ut
1 mm
112
ut
def
110
1 mm
1 mm
ci
114
0.3 mm
0.3 mm
tt
113
111
1 mm
115

PLATE X

PLATE XI

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 116.—*Proteocephalus ambloplitis* (Leidy), head, frontal section showing vestigial fifth sucker. After Benedict.

Fig. 117.—*Proteocephalus ambloplitis* (Leidy), head, toto. After Benedict.

Fig. 118.—*Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue, ripe proglottid showing arrangement of organs. After Benedict.

Fig. 119.—*Ophiotaenia lönnbergii* (Fuhrmann), head, toto. After Fuhrmann.

Fig. 120.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), head, frontal section showing fifth sucker. After Schneider.

Fig. 121.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), head, toto. Reproduction of Müller's figure 2.

Fig. 122.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), head, toto. Reproduction of Müller's figure 3.

Fig. 123.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), head, toto. After Monticelli.

Fig. 124.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), head, toto. After Monticelli.

Fig. 125.—*Monticellia macrocotylea* (Monticelli), head. After Monticelli.

Fig. 126.—*Proteocephalus pentastoma* (Klaptocz), anterior face of head. After Klaptocz.

Fig. 127.—*Proteocephalus pentastoma* (*Klaptocz*), head and neck. After Klaptocz.

Fig. 128.—*Proteocephalus pentastoma* (Klaptocz), portion of middle of worm. After Klaptocz.

Fig. 129.—*Proteocephalus pentastoma* (Klaptocz), posterior part of worm. After Klaptocz.

Fig. 130.—*Proteocephalus sulcatus* (Klaptocz), head, anterior face. After Klaptocz.

Fig. 131—*Proteocephalus sulcatus* (Klaptocz), head. After Kloptocz.

Fig. 132.—*Monticellia coryphicephala* (Monticelli), head, toto. Note the prominence of the suckers. After Monticelli.


suf
suf

PLATE XI

PLATE XII

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 133.—*Proteocephalus fossatus* (Riggenbach), head, toto. After Riggenbach.

Fig. 134a & b.—*Proteocephalus ambloplitis* (Leidy), head (a) and part of strobila (b). After Leidy.

Fig. 135.—*Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue, entire worm. After Benedict.

Fig. 136.—*Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue, entire worm. After Benedict. These two figures show the variation in length of neck.

Fig. 137.—*Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue, head, frontal section. After Benedict. Shows structure of the functional fifth sucker.

Fig. 138.—*Proteocephalus exiguus* La Rue, head, toto showing fifth sucker. After Benedict.

Fig. 139.—*Ophiotaenia nattereri* (Parona), head, toto. After Schwarz.

Fig. 140.—*Ophiotaenia racemosa* (Rud.), head, toto. After Schwarz.

Fig. 141.—*Ophiotaenia trimeresuri* (Parona), head, toto. After Parona.

Fig. 142.—*Ophiotaenia trimeresuri* (Parona), head, toto. After Parona.

Fig. 143.—*Proteocephalus salvelini* (Linton), head, toto. After Linton.

Fig. 144.—*Proteocephalus salvelini* (Linton), head. After Linton.

Figs. 145, 146.—*Proteocephalus torulosus* (Batsch), heads, toto. After Batsch.

Figs. 147, 148.—*Proteocephalus dubius* La Rue, heads, toto. After Zschokke.

Fig. 149.—*Proteocephalus dubius* La Rue, proglottid. After Zschokke.

Fig. 150.—*Proteocephalus fallax* La Rue, head, toto showing fifth sucker. After Krämer.

Fig. 151.—*Choanoscolex abscisus* (Riggenbach), head, toto. After Riggenbach.

Fig. 152.—*Proteocephalus skorikowi* (von Linstow), head, toto. After von Linstow.


suf
suf
a
b
suf

PLATE XII

PLATE XIII

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 153.—*Ophiotaenia punica* (Cholodkovski), head, toto. After Cholodkovski.

Fig. 154.—*Monticellia malopteruri* (Fritsch), head, toto. After Fritsch.

Fig. 155.—*Ophiotaenia calmettei* (Barrois), head, toto. After Schwarz.

Fig. 156.—*Ophiotaenia calmettei* (Barrois), head, toto. After Marotel.

Fig. 157.—*Monticellia diesingii* (Monticelli), head, toto. After Monticelli.

Fig. 158.—*Proteocephalus agonis* (Barbieri), head, toto. After Barbieri.

Fig. 159.—*Proteocephalus cyclops* (v. Linstow), head, toto. After von Linstow.

Fig. 160.—*Ophiotaenia pigmentata* (von Linstow), head, toto. After von Linstow.

Fig. 161.—*Proteocephalus osculatus* (Goeze), head, toto. From Carus after Wagener. This appears to be a reproduction of Goeze's figure.

Fig. 162.—*Proteocephalus osculatus* (Goeze), young individual, toto. From Carus after Wagener.

Fig. 163.—*Proteocephalus osculatus* (Goeze)=*Taenia alternatim transverse lineata,* posterior proglottids, toto. After Goeze.

Fig. 164.—*Proteocephalus osculatus* (Goeze)=*Taenia alternatim transverse lineata,* head and regions of strobila. After Goeze.

Fig. 165.—*Proteocephalus osculatus* (Goeze)=*Taenia alternatim transverse lineata,* head, toto. After Goeze.


156


155


154


153


162


161


158


157


160


159


165


164


163

PLATE XIII

PLATE XIV

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 166.—*Proteocephalus malopteruri* (Fritsch), ripe proglottid. Modified from Fritsch's figure.

Fig. 167.—*Proteocephalus longicollis* (Zeder), ripe proglottid. Modified from drawing by von Linstow.

Fig. 168.—*Proteocephalus longicollis* (Zeder), ripe proglottid, cross-section. After von Linstow. Note that the testes lie in more than one layer.

Fig. 169.—*Proteocephalus longicollis* (Zeder), cirrus-pouch. After von Linstow.

Fig. 170.—*Proteocephalus fallax* La Rue, outline of proglottids from anterior and posterior parts of strobila. After Krämer.

Fig. 171.—*Proteocephalus macrocephalus* (Creplin)=*Taenia dilatata* Linton, folds of tissue along neck. After Linton.

Fig. 172.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), piece of strobila. Reproduction of Müller's figure 4.

Fig. 173.—*Proteocephalus percae* (Müller), strobila. Reproduction of Müller's figure 1.


def
utl
ci
167
166
tt
168
169
170
171
173
172

PLATE XIV

PLATE XV

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 174.—*Proteocephalus agonis* (Barbieri), ripe proglottid. After Barbieri.

Fig. 175.—*Proteocephalus sulcatus* (Klaptocz), ripe proglottid. After Klaptocz.

Fig. 176.—*Proteocephalus sulcatus* (Klaptocz), proglottids. After Klaptocz.

Fig. 177.—*Proteocephalus skorikowi* (von Linstow), ripe proglottid, toto. After von Linstow.

Fig. 178.—*Proteocephalus fallax* La Rue, mature proglottid, toto, showing reproductive organs. After Krämer.

Fig. 179.—*Proteocephalus fallax* La Rue, ripe proglottid, showing uterine pouches and uterine pore. After Krämer.

Fig. 180.—*Proteocephalus fossatus* (Riggenbach), proglottid with male organs. After Riggenbach.

Fig. 181.—*Monticellia coryphicephala* (Monticelli), cirrus-pouch and vagina. After Monticelli.

Fig. 182.—*Proteocephalus osculatus* (Goeze), mature proglottid. After Lühe.

Fig. 183.—*Proteocephalus ambloplitis* (Leidy), ripe proglottid, frontal section showing main parts of male and female reproductive systems. After Benedict.

Fig. 184.—*Proteocephalus torulosus* (Batsch), ripe proglottids showing organs. After Krämer.

Fig. 185.—*Proteocephalus agonis* (Barbieri), mature proglottid, uterus omitted. After Barbieri.

Fig. 186.—*Monticellia coryphicephala* (Monticelli), ripe proglottid, toto. After Monticelli.


tt
utl
ov
177
175
ut
174
178
176
ef
def
tt
180
ut
179
182
181
vas
183
184
186
185

PLATE XV

PLATE XVI

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Fig. 187.—*Ophiotaenia punica* (Cholodkovski), proglottid, toto. After Cholodkovski.

Fig. 188.—*Ophiotaenia punica* (Cholodkovski), young proglottids, toto. After Cholodkovski.

Fig. 189.—*Ophiotaenia lönnbergii* (Fuhrmann), mature proglottid, toto. After Fuhrmann.

Fig. 190.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), cirrus and vagina. After Monticelli.

Fig. 191.—*Ophiotaenia racemosa* (Rud.), ripe proglottid, toto. After Schwarz.

Fig. 192.—*Ophiotaenia trimeresuri* (Parona), proglottids, toto. After Parona.

Fig. 193.—*Ophiotaenia trimeresuri* (Parona), proglottid, toto. After Parona.

Fig. 194.—*Ophiotaenia nattereri* (Parona), egg showing hooklets on outermost membrane. After Schwarz.

Fig. 195.—*Ophiotaenia nattereri* (Parona), ripe proglottid. After Schwarz.

Fig. 196.—*Crepidobothrium gerrardii* (Baird), young proglottids showing beginnings of reproductive organs. After Smith.

Fig. 197.—*Ophiotaenia calmettei* (Barrois), mature proglottid, toto. After Marotel.

Fig. 198.—*Ophiotaenia calmettei* (Barrois), nearly ripe proglottid, toto. After Marotel.

Fig. 199.—*Ophiotaenia marenzelleri* (Barrois), mature proglottid, toto. After Schwarz.



190



188



187



193



192



189



194



191



195



196



198



199



197

PLATE XVI

BURGMEIER BOOK BINDERY CHICAGO

BURGMEIER BOOK BINDERY CHICAGO

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS-URBANA
570.5ILL C004
ILLINOIS BIOLOGICAL MONOGRAPHS URBANA
1 1914-15
3 0112 017753242